Jivaraja Jaina Granthamala, No. 2

GENERAL EDITORS:

Dr. A. N. UPADHYE & Dr. H. . JAIN

YASASTILAKA AND INDIAN CULTURE

OR

Somadeva's Yasastilaka and Aspects of Jainism and Indian Thought and Culture in the Tenth Century

BY

KRISHNA KANTA HANDIQUI M. A. (Csl. et Oxon.)

Ex-Vice-Chancellor, Gauhati University, Assam (India)

PUBLISHED BY

JAINA SAMSKRTI SAMRAKSHAKA SANGHA, SHOLAPUR

1968

All Rights Reserved

Price Rupees Twenty Five Only

Second Edition: 2000 copies

Copies of this book can be had direct from Jaina Samskrti Samraksaka Sangha, Santosha Bhavan, Phaltan Galli, Sholapur (India)

Price Rs. 25/- per copy, exclusive of postage

जीवराज जैन ग्रंथमालाका परिचय

सोलापूर निवासी ब्रह्मचारी जीवराज गौतमचन्दजी दोशी कई वर्षोंसे संसारसे उदासीन होकर घर्मकार्यमें अपनी वृत्ति लगा रहे हैं। सन १९४० में उनकी यह प्रबल इच्छा हो उठी, कि अपनी न्यायोपांजित सम्पत्तिका उपयोग विशेष रूपसे धर्म बौर समाजकी उन्नतिके कार्यमें करें। तदनुसार उन्होंने समस्त देशका परिश्रमण कर जैन विद्वानोंसे साक्षात् और लिखित सम्मतियां इस बातकी संग्रह की कि कौनसे कार्यमें सम्पत्तिका उपयोग किया जाय। स्फुट मत संचय कर लेनेके पश्चात् सन १९४१ की गांग्योंमें ब्रह्मचारीजीने तीर्यक्षेत्र गजपंथा (नासिक) के शीतल वातावरणमें विद्वानोंकी समाज एकत्रित की, और कहापोहपूर्वक निर्णयके लिए उक्त विषय प्रस्तुत किया। विद्वत्सम्मेलनके फलस्वरूप ब्रह्मचारीजीने जैन संस्कृति और साहित्यके समस्त अंगोंके संरक्षण, उद्धार और प्रचारके हेतु 'जैन संस्कृति संरक्षण संघ की स्थापना की और उसके लिए (३००००) तीस हजारके दानकी घोषणा कर दी। उनकी परिग्रहन्त्वृत्ति बदती गई, और सन १९४४ सालमें लगमग (२००००) दो लाख की अपनी संपूर्ण इस्टेट संघको ट्रस्टरूपमें अर्पण की। इसी संघके अन्तर्गत 'जीवराज जैन पंचमाला का संचालन हो रहा है। प्रस्तुत ग्रंथ इसी मालाका द्वितीय पूष्ण है।

प्रकाशक :

श्रीमान् लालचन्द हिराचन्द जैन संस्कृति संरक्षक संघ सोलापूर मुद्रक:

मा. ह. पटवर्धन, संगम प्रेस, प्रा. लि.; ३८३, नारायण पेठ, पुना २



ब्र. जीवराज गौतमचंद्रजी

CONTENTS

ī. ·	CONTENTS		
. Genera	d Editors' Preface	v-vi	
Genera	General Editors' Preface (Second Edition)		
Author	r's Preface	ix-x	
Author	r's Preface (Second Edition)	хi	
Supple	mentary Notes (I—IV)	xii-xv	
1	Somadeva and his Age	1	
IJ	Synopsis of Yasastilaka	21	
III	The Story of Yasodhara and Its Sources	42	
IV	Yasastilaka as a Prose Romance	53	
V	Yasastilaka as a Socio-Political Record	89	
VI	Yasastilaka as a Religious Romance	124	
VII	Yasastilaka as an Anthology of Sanskrit Verse	139	
VIII	Philosophical Doctrines	184	
IX	Schools of Thought	217	
X	Jaina Dogmatics and Moral and Spiritual Discipline	246	
XI	The Anupreksas and Jaina Religious Poetry	- 291	
XII	A Controversial Dialogue	316	
XIII	Jainism and Other Faiths	326	
XIV	Jaina Criticism of Vedic Sacrifices	377	
XV	Non-Jaina Cults, Customs and Beliess	391	
XVI	Jaina Religious and Moral Storics	408	
XVII	Myths and Legends	432	
XVIII	Quotations and References	439	
Apper	ndix		
1	Somadeva and the Pratihara Court of Kanauj	464	
II	The Verses on the Courtesan's Corpse and a Buddhist Legend	466	
III	Saiva Temples and their Geographical Distribution	467	
IV	The Kalamukha Sect	509	
Index	of Geographical Names mentioned in Yasastilaka	511	
Ganas	rat Index	519	

GENERAL EDITORS' PREFACE

Critical studies in Jaina religion and philosophy and researches in Jaina literature have conspicuously lagged behind in the march of Oriental scholarship with the result that our picture and perspective of Indian cultural heritage are far from being perfect and complete. Very often eminent scholars both from abroad and at home have stressed the pressing need of speeding up the progress of Jaina studies by critically editing ancient texts in different languages and by researches in various branches of Jainology.

Brahmachāri Jivarāja Gautamachandajī, Sholapur, whose biography is already included in the First Volume of this Series (Tiloyapaṇṇatti, part i, pp, 9-12), is well-known for his piety, love of learning and philanthropic zeal. It was with a view to advancing the cause of Jaina studies that the Jivarāja Jaina Granthamālā was started under the auspices of the Jaina Saṃskṛti Saṃrakṣaka Saṃgha which was founded by him by creating a Trust for it of his entire property amounting to about two lakhs of rupees.

It is with great pleasure that the General Editors present to the world of scholars this learned work, Yaśastilaka and Indian Culture, by Professor K. K. Handiqui as the Second Volume of the Jivaraja Jaina Granthamala. Though the Yaśastilaka (A. D. 959) had attracted the attention of Sanskrit scholars like Peterson and others. the literary genius and the wealth of learning of Somadeva were not fully appreciated. This dissertation will enable us now to assess the value of Somadeva's achievements in Indian literature. Somadeva, as Professor Handiqui has observed, 'is one of the most versatile talents in the history of Indian literature, and his masterpiece Yasastiluka reveals the manifold aspects of his genius. He is a master of prose and verse, a profound scholar with a well-stocked memory, an authority on Jaina dogma, and a critic of contemporary philosophical systems. He is a close student of the art of government, and in this respect his Yasastilaka and Nitivakyamrta supplement each other. He is a redactor of ancient folktales and religious stories, and at times shows himself an adept in dramatic dialogue. Last but not least, he is a keen observer of men and manners. The position of Somadeva is, indeed, unique in Sanskrit literature'.

Turning to Somadeva's Yaśastilaka, 'the salient feature of the story of Yaśodhara is that it is a realistic tale based on a domestic tragedy, even an unpleasant incident of domestic life, around which is woven a story of moral and religious edification'. It 'is, as a matter of fact, the only considerable Sanskrit prose

romance which deals with tragic incidents of conjugal life, eschewing romantic love in favour of grim realities and the working of fate". Though the chief object of this tale is to propound the highest standard of human morals, namely, the principle of Ahimsa, Somadeva has made his religious romance an elegant specimen of artistic Sanskrit prose and poetry. 'Apart from its special characteristics as a prose narrative, Yasastilaka combines features which bring it into relation with diverse branches of Sanskrit literature. It is not only a Jaina romance in prose and verse but a learned compendium of Jaina and non-Jaina philosophical and religious doctrines, a manual of statecraft, and a great repository of Kavya poetry, ancient tales, citations and references, and numerous rare words of lexical interest. Somadeva's Yasastilaka is a work of massive scholarship enlivened by occasional flashes of literary genius and poetic feeling'.

It is indeed very happy that such a masterpiece of literature as the Yaśastilaka is thoroughly studied in its various aspects by an eminent and versatile Sanskritist of the status and standing of Professor Handiqui. His mastery over the niceties of the Sanskrit language and Kavya poetry are well-known to all by his 'English Translation Śriharṣa's Naiṣadhacarita with extracts from unpublished commentaries, appendices on philosophical allusions etc.', Lahore 1934. Thus Somadeva for his literary rehabilitation has found a worthy scholar in Professor Handiqui who possesses rare qualities of sympathetic and judicious understanding, wide and rich information and deep and critical learning. He undertook and completed the study of Yaśastilaka while he was the Principal and Senior Professor of History and Sanskrit at J. B. College, Jorhat (Assam). Very few Sanskrit works have been studied as thoroughly as the Yaśastilaka in this work; and Professor Handiqui deserves every praise for his steady labours and painstaking researches. The Sanskrit studies have become richer by his present contribution.

The Authorities of the Jivaraja Jaina Granthamala offer their sincere thanks to Professor K. K. Handiqui for his generosity in placing his learned dissertation at their disposal for publication in this Series.

It is a matter of pleasure for the General Editors to record their thanks to Br. Jīvarājajī as well as to the members of the Trust Committee and Prabandhasamiti for their active interest in the Series, and also to Professor Handiqui for his willing cooperation. They trust that the present volume is a worthy contribution to Indian studies, and it would open in future many a new line of study in the fields of Jaina religion, philosophy and literature.

KOLHAPUR, May 1949 A. N. UPADHYE and H. L. JAIN
General Editors.

GENERAL EDITORS' PREFACE

(Second Edition)

It is with great satisfaction that we are presenting here the Second Edition (a photographic reprint of the First Edition with a few additions) of the Yasastilaka and Indian Culture, by Professor Krishna Kanta Handiqui, M.A. (Cal. et Oxon.), which was first published in 1949 as No. 2 of the Jivaraja Jaina Granthamala. This publication was received with appreciation both at home and abroad, particularly from leading Sanskritists like the late lamented Professor L. Renou of Paris. This exhaustive study of the Yasastilaka has been, in a way, epoch-making and has inspired a number of other scholars to follow this model while studying other Indian classical texts.

Professor Handiqui's name has been well-known to Sanskritists through his standard English Translation (with learned Notes) of the Naisadhacarita of Sriharsa (Lahore 1934, Poona 1956 and again Poona 1964). This work brought to the notice of Indologists Professor Handiqui's consummate mastery over the intricacies of the Sanskrit language and his wide vista of Sanskritic learning. His dissertation on the Yasastilaka has been a pioneer and scholarly exploration in a more or less neglected religious romance based on domestic tragedy, of a medieval author of encyclopaedic learning. Somadeva was fortunate that his Campū came to be studied by a worthy scholar of great literary acumen and historical perspective. In fact, Sanskrit studies have become richer by Professor Handiqui's contributions on the Naisadhacarita and Yasastilaka.

Professor Handiqui has inherited in his veins the blue blood of an aristocratic family of administrators connected with the Ahom dynasty of Eastern India. Obviously his zest (even at the age of seventy) for Indian learning is a part of his personality; and his devoted self-training in Western Universities has equipped him with the knowledge of many European languages, catholic taste and critical outlook. His personal library could be a proud possession of any of our new Universities. He leads the life of a true vānaprastha scholar whose entire time is spent in fruitful study and quiet research. Professor Handiqui shuns publicity and is shy of positions: vidyā vinayena sobhate. He is an academic Rṣi; and his dedication to learning should be an inspiring example for the younger generation. His detached pursuit of knowledge is characterised by generous instincts, rare indeed, these days. He is well-known for his munificent donations to literary and educational foundations in the

State of Assam. The authorities of the Granthamala are highly grateful to him for his gift of this edition to the Sangha.

Professor Handiqui's Translation of the Setubandha of Pravarasena is nearly complete, and would soon see the light of day. When published it will be another major contribution from his pen in the field of Indian classical studies.

Jabalpur, Kolhapur 1-2-1968

H. L. JAIN

A. N. UPADHYE

AUTHOR'S PREFACE

Somadeva's Yasastilaka was composed in 959 A. D. somewhere in the area corresponding to modern Dharwar and the westernmost districts of Hyderabad State. It is a Jaina religious romance written in Sanskrit prose and verse, but more important as an encyclopedic record of literary, socio-political, religious and philosophical data, valuable for the study of the cultural history of India, and particularly of the Deccan, in the tenth century and thereabouts, when the Raṣṭrakūṭa empire still held sway in that part of the country. The object of the present volume is a critical study of the work; and if we have often gone far outside the limits of the text, it was only to give a more comprehensive picture of the life and thought of the times with reference to antecedent and subsequent factors in Indian cultural development.

The Sanskrit text was published long ago by the Nirnaya Sagar press in two volumes of a little over a thousand pages with the commentary of Śrutasagara. The second volume of the work appeared in 1903, and the second edition of the first volume in 1916. A voluminous Jaina text composed in a difficult style could hardly be expected to be popular even with advanced students of Indian literature. To add to our difficulties, the commentary, which is our only guide to the work, breaks off at p. 244 of the second volume; and the printed text was found to be far too defective to admit of a critical study of the work.

To obviate these difficulties, I have utilized the following manuscripts of the text kindly lent by the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona: 1) Ms. A: No. 230 of 1902-07, folios 434; 2) Ms. B: No. 752 of 1886-92, folios 391; 3) Ms. C: No. 274 of A. 1883-84, folios 341; and 4) another incomplete manuscript of the work. Of these Ms. A is the most important. It is not only correct but contains valuable marginal notes which have been of great use in studying the text, specially the portion dealing with Jaina doctrines, on which the commentary is not available. Notes from Ms. A have been incorporated in the present work; and, here and there, a line or a verse omitted in the printed text has been added from the manuscripts in the footnotes. In fact, nearly all the quotations from Somadeva's Yaśastilaka in the present volume have been collated with the manuscripts, especially A.

I am grateful to Dr. A. N. Upadhye, Kolhapur, for his generous and voluntary offer to publish this work on behalf of the Jaina Samskiti Samraksaka Samgha of

Sholapur. The munificence of the Samgha has made the publication possible in a comparatively short time, but the work would not have appeared in a presentable form without Dr Upadhye's tireless efforts.

Dr. V. Raghavan of Madras University was kind enough to send me an offprint of his interesting paper Gleanings from Somadevasūri's Yašastilaka Campū published in Ganganatha Jha Research Institute Journal (February-August, 1944). Dr. Raghavan refers in his paper to the unpublished commentary of Śrideva on the text. It is a fragment of 34 leaves preserved in the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, and its existence was not known to me at the time of borrowing the manuscripst mentioned above.

I am indebted to my friend Prof. P. K. Gode, Curator, Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, for help in connection with manuscripts, and especially for carefully made copies of articles and papers otherwise inaccessible to me. The photographs of the sculptures relating to the ancient Jaina Stūpa of Mathura were a gift from Dr. Vasudev Agrawala when he was Curator of the Provincial Museum, Lucknow. The Saiva sculpture preserved in the Rajputana Museum, Ajmer, is reproduced in this book with the kind permission of the Archaeological Survey of India. My sincere thanks are due to Sj. Brajendra Kumar Acharya, Lecturer in Bengali, Cotton College, for compiling the General Index.

GAUHATI, April, 1949.

K K. HANDIQUI

AUTHOR'S PREFACE

(Second Edition)

The present edition is a reprint of the first edition published about twenty years ago. Circumstances have prevented me from undertaking a revision, but I have added supplementary notes incorporating the results of recent studies on the relations of the Lemulavada or Vemulavada Calukyas with Somadevasuri and the locality in which he wrote his masterpiece Yasastilaka and other works. A brief note on the Eastern Calukyan temples, based on Dr. M. RAMA RAO's interesting monograph on the subject, has also been added to supplement the information brought together in Appendix III.

The early publication of this edition is entirely due to the enthusiasm and keen interest of my esteemed friend Dr. A.N. UPADHYE. I offer my sincere thanks to Dr. B. RAMA RAJU of Hyderabad for sending me information about some of the places dealt with in the supplementary notes and drawing my attention to Sri. S. GOPALA-KRISHNA MURTHY'S monograph Jain Vestiges in Andhra.

K. K. Handiqui

Jorhat (Assam), 8-1-68

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

(I)

The Vemulavada Calukyas and Somadevasūri.

Recent studies have conclusively shown that the Calukya family during whose rule Somadeva lived and worked held the territory corresponding to Karimnagar district of former Hyderabad State, now included in Andhra. These chiefs were feudatories of the Raṣṭrakūṭas; and Yuddhamalla I, the founder of the family, has been assigned to about the middle of the eighth century. We are concerned here with the last three rulers Arikesarin II, Vadyaga or Baddega and Arikesarin III.²

Arikesarin II ruled in the second quarter of the tenth century, and was the patron of Pampa who wrote his famous poems Adipurāṇa and Vikramārjunavijaya in Kannaḍa in 941 A. D.³ According to the Parbhani plates, Arikesarin II was succeeded by his son Bhadradeva (II), also called Vadyaga, the father of Arikesarin III. Somadeva tells us in the colophon to his Yaśastilaka that he wrote the work in 959 A. D. during the reign of a prince who was the eldest son of Arikesarin (II), and who, as we have pointed out on p. 4, is variously called Vagarāja, Vadyarāja, and Vadyagarāja in the manuscripts. The name Vadyaga is considered to be a variant of Baddiga, which occurs as the name of an earlier chief in the genealogy given in the Parbhani plates, and appears also as Baddega in the Vemulavāḍa pillar inscription of Arikesarin II.4

Baddega is said to have built a Jina temple for his teacher Somadevasūri in an inscription on the pedestal of an image of Parsvanatha found at Vemulavada in

^{1.} See Venkataramanayya: The Chālukyas of L(V) emulavāda, Hyderabad, 1953; and specially N. Lakshminarayan Rao's paper The Family of Arikesarin, Patron of Pampa in the Quarterly Journal of the Mythic Society, Vol. XLV.

^{2.} There is a confusion in the genealogy given on p. 4 of this book. The last two names should be omitted.

^{3.} RICE: A History of Kanarese Literature, p. 30.

^{4.} Baddega is called Baddega III by RAO op. cit., obviously because among the earlier chiefs there was another Baddega and another Bhadradeva (same as Baddega, being a Sanskrit variant of the name). But the first Bhadradeva is not mentioned in the Vemulavada Pillar inscription, and if he did not actually rule, as seems probable, our Baddega might be called Baddega II.

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES xiii

Karimnagar district.⁸ This is confirmed by the Parbhani plates issued in 966 A. D. by his son Arikesarin III, which state that the village of Vanikatupulu^a was granted by him to Somadevasūri for the repairs and upkeep of a temple called Subhadhamajinalaya built by his father Vadyaga in the capital city of Lembulapataka, identified with the village of Lemulavada or Vemulavada mentioned above. Close to it was the prosperous town of Gangadhara where Somadeva says he composed his romance. The manner in which he refers to this town in the colophon to the work would seem to indicate that it enjoyed the bountiful patronage of the reigning chief Vadyaga or Baddega.⁷

Lembulapaṭaka⁸ figures also in the Vemulavāḍa pillar inscription of Arikesarin II, which records a grant of land in a corner of the city for the maintenance of a Sun temple built by Peddaṇārya, a high official (tantrapāla) in the service of the ruling chief. Three other temples dedicated to Śiva (Rājeśvara, Baddegeśvara and Nagareśvara) are mentioned in the record, which shows that the place was a stronghold of Śaivism. Even today Vemulavāḍa is an important centre of pilgrimage in Telingana.⁶

Arikesarin II seems to have been a follower of the orthodox religion, but he was catholic in his sympathies, as shown by the fact that his court poet Pampa was a Jaina and wrote on Jaina themes. His son and successor Baddega came under the influence of Somadevasūri, who is described in the Parbhani plates as being held in great esteem and veneration by kings and powerful feudatory chiefs. He not only built a temple in the capital for the great teacher but seems to have adopted the Jaina creed under his guidance. It is noteworthy that the Parbhani inscription issued by his son Arikesarin III opens with a verse which extols the glory and the beneficent mission of the Jaina faith.

- 5. RAO op. cit., p. 216; VENKATARAMANAYYA op. cit., p. 45.
- 6. This and some other villages are said to exist almost under the same names in Sirsilla tāluk of Karimnagar district. RAO op. cit., p. 223.
- 7. Pt. Premi's manuscript gives this portion of the colophon in a more correct form than the printed edition: श्रीमद्बद्यगराजस्य लक्ष्मीप्रवर्षमानवसुघारायां गंगधारायां. Rao (op cit., p. 223) says that Gangadhara still exists under the same name near Vemulavada. I am informed by Dr. B. Rama Raju of Hyderabad that it is in Jagtiyal taluk of Karimnagar district, ten miles from Vemulavada.
- 8. The Parbhani plates and the revised text of the Vemulavada pillar inscription of Arikesarin II in RAO op. cit. have -paṭaka. The text of the latter record reproduced by Venkataramanayya (p. 91) has -vāṭaka.
- 9. Several Śaiva temples are shown on the site plan of Lemulavada village in Ven-KATARAMANAYYA'S monograph.

The Jaina relics at Vemulavada have been surveyed, 10 but no trace exists of any Jaina structure or temple. The image of Parsvanatha with the inscription mentioned above may have once belonged to the Subhadhama temple built by Baddega. There are as many as a dozen figures of Jaina Tirthamkaras scattered in the precincts of the Hindu temples in the area besides a few other interesting Jaina sculptures. Vemulavada may have had more than one Jaina temple, and was in any case a notable centre of Jainism during the reigns of the last two Calukya chiefs in the third quarter of the tenth century. The rule of the Vemulavada Calukyas seems to have terminated with that of their overlords, the Rastrakūtas; and soon afterwards Telingana came under the sway of the Calukyas of Kalyani. Jainism may have flourished for some time more, but it is probable that with the loss of royal patronage it declined and ultimately disappeared from the area. It is in the heyday of its glory at Vemulavada that we see Somadevasūri as an honoured and influential exponent of the Jaina faith.

(II)

No attempt can be made here to fill the lacunae in Appendix III on the goographical distribution of the Saiva temples, but a reserence may be made to the Eastern Calukyan temples by way of illustrating the dominant position of Saivism in Andhra.11 Most of these temples are dedicated to Siva, and the more important ones are assigned to the ninth century, covering the reigns of Narendram garaja Vijayāditya (806-46), Gunaga Vijayāditya (848-891), and Cālukya Bhima 1 (892-922). The first king is said to have built one hundred eight Siva temples; while several such temples in the village of Bikkavolu in East Godavary district are attributed to the reign of Gunaga Vijayaditya. The next king Calukya Bhima I was a great He built three important temples known as Bhimesvara at Draksarama, a builder. township in Ramachandrapuram taluk of East Godavary district; at Calukya-bhimavaram, a village near Samalkot in the same district; and at Chebrolu in Bapatla taluk of Guntur district. Other Eastern Calukyan temples of the same period are the Ramalingesvara at Palakollu in West Godavary district; the Somesvara at Somarama (now Somavaram forming part of the village of Bhimavaram in the same district); and the Amaresvara at Amaravati on the southern bank of the Krishna in Guntur district. Most of these structures are two-storied and the shrine at Draksarama with its elaborate sculptures is the most famous among the temples of

^{10.} Murthy: Jain Vestiges in Andhra (Andhra Pradesh Government Archaeological Series No. 12). Hyderabad, 1963.

^{11.} See M. RAMA RAO: Eastern Cälukyan Temples of Andhra Desa (Andhra Pradesh Government Archaeological Series, No. 19). Hyderabad, 1964.

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES . YY

Andhra. The development of Eastern Calukyan temple architecture in the second half of the ninth century was coeval with the transition from the Pallava to the Cola style of temple building in the Tamil country during the reigns of Vijayalaya and Āditya I.

It is noteworthy that the temples named above with the exception of the one at Chebrolu are located at the five traditional Śaiva centres of Andhra, collectively known as Pańcarama namely, Dakṣarama (modern Drakṣarama), Kumararama (Calukya-bhimavaram), Kṣirarama (Pālakollu), Somarama (Somavaram) and Amararama (Amaravati). Of these Amaravati and Palakollu are popular centres of Śaiva pilgrimage.

(III)

It may be noted that some of the verses cited by Somadeva in the Yasastilaka in illustration of Buddhist doctrines (see pp. 188-9 & 457 of this book) are taken from the Pramāṇavārttiķa of Dharmakīrti (circa 600 A. D.). The two verses yah pasyaty ātmānam and ātmani sati parasanjīnā occur in the pramāṇa-siddhi chapter of that work (see Pramānavārttika with the commentary of Manorathanandin, pp. 86-7; and Pramānavārttikabhāsyam of Prajūākaragupta, pp. 146-7, Patna, 1953). The verse vedaprāmānyam kasyacit karttvādah occurs at the end of Dharmakīrti's own vrtti on the svarthanumana chapter of the above work. Karṇakagomin in his commentray on the vrtti, however, reads dhvastaprajūāne for prajūanam, and says pramānīvalambijūānam prajūānam, tad dhvastam yasmin jādye tattathoktam, nyāyānusārijūānarahita ityarthah. See Pramāṇavārttikam, p. 619, Allahabad edition.

(IV)

(Addendum by the General Editor: A. N. U.)

Lately an inscription is discovered on the hill Bommalagutta in the vicinity of the village Gangadharam (Gangadhara?) in the Karimnagar district of former Hyderabad State, now included in Andhra Pradesh. It was got carved by Jinavallabha, brother of Pampa. It is partly in prose and verses, and in three languages, Kannada, Sanskrit and Telugu. It gives good many details about Pampa, his parentage and provenance. Arikesari donated the village Dharmapura to Pampa when the latter completed his Kannada poem Vikramārjunavijaya (see p. 6 of this book and Note Iabove); and it is this event that is commemorated by Pampa's brother in this record. (See the Telugu Magazine Bhāratī for March 1967; Journal of the Karnatak University, Humanities No., Vol. XI 1967, pp. 73 f., 107f., also Kannada Nudi March 1968, pp. 9f.)

By the same Author

Pravarasena's Setubandha:
Translated from Prakrit into English with extracts from unpublished commentaries and an Introduction

In the press.

CHAPTER I

Somadeva and his Age

Two works of Somadeva are extant: Yasastilaka¹ and Nītivākyā-mṛta.² The former, called also Yasodhara-mahārāja-carita, deals with the pathetic story of Prince Yasodhara in prose and verse in eight Books called Āśvāsas. The latter work is a treatise on polity, divided into thirty-two chapters consisting of aphorisms on the various topics dealt with. Nītivākyāmṛta seems to have been written after Yasastilaka.

Somadeva gives a fair amount of information about himself at the end of his Yasastilaka. He belonged to an order of Jaina monks known as Devasamgha, and was the disciple of Nemideva who was the disciple of Yasodeva. The colophon to Nītivākyāmṛta tells us that Somadeva was the younger brother of Mahendradeva, and had the following honorific titles: Syādvādacalasimha 'A lion on the mountain of Syādvāda', Tārkika-cakravartin 'The Lord of the logicians', Vādībha-pañcānana 'A lion to the elephants, to wit, the disputants', Vākkallola-payonidhi 'An ocean of the waves of eloquence', and Kavikularāja 'The king of the poets'. We are also told that Somadeva was the author of Yasodhara-mahārāja-carita, Sannavati-prakarana, Mahendra-mātali-samjalpa and Yukticintāmanisūtra. There is some doubt about the title of the last work, as a manuscript of Nītivākyāmṛta written in Samvat 1290, and preserved in one of the Jaina Bhaṇdārs at Pattan, gives it as Yukticintāmanistava'.

In one of the concluding verses of Yasastilaka Somadeva tells us that the work was copied by a celebrated scribe named Racchuka, who was called Lekhaka-sikhāmaṇi, and whose calligraphy seems to have been utilized by the fair sex for their love-letters. All trace of this first copy of the original manuscript seems to have been lost.

i Kāvyamālā 70, Parts I & II, Bombay 1901.

² Māṇikachandra D. Jaina Granthamālā 22, Bombay 1922.

³ श्रीमानस्ति स देवसंघतिलको देवो यशःपूर्वकः, शिष्यस्तस्य बभूव सहुणनिधिः श्रीनेमिदेवाह्यः। तस्याश्चर्यतपःस्थितेकिन नवतेजेतुर्महावादिनां, शिष्योऽभूदिह सोमदेव इति यस्तस्यैष काध्यक्रमः॥ Yaśastilaka, part II, p. 418.

⁴ Descriptive Catalogue of Manuscripts in the Jain Bhandars at Pattan, Vol. I, p. 31.

⁵ विद्याविनोदनननासितहुन्धुकेन, पुरां व्यवेखि विरुसिक्षिप रच्छुकेन । श्रीसोमदेनरनितस्य यशोधरस्य, सहोकमान्य-गुणरक्षमधीधरस्य ॥ अपि च । यस्याक्षराविरुपीरविष्ठोचनाभिराकाह्ययते मदनशासनकैसनेषु । तस्यै विनेक्षिषु न यच्छति रच्छुकाय, को नाम केसक्षश्चिसामणिनामधेयम् ॥

Somadeva goes on to say that the Kävya, that is, Yasastilaka was composed in Saka 881 (959 A. D.), the cyclic year being Siddhartha, on the Madana-trayodasi day of the month of Caitra, when Krsnarajadeva was extending his sway at Melpāṭī, after having vanquished the Pāndya, Cola. Cerama (i. e. Cera), Ceylonese and other kings. Somadeva's statement is remarkable for its historical accuracy, as it is corroborated by the Karhād plates of the great Rastrakūta emperor Kṛṣṇa III, issued on the 9th March. 959 A. D., at Melpāţī, a few weeks earlier than the completion of Somadeva's Yasastilaka. The subject of the inscription is the grant of a village in Karahāṭa (Karhāḍ in Satara district) to a Saiva ascetic, but the record was issued at Melpāṭī (Melpāḍi in North Arcot district), where the emperor was encamped with his victorious army 'for establishing his followers in the southern provinces, for taking possession of the estates of the provincial chiefs and for constructing certain temples'. The inscription, like Somadeva. refers to Kṛṣṇa III's victories over the Colas and the kings of the Ceranma (i. e. Cera), Pāṇḍya and other countries as well as Ceylon, and tells us, besides. that he erected a pillar of victory at Ramesvara. The emperor states in the record that he issues the order, 'having established his victorious camp at Melpāti' (melpāti-samāvāsita-srīmadvijaya-katakena mayā).

The victory over the Colas was the most important, as Kṛṣṇa III is known to have occupied Toṇḍaimaṇḍalam (to the north of the Kāverī), and appears to have annexed the northern part of the Cola dominions to his empire, sometime after the decisive battle of Takkolam in 949 A.D., when the Cola crown-prince Rājāditya, the son of Parāntaka I, was killed on the battlefield by Kṛṣṇa's tributary and ally, the Gaṅga ruler Būtuga II. The Karhāḍ grant of 959 A.D. shows the Rāṣṭrakūṭa emperor in his camp at Melpāṭī at the close of his victorious southern campaigns. As a recent authority on Cola history says, "there can be no question that the effect on the Cola empire was ruinous, and that as a consequence of the blow in

^{1 &#}x27;ज्ञकनुषकालावीतसंबत्तरकातेष्वद्यवेकाशीत्विधिकेषु गतेषु (अद्भृतः ८८१) सिद्धार्थसंबत्तरान्तर्गतचैत्रमासमदनक्रयो-दश्यांमेन्पादीप्रवर्षमानराज्यप्रमाने अक्तिष्णराजदेवे सति ।'.

² Epigraphia Indica, Vol. IV, parts VI and VII. "The date of the grant was Wednesday, the thirteenth tithi of the dark fortnight of Phalguna of the cyclic year Kalayukta, the Saka year being 880 past (l. 56 f.)."

³ Ibid., p. 281.

⁴ Somadova says: पाण्ड्यासिङ्कनोक्क्वेरममञ्ज्ञीन् महीपतीन् प्रसाध्यः The inscription says: हृत्या दक्षिणदिग्जयोषतिथया चौकान्ययोग्मूकनं, तन्नूमि निकश्लवर्गपरितश्चेरन्मपाण्ड्यादिकान् । येनोचैः सह सिहलेन करदान् सन्मण्डकाधीन्यरान्, न्यस्तः कीर्तिकताकुरप्रतिकृतिस्तन्मश्च रामेश्वरे ॥

⁵ Altekar: The Rastraküsas and their times, p. 117 ff., Poons 1934.

the north, much of the south also slipped out of Parantaka's hands. empire was no more; it had to be built up all over again".1

It is interesting to note that the Melpati camp of Krana III is mentioned also by Puspadanta in his Mahapurana, a voluminous work in Apabhramsa verse on the lives of the sixty-three heroes (salakā-puruşas) of the Jaina faith, commenced in 959 A.D., that is, in the year of the completion of Somadeva's Yasastilaka, and finished in 965 A. D. Puspadanta says in hi**s Mahāpurāņa** 1. 3—

> उष्यद्वाह मूर्नगमीसु अवजेकराम राषाहिराट तं दीजविष्णभणकणयपयस्

तं कहिम पुराणु परिन्दुणाञ्च सिन्दुत्ववरिसि शुवनाहिराञ्च । वोडेप्पिष्ठ चोडहो तजढ सीलु । वर्षि भष्णप्र तिविशु महाजुभाव । महि परिभर्मत मेपाडिणवर ।

Puspadanta means to say that he commenced his Purana in the cyclic year Siddhartha (the same as that mentioned by Somadeva) when 'the lord of kings', Tudiga, explained in the gloss as Kṛṣṇarāja, was in the city of Mepādī, identified in the gloss with Melapāṭīya-nagara, that is, Melpāṭī, after having severed the Cola prince's head 'with the hair tied up'. This is obviously a reference to Rājāditya's death in the battle of Takkolam, the memory of which was still fresh in 959. Puspadanta describes the town of Melpati as 'rolling in festivities', and as a place where presents of money and gold had been given to the poor. It is natural to surmise that Kṛṣṇa III was celebrating his southern victories at Melpati that year, and the town was in a gay mood on account of the celebrations. The year 959 A.D. was indubitably a year of political and cultural importance in the history of the Dekkan, as it not only saw the consummation of Rastrakūta hegemony in the south, but the commencement and completion respectively of two monumental works of Indian literature.

Although Somadeva was a contemporary of Kṛṣṇa III, his work was not composed at Mānyakheta, the Rāṣṭrakūṭa capital, but at an obscure place called Gangadhara, which seems to have been the capital of a prince named Vagaraja, the eldest son of a Calukya chief named Arikesarin, a tributary of Krsnarāja.* The Arikesarin mentioned by Somadeva belonged to an obscure

¹ Nilakanta Sastri: The Colas, Vol. I, p. 162.

² Vaidya: Introduction to Jasaharacariu, p. 20, Poona 1931.

^{3 &#}x27;…श्रीक्रणराजदेवे सति तत्पादपक्षोपणीविनः…..चाळ्क्यक्रक्यम्मनः सामन्तप्रदामणेः श्रीमदिरकेतिरणः प्रथमपुत्रस सीमहागराजप्रवर्षमानवञ्चभारायां गङ्गबारायां विनिर्माणितमिदं कान्यमिति।' Yalastilaka, Book VIII, part II, p. 419. Ms. A reads appeared. This is omitted altogether in Mss. B and C. Ms. B, however, reads appetral, and in Ms. C appropriat seems to be corrected into serveret.

branch of the Cālukya dynasty, which ruled over a province called Jola, a portion of which is said to have been included in the modern district of Dhārwār in Bombay Presidency.¹ Nothing is known about Gangadhārā, but it seems to have been somewhere in or around Dharwar District. It may perhaps be identified with Gangawati in the south-western corner of Hyderabad State in Raichur District, quite near Dharwar. There is also a river named Gangawali in the North Kanara District south-west of Dharwar.²

As regards the prince during whose reign Somadeva composed his romance, there is some doubt about the reading of the name Vāgarāja found in the printed text of Yasastilaka. Of the manuscripts of the work used by me, the well-written and correct A reads Vāgarāja as in the printed edition, but mss. B and C read Vādyarāja, while a manuscript consulted by Pt. Nathuram Premi reads Vadyagarāja. The correct name, as we shall see, seems to be Baddiga of which Vādyarāja and Vadyagarāja are Sanskritized variations.

A copper plate inscription in Sanskrit recently found at Parbhani in Hyderabad State⁴ not only gives us a glimpse of Somadeva seven years after the composition of Yasastilaka but furnishes a genealogy of the feudatory Cālukya chiefs in whose territory he lived and worked. The genealogy of these tributaries of the Rāṣṭrakūṭas, hitherto known to us from the Kanarese Bhārata composed by the Jaina roet Pampa in 941 A. D.,⁵ is here carried to 966 A. D., the date of the inscription. The list of kings may be compiled as follows:

Yuddhamalla I, Arikesarin I, Narasimha I (+Bhadradeva), Yuddhamalla II, Baddiga I (defeated and captured Bhīma), Yuddhamalla III, Narasimha II, Arikesarin II (married a Rāṣṭrakūṭa princess named Lokāmbikā), Bhadradeva, Arikesarin III, Baddiga II (Vadyaga) and Arikesarin IV.

Of the kings mentioned Arikesarin II was the patron of Pampa who wrote his masterpieces in 941 A. D.; while Baddiga II or Vadyaga was the king during whose reign Somadeva completed his romance in the year 959 A. D., as recorded in the colophon. The inscription under discussion

¹ Bhandarkar: Early History of the Dekkan, third edition, p. 137.

² The Imperial Gazetteer of India, Vol. XXVI, Atlas, Plates 39 and 42.

³ Pt. Premi: Jaina Sahitya aura Itihasa p. 76, Bombay 1942.

⁴ Reproduced in the above work (p. 90 ff.) from the Journal of the Bharata Itihasa Samisodhana Mandala, Poons, Vol. XIII, No. 3, published in Marathi.

⁵ Bhandarkar: Barly History of the Dekkan, p. 137 and Altekar: The Rastrakutae and their times, p. 129.

records the grant of a village to Somadeva by Vadyaga's son Arikesarin IV in Saka 888 or 966 A. D. for the repairs and upkeep of a Jaina temple called Subhadhāma-jinālaya, built by Vadyaga in the capital (Lem)bulapāṭaka. The name of the village granted to Somadeva was Vanikaṭupulu.

It is clear from the above record that in 966 A. D. Somadeva was in charge of the Subhadhāma temple, and seems to have carried on his literary activities undisturbed, as a hitherto unknown work Syādvādopaniṣad is here attributed to him in addition to Yasodharacarita. He was held in the highest esteem by his contemporaries, and kings and feudatory chiefs are described as reverently bowing at his feet.

It may be noted in this connection that there are at least two puzzling factors in the inscription we are considering. Firstly, the Samgha to which Somadeva belonged is here called Gaudasamgha: Yasodeva, the guru of Somadeva's guru Nemideva, is assigned to this Samgha.4 But, as we have seen, Somadeva himself describes Yasodeva as belonging to the Devasamgha. Secondly, the capital of Arikesarin IV is stated to be (Lem)bulapataka, about which nothing definite is known, although it might be somewhere in Hyderabad State. It is noteworthy that we have so far the names of three capitals of the Calukya chiefs who ruled in the Jola territory. Arikesarin II, who was the patron of the famous Kannada poet Pampa, ruled at Puligere (mod. Lakshmesvar in Dharwar District); Vadyaga is described by Somadeva as ruling at Gangadhārā; and his son Arikesarin IV calls (Lem)bulapātaka his capital. It may also be noted that just as Arikesarin, the father of Vadyaga, is described by Somadeva as a humble tributary of Kṛṣṇarāja (Kṛṣṇa III), similarly the present inscription describes Arikesarin, the son of Vadyaga, as a tributary of the same overlord in exactly similar terms.6

While Somadeva was a contemporary of Kṛṣṇa III and Vadyaga, it is not clear whether either of them was his patron; probably he had no

3 'विरचिवता यहोश्रचरितस्य कर्ता स्याद्वादोपनिषदः कवि(विषे)ता चान्येषामपि सुभाषतानाम्".....

अखिलमहासाम(न्तसी)मन्तप्रान्तपर्यस्तोत्तंमलक्युरिमन्तरणः सक्तलविद्वज्ञनकणीवतंसीभवष्यशःपुण्डरीकः सूर्व इव सक्तलावनिष्यतां शिरःश्रेणिपु शिखण्डमण्डनायमानपादपष्योऽभूत ।.

5 Rice: Kanarese Literature, p. 80.

^{1 &#}x27;(हे) बुरुपाटकलामधेयिल बराजधान्यां निजिषतुः श्रीमद्वषास्य शुभवामिजिनालयास्यवस् (तेः) खण्डरफुटितनवसुधा-कर्नदिल निवेदार्थ शकाच्य्रेष्ट्रधाशास्त्रिकेष्ट्रशतेषु गतेषु …...तेन श्रीमदिकेसरिणा …श्रीमत्सीमदेवस्रये …...वि-कड्युकुनामा श्रामः …...दत्तः ॥'.

^{4 &#}x27;श्रीगीइसंघे मुनिमान्यकीर्तिनाम्चा बशोदेव इति प्रजन्ते ।' Pt. Premi (op. cit.) is inclined to identify this Gauda with the Gola or Golla kingdom of the South, mentioned in the Śravaņa Belgola Inscriptions.

^{6 &#}x27;स्वस्यकारुववेदवजीष्ट्रविवीवद्यममहाराजाभिराजपरमेश्वरपरमभद्वारकाशीमदमोषववेदवपादानुष्वातप्रवर्धमानविजयराज्यः श्रीक्रणराजदेवपादपत्रोपजीविचा ।'-

patron properly so called. Somadeva was a Jaina Ācārya and respectfully mentions his guru. He was, besides, a political thinker, and in his Nitivākyāmṛta pays homage to the state and not to any king.¹ It is, however, certain that he was intimately acquainted with court life, and may have passed some time in the Rāṣṭrakūṭa capital. The court life so minutely described by him in Book III of his Yasastilaka does not apply to a petty feudatory chief like that of Gaṅgadhārā, and can be true only of a sovereign of imperial status, who receives embassies from foreign courts, declares war against refractory kings, and has at his disposal regiments drawn from different parts of Hindustan.² Somadeva, the author of Nītivākyāmṛta, was a patriotic citizen of the Rāṣṭrakūṭa empire, and gave much thought to the principles of state-craft and the well-being of the state, and in his great romance he gives a picture of the imperial court, besides throwing sidelights on the problems of government affecting war and peace.

The tenth century, like its predecessor, was a flourishing period of Jaina literature in Sanskrit and Prakrit, and in Kanarese as well. Confining ourselves to the epoch of Somadeva, we may safely assume it to coincide with the reign of Kṛṣṇa III from 939 to 968 A. D., and within these limits we come across several distinguished names in the annals of scholarship and literature. In 941 the famous Kanarese poet Pampa wrote his two poems, Adipurāna, which relates the history of the first Tirthamkara, and Vikramarjuna-vijaya, which tells the story of the Mahābhārata, or rather that of Arjuna. About the year 950 Ponna, the second great Kanarese poet of the century, wrote his Santipurana, which relates the legendary history of the sixteenth Tirthamkara, under the patronage of Krsna III who gave the poet the honorific title of Ubhaya-kavi-cakravartin for his proficiency in Kanarese and Sanskrit. Quite at the beginning of the reign of Krsna III, Indranandin wrote in Sanskrit a work called Jvālāmālinī-kalpa dealing with a mystic fire-cult associated with the goddess Jvalamalini. The work was composed in 939 A. D. at Mānyakheta and refers to Kṛṣṇarāja.

Among the immediate contemporaries of Somadeva we come across two distinguished names: Puspadanta and Muñjārya Vādighanghala Bhaṭṭa. We have already referred to the former, who commenced his Mahāpurāṇa in 959 a. p. under the patronage of Kṛṣṇa III's minister Bharata, and wrote two other works, Jasaharacariu, which, like Somadeva's Yasastilaka, relates

^{1 &#}x27;अब भर्मार्थकामफलाय राज्याय नमः।'

² See below Chapters IV and V.

³ Rice: Kanarese Literature.

⁴ Hiralal: Catalogue of Sanskrit and Prakrit Manuscripte in C. P. and Berar, p. XXX.

light visite

the story of Yasodhara, and Ndyakumāracariu or the story of Nāgakumāra. both under the patronage of Bharata's son and successor Nanna. danta wrote in Apabhramsa verse, and is one of the most important Jaina poets in that language so far discovered; and his prodigious literary activity bears witness to the flourishing condition of Apabhramsa literature in the tenth century. Harişena who wrote his Dharmaparikeā in Apabhramsa in 988 A. D. mentions three distinguished Apabhramsa poets: Puspadanta, Svayambhu and Caturmukha; and Puspadanta himself refers to Svayambhu and Caturmukha in his Mahapurana 1.9. The works of Svayambhu-Paimacariu and Ritthanemicariu—are available in manuscript, and his son Tribhuvana Svayambhu was also a poet and made substantial additions to his father's poems. Svayambhu may be assigned to the eighth or ninth century, as he mentions Ravisena, the author of Padmacarita (seventh century), in his Paimacariu, and is himself mentioned by Puspadanta. Caturmukha is earlier than Svayambhu, as the latter mentions him in his Ritthanemicariu and other works. It is also noteworthy that a number of other Apabhramsa poets is cited by Svayambhu in another work of his. a treatise on Prosody called Svayambhu-chanda. There was thus a welldefined literary tradition in Apabhramsa in and before Somadeva's time: and there is no doubt that he was to some extent influenced by the prevailing current of Apabhramsa poetry, as he has used various Apabhramsa metres with considerable skill in a number of verses of his Yasastilaka. The use of Apabhramsa metres in Sanskrit verse is a novel experiment on the part of a writer who sets out to write classical Sanskrit in the style of Subandhu and Bana, but it shows the wide range of Somadeva's literary equipment and his interest in the vernacular literature of the time. Further, in spite of the divergent character of the works of Somadeva and Puspadanta, they seem to have occasionally drawn on common sources for some at least of the literary material handled by them. The story of Yasodhara itself is one such example; while the tale of Jamadagni and the two birds, and that of Śrībhūti and Bhadramitra related by Somadeva as independent stories in Yasastilaka, Books VI and VII', occur in Puspadanta's Mahāpurāna (LXV. 13 ff. and LVII. 7 ff.) as part of a larger scheme of Jaina religious and mythological stories.

¹ For details see the Introductions to the critical editions of these works. The first two are edited by Dr. Vaidya and the third by Prof. Jain.

² Annals of the B. O. R. I., XXIII, 592-608; Pt. Premi's Jaina Sähitya aura Itihäsa, p. 326.

³ चच्छा सथंत्र सिरिहरिस दोणु णालोहन कह ईसाणु बाणु ।

⁴ See Pt. Premi's article on Svayambhu in his Jaina Sāhitya aura Itihāsa.

⁵ See below Chapter VII.

⁶ See below Chapter XVI.

Munjaryya Vadighanghala Bhatta is known to us from the Kudlur plates of the Ganga king Marasimha, dated 963 A.D. Marasimha was a tributary of Krsna III, and the grant of a village to Vädighanghala Bhatta by the former forms the subject of the inscription, which eloquently describes the great Jaina teacher's attainments and influence. Vadighanghals. Bhatta was a distinguished grammarian and seems to have propounded a system of grammar on a sure and sound basis.* He was an expert in Lokayata, Sāmkhya and Buddhist philosophy as well as Vedic interpretation, a great master of Jaina doctrine, and an eminent poet. He was intimately connected with the Ganga king Bütuga II and Krana III and the Rastrakuta capital. We are told that his faultless and eloquent elucidation of literature (sāhityavidyā) made Ganga-Gangeya (Būtuga II), 'a cuckoo in the pleasure-garden of learning', his pupil. He was honoured by the learned men of Vallabharaja's capital, who were enlightened by his exposition of all branches of political science, and Vallabharāja seems to be no other than Kṛṣṇa III who is called Vallabhanarendradeva in the Karhād grant, and Vallabhanarendra and Vallabharāya in Puspadanta's works. Krsna is, moreover, explicitly mentioned in the inscription, which declares that Kṛṣṇarājadeva, who with his tributaries honoured the master, conquered the regions by acting upon his counsel, which was 'sound in relation to the present as well as the future'. It would thus appear that while Somadeva was preoccupied with the theoretical principles of state-craft, Vadighanghala Bhatta played the role of a practical statesman and acted as a political adviser to the Rastrakūta emperor. The glory and achievements of the empire seem to have opened new vistas before the thinking men of the age, and persons like Somadeva and Vadighanghala Bhatta, who in other times would probably have confined themselves to literature or speculative thought, took a deep interest in matters of vital importance to the state. The disruption of the Rastrakuta empire after Kṛṣṇa III must have been a rude shock to cultural possibilities in the Dekkan.

¹ Text and translation in Annual Report of the Mysore Archaeological Department for 1921.

^{2 &#}x27;निःसंशयनिर्विवाद न्युत्पादितन्याकरणप्रकारः' lines 159, 160.

^{3 &#}x27;वेदार्वविचारचारुभिषणः' line 162.

^{4 &#}x27;यस निरवधताहित्सविधान्यास्थाननिषुणिवणानुगुणवाणीविश्वेवातिशयच्छात्रीभृतसक्रविधाविनोदारामकक्रकण्ठगङ्गगान्नेयभूपस्य, सक्रुराजविधाप्रतिपादनप्रतिवुद्धवोधप्रवोधितवक्षभराजकृदकानेकविद्धव्यनोपजनितपूजाप्रकृदीकृतमिक्षिनेक्रातिमह्नीयविधाविभवस्य', lines 165–169.

⁵ विष्ठक्षारिद्वरमहत्रासु Jasaharacariu 1. 1. 3; विष्ठहरायमहित्तरण Nayakumāracariu 1. 3. 2.

⁶ तदात्वायतिसुघटमञ्जकमोपदेशानुष्ठानवज्ञीकृताखिळदिगङ्गनासरमससंमोगसुखसंपञ्जकृष्णराजदेवविद्वितवचनसंमावनाम-मावोपनतसक्रमण्डलिकसामन्तसंततिमसर्खा', lines 169-171.

Two important Jaina poets Vadiraja and Vadibhasimha are said to be Somadeva's disciples. Srutasagara in his commentary on Yasastilaka 2, 126 quotes a statement which represents Somadeva as saying that both Vadiraja and Vadibhasimha are his disciples.1 The statement cannot, however, be traced in the two extant works of Somadeva, and neither Vadiraja nor Vādībhasimha claims Somadeva as his guru in any of their works. Vādirāja says at the end of his Parsvanathacarita, a poem in 12 cantos, that his guru is Matisagara; besides, he belonged to the Nandisangha, while Somadeva belonged to the Devasamgha. Similarly, Vādībhasimha in his prose romance Gadyacintāmaņi 1.6 gives expression to his deep obligations to his auru Puspasena. On the other hand, it is not chronologically impossible for Vādirāja and Vādibhasimha to be regarded as disciples of Somadeva. Vādirāja, according to his own statement, wrote his Pārsvanāthacarita in Saka 947 (1025 A. D.) during the reign of the Western Calukya king Jayasimha II who ruled from 1015 to 1042 A.D. As regards Vadibhasimha. he as well as Vādirāja is mentioned in the Belgāmve grant of Jayasimha II, dated 1036 A. D., which describes a Saiva savant named Vadi-Rudraguna as having defeated in argument Vādībhasimha, Vādirāja and other scholars; and the great king Rajaraja mentioned at the end of Vadibhasimha's poem Kşatracüdāmaņi might very well refer to the Cola king Rājarāja the Great who ruled from 985 to 1014 A.D. It will be thus seen that Vadiraja and Vadibhasimha flourished in the first quarter of the eleventh century; and assuming Śrutasagara's statement to be correct and genuine, they may be regarded as having been Somadeva's disciples during their boyhood. But, nevertheless, it is strange that they should be so completely silent about their early guru Somadeva.

The epoch of Somadeva was preceded and followed by a considerable output of Jaina literature in various parts of India. Among his predecessors, from the beginning of the ninth century to the early part of the tenth, we find such names as Vīrasena (author of the Dhavalā commentary and part of the Jayadhavalā), Jinasena (completed the Jayadhavalā and wrote Adipurāna and other works), Gunabhadra (author of Uttarapurāna and Ātmānusāsana), the Jaina Šākatāyana, Vidyānanda (author of Astasahasri, Tattvārthaslokavārtika etc.), Siddharşi (author of Upamitshavaprapaācā tathā), and Harişena (author of Kathākosa) and others; while among his immediate successors, from about the last quarter of the tenth century to the first quarter of the eleventh, we find Kanarese writers like Cāmuṇḍa-

स कादिराजोऽपि मीसोमदेवाचार्यस सिष्यः। 'वादीमसिहोऽपि मवीयशिष्यः' मीवादिराजोऽपि मदीवशिष्यः' इस्युक्तसाच ।
 800 bolom Chapter XIII.

³ू राजतां राजराजोड्यं राजराजो महोदयैः । तेजसा वयसा **श्**रः **श**णसूदायणिश्चेषः ॥

rāya (wrote in prose Cāmundarāya-purāna), Ranna (wrote Ajitapurāna and Gadāyuddha), and Nāgavarma (author of the Kanarese version of Bāna's Kādambart); philosophical writers like Nemicandra Siddhāntacakravartin (wrote in Prākrit Gōmmaţasāra, Dravyasamgraha and other works) and Prabhācandra (author of Nyāyakumudacandra and Prameyakamalamārtanḍa'); and poets and scholars like Vādirāja (wrote Pārsvanāthacarita, Kākutsthacarita and Yasodharacarita), Vādibhasinha (wrote Gadyacintāmani and Ksatracāḍāmani), Dhanapāla (author of Tilakamañjarī), Amitagati (author of Subhāṣitaratnasamdoha, Dharmaparīkṣā and other works), Asaga (author of Vardhamānacarita), Mahāsena (author of Pradyumnacarita), Vīranandin (author of Candraprabhacarita), and perhaps Kanakāmara (wrote Karakaṇḍacariu in Apabhranéa); and other writers like the grammarian Dayāpāla (author of Rūpasiddhi and contemporary of Vādirāja).

While Somadeva made substantial contributions to Jaina religious literature, his literary importance and achievement go beyond its narrow limits; and the value of his work can be assessed in relation to Sanskrit literature as a whole. He is one of the most versatile talents in the history of Indian literature, and his masterpiece Yasastilaka reveals the manifold aspects of his genius. He is a master of prose and verse, a profound scholar with a well-stocked memory, an authority on Jaina dogma, and a critic of contemporary philosophical systems. He is a close student of the art of government, and in this respect his Yasastilaka and Nitivākyāmṛta supplement each other. He is a redactor of ancient folktales and religious stories, and at times shows himself an adept in dramatic dialogue. Last but not least, he is a keen observer of men and manners. The position of Somadeva is, indeed, unique in Sanskrit literature.

Despite the fact that Somadova's reputation rests on a prose romance and a treatise on polity, he was primarily a Jaina theologian; and nearly half of Yasastilaka and presumably the lost works are devoted to the defence and exposition of the tenets of the Jaina faith. He acknowledges the fact himself and would have us believe that his poetry was a byproduct of his philosophical studies. He tells us in one of the opening verses of Yasastilaka that just as a cow yields milk by eating grass, similarly his intellect produced the beautiful utterances of his poetical composition by feeding on the dry logical studies, to which he had devoted himself since his childhood. Tarka or philosophical argumentation was Somadeva's true vocation, and his honorific titles Tarkikacakravartin and Vadibhapañonana point to the fact that he, like many intellectuals of his age, spent a good

¹ See Introduction (in Hindi) to Nyayakumudacandra, p. 121, Bombay 1988.

अजन्मसमस्वसाम्ब्राणासका पृणादिक ममास्वाः । मतिहर्ग्वरभवदिवे व्यक्तिवनः हङ्गतिना पुण्यैः ॥.

deal of his energy in engaging in controversy influential disputents belonging to rivel faithe. This was, in fact, a trait of the times, as can be seen from such peculiar but significant names as Vādirāja, Vadibhasimha, Vādigharatta, Vädighanghala, Paravädimatla, Vädikolähala etc., found among the Jains writers and scholars of the age. That this was a practice common to all the schools is evident from I-tsing's eloquent description of it in his account of education in India towards the end of the seventh century. "When they are refuting heretic doctrines", says the Chinese traveller. "all their opponents become tongue-tied and acknowledge themselves undone. Then the sound of their fame makes the five mountains (of India) vibrate, and their renown flows, as it were, over the four borders." "They oppose the heretics as they would drive beasts (deer) in the middle of a plain, and explain away disputations as boiling water melts frost. In this manner they become famous throughout Jambudvipa (India), receive respect above gods and men, and serving under the Buddha and promoting His doctrine, they lead all the people (to Nirvana)."1

The Prasasti verses of Nitivalyamita emphasize Somadeva's activity as a controversialist, and proclaim his superiority to all prospective disputants. One of the verses, for instance, asks a disputant how he dares argue with Somadeva, not being an Akalanka in argumentation nor a Hamsasiddhantadeva in the knowledge of traditional lore nor a Püjyapada in eloquence.

सफलसमयतकें नाकलक्षोऽसि वादी न भवसि समयोकी इंससिद्धान्यदेवः। न च वचनविकासे प्रवपादोऽसि तस्तं वदसि कथमिदानीं सोमदेवेन सार्वास्

The final verse trumpets Somadeva's eloquence which strikes terror into the hearts of all disputants, and claims that even Brhaspati cannot held his own in argument with him.

While these boasts and eulogies are of a conventional character, they reveal one aspect of Somadeva's intellectual equipment; and he was perhaps as assiduous in his polemical activity as any of his contemporaries. This, however, should not blind us to the fact that poetry and literature must have made heavy claims on his time and intellectual effort, as the composition of an extensive work like *Yasastilaka* in prose and verse was bound to presuppose long and eareful preparation, involving laborious study of the secular branches of study and fervent devotion to the art of poetry. His statement that he had studied Tarka since his childhood shows that he began his career as a student of logic, metaphysics and allied subjects,

¹ A Record of the Buddhiet Religion by I-Tsing. Trans. by Takaknen, pp. 178, 181.

वर्षान्थनोश्युवसिन्धुद्रसिंदनादे नादितिपोदलनदुर्भरतानिन्दादे । बीसोमदेवमुनिपे नन्तनारसाने, कामीवन्दोऽपि पुरतोऽस्ति ज वादकाले !!

and seems to have taken to poetry late in his life. But his devotion to his second love was no less sincere, and apparently he came to realise that poetry was an exacting mistress. As he says at the beginning of his work,

नितां विद्रवसि वास्तरसं रूमस्स सर्वेन्द्रियार्धमसमयैविधि विश्वत्ते । वेतम्र विश्वमयसे कविते विश्वाचि कोकसाथापि स्कृती स्ववस्त्रप्रदेण ॥ 1. 41.

'Thou Muse, thou evil genius, thou dost dismiss sleep, hinder delight in the Sastras, reduce all the senses to impotence, and bewilder the mind. Yet men are lucky if they obtain thy favour.'

The combination of Tarka and Poetry, so prominent in the case of Somadeva, is not an isolated phenomenon in Indian literary history. Śriharşa, the author of Naiṣadhacarita and Khandanakhandakhādya, is a classic example of this tendency, which is also observed occasionally in lesser known poets. We may refer, for example, to Trailokya who flourished in Kashmir in the first half of the twelfth century, and is mentioned by Mańkhaka in Srikanthacarita 25. 65, 66. It is noteworthy that Mańkhaka compares Trailokya to Tutātita or Kumārila, who is also supposed to have been a Tārkika as well as a Kavi, although no poem composed by him has come down to us.

It may be safely assumed that Yasastilaka was the product of that period of Somadeva's life when his poetic power had reached its full maturity. The author makes certain claims for his work which will bear the scrutiny of investigation.

First, he says that he composed his work without aid from any source and without any model before him, and therefore compares it to a gem produced by the ocean.

मारायमापूर्व रवं स्वाक्तादिव। मतः काव्यविदं जातं सर्व हृद्यमण्डम्म् ॥ 1. 14.

The claim of originality, like all such claims, may be admitted only in a limited sense. Somadeva cannot claim any originality regarding the plot, but there are some novel features in regard to the form and contents of the romance, which distinguish it from other versions of the story of Yasodhara and, indeed, from other prose romances extant in Prakrit or Sanskrit. It may be added that the claim of originality is in conformity with Somadeva's idea that a poet should rely upon his own efforts and not imitate or borrow from others. He declares that the poet, who keeps before him the works of his predecessors and consults them again and again and expresses himself in the same manner or differently, is a plagiarist ('poetry thief') and a sinner.

विदेशि तर्ककार्करये प्रगरमः कविकारीण । यः श्रीतुतातितस्त्रेत् पुनर्जन्मान्तरप्रहः ॥
तं श्रीत्रेकोस्यमाकोस्य गण्यं सत्कारिणां धृतः । यथौ सुद्धरिष्ठयस्य कार्युकस्य सम्मेतान् ॥
Jonaraja romarks in his commentary : त्रतातितः क्रमारिकः । स वि तार्किकः कविकासीय ।

कृत्या कृतीः पूर्वभूताः प्रत्यात् प्रवादरं ताः प्रवरीक्षमानः । सर्वेष भूववेद्य बोडन्यका या स कान्यकोरोड्स स पातकी च ॥ 1, 18.

It is, however, conceded that occasional similarity with other writers in ideas or expression cannot detract from the merits of a poet who is not in the habit of looking into the works of others.

इतीः परेवासविकोकमानसादुक्तिवकापि कविने हीनः । 1. 12.

Somadeva's theory of poetical self-sufficiency is no doubt exaggerated and belied by literary history, but we may be certain that he relied mainly upon his own resources in the composition of his encyclopedic work.

Secondly, Somadeva says that he who has the curiosity to go through his work can avail himself of poetic utterances, appropriate dicta, and the tenets of all the S'astras.

This is not an empty boast or arrogant self-assertion. Poetic merits apart, Yasastilaka is, indeed, a storehouse of information concerning the tenets of various Sastras and schools of thought; and this aspect of the work conforms to the theory of Vyutpatti held by Somadeva himself and the writers on poetics. Somadeva tells us that there is one type of Kāvya, 'sweet to the ears' and eloquent with descriptions, and another type which charms the heart, being replete with meaning: no wise man will find fault with either of these, but proper and fit is that kind of composition which contributes to the all-round syutpatti or scholarly instruction of the author himself and others.

किंचित् कान्यं श्रवणशुभगं वर्णनोदीर्णवर्णं, किंचिद्वाच्योचितपरिचयं हस्रमःकारकारि । श्रवास्त्रीत् क इह सुक्रती किंतु गुक्तं ततुकं, यहतुत्याने सकळविषये स्वस्य चान्यस्य च स्वात् ॥ 1. 16.

The Vyutpatti mentioned by Somadeva has two aspects. The Vyutpatti of the poet is his scholarly training; and the idea of it appears in a systematic form in most writers on poetics, who lay stress on the importance of Vyutpatti as a supplementary discipline reinforcing Sakti or Pratibhā, or natural genius; while, among poets, Mankhaka emphasizes the value of Vyutpatti or Pāṇditya in his S'rīkanthacarita (2.5, 27, 45-48). Certain writers, e. g., Manmata, and especially Rājasekhara¹ who is closely followed by Hemacan-

Rajasekhara in Kāvyamīmāmisā, chap 8, envisages a wide range of intellectual equipment for a poet, and enumerates twelve recognised sources of poetry: Śruti; Smṛti; Itihāsa; Purāṇa; Pramāṇavidyā or the philosophical systems; Samayavidyā or sectarian systems like those of the Śaivas, the Pāñcarātras, and the Buddhists, popularly called Āgama; the three Rājasiddhāntas consisting of Polity, Erotics, and Dramaturgy; Loka or a knowledge of the world, its geography and customs; Viracanā or fanciful stories and conceits; and Prakīrnaka or miscellaneous subjects like the science of clephants, the Dhanurveda, the science of gems, treatises on Yoga etc.

dra, and Vägbhata, the author of Kävyänusäsena, and Ksemendra, in his Kavika; thäbharana, who employs the term Paricaya for Vyutpatti, dearly enumerate the various branches of learning, with all or some of which the poet is expected to show his acquaintance. Considered from this standpoint, Somadeva's statement that his Kävya is a repertory of all the Sästras is corroborated to a large extent by an examination of the contents of the work, and there are few works in Kävya literature which fulfil the conditions of Vyutpatti so completely as Somedeva's Yasasilaka.

The Vyutpatti of 'others', that is, of the readers of a poem refers to their instruction in the topics of the Sastras, so that a Kavya is viewed as a kind of introduction to the learned branches of study. Somadeva's view of this aspect of Vyutpatti may be correlated with the opinion of Bhāmaha, who says in his Kāvyālankāra (chap. V) that the Sastras are, as a rule, difficult to understand and shunned by the untalented, who, however, enjoy them when mixed with the sweet potion of poetry, just as people take an unpalatable dose of medicine after tasting honey. In other words, the sastric pill is to be sugared with poetry for the benefit of those who are unable to swallow it as it is. The difficult topics of the Sastras should be made interesting and popular through poetry, and this the poet can do by expounding or referring to them in the course of his Kävya. Bhāmaha, accordingly, goes on to say that there is no topic-no word, no meaning, no principle of logic, and no art or science—which does not serve as an element in poetical composition, and the poet's burden is undoubtedly great.2 It will be thus seen that the idea that a Kāvya should be a medium of instruction for its readers was prevalent long before the tenth century, and this idea no doubt greatly influenced the scope and composition of Somadeva's Yasastilaka.

Somadeva speaks of the great transmuting power of poetry. The true poets are those whose words make familiar things unfamiliar and unfamiliar things familiar.

त प्र कवयो क्षोक्षे येथां वचनगोषाः। सपूर्वोऽपूर्वतामधौ याद्यपूर्वः सपूर्वताम् ॥ 1. 25.

This somehow reminds us of certain lines of Wordsworth on the contemplation of Nature:

Familiar things and awful, the minute And grand, are destined here to meet.....

शबिण दुर्वोधतया शासाद् विश्यसमेशसः। तदुपच्छन्दनायैव हेतुन्यायस्त्रोखवः॥ स्वादुक्तन्यरस्रोत्मिनं शासायन्त्रपः युक्तते। प्रथमालीसमध्यः पिवन्ति कदु नेपस्य ॥.

व स शब्दों न तदाक्यं न स न्यायों न सा कला । जायते यह काव्याहमहो भारों महान् करें। ॥

³ Herbert Read: Wordsworth, p 193. The lines occur in the first draft of a passage intended as an alternative for some lines in Book VIII of the Prelude.

But it is obviously the genius of the poet, working on a broader envas, that makes the familiar awful and the awful familiar.

Somadeva asserts that even the animals are thrilled with joy when they hear the utterances of a good poet. This is an exaggeration, but he may have in mind the musical effect of lyric poetry.

The question of appreciation of poetry is considered by Somadeva from the standpoint of poets in several verses. The poets are said to be fond of people who may not be learned, but who can grasp the trend of the spoken word. In other words, learning is not necessary for the appreciation of poetry: the excellence of gold is, for instance, demonstrated by the touchstone which is of inferior value. The ability of laymen to judge the merits of poetry, although they are not poets themselves, is set forth by citing the example of the man who can discern the flavour of sweets while eating, although he is ignorant of the process of boiling sugar. As for princes, poetical efforts are useless when a king lacks in judgment; it is no use putting rich fare before an animal who feeds on grass.4 The populace also lacks in judgment and delights in a poem, simply because it is reputed to be good; it often happens that literary works are held in esteem solely on account of their being accepted as good by others, just as a woman acquires a reputation for beauty when she becomes someone's mistress. In matters affecting poetry, one should appeal to the judgment only of those who, like the ocean, 'keep within' (i. e. bear in mind) what is good, and throw out what is bad; that is, the true critic is one who appreciates the merits of a poem, ignoring the defects. Further, a thing ought to be judged on its own merits and not in relation to a type to which it does not belong; it is futile to look for the sheen of gold while testing silver. In other words, poetry must be judged as poetry, and the critic must not expect to find in it what does not properly fall within the province of poetry. Those who are blind to merits, being intent on fault-finding, and try to pass off blemishes as merits are unfit to study poetry: being the enemies of the goddess of learning, they have indeed no right to study it. Finally, it is useless to argue whether any one

¹ ता एव सक्तवेवीचिस्तरकामि याः सताः । भवन्सानम्दनिष्यन्दामन्दरीमाञ्चहेतवः ॥ 1. 26.

अबुवेडप्युक्तियुक्तिवे कवीनामुत्सवो मद्दान् । गुणाः कि न मुवर्णस्य न्यन्यन्ते निकवीगृहे ॥ 1. 28.

अवस्तानि स्ववं क्लोकः कामं काव्यपरीक्षकः । रसपाकानभिक्षोद्धिय भोक्ता वेति न कि रसम् ॥ 1.29.

⁴ वृक्षा वृक्षः असः सर्वो निविचारे नरेयरे । प्राच्यभोज्यविभिः कः स्यासुणस्वादिनि देहिनि ॥ 1. 30.

अञ्चलकिरी गण्याः प्रायेणान्यपरिप्रहात् । स्वयं विचारश्चन्यो हि प्रसिद्धणा रज्यते जनः ॥ 1. 32.

⁷ आस्मस्थितेषंस्तु विचारणीयं न जातु जालन्तरसंभयेण । दुवंगीनिवंगीविधी तुवानी सुवर्णवर्णस्य सुवानुबन्धः ॥ 1. 37.

उपेषु वे दोषमनीवयान्या दोवाम् गुणीकर्द्वमवेशवे वा । भोतं कवीनां वचनं न तेऽशीः सरकारीद्रोसिषु कोऽधिकारः ॥

is a poet or not, since in the ultimate resort the ears and minds of the readers are capable of discerning the true nature of the spoken word and its significance respectively.1

Somadeva exploited to the full the resources of the Sanskrit language, and has an undisputed claim to rank as a classical writer; but he could not altogether escape the influence of Jaina literary usage in handling Sanskrit prose and verse. A glaring instance is his use of imail for ebhil, a Prākritism found also in some other Jaina Sanskrit writers. He writes also kleśa-bhājanah more than once (e. g., in 3. 888 and towards the end of Book VI), which is clearly against classical usage. A serious grammatical mistake occurs in 3. 480.

सुर्विवध्यानिकासियं अवदाकृतिः। अवस्ताने चन्त्रोकोवस्थान्द सुदे सदा ॥
Here śrayat, although it forms part of a Bahuvrihi compound, is made to govern śriyam. The editors of the N. S. edition of Yaśastilaka propose to read 'cchaviśraya', but not only the printed text but the three manuscripts used by me have the incorrect form. An instance of loose construction is kīnāśa-kelim anavāpta-dhiyah in 2. 130. The commentator explains the phrase by supposing prati to be understood between the two compounds: क्षेत्रकृति वसकीटां प्रति अनवासियः अप्रसद्धयः वादन्यरणं नावासीत्यर्थः Such irregularities are, however, few and far-between, and perhaps negligible considering the bulk of the work.

One of the most conspicuous characteristics of Somadeva as a writer is his use of numerous rare and unfamiliar words, many of which are not found elsewhere in Sanskrit literature. The command of vocabulary makes Yasastilaka an exceptionally fruitful source of Sanskrit lexicography, but the use of far-fetched words sometimes gives a pedantic air to the work. Somadeva is not, indeed, the word-hunter ridiculed by Athenaeus⁴; he is rather the learned researcher who tries to bring into use obsolete words. As a matter of fact, he distinctly refers to this aspect of his literary effort, when he says at the end of Book V that he has resuscitated words that had been swallowed by the crooked monster of Time.

While Somadeva thus claims to have rescued long-forgotten words from oblivion, he also states that he has recovered words lying hidden at the bottom of the ocean of the Sastras, and that with these genss of words he has made an ornament for the Goddess of speech.

¹ अयं कविनेय कविः किमन हेतुमञ्जक्तः कृतिभिविषेया । श्रीतं मनशान यतः समवे वाग्यैयो क्यनिक्ष्यधान ॥ 1. 89.

² बिहितानन्यमहोत्सनः कुलवपूर्वीतप्रसाधिरमैः। 2. 229 क्ष्मं बेटोन्सिरमैः स्कारेत विश्वासपामहे Book IV, p. 118.

³ See Jacobi's Preface to Upamitibhavaprapanca Katha, p. XX.

⁴ Deipnosophistas, III. 98.

पंत्रुक्त साम्राज्येतिको विवदीः प्रयोगतिहेन विरायभिवाससीः । या लोगदेवविषुणा विद्विता विश्वृता वान्देवता बहुत संगति तामवर्तास् ॥

In the latter verse Somadeva evidently refers to the unfamiliar words and the technical terms of the Sastras which he has used in his Kavya. This was, in fact, a trait of Kavya literature, especially of the later period, but Somadeva seems in this respect to have gone further than any other writer of the age.

Somadeva, like Bhavabhūti, sometimes gives expression to a sense of over-confidence in his own powers, and claims something like a monopoly of poetical talents (End of Book IV).

मना वान्यंसंबारे सुके सारस्वते रसे। कवयोऽन्ये अविध्यन्ति न्तसुष्टिसभोजनाः ॥
In another place he says that if there are any honest people efficient in the art of poetry and the knowledge of the world, they should make it a point to study the utterances of the poet Somadeva.

होकविषे कविषे वा पदि चार्षपेश्वयः । सोमदेवकपेः स्कीः समस्यसम् सायवः ॥ 3. 513. That Somadeva himself was conversant with the art of poetry as well as the way of the world, that he was a kavi as well as a lokavid, a shrewd observer of contemporary society, is apparent from his works, and that is the highest compliment we can pay him. That this was considered high praise even in those times is shown by the fact that Jinasena in his Adipurāņa I. 56 attributes these qualifications to his guru Virasena, the celebrated author of the Dhavalā commentary.

Somadeva's self-assertion is in marked contrast to the modesty of an author like Siddharsi, who wrote his great allegorical romance about half a century before Yasastilaka was written. But it is noteworthy that in the opening verses of Yasastilaka Somadeva makes only modest claims in behalf of his work. He begins by saying that there is nothing that has not been visualized by the all but omniscient poets of old; and it is a miracle when a present-day poet, however sharp in intellect he may be, happens to make any utterance comparable to theirs (1.11). As regards his own Kāvya, he opines that it will create fun among the wicked, but will contribute towards the intellectual growth of the wise, while those who are impartial will not remain silent about the work. He hopes that the wise, whose sensibility has been made extremely dull by partaking of the excessive sweetness of

Bartha Bartha Charles State Carlo

[ी] क्रीक्षित क्रिक्त च स्थित अहारके हवम् । वारिमता वारिमती यस्य बाचा बाबरगरेरपि ॥

^{3.} In 908 a. p. See Jacobi's Preface to his ed. of Upamilibhavaprapañeā kathā, p. xxi.

^{ें} सर्वेशकरें। कविभिः प्रशासीरविक्षितं वस्तु किमस्ति संगति । ध्यंतुगीनस्तु कुशाशवीरवि प्रवक्ति वस्तताहसं स विभावः ॥ श

the works of the meritorious poets, might have a liking for the utterances of poets like himself, just as they would relish Neem leaves after excessive indulgence in sweets (1. 22-3).

हुर्जनानां विनोदाय हुषानां मतिजन्मने । मध्यस्थानां न मीनाय मन्ये काम्ब्रसिदं भवेत् ॥ सुकविकयामाहुर्यमवन्धरेषातिवृद्धजास्थानाम् । पितुमन्दकन्दलीरिवर भवतु दविमेद्विशेषितु हुवानास् ॥

Despite the all-round importance of Somadeva as a writer, he seems to have exercised very little influence in any department of thought outside the sphere of Jaina religious literature. He was completely ignored by the non-Jaina literati, and his literary rehabilitation is due to the interest taken in him by modern research. He seems to have found only one commentator for his Yasastilaka, the Jaina Śrutasāgara Sūri who wrote his works early in the 16th century. As regards Nitivākyāmṛta, there is an anonymous commentary extant on the work, of unknown date: it is, however, full of quotations from ancient writers on Smṛti and polity, and its author was a non-Jaina as he salutes Hari at the beginning of the work.

The literary fortunes of Somadeva are a sad commentary on how the achievement of a writer of genius can be neutralized by sectarian indifference and religious prejudices; but, as we shall see, Somadeva himself was imbued with such influences, and could hardly expect recognition outside the circle of his co-religionists. On matters relating to Jaina dogma he has always been recognised as an authoritative writer, and it is noteworthy that, in a number of verses quoted by Śrutasāgara in his commentary on Kundakunda's Bhāva-pāhuda (V. 34), Somadeva is mentioned among the great teachers of Jainism:

नय के ते आचार्यों येः कृतं शासं प्रमाणीकियते इत्ताह— सीमद्रवाहुः श्रीचन्द्रो विनयन्द्रो महामतिः । गुश्रिषिकगुदः श्रीमान् छोहायार्थो जितेन्द्रियः ॥ एकायार्थः प्रचपादः सिंहनन्द्री महाकविः । बीरसेनो जिनसेनो गुणनन्द्री महातपाः ॥ समन्तमदः श्रीकुम्भः शिवकोटिः सिवंकरः । शिवायनो विष्णुसेनो गुणभद्रो गुणाधिकः ॥ भक्तको महामाद्यः सोमवेषो विद्यादाः । प्रभाचन्द्रो नेमिचन्द्र इत्याद्युनिसत्तमैः ॥ यक्काकं रचितं मृनं तदेवादेवमन्यकैः । विश्वेषे रचितं नैय प्रमाणं साध्यपि स्कटस् ॥

Citations from Yasastilaka are often found in later Jaina literature, e. g., in Padmaprabha's commentary on Niyamasāra (v. 101), Āśādhara's commentary on his Anugāru-dharmāmṛta (2. 75, 9. 81, 98), Brahmadeva's commentary on Yogīndudeva's Paramātmaprakāsa (2. 15), and frequently in

¹ Pt. Premi: Jaina Sähitya aura Itihasa, p. 410.

² On the quotations in the Commentary, see O. Stein's paper in the Atmananda Centenary Commemoration Volume, Bhavangar 1936, pp. 150-57. A commentary on Nitivakyāmrta in Kannada by a Jaiva author is known to exist. It was composed by Neminātha about the middle of the twelfth century A. p. See Pt. Premi (op. cit.), p. 80.

Srutasagara's commentary on the *Prübhrtus* of Kundakunda. The following verse of *Yasastilaka* (VIII. 34) is found with a slight variation in Sivakoti's *Batnamālā*, this writer being different from the ancient author of that name.

सर्व एव के बेनाना प्रमान कीकियो विधि: । यम सम्बन्धदानिन यम न अस्तृष्णम् ॥ The following three verses are cited from Yasastilaka VI. 1 in Subhacandra's Jäänärnava (under 4. 27).

> शामहीने किया देखि परं गारमते फरून्। तरोक्कावेष कि कश्या फरूशीर्गष्टरिकिः ॥ शानं पत्नी किया चान्ये निःश्रदे नार्यकृद्द्रवस् । ततो शावं किया सदा त्रवं तरपदकारणम् ॥ इतं शावं कियासून्यं इता चाशानिनः किया । धावश्यान्यको नष्टः पश्यक्रपि च पञ्चकः ॥

It may be noted that the third verse does not belong to Somadeva, as it appears in his work as a quotation introduced by the phrase uktain ca. Another verse of Somadeva occurring in Yasastilaka VI. 21 is quoted in Jäänärnava (under 6. 8): uktain ca granthäntare:

स्वत्रयं मदाश्राष्ट्री तथानायतनानि षद् । अष्टी शङ्कादयश्रेति दग्दोचाः पश्चविंद्यतिः ॥⁸ Somadeva's verse

कर्ता न सावदिश कोऽपि विवेच्छ्या वा रहोऽन्यथा करञ्चताविष स प्रसंगः । कार्य किमन्न सदनादिनु तक्षकार्यराहल चेत् त्रिशुवनं पुरुषः करोति ॥ (Yasastilaka 2. 139) is quoted anonymously in Sarvadarsanasamgraha in the chapter on Jaina

is quoted anonymously in Sarvadarsanasamgraha in the chapter on Jaina philosophy. A verse occurring in Yasastilaka in the philosophical dialogue towards the end of Book V (p. 257) is quoted as follows in Anantavīrya's commentary on Partkṣāmukhasūtra (Viṣayasamuddeśa): tathā coktam

तद्दर्जसनेहातो रक्षोदद्देर्भवस्मृतेः । भूतानम्बयमात् सिद्धः प्रकृतिकः सनातनः ॥ इति ।

Hiralal says in his Catalogue of Sanskrit and Prakrit manuscripts in C. P. and Berar (P. XXXII) that 'in the Stotracatustayatikā Vidyānanda makes frequent references to and quotations from Dhyāna-paddhati of Somadeva Sūri. This may be another work by our author.' It is, however, possible that this Dhyāna-paddhati is not an independent work; but may turn out to be the elaborate discourse on meditation (Dhyānavidhi) in Yasastilaka VIII. 39.

As a poet, Somadeva's contribution to Kavya literature is substantial enough to justify his claim to be regarded as a worthy successor of Magha. The poetry of Yasasilaka has been analysed elsewhere, and it

¹ Satprābhrtādi-sanigrahah, Māņikacandra Digambara Jaina Granthamālā, 17.

² Inoluded in Siddhantasaradi-samgrahah, Ibidem 22.

It is uncertain whether the verses are actually quoted by the author of Jäänärpava or merely occur in the manuscripts of the work. The Jäänärpava is an original composition in fluent Sanskrit verse dealing with certain aspects of Jaina doctrine, A careful examination of the available manuscripts of the work will help to decide whether the quotations are genuine.

will be seen that Somadeva not only deals with the usual themes of Kavya but adds to the rich fund of Sanskrit poetry by his treatment of topics not usually dealt with in Kāvya literature. He gives us vivid and intimate pictures of court life not found elsewhere, and their accuracy is apparently due to the fact that they are drawn from personal observation and experience. He depicts also other aspects of life, and among his most notable verses may be included those on child life, the cremation ground, and the goddess Candamārī. He is a sympathetic observer of animals, and some of his verses on animal life are among the best of their kind in Sanskrit literature. He has introduced Jaina religious themes into Kāvya poetry, and his verses on the Anuprekṣās are an important contribution to the literature on the subject. The use of Prākrit metres in Sanskrit verse is also a noteworthy experiment; and Somadeva has in this connection made a lyrical effort which merits attention as being anterior to the composition of Jayadeva's Gitagovinda.

Perhaps the most interesting body of verse in Yasastilaka is that dealing with the vices and foibles of the ministers of kings, and the verses in question, varied and extensive as they are, constitute the first systematic attempt at political satire in Sanskrit poetry, and remind us of the satirical verse of Ksemendra, who in his Narmamālā attacks the Kāyastha or the official caste of Kashmir. The later poet writes in a lighter vein, but his work is richer in concrete details, and covers a wider ground than the corresponding verses of Somadeva. The object of the latter is edification rather than entertainment, but from a historical point of view the observations of both the writers are important as throwing light on some of the abuses of the times. Somadeva may be said to have introduced in this respect a new theme in Sanskrit poetry, which was later developed with ampler details by Ksemendra.

Somadeva is not a great inspired poet: he is sometimes artificial and suffers from verbosity and repetition of ideas. But his verse often throbs with the currents of contemporary life, and he ranks supreme among the Jaina Sanskrit poets who have adopted the kāvya style as a vehicle of expression. He has, besides, given effective expression to some of the noble teachings of Jainism in Sanskrit verse. The subject has been treated in another chapter, but we may cite here a notable verse which enshrines the idea of returning good for evil.

महानमानादश्वभाषपाद्वा कुर्वीत चेत् कोऽपि जनः सकस्वस् । तथापि सन्तिः विषयेष विषयं न सम्बद्धानेऽप्यस्ते विषे वि ॥

¹ See Chapter VII.

*Riven if any one resorts to villainy from ignorance or evil motives, the good should think of doing only good. Nectar never becomes poison even when it is churned (Facastilaka, 1. 151).

This is, indeed, not a new idea in Indian literature; and we may cite, for instance, the ancient Buddhist dictum: Enmity is not calmed by enmity (na vairena vairani samyante). Nevertheless it represents one of the cardinal teachings of Jainism, and the exhortation is in harmony with the love for all creatures inculcated elsewhere in Somadeva's Yasastilaka.

CHAPTER II

Synopsis of Yasastilaka

Book I

In the Yaudheya country there was a beautiful city named Rajapura, adorned with magnificent temples and lofty mansions belonging to the wealthy. Here reigned Märadatta, son of Candamahasena, a powerful Prince who surpassed in valour such kings of old as Nrga, Nala, Nahuşa, Bharata, Bhagiratha and Bhagadatta. Ascending the throne while still quite young, he embarked on a wild career of reckless adventure along with companions who had passions and traits of character similar to his own. Sometimes, putting on his armour, he would play with rogue elephants, 'who had broken their chains like lotus fibres, flung away the pegs like sprouts of Virana grass, torn the ropes to pieces like branching creepers, and smashed the posts like reeds'. Sometimes he would pet vicious wild horses. Sometimes he would plunge into lakes and grapple crocodiles. Sometimes he would kill tigers with the force of his arms, and wander in the woods echoing with the uncanny screeching of owls in their mountain resort. Sometimes he would betake himself at night to cremation grounds, 'terrible with the sound of the loud drums in the hands of the female goblins', and engage in duels with powerful spirits and overthrow them in the encounter. But there was also a lighter side to his activities. Like a veritable god of love, he enjoyed the company of the women of different nationalities, to wit, Andhra, Cola, Kerala, Simhala, Karnāta, Surāstra, Kamboja, Pallaya

Commence of the second

¹ Mahavastu, Vol. I, p 132, etc.

^{2 &#}x27;सर्वसिषु मेदी' Book VIII, section 36. For the verse in question See Chap. XI. The idea of returning good for evil was advocated by Lac-tsu in ancient China: it was disapproved and modified by the more practical Confucius. Soothill: The Three Religious of China, pp. 88, 205.

and Kalinga. Sometimes he dallied with young women in pleasure gardens, and, on other occasions, indulged in water-sports, surrounded by beautiful women, in artificial lakes, perfumed and abounding in flowers, with emerald floors, crystal embankments, golden steps and islets of pearls. Although reckless and self-willed, and addicted to wine, hunting, and courtesans, Māradatta was free from dangers and calamities, and considered himself akin to the gods.

One day a Tantric teacher named Virabhairava told him that he would obtain a miraculous sword with which he could conquer the realm of the Vidyādharas, if only creatures of all kinds were sacrificed in the temple of the goddess Candamāri in his capital, and if at the same time he killed with his own hands a couple of human beings possessing all auspicious physical characteristics. Hearing this, Māradatta summoned the entire population to the temple of the dread goddess under the pretext of celebrating the Mahānavamī festival, although it was not the proper season for it, and himself repaired to the shrine and ordered the guards to fetch the required couple of human beings for the purpose of sacrifice.

The temple of Candamārī was a horrid place, frequented by the terrible female spirits known as the Mahāyoginīs, and a crowd of fanatical votaries, engaged in outrageous forms of self-torture. Certain devotees were burning Guggula incense on their heads; some, extremely ferocious, were burning their arteries, like lights; while others, exceedingly bold, were trying to please Siva by drinking their own blood. In one corner, Kāpālikas were selling for a price pieces of flesh cut off from their own bodies, and at another place certain fanatics were worshipping the Mothers by swinging from their intestines, extracted with their own hands. Elsewhere certain grim men were offering their own flesh as an oblation in the sacred fire. Such was the temple of Candamārī, terrifying to Death himself.

Meanwhile, the Jaina sage Sudatta, famous for his austerities, and unaffected by the rigours of the winter and the summer and the monsoon, was approaching Rājapura with a large number of disciples. Avoiding the city in view of the impending slaughter, and turning to the east, he saw a beautiful pleasure garden where young men were disporting themselves with beautiful damsels, adorned with floral ornaments. But he said to himself thus:

मसक्तम्बनिवन्त्रिक्यामारम्भवन्त्रोद्याः, कामं कामरसावतारविवयव्यापश्यपुष्पाकराः । मायः माससमाविद्यदमनसोऽप्येते मदेशाः शमात्, स्वान्तप्यान्तकृतो भवन्ति त्रदिह स्वातं न सुकं वरोः ॥ 1. 71,

¹ See Chap. XIII,

Such places, like the hours of moonrise, occasion gossip about the amours of the beautiful women of all the world. Like the spring, they provide free scope for mundane joys in which the sentiment of love prevails. Such places, as a rule, delude in a moment the heart even of one whose mind is purified by deep concentration. So it is not meant for an ascetic to remain here."

Going a few steps further, the sage saw a cremation ground with the funeral pyres fiercely burning; and the gruesome scene of desolation awakened diverse thoughts in his mind. He moved further away, and came to a hill not far from Rājapura. Here he fixed his camp and ordered the monks to beg for alms in the neighbouring villages.

Among the disciples of Sudatta were two young ascetics, a boy and a girl, brother and sister, named Abhayaruci and Abhayamati respectively, who, unlike the others, were directed to beg in the city. They were the twin children of Kusumāvalī, sister of Māradatta, and chief queen of Yesomati, son of Yasodhara Maharāja, and had taken the monastic vow in early childhood and wandered with the sage Sudatta. On their way to the city they were encountered by the guards, who had been sent in quest of a couple of human victims for the impending sacrifice. Careful not to frighten the young persons, the guards told them in a friendly manner that a great teacher, who had been apprised of their arrival, was waiting to see them in the temple of Bhavānī. Their frightful appearance, however, betrayed their real purpose; and the boy and the girl, resigning themselves to their fate, followed them to the temple of the goddess.

The temple of Candamārī, which was known as Mahābhairava, presented a strange spectacle, being full of the victims of all kinds brought there for sacrifice, and held fast by armed guards resembling the attendants of Siva. There could be seen sheep, buffaloes, camels, elephants and horses frightened by the half-brandished swords of the keepers. There were aquatic animals like crocodiles, alligators, frogs, crabs, tortoises, and Pāṭhīna fish, all trembling with fear at the sight of the spirits waiting to drink their blood. The keepers were at pains to held together the numerous birds, scared by the movements of the circular sacrificial blade, and the other victims such as antelopes, tigers, lions, wolves, boars and apes. The mass slaughter of the animals was to take place after the king had sacrificed the first victims.

The young ascetics saw before them the grim figure of Maradatta. Standing on the floor of the temple, with drawn sword, he looked like

a mountain in the middle of a river, with a serpent with raised hood on its slope. The king seemed to be aflame with his valour flaring up in his inner being, and burn everything with his angry look. He was extremely ferocious like a venomous serpent, and seemed to consume everything with the fury of his deportment. The aspect and character of the goddess were still more terrible.

र्वम्भोदिनिविष्टारिक्किण्याकोकविस्पारितम्भक्षोस्रद्धमावनीयम्मुसस्यक्षिकोकीयति । काकादोक्यमकोचनामकमिकक्षमाकाकराकाम्बरम्बरम्बर्षम् विषयते यसाः प्रचण्डं बद्धः ॥ 1. 186.

The young ascetics whose minds were bent on the highest beatitude were not in the least afraid of the danger before them, and never lost their balance and composure when ushered into the presence of the king in the grim setting of the temple of Candamāri. They encouraged each other to be firm and resolute, scorn death, and regard salvation as the highest object of human endeavour. Māradatta's heart softened at the sight of the tender boy and the girl; and although he could not recognise them to be his own nephew and niece, he felt the kindly influence of their presence, and said to himself (p. 156):

"कथं नामैतर्शनादाचान्तामृतमिन वशंसाशयनहरूकाळुष्यमपि गुहुः प्रशान्तं मे चेतः, चश्चः पुनः कुलिश-कीलितमिन कवं न विषयान्तरमनगाहते, चिरप्रवसितप्रणयिजनावलेकनादिव कथमयमात्मा परमन्तमोंदते, चित्तमिप चेदं चिरायाचरितपरिचयमिन कथमतीवानन्दशुमन्थरम्, किं तु खळ तदेतक स्थान्मम भागिनेययमस्यम्, आचकर्णं चापरेशुरेव देवतकनामप्रसिद्धात् कुलकृद्धादेतस्य बालकाल एवाध्वर्यायं तपध्वर्यापर्यायम्, भवन्ति हीमानीन्द्रियाण्यदृष्टपूर्वेष्यपि प्रियजनेषु प्रायेण प्रातन्तपनतेजांसीव रागोल्यणवयांसि ।"

"How is it that, at the sight of these two, my heart, though heavily tainted by cruel thoughts, has become absolutely calm, as if it had partaken of nectar; and my eyes turn to no other object, as if because riveted upon them with the force of thunder? Why is my soul in an ecstasy of joy, as if at the sight of beloved friends who have been long abroad? Why is my heart steeped in joy, as if it were long familiar with them? Are they not possibly my own nephew and niece? Only the other day I heard from one of the elders of my family, Revataka by name, the amazing story of their religious austerities even in childhood. At the sight of beloved persons, though never seen before, the senses become suffused with youth in an ecstasy of love, like the rays of the morning sun."

Observing the change in the attitude of the king, a bard recited two verses and appealed to him to lay aside his sword.

नासका रिपको न चापि भवतः कश्चिकिदेशावशः श्रीरेका तब देव या प्रणयिती ससी व कोऽपीर्वातः। गारं श्रुविनिपीरवागमभरमोहान्तवाराजको सञ्चल्याहबकेलिदो सहस्वति सत्सद्ववर्षि सवास् ॥ 1, 148.

'No enemies are near at hand; none transgresses thy command. Sire, the goddess of prosperity is devoted to thee, and no one is jealous

of her. Do thou therefore discard thy sword, the companion of thy arm in the sport of war: its blade doth emit its lustre under the pressure of thy powerful grip!"

Māradatta listened to the verses recited by the bard, and laid down his sword at the feet of the goddess. Then imposing silence on the noisy crowd of spectators with his raised hand, he offered a seat to the ascetic boy and the girl, who now recited, one after the other, a series of panegyrics in honour of the king in the conventional style of court poetry.

The purpose of the ascetic boy was to convert the king to the Jaina faith; but he thought it advisable to proceed slowly and cautiously in the matter, and reflected within himself (p. 176):

"रजस्तमोबहुलेषु च प्राणिषु प्रथमतरमेव घर्मोपदेशः करोति महतीं शिरःशूलव्यथाम्, भवति चावधीरणाय बक्तुः, तदेनमभ्यस्तरसप्रसदैरेव वचोभिकहासयामि, नयवेदिनो हि वनगज इव खाबुकफलप्रलोभनमविदिततत्त्वे पुंति छन्दालवर्तनमपि भवत्यायत्यामभिमतावासये।"

"Preaching of religion at the very outset to persons, dominated by passion and ignorance, only gives them a severe headache, and brings the speaker into contempt. So I will cheer him with words enlivened by such sentiments as are familiar to him. A sagacious person ultimately obtains the desired result with regard to one who is ignorant of the truth, even by humouring him, just as one subdues a wild elephant by alluring him with delicious fruits."

The ascetic boy, followed by his companion, again praised the king in a series of lyrical verses; and the latter now questioned them about their native place and origin. The boy promised to satisfy the king's curiosity and addressed to him a benedictory verse.

Book II

The ascetic boy Abhayaruci, addressing Māradatta, now begins the story of his previous births, an autobiographical record, which incidentally throws interesting light on medieval court life in India.

There is a prosperous country named Avanti, hospitable with its fruit-trees, lotus-pools and vernal bowers.

मार्गोपाम्तवमञ्ज्ञमावित्रकच्छायापनीतातपाः पूर्णोम्बर्णसरोऽवतीर्णपवनव्याधृतदेहश्रमाः । पुर्णमैनद्युषः कवैर्शृतिधवस्रोवेः इतकीडनाः पान्था वत्र वहन्ति केलिकमस्रव्यालोलहारश्चियः ॥ 2.12.

"There the travellers wear beautiful unsteady wreaths of toy-lotus blossoms, and are protected from the sun by the shade of the leaves of the woodland trees alongside the roads. Their fatigue is removed by the breezes coming from the neighbouring pools of water, full to the

brim; and delighted with the flowers, and contented with the fruits, they indulge in sports in the waters."

In that country was the famous city of Ujjayinī where reigned a great king named Yaśorgha. "The Mandara mountain gave him the quality of firmness, the ocean gave him depth, the god of love gave him beauty, Brhaspati gave him mastery of traditional lore, the Kalpa tree made him accessible to suppliants, the Earth gave him the virtue of forbearance, and the sky gave him dignity. The goddess of speech gave him eloquence, the goddess of wealth gave him success in the art of commanding, the Cintāmaņi gem gave him intellectual power, and the family goddess gave him physical fitness, while the god of death gave him the power of bringing all men under his control. In this way, other deities, too, such as Varuṇa and Kubera, gave him their essential qualities, like ancestral wealth (p. 218)."

Candramati was the consort of Yasorgha, and is no other than the ascetic girl Abhayamati in her present birth. Yasodhara was the son of Yasorgha and Candramati, and is no other than the ascetic boy himself in his present birth.1 One day king Yasorgha happened to see a growth of grey hair on his head, and immediately his thoughts turned to renunciation of worldly ties; and he revolved in his mind the twelve topics of meditation prescribed by the Jaina faith, commonly known as the Anupreksas. Thereafter the king gave orders for the marriage and coronation of his son Yasodhara, and himself renounced the world and took the monastic vow under the direction of a learned sage named Samyamadhara. Pratapavardhana, the chief of the army, with the help of an architect, made the requisite preparations for the coronation. A branch city with spacious avenues was built on the bank of the Siprā as well as a bejewelled pavilion, protected from the sun by beautiful curtains, and equipped with numerous gates, towers, altars and apartments. Round about were the camps of the princes who had come to witness the festival.

The selection of a suitable elephant and a horse for the new king was the next important item preliminary to the coronation. Under instructions from Pratapavardhana, a committee of experts selected an elephant named Udayagiri from among those sent by the king of Kalinga as part of his annual tribute, and the chief keeper of the royal elephants communicated to Yasodhara the findings of the experts, an elaborate report

¹ What follows may be termed the STORY OF YASODHARA. Throughout the narrative Abhayaruci identifies himself with Yasodhara and speaks in the first person. Yasantilaka is another name of Abhayaruci.

on the characteristics of the chosen animal, full of technical details. Meanwhile, a bard named Karikalabha recited a number of verses in praise of elephants. Similarly, a notable white charger of Kamboja was selected by another committee of experts, whose report was likewise communicated to the Prince by the keeper of the royal stable, Sālihotra, after which a bard named Vājivinodamakaranda recited some verses in praise of horses.

The astrologers then reported to Yasodhara that the coronation might follow the marriage rites, or the marriage rites the coronation, or if the time was favourable, both the functions might take place together; just as an idol could be installed after the building of a temple, or a temple built after the installation of an idol, or if one's resources permitted, the building and the installation could proceed together. The astrologers described in detail the time and hour technically favourable for both the coronation and the marriage rites.

Yasodhara proceeded to the coronation pavilion where gold and silver pitchers, various herbs and Kusa blades, and water from ocean-going rivers were kept ready. The royal throne, the white umbrella, and the fly-whisks added to the beauty of the structure, and the arms and the family treasures were carefully arranged near the idol of the tutelary goddess. Auspicious utterances were made by gay women, and crowds kept at a distance by trustworthy officers. Here, to the accompaniment of music, the sacred water was poured over Yasodhara by a bevy of courtesans, while a bard named Jalakelivilāsa recited verses celebrating the ceremonial bath.

The ceremonial bath was followed by the marriage rites. Attended by the family priest, Yaśodhara worshipped the blazing Fire with butter mixed with curds, and curds mixed with boiled milk, while other priests offered oblations in the fire and uttered blessings with appropriate formulas. The marriage of Yaśodhara and Amrtamati was celebrated in prose and verse by the bard Manojakuñjara.

Next came the ceremony of coronation, of which the principal feature was the presentation of the royal insignia to the prince, while relevant verses were uttered by the bards. The white umbrella was presented first, and Yasodhara was asked to ascend the throne. Then followed the presentation of the royal turban and the sword.

After the coronation, Yasodhara and his bride mounted the elephant Udayagiri, and the royal procession started from the bank of the Siprā on its way to the capital. Trustworthy followers, equipped with armour, and others armed with swords guarded the king, while

attendants, wielding various weapons, cleared the road and removed inauspicious persons such as women in periods, eunuchs, men with deformities, Candalas and the like. The elders recited congratulatory verses, and women sang to the flute and the lyre. The neighing of horses and the trumpeting of elephants mingled with the sound of drums.

The procession passed through the streets of the decorated city, the terraces and windows of the buildings being crowded with women eager to have a look at the royal pair. Meanwhile, in the palace, the aged lady superintendents of the royal household were stirring up the maids and attendants with admonitions and directions preparatory to the reception of the king. The frivolous maid Vasantika was, for instance, asked to have done with the gamblers and make garlands of Bakula buds. Lavangi was ordered not to join her playmates, but to get busy in making 'ornamental designs on the floor' (rangavalli).1 Madanā was asked if she was sleeping even at that hour, and told to make preparations for the ceremonial waving of lights. Kurangī was ordered to prepare cosmetics, and Mālatī to decorate the throne; while Kalahamsi was urged to get the betel-stand ready, and Madhukarī the flywhisks. The eunuch was advised to step aside, the Kirāta to remain indoors, the hunchback to do auspicious acts, the dwarf to indulge in sports, and the chamberlain to set about his business in right earnest; and even the tame birds were asked to put forth joyous notes. On arrival at the palace, bedecked with white flags, the king was welcomed by the bard Kīrtisāhāra with a poetical description of the noble edifice.

Book III

The narrative continues in the form of an autobiographical record and describes Yasodhara's life as a king; but it is by no means a continuous account, being rather a series of episodes incidental to the routine of a medieval court. They are here enumerated in the order in which they occur in text.

a) Yasodhara is awakened from sleep by bards reciting verses descriptive of the morning scenery, after which the king goes to his durbar to dispense justice with the help of learned and impartial judges, while erudite scholars expound the law-books.

¹ Called also ranguvali and ranguvekhā, and made with coloured powder, also with camphor dust, and even with pulverised pearls and gems. Cf. अनस्पक्ष्र्रप्रागपरिकस्पित-रङ्गाविक्ष्यानम् Book III; रङ्ग(१)विक्षमणीन् Book IV; मण्ड्यन्ताः मस्णमुक्तापकक्षीवरङ्गावकीभिः प्राञ्चणानि Natacampi Book VI; कृष्णिकपूर्रकृषेसामाजि.....विनोदमण्डपे Ibid. Book VII; मुक्तापकरिक्षतास्वरक्ष्याराजिराजिताजिर्च Ibid.

- b) The relative merits of fate and personal endeavour form the subject of an academical discussion in the council-chamber, in which the ministers maintain different points-of-view, and the deliberation ends with a discourse on the principles which ought to govern the relations of a king with other kings.
- c) An arrogant message in verse summoning rival princes to accept the suzerainty of Yasodhara is read out to the envoy in charge of the mission by the royal clerk under the king's direction.
- d) The chief of the secret police reports to Yasodhara that the spy Sankhanaka has returned after gathering information about various people in the country and abroad. Yasodhara summons the spy to his presence and spends some time, jesting with him. Questioned by the king about the absence of his former corpulence, Sankhanaka replies that poor men like himself can hardly expect to have protuberant bellies. It is, of course, otherwise with men like Yasodhara and the idle rich, who daily gorge themselves with luxurious food, such as rice white and clear like the glances of beautiful women; broths of the colour gold; butter fragrant as the mouth of a beloved woman; curries charming as the graceful movements of nautch girls; well-cooked dishes, savoury like a beloved woman's lips; curds well-shaped and hard like the breasts of a young woman; milk sweet as the glances of one's beloved; sugared preparations of milk-rice, delicious as the first union with a newly-married bride; and water perfumed with camphor, refreshing as the mysteries of love!

Addressed by the king as 'the lord of the rams,' and asked whether he has had anything to eat, Sankhanaka replies that he has been in a way entertained by a miserly, penniless and greedy fellow named Kilinjaka, the righthand man of the Chief of the Secret Agents, Viśvāvasu, a native of the Deccan. The meal consisted of boiled rice grown stale, and full of husk and gravel; some rotten beans; a few drops of rancid Atasi oil; slices of half-cooked gourds and certain badly cooked vegetables as well as some raw fruits and overburnt brinjals thrown in. The meal ended with sour gruel mixed with plenty of mustards, and the beverage was some alkaline fluid with a taste like that of the water of a salt-mine. Sankhanaka pitifully relates that he could eat nothing and remained hungry; and his only subsistence was a quantity of boiled Syāmāka rice mixed with whey, which the miser's wife had the goodness to serve, unseen by her husband.

Yasodhara once asked the spy for particulars about a minister named Pamarodara, entrusted with the administration of a province, and famous for his piety, wisdom and spotless character. This brings us to what may be termed a detailed report of the spy on the doings of the minister.

Sankhanaka promises to speak the truth, but warns the king that what he is going to relate will to some extent put a slur upon him as well. It is the master's fault if his servants do as they like through a sense of their own power and influence.

The spy goes on to quote a large number of verses on the vil ways of ministers, composed by various poets by way of criticizing the king's failure to investigate the misdeeds of Pāmarodāra. The verses are mostly general in character, but a few directly attack the offending minister.

Sankhanaka gives an idea of Pāmarodāra's administration by saying that the latter demands unpaid labour when the people are busy sowing, and collects taxes before the corn is ripe, while the harvest is spoilt by the unlicensed movements of the soldiery.

The revelation of the spy is a rude shock to Yaśodhara who is unwilling to believe his allegations, but Śańkhanaka replies that, in all matters beyond the range of direct perception, a king must depend upon spies as well as his own judgment, as if they were his eyes. He quotes a verse to the effect that when a king does not employ spies nor exercise his own judgment, his kingdom is at the mercy of his ministers, just as the milk belonging to a blind man becomes the prey of cats.

The low origin of Pāmarodāra is described as the cause of his overweening pride. His father was an oilman and mother a low-born woman, while his wife has had five husbands! The respect shown to such a man on account of his rank was bound to make him proud and overbearing. The spy then illustrates by various examples the danger to kings from elevating low-born persons to high positions in the state.

Describing Pāmarodāra as a monster of corruption, to wit, bribery and extortion, the spy tells Yasodhara that the minister, after cheating him, as he did the former kings, will one day go over to some other king, like a trumpeter who serves any one employing him:

अपि त्वामतिवाद्येष यथातीतान् महीपतीन् । त्रीवान्याश्रयस्थायी छञ्चालुक्रानिशाचरः ॥ 3. 185.

Pāmarodāra's 'valour' is next described. He is brave and valiant in the presence of merchants, physicians, the weak and the deformed, but, like an ape, maintains a discreet silence in the presence of warriors and desperadoes:

बर्णिज च भिषजि च द्युरः शौण्डीरो दुर्वछे च विकछे च। कपिरिव निमृतसिष्ठति रणशौण्डे भण्डदण्डे च॥ ३. 190.

Speaking of the origin of villains, Sankhanaka relates that, in days of yore, there came into being fourteen, or rather eighteen, groups of them. He declares that the king's minister combines in himself the vices of all the categories of villains enumerated by him.

After quoting some verses attributed to different poets, and containing reflections on Pāmarodāra's character, the spy represents him as an enemy of religion. He misappropriates money donated for the worship of the gods, and breaks or melts down valuable idols and replaces them by others of lesser value. Sometimes he would sell an idol, and, with the proceeds, celebrate a religious festival. It is also his habit to rob people by employing the methods of thugs, and give the money to worthy Brāhmanas. Besides, he confiscates villages and property endowed for the purpose of maintainiag the worship of the gods':

देवव्रविणादाता देवद्रोहाण देवनिर्माता । अहह खरः खलु संप्रति धर्मपरः पामरोदारः ॥ 3. 227. विकीय देवं विद्धाति यात्रां तद्गालनादेव परांश्च देवान् । प्रमुख्य कोकं टकवृत्तिभावैदंदाति दानं द्विजपुंगवेभ्यः ॥ 3. 235. अग्रहारमहः साक्षादेवभोगञ्जलंगमः । शिष्टविष्टपसंहारप्रलयानकमानसः ॥ 3. 236.

Crime and irreligion play their part in a more serious charge brought against the minister. The latter had employed five Cāṇḍālas, knowing them to be such, in his household for cooking and drawing water; but this violation of the caste-rules gave rise to a scandal, and one night he murdered them all while they were fast asleep. When it was suggested by some distinguished person that he should perform purificatory rites to expiate the crime, he ridiculed the idea by putting forward heterodox opinions, commonly associted with the Cārvākas:

रविरिद्मरत्वपावकमाहेचीवायवोऽन्त्यजैः स्पृष्टाः । न हि दुष्टासहृद्हं प्रकृतिश्चिर्माकमध्येऽपि ॥ आत्मा स्वभावशुद्धः कायः पुनरश्चितेष च निसर्गात् । प्रायक्षित्तविषानं कस्येति विधिन्त्यतां जगति ॥ वर्णाश्चमजातिक्कस्थितिरेषा देव संवृतिनौन्या । परमार्थतश्च नृपते को विधः कक्ष चाण्डाकः॥ 3. 232-4

"The rays of sun, jewels, fire, cows and air are not polluted when touched by Cāṇḍālas. Similarly, being pure by nature, I could not be contaminated even in the midst of Cāṇḍālas. The soul is pure by nature, while the body is naturally impure. Think over the matter. To whom, in the world, does the process of purification apply? Sire, the regulation of castes and orders of society and birth and family is a matter of convention and nothing more. In reality, O king, there is neither Brāhmaṇa nor Cāṇḍāla."

Further charges against Pāmarodāra are contained in a verse which describes the harm done by the minister to certain scholars and poets. He destroyed the livelihood of a scholar named Tridasa, and caused financial loss to (the poet) Kohala. He insulted the poet Gaṇapati, and brought about the ruin of (the scholar) Samkara. He outraged the religious

¹ See Chap. V.

susceptibilities of the scholar Kumuda, and compelled (the poet) Kekați to leave the country.

The spy concludes by saying that the religious merit of a king and the joy and happiness of his friends and servants depend upon the removal of wicked ministers; but we are left in the dark about what steps, if any, were taken against Pāmarodāra.

- e) Yasodhara reviews his army on the eve of an expedition, and the different regiments recruited from various parts of India are described to him by the military commanders.¹
- f) The Sandhivigrahins or Secretaries for peace and war announce in verse the arrival of the envoys of various monarchs at the court of Yaśodhara. g) Utterances of the court jester. h) Yaśodhara discusses Arthaśāstra verses. i) Yaśodhara says that he sometimes witnessed exhibitions of dances in dancing halls in the company of connoisseurs of the art. We have in this connection a hymn to Sarasvatı forming part of the Pūrvaranga ceremony. j) Yaśodhara wounds the vanity of a tactless and self-conceited poet. k) Yaśodhara takes part in philosophical discussions. l) Stick in hand, Yaśodhara trains elephants; and while in training, the animal is addressed in prose and verse, the elaborate prose invocations ending with the words he he hala divyasāmaja mātrāśatam tistha tistha. The following is one of the verses containing the instructions of the trainer (3.282):

गात्रेस्तिष्ठ समैः पुरोनससमं हस्तं निधेहि क्षितौ दृष्टि देहि करात्रतः स्थिरमनाः कर्णाे गजाश्चेषय । बालं भारय वस्स यावद्विरान्मीन्यामहं कट्यये मात्राणां शतमास्स्व तावद्चलस्त्वं योगिकट्पाकृतिः ॥

- "Stand with thy limbs in equipoise. Place the trunk on the ground on a level with the claws. Fix thy look on the tip of the trunk. Tusker, hold thy ears motionless, with a steady mind. Move not the tail. Child, keep still, like a sage in meditation, for a space of time equal to a hundred mātrās, while I quickly devise (another) posture (for thee)."
- m) Yasodhara reports that once during an inspection of different categories of elephants, a military commander enumerated to him the various states of intoxication in which rutting elephants are found. It was also his custom to witness the sports of elephants from a special pavilion in the race-course, accompanied by experts conversant with the different methods of treating elephants during their period of frenzy. On such occasions keepers used to delight him by reciting verses in praise of the animals. Sometimes, again, he supervised the process of armouring the tusks of his war-elephants with protective sheaths of iron. n) Yasodhara listens to a lecture on health and dietetics.

¹ See Chap. IV.

o) Yasodhara says that he passed the hours of noon in the hot summer days in amorous sports in his garden called Madanamadavinoda 'The Frenzied gaiety of love', and indulged in water-sports with his mistresses in the Hall of Mechanical Showers (yantradhärāgrha), 'cooler than the Himalayas'. We find here 'watery beds' on the raised floor of pavilions erected on islets on the surface of pools for water-sports; vessels of gold, gems and silver containing scented water; ground lotus-beds watered by showers from 'mechanical clouds'; streams of water gushing from the mouths of the statues of various wild animals; currents of water issuing from the holes of artificial lotus stalks made of moonstones; sprays emitted by the trunks of artificial elephants; fountains rising from the mouths of artificial alligators; and water let loose from the mouths of artificial monkeys placed in creepery recesses. What seems to be a statuary group represents water-deities quarrelling in the course of their water-sports, the sage Nārada dancing in joy at the sight, and the Seven Sages hurrying to the scene. the figures of the latter spouting a copious flood of water. We see also handfuls of water thrown up by the statues of nymphs, seated on the trunks of artificially made Celestial Trees, while water for bath comes pouring from the bosoms of mechanical 'cloud-puppets'. Another mechanical device is the decorated figure of a woman, which discharges streams of liquid sandal when pressed on different parts of the body (3. 375):

इसे स्प्रष्टा नसान्तैः कुचक्छशतदे चुचुकप्रक्रमेण वक्के नेत्रान्तराम्यां शिरित कुवलयेनावतंसार्पितेन । श्रोण्यां काञ्चीगुणाप्रैक्षिवलिषु च पुनर्नाभिरन्ध्रेण भीरा यन्त्रकी यत्र विश्वं विकिरति शिशिराश्रन्वनसम्बद्धाराः ॥

- p) Once in the rainy season, while Yasodhara was enjoying the beauty of the clouds from a palace on the slope of a pleasure hill, accompanied by his tributaries and warriors, the Sandhivigrahin ushered into his presence an envoy and a messenger from the court of Acala, the king of Pancala, with symbolic presents conveying a threat of war. This causes considerable excitement and commotion in the court of Yasodhara, and ultimately a letter is sent to the king of Pancala, demanding submission. q) At the advent of autumn, war is declared against the king of Pancala, and an expedition sent against him under the command of Vijayavardhana. Winter comes, and at the dead of night a spy arrives to announce to Yasodhara the victory of his commander-in-chief and the defeat of Acala.
- r) Spring arrives, and Yasodhara participates in the festivities of the season. While the bards recite verses describing the glory of the spring and the vernal attire of the king and the gaily decorated swings in the royal household, Yasodhara worships the god of love and celebrates the festival in his honour.

¹⁾ See Chap. V.

s) The spring festivities are followed by the Mahānavamī in the course of which the goddess Aparājitā is worshipped. Then comes the Festival of Light (dipotsava). After this, Yasodhara practises archery, while a bard recites verses in praise of his skill in shooting with bow and arrows. t) Moonrise and the gaiety of love.

Book IV

Yasodhara now turns to his domestic affairs, and relates an episode of his married life, which is the pivotal point of the story.

One evening Yasodhara visited his consort Amrtamati to pass the night with her in her apartments on the top floor of a seven-storied palace. About midnight the king, who was not quite asleep, noticed that the queen slipped away from his bed, and, furtively looking at him, discarded her ornaments, and, putting on the clothes of her maid, quickly went out of the chamber. The king's suspicion was aroused, and he at once followed her close on her heels, and saw her entering the hut of an elephant-driver named Aşṭavanka, an ugly cripple, who was fast asleep in a miserable bed, resting his head on a pile of ropes. Amrtamati sat down near him, and took hold of his hands, but he was furious at her delay in coming to him, and, dragging her by the hair with the left hand, gave her blows with the other. The queen was profuse in her apologies and confession of love, and swore by the goddess Kātyāyanī that she was thinking only of him even when in the company of Yasodhara. The latter was observing the scene unseen, and was about to draw his sword to strike the guilty pair, but restrained himself, thinking of the resulting scandal and the grief the young prince Yasomati would feel at the death of his mother. Yasodhara then returned to the palace; and Amrtamati, too, stealthily came and quietly lay down beside him as if nothing had happened.

Yasodhara could hardly sleep, and was filled with anguish and disgust, and felt abhorrence not only for Amrtamati but for women in general. He was puzzled at the queen's strange infatuation with a low-born elephant-driver, but remembered that the cripple was an expert singer, reputed to be able to make even withered trees put forth new shoots with the melody of his voice, and that songs had a ravishing effect upon women who were apt to be bewitched by a singer, however wretched or ugly he might be.

Yasodhara continued to reflect on the conduct of Amrtamati, and the more he brooded over it, the greater became his disgust for women and worldly pleasures; and he made up his mind to renounce the world, leaving the throne to his son Yasomati. Next morning he appeared in court, and was there joined by his mother Candramati. A bard recited

some verses which seemed to reflect the king's own thoughts at the moment (p. 84):

त्वं मन्दिरव्रविणदारतन्द्रहाग्रैस्तृष्णातमोभिरजुवन्धिभिरस्तुन्धः । क्रिकात्यहर्निशमिमं न तु चित्त वेत्सि दण्डं धमस्य निपतन्तमकाण्ड एव ॥

'Deluded by the ever-recurring darkness of desires such as those relating to home, wealth, wife and children, thou dost suffer day and night, o mind, but reckest not of the suddenly arriving scourge of Death!'

The bard recited another verse on the futility of trying to keep women under control; and it seemed to Yasodhara as if the bard had come to know of the events of the previous night. Candramati also noticed the change in her son's demeanour, and had misgivings about his relations with Amrtamati, who, she thought, had been given much more freedom than was good for a woman. She remembered also that a maid-servant had reported to her that her daughter-in-law was in the habit of casting affectionate glances at the elephant-driver. Nevertheless she asked Yasodhara the reason of his dejection and restlessness, but he told her a concocted story about having seen a dream, in which he appeared to renounce the world and retire to the woods, after having put Prince Yasomati on the throne. Candramati advised her son not to have any faith in dreams, and told him the story of the teacher, who had dreamt of his school as being full of sweetmeat balls, and, relying on his dream, invited the king to a luxurious repast! She further related that the maid-servant Vasantikā had just reported to her that in a dream seen by her she had turned into rice gruel, and was being eaten up by the Brahmins invited to the srāddha of her deceased mother! After thus demonstrating the fantastic character of dreams, Candramati suggested that, if Yasodhara was really apprehensive of having seen an evil dream, he should seek a remedy by sacrificing all kinds of animals to the tutelary goddess, and perform the customary rites to counteract the evil.

Yasodhara was shocked at the idea of sacrificing animals, and his heart revolted against the use of animal flesh in the worship of the gods. As he made no attempt to conceal his feeling of revulsion at the course of action suggested by his mother, the latter attributed his heterodox leanings to the insidious influence of the Jainas, and accused the courtiers of disloyalty and corruption for allowing her simple-minded son to associate with the Digambares, who, like magicians, were so skilled in deluding the world! An animated discussion ensued between mother and son on such controversial topics as animal sacrifice and various other Brāhmanical tenets and practices, which were subjected to adverse criticism by Yasodhara. While Candramati exhorted the latter to worship Siva or Viṣṇu or the sun,

he picked holes in the Brahmanical religion, and sought to establish the antiquity and prestige of the Jaina faith by citing various texts in support of his thesis.

Candramati felt herself to be worsted in the argument, and ultimately persuaded Yasodhara in the name of filial piety to accept a compromise, whereby he would sacrifice to the tutelary goddess a cock made out of flour, and partake of the offering, imagining it to be flesh. While orders were given to make such a cock and decorate the temple of the goddess, Amrtamati came to know of the happenings at the court, and at once realised that the story of the dream was only a feint, and that she had been detected in her escapade of the previous night. She decided to act quickly, and made up her mind to strike Yasodhara before he could strike her. Accordingly, she sent to him the minister Gavisthira with a message, declaring her willingness to sacrifice herself before the goddess in order to counteract the evil effect of the king's dream and ensure his safety. Furthermore, she begged that should the king decide to renounce the world, she might be permitted to accompany him to the woods, like the devoted wives of old, such as Sītā, Draupadī, Arundhatī and others. Meanwhile, she invited Yasodhara and his mother to a banquet in her house after the worship of the goddess was over. Yasodhara accepted the invitation and sent back the queen's minister.

The treachery and hypocrisy of Amrtamati served only to deepen Yasodhara's hatred of her, but still he decided to abide by his promise to eat in her house. Meanwhile, the sound of music accompanying the dance of courtesans in the streets announced to the citizens that Candramati and her retinue were on their way to the temple of Candikā, and Yasodhara also started for the same place on the back of an elephant amidst a host of evil omens. Arriving at the temple, he severed the head of the cock made out of dough with a knife, according to the instructions of the priests, praying to the goddess that the offering might produce for him the same results as the actual killing of animals in sacrifices. He then sent the dough, from which the cock was fashioned, to the kitchen, as if it were the flesh of a real cock.

Next day, Yaśodhara, accompanied by his mother, son and daughter-in-law, dined in Amrtamati's house. But she had mixed the food served out to her husband and mother-in-law with poison, which at once took effect and imperilled their lives. Physicians were sent for, and the inmates went about looking for medicine. Meanwhile, Amrtamati cried aloud, and feigning to droop on Yaśodhara's bosom, strangled him to death. The mother also died.

Book V

The ascetic boy describes the rebirths of Yasodhara and his mother Candramati after their tragic death.

a) Yasodhara was reborn in a family of peacocks inhabiting a large tree in a well-watered valley to the north-east of the Suvela mountain. There he was caught by a hunter and presented to Yasomati, who had ascended the throne after Yasodhara's death. The peacock miraculously remembered the events of his former birth, and recognised the old scenes and associates as soon as he entered the city of Ujjayinī and saw the royal palace:

सेवेयं नगरी तदेव भवनं ता एव केलीघराः सेवेचा वनभूः स एव सरसीसारे विकासाचकः । सेवासी वनिता स एव तमयस्ते चैव मे वान्धवासितं केवकमेक एव हि कयं जातोऽहमन्याकृतिः ॥

Meanwhile, Candramati was reborn as a dog in a cowherd settlement in Karahāṭa, a country lying to the south of the Vindhya mountain. It so happened that the dog was also presented by the owner to the king, who was glad to receive so fine an animal for his hunting excursions.

One day the peacock wandered to the seventh floor of the royal palace, and discovered Amrtamati dallying with her paramour, the cripple. The peacock became mad with rage and attacked the pair with his beak, wings and throat. The serving maids saw this, and raising a hue and cry, struck the bird with whatever they found ready to hand, caskets, canes, fans and shoes. The dog, too, came and rushed upon the bird and killed him. The king, who was playing a game of dice nearby, saw the dog attacking the peacock, and dealt a blow at the animal with the board, killing him outright.

- b) In the next birth Yasodhara became a stag and Candramati a serpent. On the very day of his birth, the former developed a taste for snake's flesh, and discovered the serpent while digging up ant-hills with his horns. He at once started to devour the reptile, but the hoarse sound emanating from his throat, gorged with snake's flesh, awakened a hyena asleep in a neighbouring grove of Kadamba trees, and the stag in his turn became the hyena's prey.
- c) Yasodhara was then reborn as a huge fish and Candramati as a crocodile in the Siprā. One day, during the water-sports of the women of Ujjayinī in the river, a maid of the royal household was seized by the crocodile, and Yasomati, in anger, ordered the fishermen to destroy all dangerous animals in the river. They at once got into all kinds of fishing craft; and in the course of the operation, the crocodile was pierced in the throat by a spear, and the fish, too, caught in a net. Both of them

were brought ashore, and ordered by the king to be made over to the cook of a hospice for Brahmins. Everyday slices from their bodies were cooked and served to the inmates until they both expired after prolonged sufferings.

d) After this, Yasodhara and Candramati were reborn as a pair of goats in a herd of sheep in the village of Kankahi, near Ujjayini. One day, while the male goat (Yasodhara) was covering the female, the herd got scared, and the leader of the rams attacked the billy-goat with his horns. The goat died, but was reborn in the womb of the she-goat. One day Yasomati came there on a hunting expedition, accompanied by a large number of hunters; but unable to kill any game in the woods, he returned, angry and disgruntled, and while passing through the herd, hit the she-goat (Candramati) with an arrow, ripped open her belly, and found the kid in the womb.

The young goat was entrusted to the care of the chief cook, and passed a few months in the royal kitchen. There he saw Amrtamati teaching the cooks how to roast meat, but she had been stricken with leprosy in consequence of her sins, and her loathsome body emitted so foul a smell that the attendants had to go about, covering their noses. Besides, the maids used to point at her mockingly, and tell passers-by how she had administered poison to her husband, the great and good Yasodhara.

Meanwhile, the she-goat, after her death, was reborn as a buffalo in Kalinga. Purchased by the owner of a caravan, the animal came in course of time to Ujjayini, and used to swim in the Siprā. One day he happened to meet Yasomati's horse, and immediately made a murderous attack on the latter, owing to the innate mutual hostility of the two species of animals. As a punishment, the buffalo was tortured to death under the orders of the king. The young goat, too, was killed for the table of Amrtamati who was inordinately fond of meat.

e) Yasodhara and his mother Candramati were next reborn as a cock and a hen, but there is a prelude to the story.

A sage named Manmathamathana was engaged in deep meditation on the Vijayārdha mountain. A Vidyādhara named Kandalavilāsa, who was going over the mountain in his aerial car, was ashamed to find that the motion of the vehicle was checked by the mystic force of the sage. By way of retaliation, the Vidyādhara decided to exercise his own magical powers to interrupt the meditation of the sage, and conjured up a scene of terror, by producing torrential rain, hail-stones and hurricanes, and a crowd of goblins, decked with serpents. Ratnasikhandin, the king of the Vidyādharas, who was coming to worship the sage, was enraged to

see the evil action of Kandalavilāsa, and cursed him, saying that as a result of his offence he would become a hangman in the city of Ujjayinī. Implored by the Vidyādhara for mercy, Ratnasikhandin decreed that he would regain his former state and powers, if he ever had an opportunity of meeting the great sage Sudatta, and received religious instruction from him. Incidentally, the king of the Vidyādharas related that Sudatta was once the mighty king of Kalinga. One day a thief was produced before his court and accused of having murdered and robbed a barber in his sleep. The judges, consulted by the king, opined that the culprit should be subjected to various kinds of torture and maltreatment in such a way that he might expire in ten to twelve days. Hearing this verdict, Sudatta pondered over the dilemma of kings: if they served the cruel ends of justice, they were bound to incur sin; if they did not, there would be social disorder and imputation of cowardice. Failing to solve the problem of reconciling justice with mercy, Sudatta renounced his kingdom and became a Jaina monk.

In due course the Vidyādhara appeared in the role of a hangman in Ujjayinī, and Yaśodhara and Candramati were reborn as a cock and a hen in a Cāṇḍāla settlement in the vicinity of the city. One day the hangman who was known as Caṇḍākarman, happened to see the birds in the hands of a Cāṇḍāla boy, acquired them from him, and took them to Yaśomati. The latter was about to start for the garden of the Temple of the Thousand Spires, accompanied by a large retinue composed of boon companions and women of the harem, for the purpose of celebrating the worship of Makaradhvaja, the god of love. The king asked Caṇḍakarman to take the birds to the scene of the festival and give an exhibition of cock-fighting.

The temple garden was full of orange-coloured tents and rows of pavilions erected for the festival. Candakarman went there with the birds in a cage, accompanied by the augur Āsuri, a Bhāgavata; the astrologer Dhūmadhvaja, a Brāhmaṇa; the Śaiva Haraprabodha, an expert in divining underground treasure; and the Buddhist Sugatakīrti, a consummate cheat. There they saw Sudatta under an Aśoka tree, and severally expounded before the sage the philosophical tenets followed by each. Sudatta refuted all their doctrines, and explained that Ahimsā or non-injury to living creatures is the basis of Dharma, the sole means of attaining worldly happiness and final beatitude. In illustration of his point, he referred to the sufferings of the two birds in their various previous births as a result of obeying the law of violence, and briefly mentioned the circumstances of the death of Candramati and Yaśodhara and the succession of their births. Candakarman and his companions, chastened by the teachings of the sage, took the

vow of Jaina laymen; and Candakarman himself, having fulfilled the conditions of the curse inflicted upon him, regained his former status and departed for the world of the Vidyādharas.

Meanwhile, Yasomati was enjoying the company of his consort Kusumāvalī in a tent in the garden. Wishing to show her his skill in hitting invisible objects with arrows simply by hearing the sound, he shot an arrow which pierced and killed the cock and the hen left behind by Candakarman. Soon after, Kusumāvalī conceived, and the birds found their next birth in her womb. During the ante-natal period, the queen implored the king to proclaim protection from injury for all creatures and prohibit the sale of wine and the use of meat. Further, she expressed a keen desire to have teachings on kindness to living creatures expounded to her, and worship holy women noted for their self-control. The king was convinced that he was going to have a child with an innate leaning towards the Jaina religion, and tried his best to fulfil the wishes of the queen. In due course Kusumāvalī gave birth to a pair of twins, a boy and a girl, who were respectively called YASASTILAKA and Madanamati, better known as Abhayaruci and Abhayamati, on account of the mother's solicitude for abhaya or 'protection from injury' for all creatures during the period preceding their birth. The children grew up, and it was rumoured that Abhayaruci would soon be made crownprince, and Abhayamati married to the king of Ahicchatra.

One day Yasomati went hunting, and happened to see the sage Sudatta in the garden of the Temple of the Thousand Spires. Ajamāra, a boon companion of the king, suggested that the inauspicious sight of the naked ascetic augured ill for the hunt, and this annoyed the king and made him feel disgust for the sage. A merchant named Kalyāṇamitra, who had come to pay homage to Sudatta, entreated Yasomati not to be disrespectful towards the saint, who was once the king of Kalinga and renounced his throne to practise religious austerities. The merchant and Yasomati then both paid their respects to the sage, who greeted the king with a benedictory verse, and uttered a panegyric (p. 262):

स्वं वीरवैरिवनिवानयनेन्दुकान्तनिष्यन्दसंपिद्धं मतोऽसि नरेश राजा । भादित्य एव च भवाबहिताङ्गनाङ्गनिस्तोकशोकतपनोपलदीपनेषु ॥

The affable conduct of the sage touched the king to the heart; and repenting that he should have ever harboured ill-feeling for so good a man, he resolved within himself to cut off his own head and lay it at the feet of the sage as an expiatory offering. The latter at once read Yaśomati's thoughts and forbade him to do such a thing. The miraculous power of the sage astonished the king, who now questioned him about the whereabouts of his departed parents and grand-parents after their death. The sage

related that Yasorgha, the king's grand-father, after practising religious austerities according to Jaina tenets, became after his death a divine sage, while his mother Amrtamati went to the fifth hell in consequence of her sins. As for his father Yasodhara and grand-mother Candramati, they had to undergo countless sufferings in many a birth owing to the sin of having sacrificed a cock made out of flour-paste in lieu of living animals, and have now been reborn as Yasomati's own children, Abhayaruei and Abhayamati. The words of the sage made the king realise the enormity of his own sin on account of having killed numerous animals and partaken of their flesh since his early childhood. Disgusted with life, he made up his mind to follow the path of religion, but before renouncing the world, narrated to Abhayaruei and Abhayamati the story of their previous births.

The ascetic boy (Abhayaruci) now relates to Māradatta that he and his sister Abhayamati, on hearing their father's words, suddenly remembered their former births, and decided in their turn to renounce the world, although they were at that time only about eight years old. Owing to their tender age, they became religious apprentices under the sage Sudatta, and travelling in his company, had arrived at the outskirts of Ujjayinī, when they were arrested by Māradatta's men and produced before him.

The narrative of the ascetic boy visibly moved Māradatta, and the latter, having realised that worldly happiness is like a dream or a magic show, expressed his desire to enter the order as a novice. Abhayaruci advised him to see the sage Sudatta, and both set out to meet the great teacher.

Book VI

Sudatta, perceiving by his supernatural knowledge that Māradatta was coming to meet him, himself came to the king's court, and was received with honour. Abhayaruci introduced Māradatta to the sage as the son of king Caṇḍamahāsena of the Yadu dynasty and the younger brother of his mother Kusumāvalī, and spoke about the prince's desire to be initiated into the religious life. Māradatta then questioned Sudatta about the nature of Dharma, the causes of salvation and the cycle of births, and the duties of householders and monks.

Sudatta now commences his great discourse on Jaina doctrines, starting with a brief resume and criticism of the theories of other schools, and an exposition of the doctrine of Samyaktva or right faith, that is, faith in the tenets of the Jaina religion. He then describes the four obstacles to the growth of Samyaktva as well as the four factors conducive to its growth, illustrating each of them with appropriate stories which occupy most of the

Chapter. This portion of the discourse ends with an enumeration of the different categories of Samyaktva and a brief difinition of Right knowledge and Right conduct, which with Samyaktva constitute the fundamental dogma of the Jains faith.

Book VII

Continuing his discourse, Sudatta deals with certain essential features of Jaina religious practice, e. g., the prohibition of wine and meat. The stories are again prominent, and illustrate the evil results of drinking and flesh-eating and the merit of abstaining therefrom. Another series of stories illustrates in detail the bitter consequences of malevolence, theft or breach of trust, falsehood, lust and greed, abstention from which forms the keynote of the five primary vows known as Anuvratas.

Book VIII

The Jaina system of worship and devotions (japa, dhyāna etc.) is outlined in the remaining portion of Sudatta's elaborate discourse. His teachings have the desired effect, and Māradatta and the citizens are initiated into the Jaina religion. Abhayaruci and his sister, Māradatta who became an ascetic, the sage Sudatta, and Yasomati, who had already renounced the world and taken the ascetic's vow, all became celestial beings after their death.

Somadeva sings the glory of the Jaina faith and acclaims the Goddess of Poetry at the end of the story (p. 418):

जयतु जगदानन्दस्यन्दी जिनोक्तिसुधारसस्तदनु जयतात् कामारामः सतां फलसंगमैः। जयतु कवितादेवी शश्वत्ततश्च यदाश्रयात् कृतिमतिरियं सूते सूक्तिं जगत्त्रयभूषणम् ॥

CHAPTER III

THE STORY OF YASODHARA AND ITS SOURCES

It is not possible to determine how old the story of Yasodhara is, but it is certain that it is much older than the 10th century. It is said that a Yasodharacarita by Prabhanjana is mentioned in the unpublished Prakrit romance Kuvalayamālā composed by Uddyotanasūri in 777 A. p. at Jāvālipura, identified with Jhalor in Marwar. This shows that the story must have been current long before the eighth century, and we find it

I The relevant verse of Kuvalayamālā is cited by Pt. Nathuram Premi in his Jaina Sāhitya aura Itihāsa, p. 539. The verse describes Prabhañjana as a Rājarṣi, and says that he became famous in the country by virtue of his Yaśodharacarita which was probably composed in Prākrit. See also Introduction to Apabhramśa-kāvyatrayī (G. O. S.), p. 90, and Jinavijayaji Muni's articles on Kuvalayamālā in Bhāratīya Vidyā, Vol. II, Parts I and II.

incorporated also in Haribhadra's Prākrit romance Samarāiccakahā. Haribhadra was one of the gurus of Uddyotana, and his literary activity is assigned to the middle of the eighth century.

HARIBHADRA'S PRAKRIT VERSION

The story of Yasodhara occurs in the fourth Bhava of Samarāio-cakahā' in connection with the story Dhana and Dhanasrī. Haribhadra's version is a detailed narrative, and important as recording the story as it was current two hundred years before it was handled by Somadeva. Its most notable feature is the absence of the episode of Māradatta and the projected human sacrifice in the temple of Candamārī. It may be surmised, although we cannot be sure on the point, that this was a later addition to the story of Yasodhara. Apart from this and certain other minor divergences, the Prākrit version does not materially differ from the story as we have it in Somadeva's Yasastilaka. Another noteworthy feature is that the names of the principal characters differ in the earlier version, and the name Yasodhara occurs only at the end. As in Somadeva's romance, the narrative is in the form of an autobiographical record, and related by the monk Yasodhara to Dhana, the hero of the fourth Bhava of Samarāiccakahā. The story as told by Haribhadra may be summarized as follows.

Surendradatta was the son of Amaradatta, the king of Visala. Yasodharā was his mother and Nayanāvalī his wife. Surendradatta succeeded his father on the throne, and on a certain occasion made up his mind to renounce the world on discovering a white hair on his head, saying that the messenger of Dharma had arrived. On the eve of his departure from worldly life, he passed a night with his consort Navanāvalī in her mansion, and was surprised to see her slink away from his side at the dead of night. Fearing that she might have gone out to commit suicide in her grief at the impending separation from him, he followed her footsteps, sword in hand, and was amazed to see her in the embrace of a hunchback, who was employed as a watchman at the palace. The king, who observed them unseen, drew his sword and was about to kill the guilty pair, but restrained himself, thinking of his past affection for the queen, the follies of women, and the young prince Gunadhara, his son. He then returned to bed and feigned to be asleep, and was shortly afterwards joined by the queen, who quietly laid herself to rest by his side.

Next night the king saw in a dream that he was sitting on a throne on the top of the palace, but was thrown to the ground by his mother Yasodharā, speaking something that was contrary to his wishes. He went

¹ Ed, by Jacobi, Bibliotheca Indica, No. 169, Calcutta 1926.

younger brother Yasovardhana was then installed on the throne; while Yasodhara, his father, Vinayamati, the queens, and the chief notables renounced the world and took the monastic vow under a celebrated teacher named Indrabhūti. Thus ends the story of the monk Yasodhara, as related by him to the merchant Dhana.

It will be seen that the sequel of Hraibhadra's version differs completely from that of the story as found in Somadeva's Yasastilaka. A comparison of the two versions reveals certain other points of difference in the treatment of the story.

Firstly, Somadeva does not pay much attention to Amrtamati after the murder of Yasodhara beyond the fact that she appears occasionally in certain episodes, according to the requirements of the story; and we are told at the end that she went to hell. Haribhadra, on the other hand, gives a more detailed picture of the guilty woman after her crime. The prose description of Nayanāvalī's affliction with leprosy is followed by several verses, in which her former beauty is contrasted with the physical havoc wrought by her dreadful disease. This reminds us of certain verses in Somadeva's description of the cremation ground in which he contrasts the decaying corpse of a courtesan with her former beauty and charms. Haribhadra says, for instance (p. 262):

वयणेण थणहरेणं चरणेहि य जीप्न निजिया भासि । सम्वविकयाणमहियं सोहा ससिक्कसक्मलाणं ॥ तीप विषय पेच्छ कहं अंगुलिबिगमस्वयसंगमेहिं च । ते चेव हंदि देसा धणियं उच्वेवया जाया ॥

Haribhadra touches also the subject of Nayanāvalī's redemption. Abhayaruci begs the sage Sudatta to give her religious instruction and initiate her into the Jaina religion. The sage replies that she is beyond the scope of religious instruction, that her disease of Karma has been aggravated by her misdeeds acting like prohibited food, and that she is doomed to hell and unfit to receive the blessings of Jainism on account of her great delusion.

Secondly, the motive of the murder is not the same in the two versions. Somadeva represents Amrtamati as acting in self-defence, while in Haribhadra's version Nayanāvalī murders her husband just to avoid taking the monastic vow. This is a weak point in Haribhadra's treatment of the story. The delineation of Amrtamati by Somadeva is far more complex, involving as it does a study of character and analysis of motives.

^{1 1, 95}ff. See Chapter VII.

^{2 &#}x27;अविसओ खु एसा धम्मकहाए । संतिष्पओ इमीए अकब्बायरणापच्छासेनणाए कम्मनाही, बुद्धं च तचपुढनीए परअवाउयं, अओ पावियव्यमवस्सं तीए नारयत्ताणं, न १४ अइ व एसा महामोहओ जिणधम्मरयणं ति।' p. 278.

³ See below.

Thirdly, there is hardly any theological bias in Haribhadra's version. Somadeva employs the dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother in Book IV on the subject of animal sacrifice as a vehicle for launching a series of attacks on Hinduism; and the aim of the writer is also to establish the claim of Jainism to greater consideration than it seems to have received among his non-Jaina cantemporaries. In Haribhadra's version, the mother, indeed, asks her son to sacrifice animals 'according to the Vedic procedure', but the latter confines himself to pointing out that a propitiatory rite is not compatible with the killing of animals, and that he best performs a sāntikarma who regards all living beings with the same consideration as himself (p. 246):

इहकोए परकोए य संतियनमं अशुक्तरं तस्त । जह पेन्छड् अप्पाणं तह जो सम्बे सथा जीवे ॥

As regards the mother's contention that it is permissible to commit sin for the sake of health, the son asserts that it is rather on account of the protection given to living creatures that a man acquires longevity, beauty and health, besides winning universal admiration in the life to come (p. 247):

दीहाउओ सुक्रवी नीरोगी होड् अमयदाणेणं । जम्मंतरे वि जीवी सयस्जणसस्ताहणिजी य ॥

The controversy between the king and his mother, in Haribhadra's version, comes to an end with a declaration by the former of the evils of flesh-eating and the benefits of abstention therefrom. Throughout the episode the Jaina standpoint is stressed, but attacks on the Brahmanical religion are entirely absent. It is obviously far from the intention of Haribhadra to make the mother and the son protagonists of two rival faiths, as is done by the author of Yasastilaka.

Thoughts on the treachery of women are common to both the versions, and that of Haribhadra contains besides a condemnation of marriage in the episode of Yasodhara and Vinayamati. Most of the birth stories generally agree in the two versions, but there are certain episodes which Haribhadra with his lucid and simple style treats in a more realistic fashion. The torture of the buffalo that killed the king's favourite horse, is, for example, repulsive enough in Somadeva, but it is more so in the detailed picture of refined cruelty whith Haribhadra presents before his readers. On the whole, the latter's version of the story of Yasodhara is a well-balanced narrative with qualities which we miss in the intricate prose of Somadeva's Yasastilaka.

¹ See Chapter XIII.

² Book V.

Analogous Stories

Considered as a folk-tale, the story of Yasodhara is of considerable interest, although the *motif* of adultery is quite common in folklore and legend. The chief interest centres round the character of Amrtamati, who represents a type recognised very early in Indian literature. An ancient Buddhist text, for example, speaking of the seven kinds of wives, refers to the first variety as eachakā 'murderess' and describes her thus:

पदुद्विचा महितायुकंपिनी भम्नेसु रत्ता अतिसम्मते पति । भनेन कीतस्य वधाय उरसुका या एवरूपा पुरिसस्य भारिया ॥

वषका च भारिया ति च सा पतुचति-Anguttaranikāya (Sattakanipāta LIX).

The vadhakā wife, we are told, is corrupt in mind and addicted to evil; she despises her husband and reserves her affection for others; she is bent on murder, and may be purchased by wealth. Guilty of adultery and murder, Amṛtamati is $vadhak\bar{a}$, but the characteristic thing about her is that she, a woman of high rank, has a lowborn paramour.

The closest parallel to the tale of Yasodhara and Amrtamati is found in another Jaina story, that of Devarati and Raktā. Devarati was the king of Ayodhyā and Raktā his queen. It is said that Raktā had a paramour in the person of a lame gardener, and got rid of the king by murdering him and throwing the corpse into a river. The story of Devarati and Raktā seems to be as old as the Yasodhara story, as it is referred to in Jasaharacariu (II. 10) of Puspadanta¹, who, like Somadeva, belongs to the tenth century. The story is mentioned also in Anagāradharmāmṛta (4. 77) of Āsādhara who wrote about the middle of the thirteenth century.

Jaina narrative literature acquaints us with the existence of Amrtamatis in middle-class society as well. In the story of the wealthy young merchant Dhana and his wife Dhanaśri, occurring in the fourth Book of Haribhadra's Samarāiccakahā, Dhanaśri has a paramour in the person of her servant Nandaka, administers poison to her husband, throws him overboard during a sea-voyage, and succeeds in killing him even after he has escaped and become a monk. In the similar story in the sixth Book of the same work, Lakṣmi, the wife of the young and wealthy

गब्संगमि बुद्धव वहारिणिए उनयारिनमुक्का सहिरिणिए । उच्छाहिउ देनरह ति मृद्ध पंगुलिणिमित्तु रत्ताह छुतु ।

² The story of Devarati is referred to in the Bhagavatt Ārādhanā of Śivārya; and it is given, in short or eleborately, in different Kathākośas. See Brhatkathākośa (ed. A. N. Upadhye, Bombay 1943) Intro. p. 76, Story No. 85, Notes p. 388.

merchant Dharana, is no better than Dhanasrī: she first prefers a robber and then a merchant from China to her husband whom she attempts to murder. Two other women Gopavatī and Vīravatī give evidence of similar propensities in stories which seem to be drawn from the life of the common people. Both the stories are mentioned in Jasuharacariu of Puspadanta (II. 9) and in Anagāradharmāmṛta of Āśādhara (op. cit.), who alludes to Raktā, Gopavatī and Vīravatī together, showing that the three women were notorious in Jaina tradition for their treachery and crimes. It may be mentioned that Daśakumāracarita (Book VI) and Kathāsaritsāgara 10. 9 also contain a popular tale in which a woman attempts to murder her husband for the sake of a mutilated wretch, the two versions showing variations in details.

The placid complacence of Yasodhara after the discovery of his consort's crime is a noteworthy feature of our story and seems to go beyond the ordinary limits of forbearance. But the pardoning of the guilty wife is a trait that fits in with the religious character of the story; and the motif occurs also in the ancient Buddhist tale in which the Bodhisattva, while a king, once pardoned his chief queen, who had been found guilty of a serious offence and taken to the place of execution. Kings are not, however, always lenient in regard to their guilty wives; and Jaina tradition records stories of how some of them dealt with their adulterous queens. The Vivagasuya, the eleventh Anga of the Jaina canon, records the story of the young priest Bahassaïdatta, who is surprised by king Udāyana of Kosambi in the inner apartments of his palace in the queen's company, and in consequence arrested and sentenced to death. Another story occurs in Hemacandra's Parisistaparvan (2. 547 ff.), in which one of the wives of a king pays a nocturnal visit to an elephant-driver, as in the story of Yasodhara, but is discovered; and a dreadful sentence is passed on the guilty pair.

POPULARITY OF THE STORY OF YASODHARA

The popularity of the story of Yasodhara with Jaina writers seems to date from the tenth century. Puspadanta who told the story in Apabhramsa verse in his Jasaharacarin in four Cantos was a contemporary of Somadeva, the author of Yasastilaka. The story was next narrated in

¹ रक्ता देवरति सरिखवनिषं रक्ताऽक्षिपत् पहुके, कान्तं गोपवती द्रवन्तमवधीच्छस्या सपश्रीशिरः। शूलखेन मलिम्सुचेन दिलतं स्वोद्यं किलाल्यत् पतिच्छन्नं बीर्वतीति निन्त्यमबलावृत्तं सुवृत्तैः सदा॥ IV. 77. See also Bhagavati Ārādhanā, 949-51, and Brhatkathākośa, story Nos. 85-87.

^{2 &#}x27;इमिना एव च मगवता राज्ञा सता अश्रमहिन्याः महापराषापराद्धाया व बस्पानप्राप्तायाः याचिन्त्वा अश्रयं दक्तं Mahavastu, Vol. I, p. 132.

Sanskrit verse by Vādirāja who wrote a Yasodharacarita in four Cantos. Vādirāja is said to have been a pupil of Somadeva¹ and wrote his Pārtvanāthacarita, a Sanskrit Kāvya, in twelve Cantos, according to his own statement, in Saka 947 or 1025 A. D. After Vädirāja may be mentioned Vāsavasena, who wrote a Yasodhamcarita in Sanskrit in eight Cantos, and Vatsarāja who also composed a poem on the subject. Vāsavasena and Vatsarāja are earlier than the fourteenth century, as the poet Gandharva who made certain additions to the Jasaharacariu of Puspadanta in 1308 A. D. refers to both of them as his sources for certain episodes in the story of Yasodhara. Vāsavasena, too, mentions in his work two earlier poets who handled the story of Yasodhara, viz., Prabhanjana and Harisena. Prabhañjana, as we have seen, is earlier than the eighth century, and one of the earliest writers who narrated the tale of Yasodhara. We know nothing about Harişena's work, but he may perhaps be identified with Harişena who wrote his Kathākosa in Sanskrit verse in 931 A. D. at Wadhwan in Kathiawar. There is however more than one Harisena, and the identification must await further evidence.

The widespread popularity of the tragic story of Yasodhara is shown by the fact that numerous other poems dealing with the subject were composed in the succeeding centuries not only in Sanskrit but in Old Gujarati, Old Hindi, Tamil and Kannada; while a prose version of the story in Sanskrit was produced by Kṣamākalyāṇa as late as the 18th century. A comprehensive list of these works has been compiled by Dr. Vaidya in the Introduction to his edition of Puṣpadanta's Jasaharacariu.

THE CHARACTER OF AMRTAMATI

Somadeva's Yasastilaka, composed in prose and verse, is entirely different from the other versions of the story of Yasodhara inasmuch as it is also a great socio-political study and a learned compendium of philosophy, theology and religion. Even in the treatment of the story proper, Somadeva is not without some originality, following as he does his own method in dealing with some of the principal episodes. But his most important contribution to the narrative is his portrayal of Amritamati's character. He, of course, regards her as a sinner, but takes care to analyse her motives, explain her conduct, and exhibit her point of view. Thus Yasodhara,

¹ See Chapter I.

² Vaidya: Introduction to Jasaharacariu, p. 18.

³ Ibid., p. 25.

⁴ Now edited by Dr. A. N. Upadhye (Singhi Jaina Series, 17, Bombay 1943) who kindly supplied these details. See Intre. pp. 117-18.

in the course of his long and bitter tirade against women, tries to discover the cause of the queen's strange infatuation with the deformed elephant-driver, and attributes it to his melodious singing. He says: "The preceptors declare singing to be the greatest of the arts. A well-sung song makes even a man, wretched by nature, the resort of the eyes of young women as of their minds. A singer, though ugly in appearance, is more beautiful in the eyes of women than even the god of love. Even women, not easily visible, are deeply attracted by song, as if dragged by a halter. Singing done by expert singers does away with the obstinate pride of proud women, and produces in them an anguish that cannot be remedied by others." This at least partially explains the queen's undignified passion for a paramour of humble station.

Further, it is apparent from the words put in the mouth of Amrtamati, after the discovery of her infidelity, that her married life was an unhappy one, and she had come to Yasodhara as an unwilling bride. This seems to be the meaning of her assertion that Yasodhara and others like him can only be the masters of the bodies of their wives. sold to them by their parents in the presence of the gods, the Brāhmanas. and the Fire, but not of their hearts: the lord of one's heart is he who is the object of one's undivided love, 'the haven of confidence.' Less cogent is her appeal to the example of certain wives of legend, who, it is hinted, acted like her, the reference being to the relations of Ganga with Siva, of Radha with Kṛṣṇa, of Tara, wife of Bṛhaspati, with the Moon-god, and of Tārā, wife of Sugrīva, with Vāli. The appeal to antiquity reminds one of that in the nurse's speech in the Hippolytus of Euripides (vv. 451 sq.), and does not improve Amrtamati's case; it is rather an admission of her moral weakness. It is, however, certain that Amrtamati was thoroughly disgusted with her married life with Yasodhara. As she says," there is nothing in the world which men of fortitude cannot do except the reawakening of love in a heart filled with disgust: who can, indeed, unite two hearts that are like two iron balls, the one hot and the other

^{1 &#}x27;गृणन्ति च कलासु गीतस्वैव परं महिमानसुपाध्यायाः। सुप्रशुक्तं हि गीतं स्वभावदुर्भगमपि नरं करोति सुवतीनां नयनमनोविश्रामस्यानम्। भवति कुरूपोऽपि गायनः कामदेवादपि कामिनीनां प्रियदर्शनः। गानेन हि दुर्दशे अपि योषितः पान्नोनाष्ट्रहा ६व द्वतरां संगष्ट्रक्ते। कुशलैः कुनप्रयोगं हि गेयमपनीय मानग्रहमपरमेव कंचिदनन्यजनसाध्य-माधिसुत्पादयति मनस्विनीनाम्।' Book IV, p. 55. The idea goes as far back as the Yajurveda. Cf. Taittiriyasamhitā VI. 1. 6: गायन्तं स्वियः कामयन्तै.

^{2 &#}x27;कुलवधूनां श्रयमन्यश्च देवद्विजासिसमक्षं मातापितृविकीतस्य कायस्यंय भवतीश्वरः न मनसः। तस्य पुनः स एव स्वामी यत्रायमसाधारणः प्रवर्तते परं विभन्भविभमाश्रयः प्रणयः।' Ibid., p. 141.

अध्यासस्त्रेषु हि जगति न किंचिड्रक्करमस्ति अन्यत्र विरक्ते चैतसि रागप्रत्यानयनात् । को हि नामायःपिण्ड इव तप्तातमे मनसी संवाह्ममहित ।' Ibid., p. 142.

cold? Further, she accuses Yasodhara of jealousy when she says that only an intelligent person, free from jealousy, can be happy with women; one who behaves otherwise runs the risk of losing his life, like a worshipper of the evil spirit Kṛtyā, or at least incurs a woman's hatred. Amṛtamati's plea for reciprocity of love as an essential condition of conjugal harmony cannot, of course, absolve her from moral responsibility as wife and mother. But she says enough to convince us of the misery of her married life, and we may feel inclined to look upon her failure as a wife as a domestic tragedy rather than as an inveterate case of moral depravity.

The next episode, the murder of Yasodhara, can be regarded only as a premeditated crime without any redeeming feature; but even here, as already pointed out, Somadeva represents Amrtamati as acting in self-defence. She regards the story of Yasodhara's dream and his plan to renounce the world as a feint, that is, as a device to take her unawares, and resolves to act quickly: 'Before he lets loose the poison of his wrath on me, I will let it loose on him!" She opines that a person who is honest in his dealings with cheats and villains, the wily and the selfish, and those who lack in self-respect, is a fool and becomes the dupe of all. So she decides to follow 'the policy of deceit for deceit' (sathapratisathanyāya), and pay Yasodhara in his own coin. The plea of self-defence is, however, hardly convincing, as there is nothing in Yasodhara's attitude to justify any suspicion of revengeful action on his part. Somadeva is well aware of this, and does not, in fact, try to palliate Amrtamati's crime. But he depicts her as a weakling who cannot help her instincts, and lacks the moral strength to resist their power over her life. He analyses her motives and examines her conduct from different points of view, and seeks to preserve to some extent our sympathy for the guilty woman. In the succeeding portion of the story Somadeva does not add anything important to the traditional presentation of Amrtamati's career, and seems rather to lose interest in her and lets her appear according to the exigencies of the story. But, on the whole, Amrtamati in Somadeva's romance is a remarkable piece of characterization showing originality and skill, and reveals an aspect of the author's genius all but obscured by the wealth of scholarship and learning exhibited in the work.

^{1 &#}x27;किंच परमजुहन एव पुरशीषु बुद्धिमानवामोलि स्वश्रेयनम्। अन्यथा कृत्याराथक इत धुवं पञ्चलनः पञ्चलामञ्जेत् । भवेद्वावश्यमक्षिणतः।' p. 142. Srutasagara says परं केवलमजुहन एव ईर्ध्यारहित एव । Ibid.

^{2 &#}x27;न खड़ में यामिनीसमाचिरतसाहसादस्य वसुमतीपतेरवरमेनंविश्वकूटकपटानुष्ठानमस्ति ।' Ibid. p. 141.

^{3 &#}x27;तदेष यावश्र मि रोषिषं वर्षेति तावदहमेवास्य तद्वर्षाम ।' Ibid., p. 142.
4 धूर्तेषु मायाबिषु दुर्भनेषु स्वार्वेकनिष्ठेषु विमानितेषु । वर्तेत यः साधुतया स लोके प्रतार्वते मुन्धमितिन केन ॥
1bid., p. 145.

CHAPTER IV

Yaśastilaka as a Prose Romance

The history of Sanskrit prose romances shows a lamentable gap after the masterpieces of Bāṇa and Subandhu; and when prose romances reappear two centuries later, they bear the impress of new influences and point to a somewhat different literary environment. In the first place, most of the Sanskrit prose romances of the tenth and eleventh centuries were composed by Jaina writers, who were eager to expound and glorify their religion, and may be said to have introduced a religious element into this branch of literary composition. In the second place, there is an increased tendency to mix prose with verse, and the two earliest extant Campūs were, in fact, composed in the tenth century.

Somadeva's Yasastilaka owes a good deal to Bāṇa and Subandhu in the matter of style and the treatment of conventional topics, but it differs from all other Sanskrit prose romances in so many respects that it may be said to stand in a class by itself. Apart from its special characteristics as a prose narrative, Yasastilaka combines features which bring it into relation with diverse branches of Sanskrit literature. It is not only a Jaina romance in prose and verse but a learned compendium of Jaina and non-Jaina philosophical and religious doctrines, a manual of statecraft, and a great repository of Kāvya poetry, ancient tales, citations and references, and numerous rare words of lexical interest. Somadeva's Yasastilaka is a work of massive scholarship enlivened by occasional flashes of literary genius and poetic feeling.

Among his predecessors in the field of prose romances, Somadeva mentions Bāṇa in Yaśastilaka, Book IV, and shows some acquaintance with his works, especially the Kādambarī. He clearly refers to the deprecation of the life of the Sabara hunters uttered by the parrot in Bāṇa's romance, and quotes a phrase from the passage in question. Somadeva's claim that the religion of the Arhat enjoys great renown in the works of Bāṇa among others should, however, be taken with a grain of salt. Bāṇa, indeed, refers to Jaina mendicants carrying peacock feathers in Kādambarī as well as Harṣacarita*, and in the former work describes Vilāsavatī as respectfully offering food to naked Jaina mendicants called Siddhas and asking them questions about the future. The Jinadharma, compassionate to living

भाहारः सामुजननिन्दतो मधुमांसादिरिति कथं चेदं सुगयोपयोगानन्दं शवरष्ट्रन्दं निन्दतावादि वाणेन ।'.

^{2 &#}x27;कैश्वित् क्षपणकेरिव मयूरिष्क्षणारिभिः' Kādambari; 'शिक्षितक्षपणकवृत्तय इव वनमयूरिष्क्षंत्रयानु-विन्यस्तः' Haryacarita, Book II.

^{3 &#}x27;खबसुप्रतिविष्यपात्राम् मिक्सम्बर्णेन मनला सिद्धादेशाम् नसक्षपणनाम् पप्रच्छ ।'.

creatures, is mentioned in Kādambarī. But the sight of a naked and unwashed Jaina mendicant with his peacock feathers is mentioned among certain evil omens enumerated in Harsacarita, Book V.

Somadeva is not only influenced by Bāṇa and Subandhu in the conventional descriptions such as those of the seasons, morning and evening scenery, mountains, kings and countries etc., but also borrows an idea here and there from the earlier writers. The description of the different categories of villains and their origin in Yasastilaka, Book III, is obviously suggested by that of the fourteen families of nymphs and their origin in Kādambarī. The famous advice of Sukanāsa to Candrāpīda has its counterpart in Yasasatilaka, Book II. The conglomeration of picturesque names of women in brief sentences occurring towards the end of the same Book is a device already found in Kādambarī, Harsacarita and Vāsavadattā. The enumeration of evil omens in Yasastilaka, Book IV, may be compared with the similar lists in Harsacarita, Books V and VI. Dust in Kāvya literature is not as trifling a thing as one might suppose, and the description of the battle scene and the resulting dust in Yasastilaka, Book III, is forestalled in Vāsavadattā; while Bāṇa in Kādambarī pays attention to the dust raised by Candrapida's expedition. A brief description of a cremation ground occurs in Subandhu's work; but the similar account in Yasastilaka, Book I, is in verse and far more elaborate and serves a different purpose.

These and other similarities between Yasastilaka and the romances of Bana and Subandhu would appear to be superficial in face of the essential differences in style, outlook, and the character of the respective stories. The style of Bana and Subandhu, though by no means simple. is simpler than that of Somadeva's prose; and the Jaina narrative shows, on the whole, a greater elaboration of details than is found in Kādambarī and Vāsavadaitā. It is noteworthy that Somadeva has chosen to employ the involved style even in the moral and religious tales narrated in Yasastilaka, Books VI and VII; and one of the factors which makes his prose less attractive than that of Bana and Subandhu is the use of rare and obsolete words, which, though valuable from a philological point of view, makes Yasastilaka a far more difficult work than the earlier prose romances. Many of the descriptive passages are undoubtedly more complicated and artificial than those of Kādambarī. One may contrast, for example, the portrait of Jabali with that of Sudatta in Yasastilaka, Book I; the picture of the Salmali tree in the Vindhya forest in Bana's

^{1 &#}x27;जिनधरेंणेव जीवानुकस्पिना'.

व 'कळालमय इव बद्वदिवसमुपचितवहलमलपटलमिलिनिततनुरिभमुखमाजगाम शिखिषिच्छलाच्छनो नग्नाटकः।'.

romance with the unwieldy description of the huge tree in the valley of the Suvela mountain towards the beginning of Yasastilaka, Book V; and Bāṇa's beautiful portrayal of the trees around the Siva temple where Mahāśvetā worshipped with the Jaina author's laboured description of the pleasure garden in Yasastilaka, Book I. Similarly, the enumeration of different varieties of plants illustrated in Subandhu's description of the seashore in Vāsavadattā is overdone in Somadeva's picture of the great forest in Yasastilaka, Book V. It may be said, on the whole, that in natural descriptions Somadeva is far excelled by Bāṇa and Subandhu; and there is nothing in Yasastilaka which will bear comparision with the serene picture of the rule of concord and harmony governing the life of animals and human beings in Jābāli's hermitage, as described in Bāṇa's Kādambarī. Lengthy and detailed descriptions of female beauty, so wearisome to readers of Sanskrit prose romances, are practically absent in Yasastilaka owing to the very nature of the subject-matter.

More important than the question of style is the fundamental difference between the story of Yasodhara and the romantic tales of Kādambarī and Vāsavadattā. The salient feature of the story of Yasodhara is that it is a realistic tale based on a domestic tragedy, even an unpleasant incident of domestic life, around which is woven a story of moral and religious edification. It was a daring experiment on the part of Somadeva to have composed a romance, of which adultery and murder were two prominent features, in the grand style of Bana and Subandhu; and Yasastilaka, is, as a matter of fact, the only considerable Sanskrit prose romance which deals with tragic incidents of conjugal life, eschewing romantic love in favour of grim realities and the workings of fate. From this standpoint Yasastilaka may be described as a realistic novel, and the note of realism is accentuated by the complete absence of the miraculous except in a few minor episodes independent of the main story. This appears to be a noteworthy characteristic of Somadeva's work when we remember the part played by the miraculous in all other Sanskrit prose romances from Kādambarī to Gadyacintāmaņi.

Two other characteristic features of Yasastilaka may be emphasized: it is a vivid picture of certain aspects of contemporary life and society as well as a great religious romance; and in both respects it differs widely not only from Kādambarī and Vāsavadattā but from the remaining Sanskrit prose romances. These points have been dealt with in subsequent chapters, and we may here attempt a somewhat detailed treatment of Somadeva's prose as far as it is represented in his Yasastilaka.

As is usual in Sanskrit prose romances, long-winding descriptions preponderate in Yasastilaka to the detriment of the narrative, and are

often complicated by conglomerations of intricate and loosely constructed compounds of considerable length. There is, however, no doubt that Somadeva has at his command a rich variety of details, and shows an intimate knowledge of diverse phases of the life of his times. The conventional descriptions are mostly involved and artificial, but there are others simpler and more effective, mostly dealing with topics peculiar to the romance, and by no means frequent in Kāvya literature. We may start, for example, with the picture of the dread goddess Candamārī in Book I (p. 150):

यसाः कपालमालाः शिखण्डमण्डनानि, शवशिशनः श्रवणावतंसाः, प्रमितप्रकोष्ठाः कर्णकुण्डलानि, परेतकीकस-मणयः कण्ठभूषणानि, परासुनलरसाः शरीरवर्णकानि, गतजीवितकरङ्काः करकीडाकमलानि, सीधुसिन्धवः संध्याचमनकुल्याः, पितृवनानि विद्वारभूमयः, चिताभितानि चन्द्रकवलाः, चण्डातकमाईचर्माणि, सारसनं मृतकाश्रव्खेदाः, प्रनर्तनप्रदेशः संस्थितोरःस्थलानि, कन्दुकविनोदः स्तभोत्तमाङ्कः, जलकेलयः शोणितदीर्घिकाभिः, निशावलिप्रदीपाः श्रवशानकृशानुकीलाभिः, प्रस्यवसानोपकरणानि नरशिरःकरोटिभिः, महान्ति दोहदानि च सर्वसत्त्वोपहारेण ।

"Garlands of human skulls are her head-ornament. Corpses of children are her ear-ornament. The elbows of dead men are her earrings. Balls made from the bones of dead bodies form her necklaces. The oozings from the leg-bones of corpses serve as her cosmetics. Skeletons play the part of toy-lotuses in her hands. Rivers of wine are the streams wherein she performs her evening ablutions. Charnel-fields are her pleasure grounds. The ashes of funeral pyres are her face-ornament. Raw hides constitute her robe. The intestines of dead bodies form her girdle. The bosoms of dead men are her dancing floor. She plays with the heads of goats as with balls. Her water-sports take place in lakes of blood. The blazing fires of cremation-grounds serve as her votive lamps at night. Human skulls are the vessels she eats from. Her greatest pleasure is when living creatures of all kinds are sacrificed at her altar."

The description of the shrine of the goddess, the Mahābhairava temple, gives a good idea of a contemporary cult of savage character, and is perhaps the most elaborate picture of its kind in Sanskrit literature; and, although in this respect Somadeva is anticipated by Bāṇa in his description of the temple of Caṇḍikā in Kādambarī, and by Haribhadra in his verse description of the temple of Kādambarī or Caṇḍikā in Samarā-iccakahā (Book VI), the corresponding account in Yasastilaka preserves interesting details not recorded elsewhere. The delincation of the surging crowd of the Mahāyoginīs in the temple, for example, although fantastic to some extent, graphically presents the grim aspect of these monstrous deities, "extremely ferocious and long-limbed like the Nights of universal destruction". "They were emerging with fury from the surface of the sky,

¹ See Chap. II.

from the earth, from the depths of the nether regions, and from all the corners of the sky, like darkness at night." "The banners of the Sun's aerial car were singed by the flames issuing from the eye on their foreheads, being fanned by the gaspings of the hapless serpents, ruthlessly pressed in the tangled masses of their hair; while the Sun's rays were obstructed by the huge vultures hovering about the gruesome skulls decorating their heads; and the ornamental designs of blood painted on their cheeks were being lapped up by the snakes adorning their ears."

A notable picture is the dramatic appearance of the spy of Yasodhara in the streets of Ujjain with his grotesque dress and clamorous retinue (Chap. III, p. 397):

कृतकार्षचन्द्रचुम्बितचन्द्रकापीडविद्दम्बतमुण्डमण्डलः, तृलिनीकुसुमकुकालाकृतिजातुवोत्कर्षितकणेकुण्डलः, कामेणानेकजटाजातिजिटतकण्ठिकावगुण्ठनजठरकण्ठमालः, विरचेलचीरीचिवितिवित्राप्रपदीनप्रालम्बजालः, कुवलीफलस्यूलप्रापुक्रमणिविनिर्मिताप्रदर्शपादितप्रकाण्डमण्डनः, कूर्परपर्यन्तप्रकोष्ठप्रकल्पितगवलवलसावरुण्डनः, काकनन्तिकाफलमालोपरचित्रवैक्शकवश्चःस्थलः, कठोरकमठपृष्ठाष्ठोलस्यपुटपाणितलः, पटबरपर्याणगोणीगुद्धापिहितमेहनः, पुराणतरमन्दीरमेखलालंकृतनितम्बनिवेचनः, कंसहंसकरसितवाचालचरणचारचातुरीक्षोभितवीबीजनमनस्कारः, कातरेक्षणविषाणकाणविनिवेदितनिवाबित्रचारः,
किरातवेषस्य भगवतो विश्वमूतेंरपरमेव कमप्याकल्पं विश्राणः, पुत्रभाण्डं बन्दिवृन्दारकस्य कटकाधिपतेः, जामिभौगावसीपाठिनः
सुभटसीहादस्य, दौहित्रः श्रोत्रियकितवनान्नो नर्मसचिवस्य, समाश्रयस्थानमवकीर्णिलोकानाम्, अविलपुनर्भृविवाहकृतकिषपुवेतनसंबन्धः, सकलगोकुलालिखिततूवरसुरभिसीरिकीदायनिवन्धः, प्रचुग्प्रतिव मीवकृतगान्नैः सिक्सपुनैर्दण्याजिनिकेश्च परिप्राजकैः
'एव खलु भगवान् संजातमहःयोणिनीसंगति तीन्द्रियञ्चानोहतिः सिद्धः सामेश्विकः संवनन मिणा करिणः केसरिणमण् संगमयित
विद्वसमेषजेन जननीमप्यात्मजेषु वैरिणी विद्याति इत्यावेद्यमानज्ञानमञ्चतञ्चप्रभावः।

"His head was adorned with a crown of peacock feathers surmounted by a crescent, and he was wearing earrings made of red lac and shaped like the flowers and buds of the silk-cotton tree. The neck was stiffened by the fastening of a necklace made out of many varieties of magic roots, and he was wearing a garland made from shreds of old rags, multicoloured, and reaching to the feet. The upper arms were decorated with armlets made of tin balls large as plums, and the forearms enveloped with buffalo-horn bracelets up to the elbows; while a garland of Guñja berries served the purpose of a vaikakṣaka wreath across the bosom. The hands were rugged like the bony frame of the carapace of a tortoise, and the privy parts were covered with the lower edge of an old saddle cloth. The waist was decorated with a girdle of worn out churning ropes and the attention of the passers-by was attracted by the nimble gait of his feet resounding with the brass anklets worn by him. He announced his nocturnal rites with the sound of his buffalo-horn; and he was, in fact,

^{1 &#}x27;कपर्देनिर्देयसमर्दनिसोदाङगर्दगङगुहास्कुरस्कृत्कारस्यारितङङगटङोचनानङज्वाङाग्कपिनादितिस्वतनिकेतनपताकाभोगा-शिः, शिखण्डमण्डनोद्धमरचरशिरःशेणिपर्यन्तश्रान्तप्रवृद्धगुप्रनिरुद्धनप्रदीधितिप्रवन्धाभः, अवणभूवणसुजङ्गिङ्गारुद्धान्तिस्व मानकपोकतङ्खिकारक्तवशाकाः' (p. 45).

dressed in an attire exactly like that of Lord Siva disguised as the Kirāta hunter. He was the son of the chief panegyrist Kaṭakādhipati (Campcommander), the son-in-law of the minstrel Subhata-sauharda (Friend of Warriors), the grandson of the jester Śrotriyakitava (Brāhmana Gambler), and the refuge of all who had transgressed their vows. His food and emoluments were carned by arranging the nuptials of all the harlots', and he had endowments of hornless cows and she-buffaloes registered in his favour in all the dairy farms. The glory of his wisdom and knowledge of mystic formulas and rites was proclaimed in the following manner by religious mendicants, carrying sticks and deerskins, and acting as informers, with bodies made ugly by excessive decorations: 'Here is the Exalted One who has communed with the Mahayoginis and acquired supersensuous knowledge. He has attained spiritual perfection, and his utterances are unfailingly true. By his art of enchantment he can unite even a lion with an elephant, and by means of animosity-producing drugs he can make even a mother an enemy of her children!'

The picture of the elephant-driver in Book IV is a minute study in ugliness, which is emphasized in such a manner as to suggest the enormity of the queen's strange infatuation. He is described as sleeping on the floor of a thatched but strewn with grass left over from the ration of elephants, resting his head on a heap of coiled up ropes serving as a pillow. His only clothing was a piece of rag used for rubbing elephants with oil. He had coarse thornlike hair; ears like old shoes; eyes like the mouth of a bucket; lips like the fringe of a leather oil-flask gnawed off by rats; cheeks like the hollow of an age-worn tree; teeth protruding like an irregular row of cowries; a chin hardly visible, as he had a regular goat's beard; a throat with the veins visible, resembling the trunk of a castor-oil plant; arms like a couple of dead serpents suspended from on high; a stomach bloated like inflated billows; and thighs like stakes damaged by fire. 'He was repulsive to sight like a mass of sins, and extremely disgusting like a charnel-field. He appeared to be a compact mass of iron rust in human shape, and fashioned by the Creator by combining all kinds of deformities. The original passage runs as follows (Chap. IV, p. 42):

कटहरकुटीरके करिकनलानशिष्ट यससस्रातिणि अनगुण्डितरज्जुपुत्रपरिकल्पितशिरस्पदे निद्रायन्तम्, इभाभ्यन्न-कर्पटिषिद्वित्वज्ञास्थानम् अतिकठिनकचकण्डकोष्ट्रसमुण्डमण्डलम्, अनगानुपदीनापटलसमश्रवसम्,..... उद्यनश्चिराति-श्चायिकोचनम्,..... उन्दुरिषकर्तरितसंघाटतटतुकितोभयद्शननसनम्, अतिपुराणकुजकोटरप्रतिमक्ष्यक्षम्, असमस्थापितवराट-कविकटदन्तम्, अजरमश्चुदुर्वशेचिबुकमण्यम्, एरण्डकाण्डविडिम्बधमनीलगलनालम्,.....उह्नम्बतम्नगोनसानुकारिक्षपिति-

¹ Srutasagara says पुनर्भूणां पुंशकीनां संग्रहसीदिधवानाम्.

² Obviouly employed by the court.

S 'अवद्याद्व Cf. the Greek phrase pogon mala tragikos (Lucian, The Dream, 10).

निर्मसम् , अनिस्त्यत्वकाष्मातबरुरम् ,.....अप्तिलक्षितस्थाणुगणनोश्कम् ,.....अषरंशातमित दुर्गिरीष्यम् , अमञ्जलकानमित् निर्मरामुद्रेजनीयम् , अस्त्रण्डमण्डूरकुलमित्र मञ्जलक्षेण परिणतम् , अस्त्रिलमित्र वैरूप्यमत्रवित्य वेषसा निष्पादितम्

The account of the regiments of Yasodhara's army has more than a literary interest, and is full of important details throwing light on the composition of old Indian armies. It is one of the most remarkable descriptions not only in Yasastilaka but in Sanskrit literature as a whole (chap. III, p. 461 etc.):

निटिलतदपिकाप्रतानघटितोद्भद्रज्दम्, उत्कोशकिशुकप्रस्नमञ्जरीजालजटिलविषाणविक्टनेकर्द्भस्गमण्डलमिव, कर्तरीमुखनुम्बतामूल्रमञ्जलम्, उद्भियमानमदिलकितकपोलं पीलुकलिव, किर्मीरमणिविनिर्मितप्रिश्चरकिकं महामण्डलवन् गुव्दितगलनालमान्यमीशामसैन्यमिव, आकुफणिकृतकालायसवलयकरालकराभोगं वालविलेशयविद्यमागं महिवानोक्द्र-गहनिव, आनामिवेशोत्तम्भितासिवेशुकम् अहीश्वरातुबद्धमध्यमेखलं मन्यानकाचलिव, आवश्चणोत्शितिविद्यनिवसनै सकौपीनं वैखानसवृद्यमिव, अनेकाद्धनामसंभावनोद्पीवाननम्, आत्मस्तवालम्बरोद्धमरमाग्योत्कर्णितवदनम्, अर्थनकरिका-लिखतवेहप्रासादं वैव इदं विद्वितविविधायुधवर्तनौचिलं दाक्षिणालं बलम् ।

"Sire, this is the Deccan regiment practised in the use of diverse The luxuriant tangle of the hair of the men is tied up with cloth bands around their foreheads. Conspicuous by the horns carried by them, entwined with sprays of fullblown Palasa flowers, they look like a troop of rhinoceroses; and with the tips of their knives coming up to the roots of their beards, they resemble a troop of elephants with the cheeks dotted with flowing ichor. They are wearing triple necklaces made of many-coloured beads, and look like Siva's troops, awful on account of their necks being enwrapped with Mahamandala serpents. They have the forearms enveloped with iron bracelets worn up to the elbows and resemble a thicket of sandal trees with the branches entwined by young snakes. With their daggers fixed about the centre of the waist, they resemble the mountain wherewith the gods churned the ocean, with its central slope encircled by the lord of serpents (Vāsuki). With their thick loin-cloths tucked up as far as the thigh-joints, they look like a crowd of religious mendicants wearing kaupina. They are looking up on hearing the many laudatory poems, and stand with upturned faces, as they listen to the minstrels loudly reciting exuberant panegyries in their honour. Their bodies are scratched all over with upward lines of finger-nail marks."

इतस पर्यन्तसर्वितकुन्तस्त्वार्थमुष्टिमितमस्तकमध्यकेशम्, अतिम्नम्भवणवेशदोकायमानस्भारमुवणैक्षिकाकिरणकोटिकमनीयमुखमण्डस्या कपोनस्यकीपरिकाल्यतप्रपुक्षकर्णिकारकाननमिन, समुत्कर्षितस्कानिषु कवद्वाधमागरोमकोमशम्,
अहरहःप्रमार्वितव्यनप्रकाशपेशकयदनतया प्रदर्शितस्कीययशःप्रसृतिक्षेत्रमिन, अनक्षपरिवेषनर्तुकदन्तस्ततस्यितमुजशिक्षरम्,
अनवरतस्ररस्थपारसरागरक्षशितिशरीरतया कजिंजल्ककस्तुषकालिन्दीकलोलकुलमिन, मायूरवर्शतपत्रप्रमारयामिकासंपादितगगनगःवर्शयककृष्टिमच्छायम्, दरदश्वापाटलफलकान्तिकृटिलक्षित्रस्रात्रस्त्रस्यास्यस्यम् वर्षदर्भनिर्भरं नभ इव,
वेष, इदमनेक्षीलिकाविलं व्रामिलं वलम् ।

"This, Sire, is the Tamil regiment provided with numerous litters. The men have a mere handful of hair on the crown of their heads owing to the hair all around being cropped short. They seem to have clusters of full-blown Karnikara blossoms attached to their cheeks on account of their countenances being lovely with the shooting rays of the large gold earrings swinging from their elongated ears. They are shaggy on account of the profuse growth of hair on the borders of the lips, the chins, and the frontal part of the legs. They seem to be displaying the source of their fame', their visage being beautiful with the lustre of their daily cleaned teeth. Their upper arms are dented with the marks of bites (given by their mistresses), round as the halo of a planet, to wit, the god of love. They look like the waves of the Yamunā blended with the filaments of lotus blossoms, their dark bodies being painted with fluid turmeric ceaselessly dripping. With the blue sheen of their parasols made of peacock feathers they have caused the sky to look like an emerald floor; and with their hands playfully moving along their curved loins shining with the lustre of their shields painted with liquid red lead, they look like the sky full of flashes of lightning playing in the bosom of the evening clouds."

इतश्रोत्तप्तकाश्चनकान्तकायपरिकरम्, करोत्तम्भितकर्तरीकणयकृपाणप्रासपष्टिशयाणासनम् आसनविशेषवशातिविद्वतः मितद्रवसुरक्षोभितकुष्मिनीभागम्, भागभागार्पितानेकवर्णवसनवेष्टितोष्णीषम्, अनविधप्रकारप्रसवस्तवकचुन्वितिशखं विजयश्रीः निवासवनमिवेदं, देव, तुरगवेगवर्णनोदीर्णं यथायवकथमौत्तरपर्थं वलम् ।

"Here, Sire, is the North Indian regiment of truthful speech, and elequent in praise of the speed of horses. The men have bodies comely as heated gold; and held fast in their hands are knives, darts, daggers, spears, blades and bows. The surface of the earth is agitated by the hooves of their horses galloping at top speed on account of their particular mode of riding. They wear puggrees made up with multicoloured scarves arranged in layers; and with the crown of their heads adorned with clusters of flowers of endless varieties, they look like the sylvan abode of the goddess of victory."

¹ Fame in Sanskrit poetry is always white.

² A marginal note in Ms. A says उच्चीप: शिरोवेष्टकिरीटयो: । अत्र त पाथडी मुडासा-

³ This seems to be a reference to river operations. The Deopara stone inscription of Vijayasena of Bengal (about the end of the eleventh century speaks of the royal fleet going up the entire course of the Ganges for the conquest of the western regions (पाक्षास्वकारप्रेशिष्ट यह बाबद्वहासनुपादति नीविताने). Ep. Ind., Vol. I, p. 309.

disturbed by the Gauda soldiers with extremely long tufts of hair: the extremities of their teeth are worn out by constant chewing of flattened rice, and their mouths are tinged red with betel; they are by nature exceedingly irascible, and hurl abuse at the bystanders, being ashamed of stumbling on account of their cloaks reaching to the feet. The large shields of the regiment made from multicoloured cords have tinged the entire expanse of the sky with diverse hues."

इतश्वाजानुलम्बमाननिवसनम्, माहिषविषाणषितमुष्टिकटारकोरकटकटीभागम्, निरन्तरघनधीघेषेहलोमकलाप-करियतसर्वाष्ट्रीणकङ्कटम्, अधिक्तर्यकृत्रवन्धत्रमृद्धकृत्वेकेशतया कियानुमेयनाभिनासानयनश्रवणदेशम्, उभयांसोत्तम्भितभूरिमख-त्या त्रिशिरोनिशाचरानीकमिव, लघुदृढदुष्करद्रलक्ष्यादिपातादिपाटबापहसितकृपकृपधर्मकर्णार्जुनद्रोणद्रुपदमर्गभागेवम्, धृताधि-ज्याजकावं, देव, इदं गौर्जरं वक्षम् ॥

"This, Sire, is the Gujarat regiment armed with strung up bows. The men are wearing cloaks reaching to the knees, and their loins are girt with daggers with buffalo-horn hilts. The close, dense and long hair of their bodies constitutes an all-enveloping armour; and the existence of certain portions of their bodies, the navel, the eyes and the ears, can only be inferred from their actions, owing to their beards expanding thickly downwards and sidewise. They look like a throng of three-headed monsters on account of the large quivers attached to both the shoulders. They surpass even Kṛpa, Kṛpadharma, Karna, Arjuna, Drona, Drupada, Bharga and Bhārgava in efficiency, in swift aiming, vigorous shooting, and hitting of difficult and distant targets."

The report of the spy against a minister of Yasodhara and the account of the embassy sent by the king of Pañcāla to the latter's court, in Book III, are not only socio-political records of great value but interesting as good specimens of Somadeva's simpler style and businesslike prose. The account of the embassy has been reproduced elsewhere and we may here give a few illustrative extracts from the former document. It is necessary to point out that the spy's report, comprehensive as it is, contains, besides specific charges against the minister in question, a satire in prose and verse against ministers in general, quasi-historical traditions, and observations on human traits.

Speaking of villains, the spy gives a fanciful account of their origin, classifying them into eighteen different groups. The first group originated from the Kālakūṭa poison in Śiva's throat; the second from serpents; the third from the ferocious beak of Garuḍa; the fourth from the moon of the fourth lunar day (believed to cause disputes); the fifth from the attendants

¹ See Chap. V.

of Death; the sixth from the dust of a libertine's feet1; the seventh from fire; the eighth from hell; the ninth from the illusion created by Visnu; the tenth from the jaws of the god of death; the eleventh from the evil ways taught by heretic schools; the twelfth from the sin of doubt and hesitation; the thirteenth from burning shame; and the fourteenth from the arts of deception. In addition to these, one group of villains originated from darkness, whence arose in the sky Rāhu, the enemy of the other planets. A second group originated from the quarrel of Brahmā and Vișnu at the time of the glorification of the Phallic Siva?, whence arose also the sage Narada, the lover of disputes. A third group originated from the clash of thunder and lightning, whence arose also the submarine flare. A fourth group of villains originated from Diti who gave birth to Tāraka, the demon who habitually cheated all pious people on the earth. This account of the origin of villains appears to be Somadeva's invention, and shows imagination and selective power, although in design he is indebted to the story of the fourteen families of nymphs and their origin in Bäna's Kādambarī. An indirect reference to this occurs in the passage in Yasastilaka which runs as follows (Chap. III, p. 441):

देव, अप्सरसामिवामरेषु नरेष्विप किल खलानां चतुर्वश कुलानि पुरा प्रादुर्वभृतुः । तत्र तावत् प्रथमं प्रमथ-नायकण्डालंकारनिकटातः कालकूटातः प्रादुरासीत् , द्वितीयं द्विजिद्देभ्यः , तृतीयं तृक्षात्मजतुण्डचण्डतायाः

The irresistible and invariable character of the evil propensities of a man is illustrated with various examples. Addressing the king, the spy says: देव, मांसरसरतस्य पुंस: किमिव मांसवतम् । कपाछ भुजानस्य हि नरस्य क इव केशदर्शनादाशप्रस्यादेश: । पुरे प्रमोषदक्षस्य हि पुरुषस्य केव कान्तारेऽपेक्षा । निरम्बरनितम्बायामात्माम्बायां दाहोशोगस्य हि जनस्य क इव पराम्बाया-मम्बर्शरिखागः । यतः

स्थितासुं प्रसमानस्य गवासी कीरशी दया। परवाले कृपा केत्र स्ववालेन बलिकिये॥

"Sire, how can a man who is fond of the savour of meat undertake a vow of abstention from flesh eating? Does a man who eats from a skull stop eating on discovering the presence of hair in his food? Does a man who is expert in stealing in the city need a forest for his activities? How will a man whose passion is aroused at the sight of his naked mother react to the nudity of another's mother? Because, how can one who devours the living have pity on a corpse? How can one who sacrifices his own child be compassionate to that of another?" 3. 174.

^{1 &#}x27;वर्ष वट्टप्रश्वपादपरागात्'

² This seems to be a reference to the quarted of the two gods about the extent of the Phallus. See Chap. XVII. Somadeva says: लण्डपर सधायुषस्य साधनसमृद्धिसमये द्वशिणदामोदर-सम्प्रकात्. S'rutasāgara says: लण्डपर सोवैशीकरणानसरे ब्रह्मविष्णुयुद्धातः

देव, क्षमावजा हि दुस्यजा कछ प्रकृतिः। न सञ्च पोषितोऽप्यहिपोतो जहाति हिंसाध्यवसायम्, न सञ्च मत्त्रीकोऽपि विकासस्यकति कीर्यम्, न सञ्च प्रायोपवेशनवातिन्यपि कृष्ट्नी मुक्ति परवक्षनोचितां चिन्ताम्, न सञ्च कालकवलनिकटोऽपि किराटो रहति शाट्यस्थितम्। यतः

थः खभावी भवेधस्य स तेन खलु दुस्यजः । न हि शिक्षाशतेनापि कणिर्मुस्रति चापलस् ॥

"Sire, verily it is difficult to renounce one's natural propensities. A young snake, though nourished with food, does not renounce the effort to do harm. A cat, though he may practise religious observances, dose not give up his ferocity. A bawd, though she observes religious fasts, does not abandon the design of cheating others. A merchant does not give up his art of deception even when he is on the verge of death. Because, verily, of whatever nature a person may be, it is hard for him to discard it. A monkey never forsakes his fickle habits even if he is given a hundred kinds of training." 3. 175.

The tirade against the ministers of kings in verse, forming part of the spy's report, has been summarized elsewhere; and there is also a satirical description of them in prose (Chap. III, p. 439):

देव, सरलखभावस्य देवस्यामास्यदेखानामाकस्योदद्यः प्रतिकियाप्रपद्यश्च साधुतायोगेऽनुरागे च कारणम् । तत्र चामीवामेतत्तात्पर्यम् । तथा हि सत्पुरुषपृषतवधाय व्याधस्याखिलाइसंवरणं यत्रावरणमिवामाखजनस्य लम्बाखलकं चोलकम्, मुग्धमीनवन्धनानायमिव महाकायः कृष्वंकेशनिकायः, कपटवकोटपेटकघटनाय सर इवोदारमुदरम्, परव्यसनान्वेषणाय स्मधूर्तस्येव मन्दमन्दाचारः पादप्रचारः, कथमेते खळु पातालस्थाः करस्था मम भविष्यन्ति शेवशिखामणय इति छुण्टाक्तयेव सुहुर्मुहुर्जलेषु निमज्जनम्, कदा ह्यमी गगनचराः कदनकन्दुकविनोदकरा मम भविष्यन्ति रविरश्तुरङ्गा इत्यपितिहीषयेवादिति-स्तोपासनम्, अरे हताश हुताश मयि सत्याश्रयाशे सर्वाशे च कथं नाम तत्तामवान् भवानितीष्ययेशाहुतिमिवेग विवमरीचिन्ताखनम्, सुप्रयुक्तस्य दम्भस्य ब्रह्माप्यन्तं न गच्छतीति मनीषया साधुजनशकुनिहननाय द्वीपिद्विजोद्दीपनमिव देवतार्वनम्, कियन्तो मया महान्तः प्रतारिताः कियन्तो नाद्यापि इति संभालनायेन जपन्यवसायः, कुशलशकुलाशनाय बकस्यव ध्यानपरता, चतुरवश्चनाय टकस्यव धर्मागमपाठः, परलोकगतिभङ्गाय निगलजालस्येव गुरुवरणोपचारः, शाकिनीजनस्यव सेवकेषु जीवत-विगाशाय शियंवदता, अविशातान्तस्य गुष्कसरःसेतोरिव क्रेशाय प्रियालोकता ।

"Sire, the pomp of dress and flurry of remedial measures of those devils, the ministers of Your Majesty, straightforward as you are, are intended to give an impression of honesty and loyalty. Their real purpose is as follows: The flowing robes of ministers are like a hunter's cloak enveloping all his limbs, and designed to kill honest people, like deer. The huge mass of their beard and hair seems to be a drag-net for capturing ignorant fools, like fish. Their big bellies are like a pool for amassing tricks, like a flock of cranes. They walk slowly and slowly like jackals to look for the weak points of others. A minister makes very frequent ceremonial dips into waters, as if with a thievish purpose: 'How will indeed the crest-jewels of the serpent Ananta abiding in the nether regions come into my possession?' He worships the Sun, as if with motives of robbery: 'When will those

¹ Ms. A explains fixed as affig.

horses of the Sun's chariot, coursing in the sky, play the ball-game of war for the?' He strikes the Fire by way of offering oblations, as if out of spite, saying: 'Since it is I who destroy my own refuge or benefactor, and destroy also all and sundry, why dost thou, o wretched Fire assume the names dirayasa (one who consumes one's own refuge) and sarvasa (one who consumes all)?' The worship of the gods by a minister is comparable to letting loose a bird of prey for killing honest people, like birds, with the idea that not even Brahma sees through a well-devised trick.1 The muttering of prayers by a minister seems to be a device for recalling how many great men he has cheated and how many still remain uncheated. The meditation of a minister is like that of a crane for the purpose of 'devouring' able men, like fish. The study of the Law Books by him is like that of a thug for the purpose of cheating the wise. His obeisance at the guru's feet looks like the spreading of a network of chains for obstructing the way to heaven. His sweet words, like those of a witch, serve only to ruin his followers. His amiable looks, like the pleasant aspect of the embankment of a dried up pool, bring distress to those who are ignorant of the inner truth."

The more important of the charges against Yasodhara's minister bearing on contemporar, conditions have been discussed in another chapter viz., Chap. V. Some of the observations of the spy on the ways of the minister provide interesting reading. The cultural pretensions of the man are severely criticized, his mean tricks exposed, and the secret of his fame explained. A healthy feature is the insistence on learning being independent of wealth procured by royal favour:

देव, प्रसादनादनात्मभाविन्योऽपि विभृतयः पतिवरा इव खात् पतितस्यापि जनस्य भवन्ति, न पुनरायुःस्थितय इवातुपासित्यक्कलस्य यहावत्योऽपि सरस्रद्यः । यतः

मृपकरुणायाः कामं द्वविणकणाः संचरन्ति शरणेषु । नःवाभिजात्वमेतत् पाण्डित्यं वा मृणां भवति ॥ देन, तच्छून्येऽपि यत् कचित् पुंसि नभसि विद्युन इव विद्याविलसितम् , तद्धनस्य घनस्येव माहारम्याचारमनः । यतः

विचारसविद्यीनापि चीत्यकी विभवातपात् । व्यक्षीकोक्तोत्तरक्षेयं भवेन्युश्वसृगश्चिया ।

यदिष कचित् कलाग्र पयसि पतितस्य तैलिबन्दोरिबान्तर्गिपिश्वस्यस्याप्यस्योपन्याससाहसम्, तहिष क्रमीलबलाभाशापाशस्विलतमित्रगीप्रचारस्य दुर्मरजठरकुठारिबिनिभिन्नमानसारस्य हताहंकारस्य सरस्वतीपण्यपातकावसस्य जनस्याज्यीन पुनर्बोधीदार्यात्। यतो देव, घटदासीनां हि वदनसीरमं स्वामिताम्बूलोद्रालान्न सीभाग्यवलात्, पवनस्य हि परिमलपेशलता प्रस्तवनसंसर्गान निसर्गात्, दारुणो हि दाहदारुणता बृहद्भानुभावान्न स्वभावात्, मण्ड रुस्य हि भण्डमकृष्ट्र- स्वताधिपतिसंनिभानवशान्न शौयावेशात्, दपलशकलस्य हि नमस्यता देवाकारानुभावान्न प्रकृतिमावात्। "यत् पुनः सेवकसोकदौरात्म्यं प्रचिख्यापयिषुः किमप्यणकपद्वन्धेन भगवतीं सरस्वतीं विधमति, तत्र यो हि स्वयमेवं निकायति स कथं नाम दुरात्मा स्वादिति परप्रतारणार्धम्। "मृत्रस्य पिण्याकपण्याङ्गनाजनस्यवालोकान्तोत्सर्गैरनेकशाः उनेकपा- स्वाप्टिलिक्षसंसर्गविस्मर्गेरेव राजपवीकृतम्। यतः

त्रैदण्डिकादितुण्डिककापालिककीशिकमतकैः । कीर्तिजैगति प्रस्ता सापटदीक्षाधिकेरस्य ॥

i. e., the worship of the gods by a minister is a carefully planned show of piety, the real motive being to obtain their help in cheating and ruining honest people.

"Sire, riches, although they do not crop up of their own accord, may, like brides seeking a husband, come into the possession even of a man fallen from the sky, as a result of (royal) favour; but learning, like the span of life, never comes to a man, even though accompanied by efforts, unless he attends the lecture-hall of a teacher. Because, 'wealth there may be in plenty in men's homes as a result of a king's favour, but not nobility of birth nor scholarship' (3. 179). When, my lord, there is a show of learning in a man, although he is devoid of these two qualities, it is due not to his own ability but to that of wealth, just as a flash of lightning in the sky is due not to any potency of the sky itself but to the presence of clouds.

The field of the intellect, although devoid of the water of learning, may on account of the power of wealth undulate with falsehood, and prove attractive to those deer, the dunces (3.180). Indeed, this minister (of yours) has the hardihood to hold forth on certain branches of art, although lacking in depth, just as a drop of oil spreads on water without penetrating below the surface; but even that is due not to any great measure of intelligence on his part, but to his association with people who commit the sin of prostituting their learning, being without any self-respect, with all sense of pride destroyed by the axe of chill penury, and the antelope of their intelligence trapped in the snare of the hope of gaining an infinitesimal quota of wealth. Sire, the fragrance of the mouths of slave girls is due not to any luck but to their taking the remnants of the betel chewed by their masters. The lovely redolence of a breeze is due not to its natural qualities but to its contact with flower gardens. The fierce burning of wood is due not to its natural properties but to the action of fire. A dog is eager to fight not on account of his courage but on account of the presence of his master, and a piece of stone becomes an object of veneration not on account of its natural state but because it is shaped into the likeness of a god.....

Further, the minister annoys the Exalted Goddess of Speech with his wretched verses, his purpose being to proclaim the villainy of his subordinates. This is meant to put outsiders off the scent, who would be led to think, 'How can a man who speaks thus (about the vices of his subordinates) be a villain himself?'...........

Then it is the associates of the many heretics, wandering in the world to its farthest limits, who have oftentimes given wide publicity to his way of life, similar to that of a spendthrift whore.

¹ Lit, the axe of a stomach difficult to replenish.

His fame has been spread in the world by religious mendicants snake-charmers, Kāpālikas, jugglers and consummate thugs." 3 183.

The minister is then accused of various offences such as tyranny, disloyalty, usurpation of power and financial maladministration, all kinds of motives being attributed to him.

यस्तु स्वास्थ्यावसरेष्विप समृद्धदेशो हि महीशः कीनाश इवावरयं करोति कामिप विकृतिमिति धूमकेतुरिवानपरा-धमिष जनपदं पीडयति, प्रभूतपक्षवलो हि भूपालः शैल इव कस्य भवति वश इत्यनुरक्तमतीरिप प्रकृतीरसमजसयित, कृषाकोशको हि धरेशः क्षपितपक्षः पक्षीव भवेत् मुखसाध्य इति धर्न निधनयित, व्यसनव्याकुलिनो हि राजसुतो व्याधित इव न जातु विकुरते पुरश्वारिष्विति द्विषतः प्रोत्कर्षयिति, एकारक्षो हि क्षितिपतिः करिपतिरिव न स्यात् परेषां विषय इति न कमप्यभिजातं सहते, स किल प्राणप्रतीकारेषु स्वापतेयोपकारेषु वा विधुरेषु भविनोपकर्तेति को नाम श्रद्धीत । यतः

स्वस्थावस्थादामपि योऽनर्थपरम्परार्थमीहेत । स कथं विश्वरेषु पुनः स्वामिहिते चेष्टतेऽमात्यः ॥

"Like an outbreak of fire, he oppresses the innocent population even in peaceful times, because a king whose realm is prosperous is sure to do some harm (to his ministers), like the god of death. He creates disaffection among the loyal citizens, because a king with a large following is like a mountain and comes under no one's influence. He squanders public funds, because a king with a depleted treasury can be tackled with ease, like a bird whose wings are cut off. He consolidates your enemies, because a prince overwhelmed by peril is like an invalid, and gives no offence to his counsellors. He never tolerates any highborn person, thinking that a king in the grip of any particular individual is, like an elephant, unlikely to come under the influence of others. Who would believe that such a man would be of any help in the preservation of life or the protection of property in times of danger? Because, how can a minister who strives to do continuous harm even in easy circumstances exert himself for the good of his master in times of peril?" 3. 184.

The minister is also accused of having banished various distinguished men whose presence was vital to the welfare of the state (Book III, p. 453):

ततोऽसौ यदि देवन्य परमार्थनो न कृत्यिति, सन्पुरुषपरिपदिव मनीन मनागिप नाभ्यस्यिति, तत् िकिमिति मनीषा-पौरुषाभ्यामशेषशिष्टशौण्डीरिशिखामणीयमानमित्यमीक्षं पुण्डरीकाक्षम्, सिन्धुरप्रधानो हि विजयो विशामीशानामिति तत् िकमिति समस्तसामजैतिह्यगृह्यमनःप्रभावं बन्धुजीवम्, महाकविसंप्रहान्महीपतीनामाचन्द्राकीवकाशं यश इति तत् किमिति स भवत्कीर्ति-छतालक्षनालापामृतसेवकसारं हारम्, 'यासु सन्तो न निष्टन्ति ता वृथैव विभूतयः' इति तत् किमिति स्वभावादेव देवस्य प्रसेदुषोऽपरानिप विदुषः पुरुषानमिषक्षगारान्तरापिततः कपोत इव निर्वास्य स्वयमेकैश्वर्ये वर्तते ।

"If then he really has no illwill towards Your Majesty, and if he, like an assembly of good men, bears not the slightest malice in his heart, why has he monopolized all power by banishing, like a dove flying into a house, such men as Pundarīkākṣa, whose intellect and insight were as

¹ See Chap, XV for the superstition.

the crest-jewel of all cultured and spirited men by virtue of his talents and courage? The triumph of kings depends upon elephants; yet (why did he banish) Bandhujīva whose mental faculties were devoted to the mastery of all branches of elephant-lore? The fame of kings lasts as long as the sun and the moon owing to their patronage of great poets; yet (why did he banish) the poet Hāra, an excellent votary of the nectar of sweet utterances on the creeper of your fame? Wealth that does not support the good is of no use: knowing this, why did he, being intolerant, banish yet other learned men, friendly by nature to Your Majesty?"

Good examples of reflective prose are provided by the musings of Yasodhara after the discovery of the misconduct of his consort. Pausing after his momentary resolve to kill her, Yasodhara says (Chap IV, p. 48):

आः किमिदमहो कर्माहमतुष्ठातुं व्यवसितः । न खलु नार्य इव शुभमशुभं वा कर्म सहसैवारभन्ते विनीतमतयः, नापि विपदि संपदि वा कृपणप्रकृतय इयाशु विकियां गच्छिन्ति महानुभावाः, न चाल्पमेथसामिव महीयसामुपपक्ता भवन्ति कामचारेण प्रवृत्तयः, न चैतद्गहनं किंतु प्रातमेथेव लजावनतमस्तकेन शिरः पिधाय स्थातव्यम् । शोचितव्यं च मयेव प्रणयिनां पुरः पश्चात्तापदुःप्रतिष्ठानमिदमनुष्ठानम् । श्रोतव्या भविष्यन्ति मयेव कर्णकदुताकाराः पुरजनस्य धिकाराः । सुष्ठु मिलिनीकृतं स्यान्ययेवात्मीयं मानीय च कुलम् । सोढव्या मयेव खदुःकृतिकत्तरिवधाश्चित्तरात्यस्पृत्रः कुलमृद्धानामिनधाः । अहमेवोदाहरणं भविष्यामि दुर्वृद्धीनां कुदुम्बविष्यत्ने । कलुषतामेष्यत्येषेषवास्थाने विनियोजिता खङ्गलता । स्रीवधादयमजिन तपस्तिति मृतस्यापि मे न दुर्यशः प्रशान्तिमहित । शोकातद्वे पतिष्यति च सापराधसवित्रीमृतिदुःखितो युवराजः । परं च वह्नपराघे हि देहिनि सणमात्रव्यथाशरणं मरणमनुश्रह इव । यदि पुनरनवेक्षणमुपस्थितस्य, असंभाषणमासन्तस्य, उपेक्षणं विज्ञपयतः, अवधीरणमसमैः परिभूयमानस्य, आशाभङ्गकरणमर्थयतः, प्रीतिवितरणं तदनिममतानाम्, अस्मरणं भियगोष्ठीषु, अनवेक्षणं तत्परिजनस्य, अपवार्य व्यवहरणं खप्रकाशेष्वप्यालापेषु, अनवसरानुसरणमसंगभावेष्यपि प्रस्तावेषु क्रियेत, स्यात् प्रसानीतिश्वरमस्थाने कृतसमयः प्रणयः ।

"Ah, what have I set myself to do? Certainly the wise never do anything good or bad, like women, on the spur of the moment, nor are the high-spirited quickly perturbed, like the weak-minded, in prosperity or danger, nor do arbitrary acts befit the great as they they do those who are poor in talents. This is by no means hard to comprehend. (If I kill her) it is I who will have to cover my head on the morrow, downcast with shame; it is I who will have to bewail my action, injudicious on account of repentance, in the presence of friends; it is I who will have to hear the reproaches of the citizens, harsh to the ear; it is I who will have tarnished my own family and that of my uncle; it is I who will have to bear the cutting remarks of the elders, without any means of reply on account of my misdeed. I will be cited as an example of how the foolish disrupt their own families. And this sword will be polluted, if applied to an unworthy object. 'He became a miserable wretch by murdering a woman'-this evil report concerning me will not subside even after my death. And the prince (Yasomati), miserable at the death of his guilty mother, will be sorely afflicted with grief. Besides, death with its momentary

pain is as a favour to one who has committed a grievous offence. On the other hand, it is possible to repudiate long misdirected love if the person so loved is treated with contempt even on isolated occasions; for example, if one does not take any notice of him when present; if one does not talk to him when near; if one ignores him when he makes a statement; if one neglects him when insulted by his inferiors; if one disappoints him when he asks for a favour; if one befriends those who are disliked by him; if one does not mention him in conversation with friends; if one does not look at his attendants; and if even in self-evident matters (requiring no deliberation) one dismisses him on the pretext of lack of leisure."

After recognising that the pageant of life loses its meaning without women. Yasodhara dilates on their villainy and faithless character (p. 61):

इमा स्विनशमनुनीयमाना गृहमर्कटमिव विडम्बयन्ति पुरुषम्, उपचारैगृह्यमाणा दानदुर्भराः स मेष इत्यिष्टिष्ठिति, अपेक्ष्यमाणाः पश्चमिव मन्यन्ते, हठादुपभुज्यमानाः श्रमशानकुटमिव परिहरिन्ते, सेर्च्यमनुयुज्यमाना भुजन्नय इव दशन्ते, गुणवद्भषो निम्बादिबोद्विजन्ते, श्चिक्तयेषु मृतिपण्ड श्वाभिनिवशन्ते, अनुरज्यन्त्य एव भवन्ति कारणमनर्थ-परेपरायाः, इसन्त्य एव शल्ययन्त्यक्तानि, पश्यन्त्य एव दहन्ति देहम्, आलपन्त्य एव स्खलन्ति मनसः स्थैर्यम्, आसजन्त्य एव कुर्वन्ति तृणादिष लघुतरं मनुष्यम्, आरक्ष्यमाणाः खच्छल्डेनैवारभन्ते दुष्कर्माण । न चासामित्ति रक्षणोपायः ।

"Constantly pampered, they deride men as they would a tame monkey. They are never satisfied with presents, and when treated with marks of esteem, they mock at men as being sheep. When sought after, they look upon men as brutes. When enjoyed against their will, they forsake men like a water-pot used in a cremation ground. Jealously questioned, they bite like serpents. They shun those who are virtuous like the Neem tree (with the bitter leaves), and regard those who act from pure motives as clods of earth. Women become a source of perennial evil even while they profess love, pierce one's limbs even while they laugh, consume one's body even while they cast glances, undermine one's strength of mind even while they talk, and degrade a person even lower than a blade of grass by attaching themselves to him. When guarded, they commit misdeeds by resorting to their own devices, and there is in fact no means of effectively guarding them."

The disgruntled husband takes a pessimistic view of youth, wealth and the joys of life, all these being regarded as disturbing influences on the moral life. The observations are enlivened by a large number of illustrative similes (p. 66):

यौवनाविर्भावः पुनः कादम्बरीयोग इव परं मुसुक्षणामि नाविकार्य मनांसि विश्राम्यति । श्रीमदः सर्वेन्द्रियाणां जनुषान्धत्विमात्रतीकारसुपधातकरणम् । अनक्षतिद्धान्तः सलोपदेश इवानर्यभुजक्षमानासुत्थापनदण्डः । कवयः पुनः पिशाचा इव विषयेषु विश्रमयन्ति निसर्गादिजिह्यान्यपि चित्तानि । डिण्डिमध्वनिरिव व्यसनव्यालप्रबोधनकरः कलानामभ्यासः । नियोग-स्वास इवापातसुन्दरः प्रसत्धोन्मादयति सुविदुवोऽपि पुरुषान् प्रणयिजनविलासो हृदयसुपनिपल द्र्पयति च । याचितकमण्डनसिव

¹ Ms. A romarks यदि अनवसरानुसरणं निरादरः कियेत तदा प्रणयः निराकृतः स्वात् । अनवसरानुसरणं किमिव अनवेक्षणमिलादि कियेतः

"The advent of youth, like the drinking of wine, never fails to pervert the minds even of those who are bent on salvation. The pride of wealth, like birth-blindness, causes an incurable paralysis of all the senses. Erotic lore, like a villain's counsel, is a magic wand for raising serpents, the evils of life. The poets, like demons, bewilderingly divert the minds of men, although straightforward by nature, to mundane joys. The practice of the arts is like the sound of a drum, awakening the serpents of evil passions. The enjoyment of a beloved woman, charming only at the beginning, like an appointment newly obtained, forcibly intoxicates even very wise men, and taking possession of the heart, fills it with pride. The subservient attendents are like borrowed ornments.\(^1\) Not to speak of the combination of these evils, even one of them is sufficient to destroy sentient beings.

The Goddess of wealth, for whom the world has so great a longing, becomes fickle like a particle of mercury even when she comes into one's possession by chance. Like the friendship of villains, she is bound to cause some calamity even when she is one's associate. Like gruel made from the Apāmārga plant, she cannot be assimilated even when one has acquired her. Like a lewd woman, she longs for a paramour even when cherished with care. Like wine, she deludes the mind even while she is enjoyed. Like an eclipse, she never fails to cause distress even after she has vanished. Although she appears at the call of bravery, she practises deception, like a demoness, in order to destroy the eminence of the great. For a moment she befriends the villains."

The difficulty of controlling the mind is emphasized in Sudatta's reflections in Book I, similes being used as usual to give point to the remarks (p. 111):

तथा सक्तवितं च चेतः प्रासाद इव पुनः दुष्करमुद्धपुम्, दूरतरमुक्तानि गिरिशिक्षराणीव शक्यन्ते शरीरिणां हृद्यानि धुक्षेनैवाधसात् पातियतुमारोहियतुं न पुनर्दुःखेनापि । अस्ति च 'श्रेयांसि बहुविप्नानि' इति विदुषां प्रवादः । श्रुताभ्यासथ विसतन्तुदंन्तिनमिव प्रत्यवस्यन्तमात्मानमळं न भवति निवारियतुम्, ततुच्छद इवाधीरधीषु न जायते चळतिवत्तस्य श्राणाय देहदाहकरागमः संयमः, बहिरुत्थावस्थितः पारदरस इव द्वन्द्वपरिगतः पुमान् क्षणमपि नास्ते प्रसंख्यानिक्रयासु ।

"It is as difficult to steady the mind, once it has gone astray, as it is to restore a building shaken to its foundations. The hearts of men,

l i. e. fickle, faithless. Borrowed ornaments denote the idea of instability as they are claimed back by their owners. The idea is fully explained in a Buddhist simile which compares the pleasures of sense to borrowed wealth. See Majjhima Nikāya, Potaliya Sutta (LIV): वाचित्रवृषमा कामा.'

like extremely high mountain-peaks, can easily be flung to a lower level, but cannot be elevated even with difficulty. And there is a saying of the wise that the good is accompanied by many impediments. The study of the Scriptures cannot check the erring self, just as lotus fibres cannot hold back an elephant. Self-control, physically distressing as it is, cannot protect the unsteady minds of those who are fickle, just as an armour cannot fortify the wavering hearts of cowards. A man surrounded by distractions cannot concentrate even momentarily on meditative efforts, just as mercury placed over the fire does not last even for a moment."

A few homely pictures are provided by Somadeva's description of certain misers in Yasastilaka. In the humorous prelude to the spy's report, the latter speaks of a fellow named Kilinjaka described as 'the foremost of misers.' "He is to be counted first among the stingy. He is the exemplar of the penurious, and deserves to be called the chief of the untidy. He is the crest-jewel of the greedy, and his name can be mentioned only at the end of a meal." The spy gives a detailed account of the wretched meal to which he was treated by the miser (Book III, p. 404):

The description is of interest not only on account of the names of a large variety of fruits and vegetables but as providing detail of domestic life, and is a contrast to the rather empty rhetoric which sometimes characterizes Kāvya prose, including Somadeva's own writing. It reminds one of a similar but less complex account of poor men's fare preserved in an extract from the Greek comedian Alexis, cited by Athenaeus in Deipnosophistae II. 55.

Somadeva in Yasastilaka, Book VII (section 32), gives another picture of a notorious miser, the wealthy merchant Sagaradatta, who has rather queer notions of economy and resorts to ingenious devices to put them into practice. He does not, for instance, buy oil for lighting his courtyard, but hangs a mirror from the edge of the roof of his house, and allows the light from his neighbour's house to be reflected in the mirror. He would advise the boys of the household not to waste oil in massaging their bodies before bath, but to have contact with the oil-smeared bodies of the boys of the neighbourhood in a close fight with them. He would instruct his servants to purchase oil and salt and then return both as being bad, the particles adhering to the receptacle being sufficient for subsistence! He avoids washing expenses by selling his dirty linen, and never accepts an

invitation to dinner lest he should be required to return the invitation; and his servants see what other people ate in their homes. It will be conceded that we have here a portrait worthy of Theophrastus.

Descriptions of female beauty so frequent in Sanskrit literature are rare in Yasastilaka. A well-drawn picture is that of the beautiful daughters-in-law of Queen Celini, followed by the pathetic figure of the wife of Puspadanta, grown ugly and emaciated after twelve years of separation from her husband who had taken the monastic vow. The portrait of Māradatta in Book I is chiefly remarkable for the young king's love of dangerous exploits and strange rites; while the cumbrous description of king Yasorgha in Book II may be contrasted with the simple one of Sudatta as king of Kalinga in Book V. The seasonal descriptions in Book I form the background of Sudatta's religious austerities which are briefly outlined. Not much interest attaches to the artificial description of Avanti in Book II and the pleasure garden in Book I.

Most of the natural descriptions are of the conventional type and often characterized by artificial conceits and commonplace images. There are, however, others enlivened by striking details illustrating the author's powers of observation. Mention may be made of the animated description, in Book V, of the prosperous dairy-farm of Karahāṭa, full of all kinds of cows, horses, mules, donkeys and sheep, 'a combination, as it were, of the oceans of curds, milk and clarified butter'. A variety of scenes common to life in a dairy-farm in medieval India is vividly described (p. 184):

कचिदुन्निगलमण्डलवालाकुलितबस्तवर्करकम्, कचिद्रोपालपोतपारिअवोपद्यमानदृद्ववृष्णिकम्, कचिद्रत्येक्षणक्षरत्स्तनधेनुदुग्धधाराधाव्यमानधरापीठम्, कचित् कालक्षेयकलशराशिविश्राणनप्रीयमाणातिथिपेटम्, कचिद् वनविनिवृत्तनिचिकीनिटिलिकिटनिक्षिण्यमाणद्धिद्भद्र्वाक्षतप्रसवम्, कचिद्विलितदामदासेरकार्भकन्नान्निकक्षित्वाकृत्किरख्यमानाद्यनिवेशपक्षवम्, कचित्तरणतराभीरोह्ण्घनदुघणघोरचातवृण्यमानरणरभसक्षोभसंधुक्षितरक्ताक्षकक्षम्, कचिदुन्मायनायहरियूययुद्धवाध्यमानप्रश्रीहीपक्षम्, कचिद्वव्कथणीक्षीरप्रतीक्ष्यमाणग्रहगृहावमहणीग्रहदेवताकुलम्, कचिद्रोमिथुनपरिणयोत्पुक्षपष्टवस्वासिनीजनोचार्यमाणमङ्गलम्, कचिद्वव्याद्यमानथानध्यनिवनत्र्यमानभवनवर्षिणम्, कचिन्माहेयीदोहव्याद्वाराहृयमानपयःपानपरपिक्षकणम्,
कचित् संदानदामनीन्युतचपलतर्निककोद्विदतदारकदीयमानजरतीरक्षाविधानम्

"Somewhere in the farm the kids are harassed by the unchained young dogs, and elsewhere an old ewe is tormented by the pranks of a cow-boy. At one place the ground is drenched with milk streaming from a cow's udders at the sight of her calf; at another place guests are entertained with gifts of pitchers of buttermilk; and elsewhere curds, blades of kuśa and dūrvā grass, unbroken rice and flowers are placed on the forehead of a cow back from the woods. Here the tender leaves in the mangers are crushed by the hooves of

¹ For the story see Chap. XVI.

calves frightened by the scamper of the young camels who have broken their chains; there the buffaloes stagger under the merciless blows dealt by the young Abhīras with heavy sticks, and are infuriated by the violent commotion of the fight. At one place the resort of cows with young for the first time is disturbed by the combats of a herd of powerful bulls. At one place the tutelary deities presiding over the threshold of a house are worshipped with the milk of cows with grown up calves; and at another place women with husbands alive, carrying blossoming sprigs, sing auspicious songs at the nuptials of a bull and a cow; while elsewhere the domestic peacocks are made to dance by the sound of the churn-staff in the making of curds. Somewhere in the farm the sound of the milking of cows invites wayfarers eager to partake of milk; while elsewhere an old dame comes to the rescue of a boy weeping at being trampled on by a nimble calf that has broken away from the tether."

The elaborate description of the great forest in Book V is interesting chiefly for the vivid portrayal of a variety of wild animals in their natural surroundings (p. 198):

"Somewhere in the forest a pack of bears is scared by a herd of deer rushing past, being struck with terror at the sight of the wild oxen loitering among the clumps of spreading creepers......At one place the islet of a mountain river looks terrible with the hyenas worried at the sight of the water of the sandy pools being drunk up by the Bhilla boys, exhausted by the constant search for the track of deer. A corner of the forest is covered with the embryos of hinds expelled by the shrill cries of the old boars pierced by the arrows of a band of robbers. At one place the extremities of the thick foliage of trees wither in contact with the sparks shooting up from the the clash of horns in the combats of frenzied buffaloes...... At one place the sky is covered with the feathers of birds flying away from their nests. being dispersed by a crowd of monkeys roaming at will.......At another place the female crows bring forth their young in the hollows of the old trees shaken by the horrible cries of the compact flocks of screech-owls. In one part of the forest, the movements of the elephant cubs are hampered by the clusters of trees uprooted by violent galesAnother

part of the forest is covered with the leaves of the banana plants cut up by the hooves of the bucks attacked by leopards. At one place antelopes, oppressed by an uncommon thirst, run and lap up the ripples of a mirage. At another place the trees are ghastly with the blood of stags pierced by the horn of some fierce rhinoceros, and all the Rallaka deer are transfixed by the spines of the porcupines moving about freely."

Similar delineation of the wild life of nature is found in the - descripion of the Siprā river in Book V (p. 208):

अन्योन्यापवनवनाषद्कुपितकुम्मीरभयश्राम्यत्ककुमकुहूत्कारमुखरम्, अवाचाटवकोटचेष्टितचकितकम्बमूखनिली-यमानपोत्ताधानम्, अम्बुरुहकुहरविहरदवहारविधितवैद्यानसकुमोञ्छनविधानम्, उदीर्णदर्पदीवि तुमुलकिकोलाहलावलोकमूक-मूककलोकम्, उन्मत्तमकरकरास्फालनोत्ताललहरिकोत्तालितारविन्दकन्दरद्रवन्मकरम्द्विन्दुचन्द्रकावचयचदुल्ववदीकमेचकवीविका-नीकम्, उद्दामोदकद्विपदशनदश्यमानमृणालिनीशकलसारप्रसरम्, अतुच्छकच्छपाच्छोटमूर्छरपाठीनपृष्ठपीठीलुठदुद्ष्वविण्डीरपिण्ड-शिखण्डिताटनितटीनिकटकर्करम्

"The waters resound with the cries of the water-fowl hovering about in fear of the alligators enraged at the heavy clash of each other's bodies, and the young fish lie hidden under the lotus beds, scared by the movements of the silent cranes; while the gathering of flowers by anchorites is hampered by the water-snakes prowling inside the lotus beds. The frogs keep quiet on hearing the noise of the fierce fights of ferocious water-serpents; and the ripples are darkened by the bees briskly collecting the spreading honey drops oozing from the inner cavity of the lotus blossoms swayed by the surging waves caused by frenzied crocodiles splashing the water with their claws. The waters are overspread with fragments of lilies chewed by fierce hippopotami; and the contiguous rocks of the mountain slopes are fringed with heavy masses of foam floating on the broad backs of the Pāṭhīna fish, excited by the splash made by huge tortoises."

¹ Ms. A reads shaz for sha.

² This is the reading of Ms. A. The printed text reads :: शिकाविदत्ति शिकाविदत्ति । 10

The prose hymns in Book VIII are an interesting experiment in Kāvya prose, and illustrate a Jaina literary genre. They are in the form of elaborate prayers addressed to the Pañca-Paramesthins, the five supreme spiritual leaders of the Jaina church, and are in keeping with the character of Yasastilaka as a Jaina religious romance composed in Kāvya style. The place of these prose invocations in the devotional scheme outlined by Somadeva has been indicated in a subsequent chapter, and it may here be pointed out that each invocation ends with a verse in the anastrap metre. There are eight such groups, five addressed to the Paramesthins (Arhat, Siddha, Ācārya, Upādhyāya and Sādhu), and three abstract ones addressed to the Jewels of Right Faith, Right Knowledge and Right Conduct. For example,

ॐ निखिलभुवनपतिविहितनिरितशयसपर्यापरम्परस्यविरहितारिरजोरहःकुह्कभावस्य समवसरणसरोऽवतीर्णजगत्त्रयपुण्डरीकखण्डमार्तण्डलस्य दुष्पाराजवज्ञवीभावजळिनमज्जन्तुजातहस्तावलम्बपरमागमस्य भिक्तभितिविष्पत्रयीपालमौलिमणिप्रमाभोगनभोविज्ञम्भमाणचरणनक्षत्रनिकुरुम्बस्य सरखतीवरप्रसादिवन्तामणेर्लक्ष्मीलतानिकेतक्त्यानोकहस्य कीर्तिपोतिकाप्रवर्धनकामधेनोरवीचिपरिचयखळीकारकारणाभिधानमात्रमन्त्रप्रभावस्य सौभाग्यसारमसंपादन-पारिजातप्रसवस्तवकस्य सौरूप्योत्पत्तिमणिमकरिकाघटनविकटाकारस्य रक्षत्रयपुरःसरस्य भगवतोऽर्हत्परमेष्ठिनोऽष्टत्यीमिष्ठि करोमीति स्वाहा । अपि च

नरोरमसुराम्भोजविरोचनरुचिश्रियम् । आरोग्याय जिनाधीशं करोग्यर्धनगोचरम् ॥

"I perform the eightfold worship of the Exalted Arhat Paramesthin preceded by the Three Jewels. All hail! He is continuously worshipped is free from the deception of Deluding, Knowledge- and Conation-obscuring and Obstructive Karmas.8 He is a sun to the lotus-bed of the universe appearing in his great audience-hall as on a pool of water. He is the mighty support of the creatures submerged in the impassable waters of transmigration. His feet, like stars, shine in the firmament of the expansive radiance of the crown jewels of the lords of the three worlds, devoutly bowing in obeisance. He is as a wishing gem for obtaining the highest favours of the goddess of learning. He is a Kalpa tree, the support of the creeper of Prosperity. He is as the all-giving celestial cow in nourishing the nascent Fame (of his worshippers). The mere utterance of his name has the efficacy of a magic formula in destroying one's chance of going to hell. He is as a bunch of Pārijāta flowers radiating the fragrance of well-being. He is the imagemaker that creates the bejewelled figure of Beauty's rise. Further, I worship Lord Jina for health. His splendour doth produce

¹ Ms. A explains विकटा as पुरालिका.

² See Chap. X.

³ Ms. A says अरिमींहः । रूजी ज्ञानदर्शनावरणद्वयस् । रहः अन्तरायः ।

on men, gods and serpents an effect like that of the rays of the sun on lotus blossoms."

ें विदित्तवेदितक्यस्य बाह्याभ्यन्तराचरणकरणत्रयविद्युद्धित्रपथगापगाप्रवहितम् वित्तमनोजकुजकुदुम्बाडम्बरस्य अमराम्बर् चरनरानितिक्विनोक्दम्बन्दप्रादुर्भूतमदनमदमकरन्ददुर्दिनिवनोदारिवन्दचन्द्रायमाणोदितोदितत्रतत्रातापहिसतार्वाचीनचरित्रच्युतविदिविदिनेत्रचनादिवैखानसरसस्य अनेक्षास्त्रभुवनक्षोभिषधामिभिध्यांनिधैयावघृतविष्वनप्रत्यहृब्यूहैरनन्यजनसामान्यदृतिभिर्मनोगोचरातिचरैरादचर्यप्रभावभूमिभिरनवधारितविधानैस्तैर्स्तृलोत्तरगुणमामणीभिस्तपःप्रारम्भैः सक्लैहिक्युखसामाज्यवरप्रदानावहितायातावधीरितविस्मितोपनतवनदेवतालकालिकुलविल्यमानचरणसरसिजपरागस्य निर्वाणपथनिष्ठितात्मनौ रमत्रवपुरःसरस्य भगवतः सर्वसाधुपरमेष्ठिनोऽष्टतयीमिधि करोमीति स्वाहा । अपि च

बोधापनाप्रवाहेण विध्यातानक्षवद्वयः । विध्याराध्याक्षयः सन्तु साध्यबोध्याय साधवः ॥

"I perform the eightfold worship of the Exalted, All-holy Sadhu Paramesthin preceded by the Three Jewels, the Saint who has devoted himself to the path of salvation. All hail! He has comprehended all knowable things, and uprooted the luxuriant grove of passion with the Ganges flow of the purity of mind, body and speech in the external and internal spheres of activity. He has thrown into the shade the religious meditation of inferior and degenerate recluses such as Brahma, Virocana and others, by dint of the multitude of his own ever-recurring vows, which, like the moon, wither up the honey-laden toy-lotus of frenzied passion, originating in the river of beautiful maids among the gods, men and the Vidyadharas. The dust of his lotus feet is wiped off by the bees in the shape of the hair of the sylvan deities, bowing to him in obeisance, who come to confer on him the boon of worldly happiness in its entire range, but are amazed at being ignored by him on account of his diverse austerities, headed by the vows comprising the Mula- and the Uttara-gunas; (austerities) that perturb the three worlds in diverse ways, and remove all obstacles with the strength of meditation, and are extraordinary in character, and beyond the range of thought, and inscrutable in their working, and productive of miraculous power! Further, may the saints whose feet are to be worshipped, according to the prescribed rule, help us to acquire perfect knowledge! They have extinguished the fire of passion with the current of the river of knowledge."

ॐ यत् सकळलंकालोकावलंकनप्रतिबन्धकान्धकारिवध्वंसनम्, अनवर्धावद्यामनदाकिनंनिदानमेदिनीधरम्, अञ्चेषसत्त्वोत्सवानन्दचन्द्रोदयम्, अखिलवत्रग्रांतर्समितिलतारामपुष्पाकरसमयम्, अनल्पकलप्रदावितपःकल्पहुमप्रसवभूमिमसबोपश्चस्यौमनस्यवृत्तिधेर्यप्रधानरनुष्ठीयमानमुशन्ति सद्धांधनाः परमपदप्राप्तेः प्रथमिव संपानम्, तस्य पवतयात्मनः सर्वक्रियोपशमातिश्चयावसानस्य सकलमङ्गलविधायिनः पद्यपर्मेष्ठिपुरःसरस्य भगवतः सम्यक्चारित्ररक्षस्याष्ठतयीमिष्टि करोमीति खाहा
अपि च

धर्मयोगिनरेन्द्रस कर्मवैरिजवार्जने । धर्मकृत् सर्वसरवानां धर्मधीर्युत्तमाश्रये ॥

¹ Ms. Λ says साध्यो बोध्य आत्मा यस तत् साध्वबोध्यं केवलवानं तसं.

Conduct, preceded by the five Paramesthins, and fivefold in character that produces all good, and culminates in the absolute cessation of all forms of activity. All hail! It destroys the darkness that obstructs the view of the entire universe. It is the Himālaya of unblemished knowledge; the moonrise of festive joy to all living creatures; and the springtime of the creepery grove of all the vows and modes of self-restraint and vigilance. It is the nursery of the Kalpa trees of religious austerities that vouchsafe mighty results, and it is practised by those who possess humility, mental calm, a kindly disposition and fortitude. The wise call this Jewel of Right Conduct the first step to the attainment of the supreme goal. Further, intent on Dharma, and for the purpose of vanquishing my enemy, Karma, I resort to the way of life of the Dharmayogin, the best of men. It doth bring happiness to all living creatures."

Somadeva's style is enlivened by the occasional use of popular maxims occurring chiefly in his prose and sometimes also in verses. For example, 'शिरो मुण्डिया नक्षत्रप्रतः' (Book IV): Enquiring as to an auspicious date for shaving one's head after one has already finished it. As pointed out by Jacob, Laukikanyāyāñjali, Part II, this proverb occurs in the Nyāyamañjarī in the form मुण्डितशिरोनक्षत्रान्वेषणम्. The proverb 'श्रेयोसि बहुविम्रानि' (Book I) occurs at the beginning of a popular verse cited, for example, by Haribhadra at the commencement of his own commentary on his Anekāntajayapatākā:

श्रेयोसि बहुविज्ञानि भवन्ति महतामपि । अश्रेयसि प्रवृत्तानां कापि यान्ति विनायकाः ॥

'इतस्तरमितो व्याप्टः कंनास्तु प्राणिनो गतिः' (Book IV): On one side a precipice, on the other a tiger; how can a living creature pass? To be between the devil and the deep sea. This and two other similar sayings are cited in Nalacampü, Book V: 'इतो व्याप्र इतस्तरी, इतो दवामिरितो दस्यवः, इतो दुष्टदन्दश्क इतोऽप्यन्षकृपः' इति न्यायात् ।. 'नरकान्ते राज्यस्, बन्धनान्तो नियोगः, मरणान्तः क्षीषु विश्वासः, विपदन्ता खलेषु मैत्री' (Books VII & V): Sovereignty leads to hell, employment to captivity, trust in women to death, and friendship with villains to danger. 'गतोदके कः खलु सेतुबन्धः' occurs in a verse of Book IV. 'सर्वत्र हे पुत्र न बहुलानि' Ibid. 'को नाम धीमाँक्ष्वणाम्बुराहोरुपायनार्थ लवणं नथेत' Ibid.

¹ For the fivefold Caritra (conduct) see Chap. XI (section on Caritrabhakti).

² Effective control over mind, body, and speech (कायग्रसि, वाग्युसि and मनोग्रसि). Tattvārthā-dhigama-sūtra 3.4.

³ ईवांसमिति (Proper care in walking), भाषासमिति (Proper care in speaking), एषणासमिति (Proper care in eating), आरानसिक्षेपसमिति (Proper care in lifting and depositing), and उत्सर्गसमिति (Proper care in excretion). Ibid.9.5.

⁴ See Chap, XII.

What wise man will carry salt for presenting it to the saltsea? 'इन्ह्रयमस्थानं हि गुणदोषयो-मेहीन्वतः' इति नीतिवास्यम्, Book VII, section 32. The sense, as far as can be judged from the context, seems to be that kings are as liberal as Indra in rewarding merit and as strict as Yams in punishing crime. 'राजपरिग्रहीतं सुणमपि कावनीमवति' Ibid.: Even a blade of grass belonging to the king becomes as valuable as gold. 'अठमतिवारम्यावेन' Book IV, 'अन्यकवर्तकीयम्' Book II, verse 153: The maxim of the blind man and the quail'. 'को नाम केलमारोहेदकें जन्ममुख खेनेत किमये पर्वतं मजेत्र discussed by Jacob (op. cit.), Part I. The following maxims bearing on the character of women occur in Book IV: क्षियः खळेषु रज्यन्ते दासहस्थिपकादिषु' इति, 'अपाने रमते नारी' इति वचनमस्थि। अत एकोकन्ति नीतिवेदिन:- 'तरकोऽपि पुंयोगः कियो द्वयति, कि पुनर्न मानुषः'।. The last of these maxims is also cited by Somadeva in Nitivākyāmṛta: क्षीणां दीत्यं किय एक इर्युक्तरकोऽपि पुंयोगः।.

Somadeva has an eye for the grotesque, as exemplified in his description of the elephant driver in Book IV and the king's spy in Book III. His power to depict the strange and the terrible is illustrated not only in his remarkable picture of Candamārī and the Mahābhairava temple in Book I but in the description of the scenes of horror conjured up by a Vidyādhara to disturb the meditation of the sage Manmathamathana, in Book V, and the similar scenes created by two gods to test the steadfastness of Jinadatta and Padmaratha in their austerities, in the first story of Book VI. Disease and disgusting conditions are delineated in the story of Auddāyana in Book VI (section 9); while Amṛtamati, stricken with leprosy after her crime, is introduced in a verse of Book V. Curious examples of abusive language are found in the story of the Vidyādhara mentioned above, who is discovered in the act of molesting the sage Manmathamathana by the king of the Vidyādharas, and addressed by the latter thus:

अरे कदाचाराचार पराकदुरात्मन् सलपुरोभागिन् विद्याधराधम खेचरखेट विद्वागोयमयाप्य विद्यसरखेल हेठ नरकविद्यास पापाचार बहुकुमतिसृतिचत गुणमटह निहीन गन्धर्यलोकापसद मातिरपुरुषः

Similarly, in the story of Śribhūti in Book VII (section 27), the dishonest priest is rebuked by the king in opprobrious language. The incorporation of the religious and moral tales in Books VI and VII gives the author an opportunity of presenting scenes not usually found in Sanskrit prose romances.

¹ The translation of the verse in question in chapter XI follows Srutasagara's explanation of the maxim. Vardhamana, on Ganarasnamahodadhi III. 195, explains it differently. See Jacob (p. cit.), Part I.

Frequent and sometimes felicitous use of similes is made by Somadeva in his narrative and descriptive prose. Vajrakumāra was, for instance, adorned by his youth, 'just as a desert path is adorned by a shady tree; just as a shady tree is adorned by a pool of water; just as a pool of water is adorned by a lotus-bed; just as a lotus-bed is adorned by a flock of swans; just as a flock of swans is adorned by the company of beautiful women; and just as the company of beautiful women is adorned by the graceful play of love'.1 After the discovery of Amrtamati's guilt, Yasodhara's heart burnt like a tree enveloped by fire; he was furious like the ocean overflowing its shores; he changed countenance like the moon attacked by Rāhu, and trembled like creatures at the approach of death; his lips quivered like a leaf that is being cut to pieces: he frowned like Siva intent on burning the Three Cities; and his bosom was aglow like a heated cauldron. The guilty woman, after her transgression, crept back to her husband's bed and slept, clinging to his bosom, like as a female rogue elephant enters a grove of trees, just as a sheet of lightning plunges into a rain-cloud, just as a serpent enters a mountain cave, just as the messenger of death enters the world, just as a crocodile enters the ocean, or a female goblin the dense woods.3 This may be contrasted with another series of similes, in which the conjugal harmony of Candramati and her consort Yasorgha is likened to the relationship existing between wise policy and a kingdom, forbearance and religious austerities, modesty and learning, fame and life, the Flag of triumph and the god of love, mango blossoms and springtime, the Kalpa creeper and a tree of heaven, and a series of beneficial happenings and a sacred day of religious piety. A long series of similes occurs also in Yasodhara's reflections on women as an indispensable factor in the joys of life. Without women, a kingdom is like a forest, ornaments are like the decoration of a dead body, beauty paint is like smearing of clay, adornment of the body is like shampooing a man who is asleep, the flurry of fly-whisks and parasols is like the worship of evil spirits, the practice of the arts is like a device for wasting time, the study of poetry is like an attempt to remove the irritation of the mouth, deliberations in the council chamber are like possession by evil spirits, public audience is like entering a prison, music is like a loud sermon on the futility of life, the sound of drums is like a trumpet proclaiming the banishment of worldly happiness, mansions are

^{1 &#}x27;महमार्ग इद छायापादपेन, छायापादप इद जलाशबेन, जलाशय इद कमलाकरेण' etc. Book VI, section 16.

अञ्ज्ञुक्षणिकश्रीकृतः क्षितिरुद्द इव दक्षमानान्तदेवः, च्युतमर्थादमुद्रः समुद्र इवानिवार्थकोपप्रसरः' etc. Book IV.

^{3 &#}x27;कुजनिकुश्रमिव न्याली, पर्जन्योत्सक्षमिव सीदामिनी, कुत्कीलकन्दरमिव मुजर्सा etc. Ibid.

^{4 &#}x27;नीतिरिव राज्यस्य, क्षान्तिरिव तपसः, अनुत्सेकस्थितिरिव श्रास्थ, कीर्तिरिव जीवितव्यस्य, विजयवैजयन्सीव मनसिजस्य' etc Book II.

like mountain caves, pleasure gardens are like charnel-fields, protecting one's subjects is like wage-earning, conciliation of the citizens is like the rounds of a city barber, execution of the six-fold policy is like the crossing of a dried up river, and the effort to acquire wealth is like dancing in the dark.¹

Yasodhara's disgust for the world and decision to renounce the world is brought into harmony with the morning scenery in a series of appropriate similes. "The east cleared up like my own decision. The stars vanished like my desire for sovereignty. The lunar orb grew dim like my passion of love. The day lotus beds blossomed forth like the disgust of my heart. The darkness of the night disappeared like my desire for worldly happiness. The glow of the early dawn melted away like the snare of my delusion."

Rather bold and exaggerated similes are used to praise the Siprū river in Book V. Its waters are bright as a stream of nectar and beautiful as the oozings from moonstones, and delight the world like the Supreme Light. Abstract similes are sometimes used to describe concrete objects, e. g., in the description of the great forest in Book V: it is impenetrable like the heart of a wicked man, dreadful like the hour of universal destruction, deep like the science of numbers, intricate like the character of a woman, infested with mischievous thorns like a period of anarchy, harmful like a villain's counsel, and inaccessible like the heart of a prince. Some of the similes are marred by word-play, and a typical example is the comparison of the forest just mentioned to Raghu's family, simply because both happen to contain 'Magadhi', which applied to the forest, means a pippali creeper; and applied to the family in question means Sudakṣiṇā, the consort of Dilîpa.4 Simpler and more tolerable is the comparison of king Yasorgha, also based on verbal similarity. to the autumn, the spring and the other seasons. Similes based on paronomasia are in fact a common feature of the Kāvya style, and it is perhaps significant that Somadeva's romance opens with a long series of similes of this type (slistopama). They are used also in the description of the great tree in the valley of the Suvela mountain in Book V.

^{1 &#}x27;विना द्वि विलासिनीजनेनारण्यमिवेदं राज्यम्, मृतकमण्डनमिथामरणम्, पङ्कोपदेह इव विलेपनम्, द्वाप्तसंवाहनमिव शरीरसंस्कारः, प्रकरणमिव चामरातपत्राङम्बरः, कालहरणोपाय इव कलानामभ्यासः, तुण्डकण्डूविनयनमिव काल्याध्यवनम् ' etc. Book IV.

^{2 &#}x27;मस्कार्यपरिच्छेदेनेव स्फुटितं प्रदेदिरभागेन, मद्राज्याभिलाषेणेव विरलीभूतं तारकनिकरेण, मन्मनसिजविलासेनेव विच्छाबि-तमिन्दुविम्बेन, मद्रेराग्यमनसेव विकसितमरविन्दवृन्देन' etc. Book IV.

^{3 &#}x27;दुर्जनदृश्यमिन दुष्प्रवेशम्, प्रलयकालमिन भयानकम्, निगवागमिन गहनावसानम्, '''' वामेक्षणाचरितमिन् स्वभावविषमम् 'eto.

^{4 &#}x27;रचुर्वशमिव मागधीप्रमवम्'.

^{5 &#}x27;शरसमय इव प्रतापनिवित्तमित्रमण्डलः' etc. Book II.

^{6 &#}x27;यत्र महानृपतय इव गोमण्डलबन्तः, चन्नवितिश्रय इव महिवीसमाकुलाः, भरतप्रयोगा इव सगन्धर्वाः """""" मामाः।

१ 'काकुत्स्वक्रवावतार इव क्रिकुळिबळुप्यमानपळाश्चप्रसरः, सत्रमण्डप इव द्विजराजविराजितः'''' छन्दःप्रस्तार इव पादप्रवन्धावरद्वस्यः' ०६०.

Other examples of word-play are not very numerous considering the bulk of the romance. The device is aptly used in the satirical description of the wicked minister in Book III. The spy says, for instance, that the minister is indeed the vardhana or 'promoter' of the prosperity of the kingdom, but the word means also 'cutter', 'destroyer'. Similarly, he is the cause of vibhūti 'glory', but the word means also 'ashes'; and he is also the maker of a series of mangalas, which means both 'happy events' and 'potsherds'. There is likewise a long series of compounds in Book II, which describes in identical language the condition of kings, both when they are favoured by Yasorgha and when they incur his displeasure. When, for instance, the great king is displeased with them, their let is tirthopasana, i. e. deprived of their kingdoms, they pass their days in sacred places for the practice of religion; but when Yasorgha is favourable to them, they have tirthopasana of another kind, i. e. enjoy the loyalty of the various components of the state in full sovereignty of the realm. Similarly, another series of compounds describes simultaneously a pleasure garden and a crowd of beautiful women in a description of the summer in Book III. Virodhābhāsas and Parisamkhyās, based on word-play, are also met with, but their number is not large. The description of the royal palace towards the end of Book II contains some highly artificial examples of Virodhābhāsa.

The most typical of the characteristics of the later Kāvya style is, however, exhibited by the use of Utprekṣās which are frequently employed in our work. A series of Utprekṣās is used, for example, in Book I, to describe a hill, which is fancied as the bosom of Dame Earth, as the play-ball of some sylvan deity, as a sweetmeat ball given to the boyish Regions of the sky by their mothers, as the hump of the Earth Bull, as a mound barring the entrance to the nether regions, and as the supporting roost of the Sky Bird*! Utprekṣās are generally used to give greater perspicuity to an image and make it more striking and graphic, but very often the effect produced is rather bizarre and grotesque, although there are numerous exceptions. Those employed, for instance, to describe Candamārī are quite in keeping with the dread character of the goddess, who is fancied as the younger sister of Yama, as the mother of Mahākāla-Śiva, as the messenger of the god of death, as the companion of the Kālāgni form of Śiva associated with the hour of universal

^{1 &#}x27;देव, देवस्वायमेव राज्यलद्गीवस्त्रीवर्धनः। देव, देवस्वायमेव मङ्गळपरम्परासंपादनः।…….देव, देवस्वावमेव समरेषु अविश्वतिकारणम् ।'-

अधिततीर्थोपासनाविर्भवदाश्चर्येश्वर्याः ""स्वस्य रोवतोवयोः समतामानिन्यरे भूमिस्वः ।"

^{3 &#}x27;सनामीय इन महीमहिकायाः, मीडाक्-युक दन बनदेवतायाः, मात्मीदक इन दिग्यालककोक्तक' etc.

destruction, as the stewardess of the kitchen of the awful Mothers', as the nurse of the monsters, as the ground where the kinsmen of the god of death worship the Manes, and as the Night of destruction of all living creatures." Not so successful is the attempt to reproduce the nasty spectacle of a serpent half buried in an anthill. The reptile is fancied as the intestines of the Earth Antelope, as the tail of the Earth Lioness, as the root of the Earth Creeper, as the stalk of the Earth Lily, and as the lock of hair of the Earth figured as a female monster."

The constant desire to add new images tends to make Utpreksas farfetched and artificial, and sometimes a good image is spoilt by juxtaposition with others less effective. As is to be expected, Utpreksas occur mostly in descriptions; and some characteristic examples are provided by the natural descriptions in our romance. The Sipra river is, for example, faucied as a girdle of moonstones worn by the city of Ujjayini like a graceful lady, as the pleasure tank of the mistresses of the king of Malava, as a festive banner flying over the nether regions, as a nuptial garland for the wayside mountains, as a pearlstring worn by the Earth goddess, as a banner proclaiming the fame of the mountain from which the river rises, and as an irrigation canal for the gems in the ocean.4 Similarly, in the rainy season the sky seems to be a shower-bath for the earth long scorched by heat; the mountains with the waters of the cascades streaming down their sides appear to have white banners covering their slopes; and the lakes, full to the brim, seem to be ceremonial vessels of water, a parental offering to the Summer dead and gone! Another series of Utpreksas occurs in the description of the temples of Rajapura in Book I. The tall, white edifices are fancied as clusters of flowers of the Sky tree, as white lights of the firmament, as masses of lotus blossoms adorning the crest of the Sky Goddess, as places for the acquisition of wast

¹ The seven Matrs are mentioned in the following verse quoted by Srutasagara: अञ्चानी व तकेन्द्राणी नहाड़ी केरने तथा। चामुण्डा कणेमोटी च चर्चा खु: सप्त मात्रहः॥. For other definitions, and the number of the Mothers, which is sometimes given as nine, see the English trans. of Naisadhacarita, Vocab, under अस्विका.

^{&#}x27;या च लबीयसी भगिनीय यमस्य, जननीव महाकाकस्य, तृतिकेव इतालास्य, सहचरीय कालाग्निवद्रस्य, महामसिकीय मातुमण्डकस्य' etc.

^{3 &#}x27;पुरीतछातानमिव मेदिनीकुरविकायाः, काक्क्मिव महीसिक्किवायाः' etc. Book V.

^{4 &#}x27;इन्दुमणिनेखलेद पशावतीविकासिन्दाः, जककेलिदीविकेद माळवादनीपाकविकासिनीनास्, नित्योत्सदपताकेद ब्रुजळ्ळाकेस्य, वरणमाकेद मार्गमहीवराजान् ' etc. I bid.

⁵ 'बिरवरातपसंतापदुःस्थितायाः शितेर्येन्त्रपारागारक्षीकामिव विश्वति गगनमण्डके''''''''''निदायनिदायकसरावेष्मिव निर्वेरान्मःसंश्रुतेषु सरःसु' Book III.

4

religious merit by the pious of the three worlds, as expanses of the foam of the atmospheric ocean, as gleams of the loud laugh of Siva incarnate as the sky, as crystal pleasure hills of the planetary world, and as elephant cubs of the Sky Forest belonging to the (white) Airāvata family.

Accumulations of Rupakas are occasionally met with, for example, in the description of Maradatta as the lover of women of different nationalities.2 The lengthy series of epithets, mostly Rüpakas, applied to Yasodhara in the letter despatched to a rival,3 illustrates a current practice in royal correspondence and inscriptional records of grants and endowments. Some of the epithets are as follows: "The Sunrise of prowess; Brahman himself in wisdom; an ocean of discernment; a swan participating in the joyful sports of the goddess of learning; the beloved consort of poetic speech; a Vidyadhara in the game of ball-throwing; an Indra in playing with rutting elephants; an Aruna in chariot-driving; a Garuda in fighting on foot; a Gandharva king in singing; a Brhaspati in the science of instrumental music; a Bharata in the art of dancing;...... a Parasurama in self-sacrifice; an axe in the jungle of hostilities; a lion to the 'fawn' of Kalinga'; a blaze to the 'bamboo clump' of Asmaka; a fire to the 'moth' of the Saka country; a flame consuming the king of Krathakaisika; the crest-jewel of the Ksatriyas of Ahicchatra; Deathknell to the insubordination of the king of Pancala; a thunder-bolt to the royal dynasty of Kerala; lightning-fire to the Yavana Tree; the subject of pleasant disputes among the beautiful women of Cedi; the luxury mirror of the women of Magadha; a tender leaf on the plump bosoms of the ladies of Kānci; a love-god to the youthful Ratis of Mahismati; the beauty-paint of the ruddy lips of the fair women of Kausambi; the ear-ornament of the women of Dasarna; the passionate lover of the courtesans of Pataliputra: a bee enjoying the graceful gestures of the beautiful women of Valabhi; the perfumed ornamental dot on the foreheads of the women of Ayodhya." A reference to the original will show that most of these epithets are used with an eye to verbal effect, to which Kavya poets paid considerable attention.

^{1 &#}x27;मक्कुक्कवकीरवान्तरिश्चक्कक्क, वेतवीपसृष्टिभिरिव रोद कोटरस' etc.

^{2 &#}x27;आश्वीणामस्वत्रहारीविज्ञान्मणवक्तरः, नोकीषु भूकतानर्वनमक्त्रानिकः, केरतीनां नयनरीविकाकित्रकर्तः, सिहतीषु मुख्यमक्त्रमकरन्दपानमपुष्परः, कर्णाटीनां कुच्यकश्विकालपृष्ठरः, सीराहीषु वित्वविद्यावरः, कर्णाचीनां नामिक्तिवर्णमंत्रोत्रमेनां नामिक्तिवर्णमंत्रोत्रमेनां नित्ववर्णमंत्रोत्रमेनां नित्ववर्णमंत्रात्रमेनां नित्ववर्णमंत्रात्रमेनां नित्ववर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्ववर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वरं नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमेनां नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमेनां नित्वर्णमंत्रमेनां नित्वर्णमेनां नित्वर्णम

³ See chap. V.

^{4 &#}x27;Fawn', 'Bamboo clump' and 'Moth' are contemptuous references to the kings of the respective countries.

⁵ i.e. the Yavana king.

The Rüpakas used are not, all of them, equally successful. The courtesan whose dead body forms the subject of the verse description in Book I was 'the pleasure resort of the apish minds of all who are henchmen of the god of love'. The nights of the rainy season are 'the female buffaloes who nourish the child minds of the women going out to meet their lovers'. A young man who took the help of a nurse in his love affairs is described as having made her a field for the growth of the woodland of his project. A certain sage is called 'an elephant engaged in water-sports in the river of learning. A particularly had example is the description of another sage as 'an enclosure for the capture of the elephant herd of religious merit'.

Neglect of proportion is a common characteristic of later Kavya style, and overelaboration of details often leads to the use of artificial conceits and hyperboles. Somadeva, for instance, devotes no less than twenty elaborate and mostly far-fetched epithets to Maradatta's sword (Book I, p. 161), and an almost equal number is applied to the dust raised by Yasodhara's troops (Book II, p. 337). The particles of dust are incidentally likened in an elaborate fashion to lovers enjoying the company of their beloved, because they enshroud and cling to the various limbs of the women accompanying the procession.6 The height of the temples of Rajapura is conveyed by saying that 'the showers of water streaming from the conduits made of moonstones, emitting moisture in contact with the rays of the moon, drench the bodies of the nymphs of heaven, withered by the fire of separation from their lovers'.' Sometimes a conceit employed is exaggerated to the extent of producing something like a comic effect. In the description of the great hospitable tree in Book V, for example, the tree is fancied as mockingly addressing other trees in the forest on the subject of their being barren and useless for wayfarers.

A. . 40

^{1 &#}x27;चेतोमबानुचरमानवमनोमर्कटकीहादनविद्यारवस्तिः'.

^{2 &#}x27;अभिसारिकाजनमनीऽपत्वपोषणगर्थवः धर्ववः'.

^{3 &#}x27;स्वतीयाकृतकान्तारप्रवर्धनथरित्रीसक्तीत्' Book VII, section 31.

^{4 &#}x27;सरस्वतीसरिकारुकेलिक्जरः' Book V.

^{5 &#}x27;युष्पद्विपबृधवन्धनवाहिः' Book VI, section 19.

^{7 &#}x27;अमृतकरातपरपदीहवसन्द्रकान्तमयमणाकोण्डलकालकालकासारसिच्यमातिवयदिद्वारिणीविरहवैक्यानरकमैमकैरहारीरविद्वितिर'.

One of the topics which makes later Sanskrit writers throw off all restraint is fame, usually the fame of kings. Apart from poetical descriptions of royal fame, Somadeva gives an elaborate picture of the fame of the sage Sudatta (Book I), which illustrates how Kavya poets seek novelty in the treatment of conventional themes by introducing fanciful images and conceits. The description in question may be summarised thus. "The universe having been pervaded by Sudatta's fame, white as the foam of milk, Brahman is anxious lest the white things created by him should be merged in the general whiteness and lost to view. So he devises signs of recognition, and puts the submarine fire in the Ocean of Milk, masses of matted hair on Siva's head, a dark spot in the form of a deer in the moon, protuberances on the heads of Airavata and other divine elephants, mineral peaks on the Himālayas, Tamāla trees on the slopes of the Kailāsa mountain, the dark waters of the Yamuna in the course of the Ganges, and yellow filaments in white lotus blossoms; while he prescribes for the Pāncajanya conch contact with the dark hands of Krsna. Sudatta's fame is also represented as a maiden in her nuptial attire, wandering in the universe and rejecting various suitors for her hand on different grounds. She tells Brahman, for instance, that he is too old to accompany her in her travels; tells Agni, the god of fire, that he is hardly fit for marriage, suffering as he does from acute jaundice; and rejects Varuna, the lord of the ocean, because he is disabled by dropsy and hence debarred from the gaieties of love. Vayu, the wind-god, is too fickle for her, and she tells Kubera, the god of wealth, that a confirmed drunkard like him is not fit to converse with a maiden like her. Siva who lives near funeral pyres is, of course, ruled out; and she warns the Moon that his life is in danger on account of his incurable consumption, and tells Visnu that, being a baldpate with a scalp resembling an iron cauldron turned upside down, he is not worthy of her kisses.2 Unable to accept any one of them, Maiden Fame continues to wander without abiding anywhere, like a doe deluded by a mirage. like the mind of a king who has lost his throne, like the intellect of a sage who has attained the inner vision." There is no doubt that this description of fame shows considerable ingenuity, but the reckless elaboration of a favourite theme all but makes it verge on the comic."

^{1 &#}x27;अमबरतमधुपानपरिच्युतमतिप्रकाश वित्तशः, न गोचरदचतुरोत्तिस्रुपारसास्तादविस्कुरितश्रवणाश्वलिपुटाबाः सहाकापगोष्ठीः नाम्' इति नकुल्बरपितरम् ।

अवतानकालायसतिकाङ्गतिसलतिमस्तकदेश इषीकेश, न समीपमदयकचप्रद्यविलिपम् थाः कुटिककुम्तलाविलविलोचन-चुम्बनागाम् शति मुकुन्दम् ।

³ Certain other gods are also mentioned, including a vulgar reference to Indra.

An interesting example of Kāku-Vakrokti is found in Amrtamati's fraudulent message to Yasodhara, in which she begs to be allowed to accompany the king into wilderness after his proposed renunciation of the world. Yasodhara, while listening to the message, interjects sarcastic remarks which appear to corroborate her statements, but really give them a different interpretation reflecting on her character. Portions of the message may be reproduced here, Yasodhara's remarks being put within brackets. Amrtamati says: 'I will accompany Your Majesty, just as the tide accompanies the ocean (That is why you are fickle); just as the image of the moon accompanies the sky (That is why you have a black spot1); just as the shade accompanies a tree (That is why you are enjoyed by others); just as the track accompanies a chariot (That is why you are 'full of dust'2); just as the flame accompanies a lamp (That is why you emit 'smoke's); just as sylvan beauty accompanies the spring (That is why you are full of Cupid's lore); just as the Ganges is the companion of the Himālayas (That is why you seek a lower level)'. "

Instances of Yamakas are occasionally found. A device used more than once is the Srnkhalayamaka which consists in the continuous repetition of the last syllables of a series of compounds at the beginning of each succeeding compound. For example,

यः सत्वनेकविकिरकुलकामिनीनिशितिशिखोक्षेत्रनसमुखविलिख्यमानशासाभुजशिखरः, शिक्षरशिक्षासम्बदितः कुपलपर्यन्तः, कुपलपर्यन्तः, प्रकृपदुद्दनः, पह्नवपुद्रपदलः, पह्नवपुद्रपदलःन्तरालः पथिकसार्थः, पधिकसार्थः, पधिकसार्थः, पश्चिकसार्थः, सहचरीनिचयः, सहचरीनिचयः, सहचरीनिचयः, सहचरीनिचयः अध्याष्ट्रकातलदेशः अध्याष्ट्रकातलदेशः As pointed out by Jacobi, the Śṛṅkhalayamaka is used by Siddharşi in Upumitibhavaprapañcā kathā, p. 752. Haribhadra too has used this device in verses in his Samarāiccakahā.

The mixture of prose and verse is a salient feature of Yasastilaka which is one of the two earliest extant Campūs, the first being Trivikrama's Nalacampū written during the reign of the Rāṣṭrakūṭa Emperor Indra

¹ i. e. a blot on her character.

^{2 &#}x27;a lewd woman'.

³ i. s. disgrace.

⁴ i. e. a paramour of lower rank.

⁵ See B. L. ed., pp. 79, 423-4, 449, etc.

III in the first quarter of the tenth century. It will be out of place to trace here the growth of Sanskrit narrative composition in mixed prose and verse, the origins of which go back to Vedic literature as well as Buddhist writings in Pali and Sanskrit. There is, however, no doubt that this form of composition became popular in the Deccan in the tenth century in Sanskrit as well as Kanarese literature.1 Apart from the romances of Trivikrama and Somadeva, the Kudlur grant of the Ganga king Mūrasimha, elaborately composed in Sanskrit prose and verse, and containing a detailed account of the Ganga dynasty, was issued in 963 A. p. about five years after the composition of Somadeva's Yasastilaka. As regards Kanarese literature, Pampa wrote his famous works Adipurana and Pampa Bhārata in mixed prose and verse in 941 A. D., and the campā style continued to be popular in that literature for a long period after. Among notable later examples of the mixed style may be mentioned Nāgacandra's Pampa Rūmāyana (circa 1105 A. D.) and Nemicandra's Lilavati (circa 1170 A. D.), 'the earliest known specimen of the Novel, or genuine work of fiction, of the Kanarese language'. Somadeva wrote his Yasastilaka less than two decades after the composition of Pampa's works; and being a native of Karnātaka, he may have been influenced by the prevailing Kanarese literary style of his time. As we have already pointed out. Pampa was court-poet of Arikesarin II who reigned at Puligere (Lakshmesvar, Dharwar District), while Somadeva wrote at Gangadhārā during the reign of one of his successors. Another noteworthy point is that most of the Kanarese writers of the tenth century and after were Jainas, and it is highly probable that they as well as Somadeva were influenced by the example of the great Jaina Prakrit romances in mixed prose and verse, e. g., the Samarāiccakahā of Haribhadra and the Kuvalayamālā of Uddyotana composed in the eighth century. The extensive allegorical novel Upamitibhavaprapañoa katha of Siddharsi composed in prose and verse in 906 A. D. is an early example of a Jaina romance written in Sanskrit under similar influences, though not in Kāvya style. Comprehensive Jaina narrative works in Prakrit using prose and verse indiscriminately continued to be written till long after the eighth century, e. g., Gunacandra's Mahāviracarita (1082 A. D.), Somaprabha's Kumārapālapratibodha (1184

¹ See Rico: Kanarese Leterature.

² Annual Report of the Mysore Archaeological Department for 1921.

³ Rice (op. cit.), p. 43.

⁴ For Kuvalayamālā ses Bhāratīya Vidyā, Vol. II, p. 77

⁵ Annals of the Bhandarker O. R. Institute, Vol. XVI, p.37.

1. p.) etc. While Somadeva's Yasastilaka, like Trivikrama's Nalacampu, is essentially a work written in Sanskrit Kāvya style, its Jaina characterisitics and the probable influence exercised by the Jaina Präkrit romances on its style and composition cannot entirely be ignored.

Dialogues in prose and verse add to the interest of Somadeva's work. The controversial dialogue in Book IV has been dealt with elsewhere, and we may refer to the story of Padmā in Book VII, section 31, which is practically in the form of dialogues linked together by brief narrative passages. The story contains two main dialogues cast in a dramatic form which could be easily adapted to the stage. That between the bawd and Padmā is reproduced below: पूक्त भूताता पुष्पक्ष-वास्तिस्य स्वीक्स्तावार्या !

कीषु भ्रम्यात्र महेन परमोगोपगापि वा । मनिमालेन सोहासं भ्रियते मूर्भि शंशुना ॥ :ती (स्वगतम) — इत्वरीजनाचरणहर्म्यनिर्माणायः प्रथमसत्रपात इवार्य वाक्योपोदचातः ।···· (प्रकालः

भट्टिनी (खगतम्) — इत्वरीजनाचरणहर्म्यनिर्माणाय प्रथमस्त्रपात इवायं वाक्योपोद्चातः ।…… (प्रकाशम्) आर्थे, किमस्य सुभावितस्य ऐदंपर्यम् ।

धार्जी -- परमसौभाग्यभागिनि भट्टिनि, जानासि एवास्य सुभावितस्य कैंपर्यम् , यदि न वज्रविटितहृदयासि ।

अद्विती --- (स्वगतम्) सर्वं वञ्जघटितहृदयाहम् , यदि भवत्त्रयुक्तोपघातषुणजर्जितिकाया न भविष्यामि । (प्रकाशम्) आर्थे, हृदयेऽभिांनविष्टमर्थे श्रोतुमिच्छामि ।

भाजी -- बत्से, कथयामि । किं त

चित्तं द्वयोः पुरत एव निषेदनीयं ज्ञानाभिमानधनधन्यधिया नरेण। वः प्राधितं न रहचत्वभिष्ठुज्यमानो वो वा अवेषानु जनो मनसोऽनुष्ट्रकः ॥

महिनी — (स्वगतम्) अहो नभःप्रकृतिमपीयं पश्चैरपलेप्तुनिच्छति । (प्रकाशम्) आर्थे, उभयत्रापि समर्थाहं न चैतन्सद्वपशं भवदुपकर्मं वा ।

भाषी — (सगतम्) अनुगुणेयं सञ्ज कार्यपरिणतिः ……… (प्रकाशम्) अत एव भद्रे, वदन्ति पुराणविदः— विभुगुरोः कळत्रेण गोतमस्यामरेहवरः । संतनोहसापि दुसमां समगंसा पुरा किळ ॥

अद्विती — आर्थे, एवमेव । यतः

श्रीणां वयुर्वेन्युमिरप्रिसाक्षिकं परत्र विकीतमिदं न मानसम् । स एव सस्याविपतिर्वेतः कृती विकस्भगर्मा न<u>त</u> यत्र निर्देतिः ॥

भाजी — पुत्रि, तर्हि धूयताम् । तं निकैक्दा कस्यचित् कुस्रमिनंसीकिनिविशेषवपुषः

प्रासाद्विहारिणी वीक्षणपयानुसारिणी सती कौमुदीव हृदयचन्द्रकान्तानन्दस्यन्यसंपादिनी अभः । तरप्रसृति

मन्तु तस्य सदनसुन्दरस्य यूनः

प्रतियासरं काश्योवतारः , स्मराराधमप्रणीतप्रविधानस्येवेन्द्रियेषु सन्ता, प्राणेषु वायसीनपया कथा । अपि च

अभवस्यक्रकाद्वीन्द्रीकनवान्द्रसम्वैरतिस्रतस्युणासीकन्द्रकेष्ठनन्द्रसम्होषः ।

अञ्चलक्षिमरीनिकौदितायां निकाबां विषयक्षि सुद्धरके किनिदासमञ्जेषः ॥

महिनी - अर्थे, क्रिनेसवारि गेपान्तते । वाची - (क्रिनाहनतुद्धस्त) एक्नेक्यू । महिनी-को दोषः । वाची-क्या । महिनी-यदा द्वभ्यं रोक्ते ।

The above dialogue is of interest as presenting a lifelike picture of a procuress attempting to seduce a respectable married woman at the instance of a young man of high social standing. There is some resemblance between the Sanskrit dialogue and the first Mime of the Mimiambi of the Greek poet Herodas, a collection of Mimes or dramatic dialogues of a literary character, composed about the third century B. c. In the Mime in question the bawd Gyllis visits Metriche, a well-to-do young lady, whose husband Mandris' has been away in Egypt for nearly a year, and tries to persuade her to accept a wealthy young man who has vehemently fallen in love with her, and employed the old woman as a go-between. Metriche politely but firmly rejects her proposal and dismisses her with a cheering cup of wine. In both the versions the bawd is an old woman, the husband is or expected to be away, and the passion of the admirer is eloquently, described, but the mission ends in failure owing to the loyalty of the young wife. There are, however, important points of difference. The Sanskrit dialogue forms part of a moral and religious tale illustrating the evil consequences of unlawful love, while the Mime is a faithful representation of the seamy side of contemporary life. Further, the sequel is different in the Indian tale. as Padma, the chaste wife of the priest, instead of dismissing the bawd in a friendly spirit, like Metriche, pretends to accept her proposal. and hatches a plot to trap the young libertine. The Mime is no doubt by far the more realistic in tone and expression; but the treatment, as dramatic dialogue, is no less vivid in the Sanskrit story. Obscure allusions occur in both the Sanskrit dialogue and the Greek Mime. Somadeva employs the ornate style of the Sanskrit drama in prose and verse; while Herodas uses only verse, but in an artificial dialect. The dramatic qualities of the dialogues in the story of Padma are also present to a lesser extent in the dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother in Book IV, though the importance of the latter is socio-religious rather than literary.

² Korte (Hellonistic Poetry, p.329) thinks Mandris is Metriche's lover.

CHAPTER V

Yasastilaka as a Socio-political Record

As we have already pointed out, Yasastilaka throws considerable light on contemporary life and society, especially court life and administration; and the available data may be classed under three heads: court life, problems of statecraft and social conditions.

COURT LIFE

A glance at the Synopsis and Chapter VII (the section on Court poetry) will show that Books II and III of Yasastilaka contain valuable information on medieval court life; and the conditions described therein are chiefly applicable to an Imperial court, which, as suggested in a previous chapter, could be no other than that of the Raştraküta emperors about the middle of the tenth century. Somadeva describes the ceremonies connected with the birth, nuptials and the coronation of the prince, and draws a series of vivid pictures of the various activities of the court. On the intellectual side we have weighty discussions of an academic character: sometimes the king listens to an elaborate debate on the guiding principles of policy; sometimes he discusses important verses of the Arthaéastra; or participates in heated debates, winning the applause of the learned by his proficiency in the philosophical systems of Jina, Jaimini, Kapila, Kanada, Cārvāka and Buddha.3 Interludes in a lighter vein are not wanting. For example, on a certain occasion, while the king is discussing the poetical works of the Mahākavis, a poet named Paņdita-vaitaņdika (Scholar Sophist) comes along and recites an untimely panegyric in his honour, e. g. (3. 271),

इरिगरवन्ति महीधाः क्षीरोद्धयनित वार्षयः सर्वे । तव देव यशसि विसरति सौधन्ति वगन्ति च क्षीणि ॥
"Sire, by reason of the expansion of thy fame, mountains turn white like the Kailāsa; the seas turn white as the Ocean of milk, all of them; and the three worlds resemble palaces in lustre!" Finding the king inattentive, he asks discourteously how it is that the sovereign does not recognise a world-renowned poet like him! "The king, however, wounds his vanity by asking him the purport of a difficult verse of Sanskrit poetics which proves to be beyond his comprehension."

¹ See Chap. VII.

² See Chap. XVIII.

^{3 &#}x27;कदान्तित्'''''पण्डितप्रकाण्डमण्डसीमण्डनाडम्बरगीर्गुम्फसंरम्भेषु जिनकेमिनिकपिककणनरचार्गक्रशास्त्रमण्याः संगीणतया विदुष्त्रणीनां परिषदां निक्तिसित्तिषास्यवद्यायस्यादिकितेसः ।' Vol. I. p. 480.

⁴ सकलकविकोकचक्रपमर्दनः स्वात एव मुवनेऽसिन् । क्षामिद्र संगति स्वता समामतो नावनुष्येऽवृत् ॥ 8. 273.

⁵ For the verse in question see Chap. XVIII.

Court poets or rather panegyrists are very much in evidence, and recite appropriate verses on diverse occasions, marriage, coronation etc. Certain of them describe the morning and evening scenery, the beauties of the seasons and the gaiety of court festivals; recount the merits of horses and elephants, and recite panegyries while the king practises archery in the gymnasium. One of the bards who recites the verses in praise of the rising moon is described as having been a fellow student with the king; and there is no doubt that the presence of these educated versifiers adds to the refinement and cultural atmosphere of the court.

Reference is made in Book II to Committees of Experts (ācārya-pariṣad) for the examination of horses and elephants and their representatives, the Mahāmātra and the Mahāsādhaka, the chief keepers of the royal elephants and horses respectively, who submit to the king detailed oral reports on the characteristics of the elephant and the horse selected for his use. The Mahāmātra appears to be a Brāhmaṇa, being introduced as belonging to the family of Paraśurāma; while the Mahāsādhaka is an experienced soldier, being described as having a body marked with the scars of wounds received in many a battle. Great attention seems to have been paid to elephants. The king himself takes part in the training and arming of elephants, and one of his favourite recreations is the witnessing of elephant-races in the race-courses (pradhāva-dharaṇi).

The court jester (narma-saciva) does not appear in person in our work, but certain utterances attributed to him contain some home truths about the character of kings (3. 254-5):

समरभरः सुभटानां फळानि कर्णेजपैस्तु भोग्यानि । करिदशना इव नृपतेर्बाद्याः क्रेशाय खादनेऽन्तस्थाः ॥ स्वक्रुप्यमिव निसर्गाहुणेषु नृपतिः परासुधः प्रायः । कोश इवात्मविदारिणि निस्त्रिशे संमुखो भवति ॥

"The warriors bear the brunt of the fighting, but it is the wirepullers who enjoy the results. Those serving a king from outside undergo all hardship, while those in the inner circle reap the benefits; just as the outer tusks of an elephant do the hard jobs, while the inner teeth are employed in eating. Kings, by nature, turn their backs at merits, just as the flowers of a wreath turn their backs at the string. They welcome the heartless who destroy them, even as a sheath receives the sword that cleaves it."

The minister, the physician, the chef and the priest are mentioned together in one of the morning verses (3.11) recited by the bards, who announce their arrival at the court. The court physician seems to be well-read in medical literature, and admonishes the king in a lengthy

¹ Book III (प्रधावधरणिषु करिबिनोदविकोकनदोहदं प्रासादमध्यास्य etc.).

discourse on matters connected with physical exercise, food and drink.² Astrologers are mentioned in Book II, and they are consulted on the eve of the coronation, and doubtless on many other occasions. The Sthapati or the architect appears in connection with the arrangements for the coronation and the construction of the magnificent pavilion on the bank of the Siprā.²

The composition of the personnel of medieval courts seems to have been more or less similar in India and elsewhere. Nizami of Samarcand declared about 1155 that a properly constituted court should have four classes of educated men: secretaries of state, poets, astrologers and physicians, for "the business of kings cannot be conducted without competent secretaries; their triumphs and victories will not be immortalized without eloquent poets; their enterprises will not succeed unless undertaken at seasons adjudged propitious by sagacious astrologers; while health, the basis of all happiness and activity, can only be secured by the services of able and trustworthy physicians". This is a fairly accurate description of the composition of medieval courts, whether oriental or occidental. We are told that "even in the West most courts had their astrologers' by the thirteenth century-the earl of Chester even in the twelfth-and the other three might well be found still earlier, but in a less bureaucratic form." The description is particularly true of the Sicilian court of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries with "its astrologers and poets, its Arab physicians and many-tongued secretaries"; and the entourage of the Indian court was not, we presume, materially different, to judge from Somadeva's circumstantial account. As regards secretaries and state officials, we may here refer to the Sandhivigrahins, who appear in our work in the role of secretaries of state. and can be accurately described as 'many-tongued', as will be seen later.

One of the functions of the Sandhivigrahins in our work is to announce the arrival at the imperial court of envoys from foreign courts with presents characteristic of their respective countries. The poetical utterances of the Sandhivigrahins are reproduced below (3. 247-46):

त्ताः केरलचोकसिंहल्काकश्रीमालपञ्चालकैरन्येशाङ्गकलिङ्गवङ्गपतिभिः प्रस्थापिताः प्राङ्गणे । तिष्ठनत्यातमञ्ज्ञागतासिलमहीसारं गृहीत्वा करे देवस्वापि जगत्पतेरवसरः किं विद्यते वा न वा ॥

¹ See below.

² See Chap. II.

³ Haskins: The Renaissance of the twelfth century, p. 54.

^{4 &#}x27;The court astrologer...became an indispensable adjunct of the 'Abbasid throne. Hitti: History of the Arabs, p. 318.

⁵ The hajib or chamberlain of the Baghdad court was attached to the person of the caliph, and his duty consisted in introducing accredited envoys and dignitaries into the caliphal presence. Hitti (op. cit.).

श्रवकगति किल्काचीश्वरस्थां करीन्द्रेस्तुरगनिषद् एव प्रेषितः सेन्धवैसे । अवस्रि च समास्रे पाण्डादेशाधिनाधसरकगुलिकहारमामृतस्यप्रदुसाः ॥

कश्मीरैः कीरनाथः क्षितिप सृगमवैरेष नेपालपालः कैशेयैः कोशलेन्द्रः शिशिरगिरिपतिप्रैन्थिपणैरुदीणैः । अधिनवृज्ञन्त्रकान्दैविधिषकुरुषनैर्मागषः प्रासृतेस्त्वां द्रष्टुं द्वारे समाले यदिह ससुचितं देव तन्मां प्रशाधि ॥

"The envoys, despatched by the kings of Kerala, Cola, Ceylon, the Saka land, Śrīmāla and Pañcāla, and by the lords of Aṅga, Kalinga and Vaṅga as well as other kings, stand in the courtyard, holding in their hands the choicest products of all their dynastic possessions. (They may be told) whether Your Majesty, the lord of the earth, has the leisure to see them or not." "The lord of Kalinga comes to thee with mighty elephants, and the princes of the Indus region have sent thee yonder multitude of horses. Here, too, is the lord of the Pāṇḍya country, his hands full of wreaths of large pearls brought as presents." "Ruler of the earth, to see thee the lord of Kāśmīra is at the door with presents of saffron, the ruler of Nepāla with musk, the lord of Kośala with silks, the lord of the Himālayas with large Granthiparṇa¹ roots, the lord of Kailāsa with moonstones, and the king of Magadha with diverse heirlooms. Sire, command me to do what befits the occasion."

The challenge to various kings, demanding submission, intended to be delivered by Yasodhara's envoy, is no more than a rhetorical exercise2; but interesting data on the reception of envoys from hostile courts and the declaration of war can be gleaned from the episode of the king of Pancala described in Yasastilaka, Book III. The Sandhivigrahin enters and tells Yasodhara: 'Sire, Dukūla, an envoy of Acala, king of Pañcāla, has arrived and is waiting at the gate.' Thus saying, he ushers in the envoy, and ordered by Yasodhara, offers him a seat at the proper place after he has paid his respects to the king. The Sandhivigrahin then says: *Envoy, show to yonder Lord the presents sent by your master. Messenger, deliver the letter; and both the envoy ($d\bar{u}ta$) and the messenger ($s\bar{u}sanahara$) carry out the instructions. The Sandhivigrahin had already been informed by a spy, living in Acala's capital in the guise of an ascetic under the name of Jābāla, that that king had a powerful force of elephants and planned to declare war against Yasodhara before long The information supplied by the spy is corroborated by certain indications provided by the articles sent - an iron ball, the letter marked with the emblem of a sword on the outside, and the presents wrapped in four pieces of cloth; or according to another interpretation, an iron ball and the presents and the letter.

¹ A fragrant root salied angular in Assamese, which is pounded by women to the accompaniment of sengs during the night on nuptial occasions among the Assamese people.

² See Chap, VII.

both marked with the figure of a sword and four encircling lines. In Nitivakyamrta (Chap. 13) Somadeva refers to these emblems as being present on letters from enemies. Now, the iron ball symbolized the invulnerability of the sender; the fourfold wrappings or the four encircling lines the 'four-limbed' army consisting of cavalry, infantry, elephants, and chariots; and the sword, of course, the threat of war. It was apparently usual to despatch such symbolic gifts as a prelude to warlike measures; and that it was a very ancient custom, and not confined to India, is shown by the account of the gifts (a bird, a mouse, a frog and five arrows) sent by the Scythian kings to Darius under similar circumstances, as related by Herodotus, Book IV. 131.

Be that as it may, the Sandhivigrahin is convinced of the war-like intentions of the king of Pancala, and considers it useless to examine the insincere presents and the contents of the letter, which was only too likely to proclaim the enemy's glory. Accordingly he puts aside the letter and asks the envoy to deliver his message orally, at the same time threatening him with dire punishment for his unfriendly mission: the nose would be chopped off, the head shaved at random, Bilva fruits tied to the forelock, and a garland of potsherds hung round the neck; besides, he would have to ride a donkey.8 Controlling himself, the Sandhivigrahin admits that no wise man should be angry with an envoy who is bound to report facts. Then addressing the envoy, a Brāhmaņa, the royal official stresses the futility of further queries, and goes on to say: "The motives of your master are clear from your demeanour. The reason why His Majesty has so long connived at Acala, in spite of his having committed many an act of defiance, is that the kings of his line had long paid homage in the past to His Majesty's forbears. But, now, if Acala seeks for himself the fate of a moth in the flames of His Majesty's might, it would be like desiring to play with the manes of a lion, or decorate oneself with the crest-gems of deadly serpents, or scratch with one's finger-nails the tusks of a rutting elephant, or extinguish with one's hands the fire of the epoch of universal destruction, or swim across the ocean, or traverse the sky with

¹ The first interpretation is found in a marginal note in Ms. A. Somadeva says प्राधुततन्त्रमेतत् पत्रं च प्राह्मिणोत् । तथाद्यानयोमैण्डलाश्रमुद्राहितो चेष्टनचतुष्टयानेष्टाहृतश्च वहिःप्रकाशः सनिवेशः । p. 550. Ms. A says अनयोर्केखप्राभृतयोः संनिवेशः संस्थितिः यथासंस्थं केश्वस्य संनिवेशः खहन्वहसहितः बुद्धं स्वयति । प्राभृतस्य संनिवेशः वेष्टनचतुष्टयमिष्टं वस्तचतुष्टयेन वेष्टितः सैन्यभयं दर्शयतीति भावः । 'चत्वारि वेष्टनानि खहुन्नानि खहुन्नान मित्रक्षकेखानाम्'।

² The anonymous commentary says तेवासुपरि चल्बारि वेष्टनानि बन्धनानि भवन्तिः

³ नालोद्वासनमार्गमुण्डनश्चिसामाळ्रवन्थकमः कण्ठे श्लीणंशरानदामकळनं कानेयकारोहणम् । दूतान्थक्ष म ते मिकारपरवः कोऽन्यत्र कार्यो विविधासक्ति ने वानिकं निजयतेर्वेश्वस्थवं शिष्ठतः ॥ 3. 402.

a bound, or lift the Mandara mountain with one's hands, or use the axe of Siva as a mirror, or extract the pearl embedded in the jaw of the Primeval Boar' for using it as an ornament." Acala, in fact, proud of his own power in destroying the petty enemies of his kingdom, does not even now realise the great king's valour, praised by Indra himself in talks about Kşatriya heroes (3. 403):

वैकुण्ठः कुछकार्तनं कमलभूदंर्मप्रगब्भाञ्चलिनं स्त्री वैव पुमानुमापतिरयं चन्द्रो निशासेवकः । हेलिः केलिसरोजसम्भुरनिकः क्रीडाश्रमे चादुमान् यस्थेश्यं गणनामरेषु विजयी तस्याहवे कोऽपरः ॥

"Who can conquer him in battle who is talked about among the gods thus: Viṣṇu is his panegyrist, and Brahman his priest, busily taking up Kuśa blades with the fingers; Siva is the eunuch of his harem, and yonder Moon serves him at night; and the Sun is the friend of his toy lotuses, and the wind caresses him in the fatigue of his sports!"

The speech of the Sandhivigrahin arouses the martial ardour of the warriors present, each of whom, addressing himself to the envoy, utters a vehement challenge to the king of Pancala. Kodandamartanda, for example, catching hold of the envoy's hand, says (3. 405-6):

श्रीपदं मित्रपक्षाणां सरदण्डं च विद्विषाम् । देवस्यास्य पदाम्भोजद्वयं विरसि धार्यताम् ॥ नो चेत् कोदण्डमार्तण्डकाण्डसण्डितमस्रकः । षास्यस्याजौ स ते स्वामी रूण्डताण्डवडम्बरम् ॥

"Hold on thy head His Majesty's lotus feet, a source of prosperity to his allies, but grimly oppressive to his foes. Otherwise, thy master, decapitated by the arrows of Kodanda-mārtanda (The Sun of the Bow), will vehemently dance on the battlefield, a headless trunk." Karavālavīru declares, brandishing his sword (3. 409-10): अञ्चल, साम्बन्धार्यताम् ।

असर्वगर्वदुर्वारवीर्यपर्यस्तमानसः । मदीयस्वामिसेवासु यः कोऽपि हतसाहसः ॥

विपक्षपक्षश्रयदक्षदीक्षः कैक्षियको मामक एव तस्य । रक्षांसि वक्षःक्षतजैः क्षरित्रः प्रतीक्षतेऽक्षुण्णतया रणेषु ॥

"Wayfarer, know for certain, this my sword, consecrated to the task of destroying the foeman and his allies, amply gratifies the goblins on the battlefield with the blood gushing from the bosom of the man, who is slack in paying homage to my master, being perverted by a sense of overweening pride and irresistible might."

Certain of the champions ask the envoy to deliver threatening messages to the king of Pañcāla, of which the following are specimens, being communicated by Triśūla-bhairava and Asama-sāhasa respectivly (3.416 and 320):

इदं त्रिञ्चलं तिस्भिः शिलाभिर्मागैत्रयं वक्षति ते विधाय । पातालमलंत्रिदिवावतारां कर्ता रणे कीर्तिमिमां मदीयास ॥

¹ The Boar Incarnation of Vișnu.

² i. e. the envoy.

"Three openings will this trident make in thy bosom with its three prongs, and spread my martial fame on earth, in heaven and in the nether regions!"

तुलारणे द्वन्द्वरणे दिवारणे निशारणे क्टरणे परत्र वा। यदि प्रवीरस्थिमिहै वि मे पुरे न गर्जितैः शौर्यकक्षेषु कीर्तयः ॥
"If thou art strong in duels or in day-war or night-war or deceptive warfare or in other modes of fighting, do thou confront me in any of them. The fame of the valiant is not produced by empty roars."

Throughout this unseemly scene, insulting language is freely used, the king of Pancala being referred to as 'the meanest of all the villains', and the envoy variously addressed as Veda-vaivadhika ('one to whom the Vedas are a burden'), dvijāpasada, brahmabandhu, dvija-vamsapāmsana, or simply as 'Brāhmaņa'. Happily the disorder is stopped by the intervention of the commander-in-chief who addresses the assembly: Ye wise men, useless are the shouts of men lacking in proper conduct. The brave and the timorous will be sorted out in war (3, 422). Therefore let every one of you control his wrath and desist from offensive language. You, too, Sandhivigrahin, eager to enhance the might of your master, should not give way to emotion. Examine the letter and draft a reply. Examine the presents and prepare counterpresents. Let due respect be shown to all the three (the envoy, the letter and the presents), for the kings speak through their envoys even when they take up arms against each other. Not to speak of the envoys, even their servants should not be insulted. Further, neither one's own failure nor the success of others depends upon the loud declamations of envoys. They are non-combatant intermediaries and speak as they like." (3, 423)

The letter is soon ready, and the Sandhivigrahin reads it out. It is extremely brief except for a lengthy conglomeration of epithets designed to glorify Yasodhara; and, after a polite and formal prelude, communicates an arrogant message from the commander-in-chief, Vijayavardhana, calling upon the king of Pañcāla to submit, since there is no safety for him whether on the battlefield or in flight. The letter is reproduced in part below:

The episode of the embassy, divested of rhetoric, throws interesting sidelights on court practices in the tenth century, but even the rhetoric

^{1 &#}x27;अहो दूत निवेदयेदं मदचनं तस्य सक्तरहुराचारलोक्देठस्य' etc.

cannot entirely be ignored, as it somehow always appears in the background of medieval court life. Diplomatic immunity seems to have been assured by time-honoured custom, but there was nothing to prevent an envoy being subjected to humiliation or open insult. Things were not far different in this respect in the contemporary Byzantine court, to judge from the Legatio of Liudprand, Bishop of Cremona, a Western contemporary of Somadeva, who gives an account of an embassy to Constantinople during the reign of the Emperor Nicephorus Phocas, undertaken on behalf of Otto the Great in 968 A. D. Not only was Liudprand compelled every now and then to swallow affront and offensive language at the Byzantine court, but he also describes how in the same year the envoys of the Pope were actually put in prison for bringing an improperly addressed letter to the emperor. The fulminations of the court officials against the Papal legates may be compared with the threats uttered by the Sandhivigrahin against the envoy of the king of Pancala: "........... Would that one of them were a bishop and the other a marquess! Then we would sew them in a sack, and after giving them a sound beating with rods and plucking out their beards and hair we would throw them into the sea. As for these fellows, their lives

The comprehensive report of the spy to the king in Book III is a unique document, to which we will return presently. The review of the army is an important incident of court life, and Somadeva's detailed account of it in the same Book gains in importance from the fact that he describes regiments of soldiers from different parts of India in the king's army.' Somadeva's picture is so minute and lifelike that he must have personally observed the ways of these soldiers, and may be supposed to have witnessed them, if anywhere, in the capital of the Rastrakuta emperors. who possibly included in their armies regiments composed of fighting men of different nationalities of India to meet the demands of their far-flung campaigns beyond the limits of the Deccan. Five regiments in all are described: the Deccan regiment; the Dramila or South Indian regiment provided with litters; the North Indian regiment of cavalry; the Gurjara regiment of archers; and the Tirhut regiment, expert in naval warfare. and well-equipped with elephants, and containing an undisciplined Gauda element. The Tirhut, or the Tairabhukta regiment, as it is called, may be roughly described as the Behar and Bengal regiment, and it may

¹ Liudprand: Works. Trans. Wright, p. 264.

² See Chap. IV. The King reviews the troops from a raised platform. Somadeva says सक्करीन्यसमाकोकतोगुक्तमञ्जलेकारेषु बख्दश्चेतावसरेषु । p. 461.

taricaranara) elsewhere in the work. It will be seen that most of the regiments described are those of foot-soldiers; and the description, on the whole, corresponds to Al Masudi's statement that the Balhara or the Rāṣṭrakūṭa king's 'horses and elephants were innumerable, but his troops were mostly infantry'. It has, however, been rightly pointed out that the Rāṣṭrakūṭa cavalry cannot be overlooked, and "the lightning all-India movements of Dhruva, Govinda III and Indra III presuppose a strong cavalry". Another noteworthy feature of Somadeva's description of the regiments is the absence of any reference to chariots, although he refers to their fighting qualities in his theoretical work Nitivākyāmṛta. This seems to corroborate the view that chariots as an instrument of war were no longer in use in the days of the Rāṣṭrakūṭas.

The lighter side of court life is represented by dance exhibitions in the court theatre in connection with the Pūrvaranga ceremony accompanied by hymns to Sarasvatī. The king is described as witnessing these performances in company with expert dancers and well-trained exponents of the histrionic art. As is to be expected, certain court festivals are also mentioned: the Mahānavamī, the Dīpotsava, the Spring carnival and the worship of Makaradhvaja, the god of love.

It is hardly necessary to emphasize the documentary value of the second and third Books of Yasastilaka as presenting before us a detailed and vivid picture of Indian court life about the middle of the tenth century. Yasodhara, the hero of the story, is a legendary figure; but the setting of his court has a historical character and reflects the conditions prevailing in the writer's own time. It is a curious coincidence that not long before Somadeva composed his imaginative account of Indian court life, the Byzantine Emperor Constantine VII (912-59) wrote his De cerimoniis aulae, 'an account of the whole life of the Byzantine polity, with its hierarchy of lay and ecclesiastical officials,' and the traditional ceremonies and festivals, 'from the coronation and burial of an Emperor to the baptism of his son'." The Indian writer would presumably have

^{1 &#}x27;कदनमेदिनीषु नखरदविदारितार।तिकरित्तरगरवतरीचरनरनिकर' Book III, p. 490.

² Altekar: The Rastrakutae and their times, p. 248.

³ Ibid., p. 249.

⁴ Ibid., p. 248.

⁵ See Chap. XV.

⁶ See Chap. XV.

⁷ Hussey: Church and Learning in the Byzantine Impire, p. 28.

shared the emperor's idea that it is through its glorious ceremonial that the imperial majesty is increased in splendour'; and Somadeva was doubtless sufficiently impressed by the grandeur of contemporay court life, which partly explains his treatment of the theme as a pendent to the traditional story of Yasodhara. But he does more: he deals with problems of administration, a subject in which he is deeply interested.

PROBLEMS OF STATECRAFT

Side by side with the portrayal of court life, Somadeva lays down precepts and principles for the guidance of a monarch, and illustrates them where necessary by means of concrete examples and quasi-historical traditions. From this point of view, Yasastilaku may be regarded as a sort of illustrative commentary on some of the topics dealt with in the formal treatises on the nītisāstra including Somadeva's own Nītivākyāmṛta. The latter is a comprehensive work in the form of sūtras in thirtytwo chapters; and topics briefly touched in Nītivākyāmṛta are often treated in greater detail in Yasastilaka, the two works supplementing each other, so far as problems of statecraft are concerned.

Somedeva lays great emphasis on the paramount influence of the monarch in moulding the character of his subjects, who implicitly follow his example whether it is good or bad. We are told that just as the day and the night cause light and darkness respectively, so is the example of the king the determining factor in the practice of virtue or sin by his subjects (Book IV, p. 95):

अहोरात्रं यथा हेतुः प्रकाश-वान्तजन्मनि । तथा महीपतिहेतुः पुण्यपापप्रवर्तने ॥ Somadeva quotes in this connection a popular verse : उक्तं च---

राज्ञि धर्मिण धर्मिष्ठाः पापे पापाः ससे समाः । राजानमनुवर्तन्ते यथा राजा तथा प्रजाः ॥ A similar verse is found also in S'ukranītisāra 4, 1, 62:

सुपुण्यो यत्र मुपतिर्धिर्मिष्ठास्तत्र हि प्रजाः । महापापी यत्र राजा तत्राधर्मपरो जनः ॥

The idea is indeed an old one, and occurs in Aryasūra's Jātakamālā XIII. 39, wherein the subjects unhesitatingly following the king's example, good or bad, are compared to cows following the lead of an ox:

जिसं हुनं वा वृष्णप्रचारं गावोऽनुगा यहदनुष्रवान्ति । उत्सिससङ्ख्यानिविषदं प्रजास्त्रयेव शितिपस वृत्तिम् ॥
It is interesting to note that the influence of the king's example on his subjects is likewise emphasized in St. John Damascene's Barlaam and loasaph (Chap. XXXIII) a religious romance of the eighth century to which we will have occasion to revert.

"And soothly, the king was a good example to all; and he inflamed and kindled the hearts of many to be of the same mind with himself.

For such is the nature of authority. Its subjects always conform to its likeness, and are wont to love the same objects, and to practise the pursuits which they perceive to be pleasing to their governor."

Somadeva goes on to say: "Verily the habits and customs of men are based on those of the king. And the customary regulations of the different castes and orders of society, leading to religious merit and demerit, are subject to the king's control. The citizens do exactly what the kings do, good or bad, under the influence of passion or anger, or through ignorance." Somadeva reinforces his remarks by pointing out how the bad example set by kings is responsible for the existence of evil customs among their subjects. For instance, the kings are to blame for the fact that the Brahmanas of the Vangi kingdom are in the habit of drinking wine, and the Persians commit incest with their mothers, and there is intermixture of castes in Ceylon.8 It is difficult to adjudge the historical value of these statements. The Vangi country is identified by Srutasagara in his commentary with Ratnapura, modern Ratanpur, which was the capital of Daksina Kosala (Bilaspur District, C. P.), where reigned a branch The reference to the intermixture of castes of the Cedis of Tripuri.4 in Ceylon may have something to do with the conversion of the island to Buddhism by Mahinda under the king Devanampiya Tissa, a contemporary of Asoka, as related in the Mahāvamsa. As regards the Persian custom, the Christian Apologist Tertullian (second century A. D.) says in his Apology IX. 16 that the Persians, according to Ctesias, consort with their mothers.6

Be that as it may, Somadeva concludes his argument by declaring that just as a good king acquires the sixth part of the religious merit of those who practise virtue, so does a wicked one the sixth part of the six of those who commit misdeeds:

वयैद पुण्यस्य सुकर्मभाजां वहांशभागी नृपतिः सुवृत्तः । तयैव पापस्य कुकर्मभाजां वहांशभागी नृपतिः कुवृत्तः ॥

¹ Text and Trans. in Loeb Classical Library, p. 515.

उ 'नृपतिप्रतिष्ठानि च खलु देहिनां व्यवहारतञ्चाणि वर्तन्ते । नृषत्यायत्ताः गुण्यपापहेतवो वर्णाः अमाचारव्यवस्थाश्च । ते च नृपतयः कामकोषाभ्यामद्यानेन वा यथैव श्चभ्रमश्चामं वा कर्मारभन्ते तथैव ज्ञानपदा अपि ।' Book IV.

^{3 &#}x27;श्रूयते हि -- वडीमण्डले मृपतिदोषाञ्चदेवेष्वासवीयबीगः, पारसीकेषु स्वसवित्रीसंयोगः, सिंहलेषु च वित्रामित्रस्टिप्रयोग इति १' . Ibid. p. 95.

⁴ Poussin: Dynasties et Histoire de l' Inde, p. 155.

⁵ Ctesias of Chidus lived many years at the Persian court towards the end of the 5th century n. c., and wrote in the Ionic dialect a comprehensive work on the history of Parsia.

⁶ Persas cum suis matribus misceri Ciesias refert.

The idea is not new. Yājñavalkya 1. 335 says that the king acquires the sixth part of the religious merit of the subjects as a result of governing them with justice; while the Agnipurāna 223. 10 goes further and says that a king acquires the sixth part of both the merit and the demerit of his subjects.

Somadeva lays great emphasis on the personal supervision of the affairs of the state by the king. He says: "A king inaccessible to his subjects is misled by those near him and baffled by his enemies. The employees, like faithless wives, have, as a rule, no other means of thriving than the troubles of their masters, nor has a master any other means of thriving than by checking the transgression of his employees, like that of his wives." Somadeva has in this connection the following verses in Yasastilaka, Book III (3. 23-26):

नियुक्तहस्तार्पितराज्यभारासिष्टन्ति ये स्वैरविद्यारसाराः । विडालकृन्दाहिततुग्धमुद्राः स्वपन्ति ते मृवधियः क्षितीनद्राः ॥ ज्ञायेत मार्गः सलिले तिमीनां पतित्रणां ग्योक्ति कदाचिदेषः । मध्यक्षसिद्धेऽपि कृतावलोपा न ज्ञायतेऽमालजनस्य वृत्तिः ॥

श्याधिबृद्धौ यथा वैद्यः श्रीमतामाहितोद्यमः । व्यसनेषु तथा राज्ञः कृतयक्षा नियोगिनः ॥ नियोगिभिर्विना नास्ति राज्यं भूषे हि केवले । तस्मादमी विधातव्या रक्षितव्याश्च यक्षतः ॥

"Kings who enjoy pleasures at will, leaving the charge of the kingdom in the hands of officials, are foolish indeed. They might as well sleep, leaving the cats in charge of the milk. The movement of fish in the water and of birds in the sky might sometimes be known, but the conduct of ministers, inscrutable even in palpable matters, can never be known. Just as a physician exerts himself to aggravate ailments of wealthy patients, so do the officials of a king attempt to create troubles for him. There cannot be a kingdom with the king alone, without any officials; so they have to be created as well as guarded with care."

The necessity of strict control to be exercised by the king over his officials is emphasized also in Somadeva's Nītivākyāmṛta (Chaps. 17 and 18), and there is sometimes close verbal resemblance between the statements in the two works. For example, we read in Nītivākyāmṛta (17. 38-9, 44):

दुर्वशीं हि राजा कार्याकार्यविषयीसमासकैः कार्यतेऽतिसंधीयते च द्विषद्भिः । वैद्येषु श्रीमतां व्याधिवर्धनादिव नियोगिषु भर्तुर्व्यसनवर्धनादपरो नास्ति जीवनोषायः । मार्जारेषु दुरधरक्षणमिव नियोगिषु विश्वासकरणम् ।

मुण्यात् वद्मागमादत्ते न्यायेन परिपालयन् । सर्वेशनाधिकं यसात् प्रजानां परिपालनम् ॥

^{2 &#}x27;राजा पद्भागमादत्ते सङ्गतादुक्षतादपि'

^{3 &#}x27;बुदेशी हि राजा कार्याकार्यविषयांसमामकः कार्यनेटितमंबीयने न दिषाँ हैं। न हि नियोगिनामसतीजनानामिव मर्तु न्यस्मादपरः प्रावेणास्ति जीवनोपायः । स्वामनो वा नियुक्तानां स्वीणामिवातिप्रमरणनिवारणात्।' Book III, p. 378.

It may also be noted in this connection that the verse 3, 24 quoted above is reminiscent of the following verses of Kautilya's Arthasāstra II, 9:

मत्या ययान्तःसिलेले चरन्तो ज्ञातुं न शक्याः सिलकं पिवन्तः। युक्तास्त्रया कार्यविधी नियुक्ता ज्ञातुं न शक्या धनमावदानाः॥ अपि शक्या गतिज्ञतिं पततां से पतिष्ठणाम्। न तु प्रच्छक्यभावानां युक्तानां चरतां गतिः॥

Somadeva opines that the ministers of a king should be men of pure lives, loyal and well-versed in the science of government: they should be men of birth and natives of the country. A king should not depend upon a single minister, the prosperity of one doing so being compared to a creeper climbing a tree with a single branch. Somadeva says in Yasastilaka 3. 230:

एकामास्य महीपाले नाकं लक्ष्मीर्विज्ञमते । लतायासत्र का वृद्धिः शासेका यत्र शासिकि ॥

In Nitivakyamṛta 10.82 he expresses the same idea by saying that a tree with a single branch does not cast a large shadow. In the same work (10.71) he recommends that a king should have three, five or seven ministers. Yet the destiny of a king often depends upon the character of a single minister. Somadeva points out in Yasastilaka 3. 242 that Duryodhana, though powerful, was ruined, because he had a wicked minister; while Candragupta, though without any resources, obtained a kingdom, because he had a good minister in Cāṇakya.

The primary duty of a minister is described thus: he should protect those of the citizens lacking in fighting spirit and resolution with courage, and take care of those who are poor by charitable actions; and by avoiding all cause of disaffection, he should secure the loyalty of the subjects, infected with sedition, whether latent or manifest in ineffectual outbursts. The true minister of a king is one who is liked by the army and the allies, upright in matters relating to the country and the treasury, and above all devoted to the king (3. 200):

तन्नमित्रार्पितमीतिर्देशकोशोचितस्थितिः। यश्रात्मनि भवेद्भक्तः सोऽमासः पृथिवीपतेः॥
The attitude of a minister towards the king should be governed by loyalty tempered with justice (3. 241):

यः कार्योग्रिनि भूपतावसमधीः कार्योव धत्ते शुरं वश्चार्थार्थिनि संनयोचितमतिश्चिन्तामणिजीयते । भक्ती अर्वरि मन्निणामिदमहो दिव्यं द्वयं कीर्तितं न क्षोणीश महीयसां निरसनं राज्यस्य वा व्यंसनस् ॥

¹ शुच्यः स्वामिनि क्षिण्धा राजराज्ञान्तवेदिनः। मन्नाधिकारिणो राज्ञामिमजाताः स्वदेशजाः ॥ 3. 110.

^{2 &#}x27;किमेकशासस्य शासिनी महती भवति च्छाया'.

उ 'विश्रहावश्रहास्यां हीनानां दीनानां च प्रजानामवदानप्रदानास्यां रक्षणमवेक्षणं चान्तर्वहिरवान्तराटोपैः कोपैर्दुःस्थितावः स्थितीनां प्रकृतीनां विरागकारणपरिहारेणैकमुखीकरणं च संक्षेपण मित्रणः कर्ते।' Book III, p. 445.

"Unequalled in wisdom, he undertakes the responsibility of executing a task when the king demands action, and acts like the Wishing gem, in conformity with justice, when the king is in need of funds. These are said to be the two divine factors governing the loyalty of ministers to their master, and not, o king, the expulsion of the great nor the extinction of the realm."

Those who are in constant fear of their lives (that is, fear the king), and have no motives of sordid gain in deliberations, are alone fit to be ministers of kings, and not those who are like blood-sucking leeches (Book IV, p. 155):

प्रतिक्षणं संशक्ति अपूर्णो ये व वेष्यवेक्षासि च कार्यवादे । त एव मन्त्रेऽधिकृता नृपाणां व वे जलीकासमबूत्तपण ॥ Further, a minister should exercise independence of judgment in expressing his views, and his aim should not merely be to please the king. Somadeva remarks (Ibid.):

मशासि व: श्रोत्वहोन धर्म मृपेष्ठया यो निगृणाति कार्यम्। अकल्पकामोपचयेन वैद्यस्यस्य एते काल्किकलपादा ॥
"He who preaches religion according to the inclinations of his hearers, he who sets forth a project in conformity with the wishes of the king, and the physician who lives by ministering to the desires of his patients: these three are the pillars of the Kali Age!"

Ministers are, however, faced with a dilemma. If they followed the wishes of the king, the people might be ruined; while if they acted according to the wishes of the people, they might ruin their own position. Yet it is incumbent on ministers to speak the truth: this might only inolve their own ruin, but false counsel might bring about the ruin of the state, the king, and themselves as well (Book IV, pp. 155-6):

प्रजाविकोपो तुपतीच्छया स्वाध्मजेच्छया चाचरिते स्वनाशः। न मिश्रणां वैभविधायिनीवरसुस्तं सदैवोभयतः समिता॥ वतो यथार्षं वदतां नराणामाःमक्षयः स्वास्परमेक एव । राष्ट्रस्य राज्ञो भ्रुवमात्मनश्च मिथ्योपदेशस्तु करोति नाशस् ॥

Somadeva speaks a good deal about ministerial corruption. The report of the spy in Book III is designed to show to what length the corruption of a single minister, placed in charge of a province, can possibly go; and it also gives occasion to a large number of verses, attributed to diverse poets, on the supposed villainy of ministers in general. The author of Yasastilaka seems to regard the proper control and supervision of the activities of the ministers by the king as the first and foremost requisite in the administration of a state. He opines that the evil ways of ministers cease only when the king is strict in determining issues of justice and

¹ See Chap. VII.

injustice; if he is lenient, indolent and bent on the pleasures of the moment, the ministers are bound to prove insolent and disloyal (3. 196):

सचित्रचरितं देवैदेरत् प्रशास्त्रति भूपती भवति इह य स्वावास्त्रायप्रतक्रंणकर्कताः । सन्यहत्ये सन्दोषोगे तदारवसुस्तोस्मुले स्वित्र इव सूपे इसा भूत्याः क्यं न विक्रवैते ॥

The evil consequences of ministerial corruption and misrule aer summed up thus (3. 197):

प्रकृतिविकृतिः कोषोत्कान्तिः प्रजाप्रस्थागतिः स्वजनविरतिर्मित्राधीतिः स्कीनस्रनास्थितिः । इसचिवरते राजन्येतसूर्वं नतु जायते तद्मु स परैर्दायादैर्वा यसाद्यसुप्यते ॥

"Sedition among the citizens, depletion of the treasury, decimation of the population, disaffection of kinsmen, hostility of friends, and the emigration of the high-born: verily, this is sure to happen when a king is attached to a wicked minister. Thereafter the former is ousted by force by his enemies or by his kinsmen."

Magnanimity accompanied by pre-eminence is stated to be the sole cause of a king having honest persons to serve him. A king may be poor; but if he is magnanimous, he can have plenty of trustworthy servants: even when a pool of water is dried up, numerous trees grow under its bridge (3. 198):

वधनसापि महीशो महीयसो भवति शृत्यसंपत्तिः। शुष्कस्यापि हि सरसः पालितके पादपविभृतिः ॥

It is dangerous for kings to incur the displeasure of the people by favouring the unworthy and dishonouring the worthy. Several semilegendary examples are cited by Somadeva to illustrate the point. A king of Kalinga named Ananga, who had made a barber his commander-in-chief, was pelted to death with clods of earth by the enraged citizens. Similarly, a king named Karāla was killed in the Kerala country for making a gambler his priest; king Mangala was killed in the country of Vangāla for making an outcaste his minister; while in the country of Krathakaisika a king named Kāma met with a similar fate for making the son of a courtesan the Crown prince. On the other hand, in Vanga a king named Sphulinga was assassinated for humiliating his honest and sincere minister; in Magadha a king named Makaradhvaja was murdered for despising his pious priest; in Kaunga a king named Kuranga was killed for insulting his powerful commander-in-chief; and in Cedi king Nadīsa was similarly punished for removing his innocent eldest son from the position of heir-apparent.

Danger to kings lurks also in presents sent by rival courts, which should be carefully scrutinized before acceptance. It is said that king

¹ Book III, p. 431.

Dhişana killed king Durdharşa by presenting him with a jewel casket containing a deadly artificial serpent. Another king, Kaṇapa¹ by name, killed a rival named Krpaṇa by sending him a perfumed cloth for covering idols which was coated with a deadly poison.² These stories are also mentioned with slight variations in Nītivākyāmṛta (Chap. 13) wherein we are told that a king of Karahāṭa named Kaitabha killed king Vasu by presenting him with a marvellous cloth perfumed with a deadly poison, and a king named Karavāla killed king Karāla by sending him a jewel casket containing a venomous serpent.³ Somadeva clearly says in the latter work that letters and presents sent by an enemy should not be accepted without being examined by trustworthy persons (13. 15).

A king should also be on his guard in his relations with women. This is, as a matter of fact, one of the lessons of the romance; and the subject is also treated in detail in Nitivākyāmṛta (Chap. 24). The direction given in the latter work (24.32) that nothing coming from the ladies' apartments should be eaten by the king has a direct bearing on the story of Yasodhara. Free and careless association with the inmates of the harem is held to be a source of danger to the king (Book IV, p. 153):

अन्तःपुरे भूमिपतिर्मदान्धः करोति यः संगतिमङ्गनाभिः । तस्य श्रुवं स्वाद्चिरेण सृत्युर्विलप्रवेशादिव वृद्देरस्य ॥

"Verily, the king who, blind with passion, associates with women in the inner apartments, soon loses his life, like a frog entering a serpent's hole." The idea occurs also in Nitivakyamrta—

दर्दुरस्य सर्पगृहप्रदेश इव स्त्रीगृहप्रदेशो राज्ञः । 24, 31.

Further, both works record a number of traditions, with slight variations, to illustrate how kings are sometimes assassinated by women with devices of their own. For instance, in the Yavana country a queen named Manikundalä killed king Ajarāja (Angarāja) by giving him a mouthful of poisoned wine, in order to place her son on the throne. In the country of Sürasena, Vasantamati killed king Suratavilāsa by kissing him with lips painted with poisoned red lac. In the Daśārna country Vrkodarī killed king Madanārnava with her girdle-jewel smeared with poison. In Magadha, Madirākṣī killed king Manmathavinoda with a sharp-edged mirror, and

¹ Ibid. p. 556; Ms. A reads 森可卑.

^{2 &#}x27;देवाइबस्तवासनिषेकेण न स्पर्शविषेण'.

³ मणिकरण्डकिन-यसावपुणा कृत्रिमेणाशीनियान्षिपरोण (Yaśastilaka); आशीनियनियपरोपेतरसकरण्डकप्राभृतेन (Nītinakyāmṛta). Envenomed gifts are familiar in Greek literature. In one version of the story of Moden she kills her rival Glauce by presenting her with a poisoned robe and crown. (f. Euripides, Medea, 1116 sqq. and Diodorus Siculus, IV. 54.6. The death of Heracles caused by 'the deadly robe' unwittingly sent by his aggrieved wife is the theme of the Trachiniae of Sophocles.

in the Pāṇḍya (Pāṇḍu) country Caṇḍarasā murdered king Muṇḍīra (Puṇḍarīka) with a dagger concealed in the lock of her hair.¹

The danger from women is also illustrated by asserting that it is risky to educate them. Yasodhara says in Book IV (p. 152):

इच्छन् पृष्टकारमन एव बान्ति कियं विदश्यां चसु कः करोति । इन्येन यः पोषयते श्रवज्ञी उंसः इतसस्य श्रमक्रकानि ॥

"Who doth ever make a woman excel in wisdom, desiring his domestic peace? How can a man, who nourishes a serpent with milk, profusely thrive?" This is not merely the opinion of a disillusioned husband. Somadeva makes : similar observation in Nitivakyāmṛta 24. 48: नातीव क्रियो व्युत्पादनीयाः सभावप्रभगोऽपि वाकोपदेशः सीच सकीच प्रमान इव विषयतां प्रतिपयते :; and the statement, in fact, occurs also in Yasastilaka, Book IV.

Further, we are told that women may have as much freedom as they like in the discharge of their duties towards their husbands and children, but must not interfere in matters which properly belong to men's sphere, their minds being extremely fickle and superficial, like a drop of water on a lotus leaf. No one who accepts the participation of women in activities other than domestic duties can thrive for long, being like a tree fallen into the current of a river. And it is under a man's control that a woman can achieve her desired end, like a sword in the grip of a man. These statements are put in the mouth of Yasodhara, annoyed at the importunities of his mother in behalf of animal sacrifice, and remind one of the words of Eteocles, in Aeschylus' Septem Contra Thebas (200, 201), that 'outside affairs are men's business—let woman not advise thereon'. It may be added that the gist of what Yasodhara says occurs also in Nitiväkyämṛta (Chap. 24).

The narrow view of women's character and capacity to which Somadeva here gives expression should be considered in relation to what

¹ Yatastilaka, Book IV (P. 153) and Nītivākyāmṛta (chapter on মান্ত্রা). The anonymous commentary on the latter work remarks that the stories in question are found in the Bṛhatkathā.

^{2 &#}x27;सभावसभगावेशोऽपि च शास्त्रोपदेशः स्तीषु शस्त्रीष्ट्रिव प्रयोखवः परं परोपमातायैव प्रमवति ।' P. 152.

अविशे हि नाम अवन्तु भर्तृषु श्रव्याविषये पुत्रेषु च प्रतिपालनसमये प्रकामं निष्द्वार्था निरङ्कशाचरणसमर्थास, न पुनः पीरुपेयेषु कर्मछ । वस्माद् कमिलनीरलेषु जलकारमेव नारीणां मनसभास्वतरस्वादवीव निःसारस्वाद्य । पुरुषोऽपि गृहकार्या-दग्वत स्थितं प्रमाणयन् नदीप्रवाहपतितः पादप इव न चिरं नन्दति । सी तु पुरुषमुहिस्तिता सङ्गयदिरिय साधयस्यम्भितस्य । P. 185.

^{4 &#}x27;अवस्वपोषणे शृहकारीण अरीरसंस्कारे अपनावसरे सीणां खातंत्र्यं नाम्पत्र' (39)। 'वीवराषुरुपी नदीप्रवाहपतितः पाइप इव न निरं नन्दति' (41); 'युरुषपुष्टिशा श्री सङ्गपष्टिरिय कप्तस्तर्यं न जनयति' (42).

is called rajaraksa or protection of kings, and is in keeping with the precaution against familiarity with women recommended as a measure of self-defence. There are no adequate grounds for holding that Somadeva is a misogynist. It is noteworthy that almost all the attacks on women in Yasastilaka are put in the mouth of Yasadhara and the Jaina ascetic Sudatta, appropriately enough, it would seem. Somadeva refers also to the Digambara Jaina doctrine that women are unfit for salvation, but he declares in emphatic terms that women are superior to men in the intellectual field (1.146):

देहायते कर्मण्ययं नरः स्नीजनोऽयमिति भवति । चित्तायते कर्मण्यधिका नारी तु मध्यमः पुरुषः ॥

"Discrimination between men and women is valid in respect of physical activity. But women are superior and men inferior in intellectual activity." It may also be noted that Somadeva as a practical thinker tells us in Nitivākyāmṛta that women are neither good nor bad: they are, like the Ocean of Milk, the source of poison as well as nectar (24.10), and have neither any innate merit nor blemish, but become just like their husbands, as rivers assume the character of the ocean when they are merged therein (24.25). The Jaina author's position with regard to women is, in fact, similar to that of Kalhana as stated in a verse of his Rājataranginī (VII.856).

व्रजति रजनी स्वक्त्वा कापि क्षये क्षणदाकरं पद्मुपगतस्यास्तं संप्या रवेरनुगच्छति । इति परिणतौ प्रेमण्युचावचे परिचिन्तति कचन नियमान्निन्धा वन्धा न वा सुधियां स्नियः॥

The guiding principles of a king's policy in matters affecting peace and war, and, generally speaking, his relations with other kings are expounded in an academic discussion in verse in Book III.² The framework of the discussion is provided by an examination of the relative claims of daiva and purasakāra, a subject bearing on rājadharma, as already recognised in early texts like Yājňavalkya (1. 346 ff.). The king is also recommended to rule the kingdom, as a gardener looks after the garden (3. 107):

बृक्षान् कण्टिकनो बिहिनियमयन् विश्वेषयन् संहितानुःखातान् प्रतिरोपयन् कुसुमितांश्चिन्वंह्यपृन् वर्षयन् । उचान् संनमयन् पृथृंश्च कृत्रयम्नःयुच्छितान् पातयन् मालाकार इव प्रयोगनिपुणो राजन् महीं पालय ॥

"Skilled in devising ways and means, rule the earth, like a gardener, o king: expelling the petty enemies, like thorny plants; disuniting the united; replanting the uprooted; collecting dues from the well-to-do, as a gardener gathers flowers from the blossoming trees; elevating the lowly, as a gardener rears the smaller plants; humbling the high-born, as he bends the tall-growing ones; reducing the great, as he thins out the thick under-

¹ Yatastilaka 1. 145,

² See Chap VII.

growths; and levelling the mighty, as he fells the lofty trees." No enemy, however small, should be neglected (3. 108):

खस्पादपि रिपोबीजादबायस्येय शाखिनि । भयं जायेत कालेन तस्मात् कसामुपेक्षते ॥

"Even from the tiny germ of an enemy there might be cause of fear in the course of time, just as there might be danger to other trees even from a small seed of the Pippal. Who can therefore neglect an enemy?"

The duties and qualifications of various officials of the king are dealt with by Somadeva in Yaśastilaka as well as Nītivākyāmṛta. The Dūta or envoy should be an aged Brāhmaṇa, learned, eloquent, forbearing in face of provocation and amiable: he should be efficient, courageous, pure, wise, bold and readywitted (3. 111). Even when an envoy is entrusted by his master with a provocative message, he should regulate his speech according to the temperament, character, or the resources of the king to whose court he is sent. To a proud enemy he should speak in conciliatory terms. To one well-versed in polity he should talk about principles. To one who is powerful he should speak about dissensions among his subjects; while to an enemy inferior in resources he should talk in terms of war. The responsibility of an envoy is shown by the fact that the prosperity of a king, however great, is endangered by the misconduct of envoys that are greedy and rough in the manner and content of their speech (3. 114-5):

संकीतेंथेत् साम रिपो सद्पें नयं सनीतौ बलिनि प्रमेदम् । मञ्जेण तन्नेण च हीनवृत्तौ दण्डाश्रयोपायविधि विधिन्नः॥ अन्यथा—वागर्थेरूक्षलुरुधानां दूतानां दुःप्रवृत्तिभिः । श्रीः स्वामिनः प्रवृद्धापि क्रियते संशयाश्रया ॥

The commander-in-chief should be brave, experienced in the practice of arms and well-versed in the Arthasastra. He should be a skilled fighter, and well-provided with elephants, cavalry, infantry and chariots; and loyal to his master, and popular with the army (3. 245):

श्रूरोऽर्धशास्त्रतिषुणः कृतशस्त्रकर्मा संप्रामकेलिसतुरश्रदुक्तः । मर्तुनिदेशदशगोऽभिमतः स्वतन्ने सेनापतिर्नरपतेर्विजयागमाय ॥

The Sandhivigrahin, 'officer in charge of peace and war', may be called the Secretary of state for foreign affairs. He is an important court official with varied functions. In Somadeva's romance, as we have seen above, he receives the envoys of friendly courts and ushers them into the king's presence; and deals also with the envoy of a hostile court, addresses him, and drafts a threatening letter to the enemy. The Sandhivigrahin is thus in charge of matters affecting peace and war; and he seems to be in close attendance on the king, for we find Yaśodhara in

Book IV questioning the Sandhivigrahin about the sudden peals of music in the city. In Rajataranging 4. 137-8 we have another glimpse of the functions of the Sandhivigrahin in the person of Mitrasarman, styled as the Sandhivigrahika of Lalitaditya, king of Kashmir, who is present at the drafting of the treaty between Lalitaditya and Yasovarman of Kanauj, and objects to the latter's name being recorded prior to his master's in the document in question. In Bana's Harsacarita (Book VI) we find Harsa, after his decision to punish the king of Gauda for treachery, dictating to his Sandhivigrahin Avanti, called Mahā-sandhi-vigrahādhikṛta, an arrogant proclamation calling upon all kings to accept his suzerainty or prepare for battle. It seems that there were sometimes more than one Sandhivigrahin in the same court: Somadeva, for instance, records what he calls 'the verses of the Sandhivigrahins' in the court of Yasodhara." The occasional addition of the epithet Maha to the usual designation shows that there was sometimes a chief official of that class. Among the new offices created by Lalitaditya (first half of the eighth century), as related in Rājataranginī 4. 142, mention is made of a Mahā-sandhi-vigraha, which is also the appellation of Harsa's secretary for foreign affairs, as stated above. It may also be noted that one of the verses quoted from Vyasa in Aparārka's commentary on Yājňavalkya 1. 319 refers to a Sandhi-vigrahalekhaka, obviously the same as Sandhivigrahin; but his function is to draft records of grants of land made by the king. It would appear that the Sandhivigrahin was a Secretary of State whose functions were not confined to the conduct of foreign affairs. Somadeva tells us that he should be able to read, write, narrate and interpret all scripts and languages: he should be ready-witted and capable of gauging the relative strength of his own master and other princes (8. 250):

बाबबति छिलति कवते गमयति सर्वा छिपीश्र भाषाश्र । आत्मपरस्थितिकुशकः सप्रतिभः संधिबिग्रही कार्यः ॥

The Akṣapaṭalika or the keeper of the state records is mentioned in connection with the challenge to other kings (3.112, 113) issued by Yasodhara, similar to the one issued by Harşa in Bāṇa's romance (see above). The Akṣapaṭalika is here commissioned to read out the message to the envoy who was expected to deliver it to the kings in question. The

^{1 &#}x27;इति तुषप्रनोधं संधिविश्रहिणमाष्ट्रच्छमाने ' P. 157.

अधिकोवमँणः संभौ सांधिविमहिको न यत् । नयं नियमनालेखे मित्रशर्मास नक्षमे ॥ सोऽमृत् संधिर्यशोवमँखलितादिख-बोरिति । लिखितेनादिनिर्देशादनर्दलं विदन् प्रभोः ॥

³ See above. 'संविध्यक्षिणां गीवीराकणैयामास' Book III.

⁴ See Chap. II.

⁵ 'ब्रुतवाक्षपटसिकेन तनेव लेकार्व आववामाल'.

Aksapatala, to judge from certain references in the Rājatarangini, was the royal archive containing the records of grants and deeds of gift, and the official who drafted the deeds of gift or made the necessary entries was called Pattopādhyāya. The same work (5.301) mentions an Akṣapaṭalādhīśa, chief of the record office, obviously the same as Ākṣapaṭalika.

Great importance is attached to the rôle of spies in the king's government; and they are regarded as a sort of second sight for him. The report of the spy in Book III provides a concrete example of the kind of information available to kings from this source, and gives us an idea of how minute and comprehensive such information could be. The importance of spies is stressed in the following verses of Yasastilaka (3.116-7):

सर्वचेतोगतानधान् द्रष्टुं येषां कुत्रुक्षम् । ते भवन्तु परं चरित्रक्षुष्मन्तः क्षितीश्वराः ॥ चारसंचारतो येषां नाध्यक्षा स्वपरस्थितिः । नियुक्तारातिसंपातातेषां नार्थो न चासवः ॥

"Let those kings, who have the curiosity to visualise what is in the mind of every one, have spies only for eyes. Those who are not aware of the situation of themselves and others, through the movements of spies, are deprived of both wealth and life by the action of their officials and foes."

The institution of spies is treated in great detail in all ancient works on Indian polity. Manu (7. 223) and Yājňavalkya (1. 330), for instance, lay down that the king should listen to the reports of spies after the evening ablutions, and, as Manu says, indoors, and the king should be armed while doing so. Yājñavalkya (1.338-9) suggests what action should be taken by the king in regard to his officers on the reports of spies: those who take bribes, for instance, should be dispossessed and exiled. Espionage is dealt with in several chapters of Kautilya's Arthasastra, on which Somadeva's own treatment of the topic in Nitivakyamrta is based. In Yasastilaka, too, he is indebted to Kautilya (Chap. XI) in the description of Yasodhara's spy, disguised as a religious mendicant, and surrounded by disciples who proclaim his mystic powers.8 The expression siddhah samedhikah occurring in Yasastilaka, Book III, is taken from Kautilya (op. cit.); and the topic is, of course, elaborated in the romance. As regards the report of the spy on the corruption of one of the ministers of Yasodhara, analysed elsewhere (Chap. II), its prototype may be found

¹ Cf. राज्ञा प्रदेश रङ्गाय हेलुग्रामेऽग्रहारवत् । लिलेख पट्टोपाध्यायो न यदा दानपट्टकम् ॥ तदाक्षपटलं गला रङ्गः कोपात् तमन्त्रीत् । रङ्गस्स हेलु दिण्णेति दासीयुत न लिख्यते ॥ 5. 397–398. Kautilya deals with the organisation of the Aksapatala in Arthasastra 2. 7; it was the Records and Accounts office combined.

² Yalastilaka 3. 173.

³ See Chap, IV.

in the Mahābhārata (Sāntiparva, chap. 82) in the story of the sage Kālakavṛṣṣīya, who, taking with him a crow credited with the power of soothsaying, goes round the capital of the king of Kosala and observes the evil ways of his ministers, and later reports to him all details of their corruption and conspiracies.

Reference is made in Book III to the Chief of the secret agents called Varisthaka¹, and it is he who reports to the king the arrival of the spy from his tour.² Srutasāgara in his commentary seems to take Varisthaka as a proper name, but in Book IV we find a Varisthaka named Vaikunthamati, who brings, however, an ordinary message to the king.³ The spy in his report mentions also a chief of the emissaries or couriers (janghācārīka nāyaka).⁴ Srutasāgara and Ms. A, however, explain the term janghācārīka as a cārapuruṣa, herika, a spy.⁵ The forms janghārīka and janghākarīka occur in Arthasāstra II. I, but there the word is taken to mean 'messenger' or 'courier'.⁶ It is difficult to determine the exact significance of these terms, but there is no doubt that the Criminal Intelligence Department had many ramifications, and was as important in the tenth century as it was in the days of Kauṭilya.

We have already referred to Somadeva's description of the regiments of Yasodhara's army in connection with the review of troops, which is included by Yājňavalkya (1.329) in the daily routine of the king. In Nītivākyāmṛta 22.17 the king's neglect to review the troops personally is described as one of the causes of the disaffection of the army.

Elephants and horses were two principal components of old Indian armies; and a number of verses occurs on the importance of the military rôle of these animals (2.176, 180):

भयेषु दुर्गाणि जलेषु सेतवो गृहाणि मार्गेषु रणेषु राक्षसाः । मनःप्रसादेषु विनोदनेषसो गजा इवान्यत् किमिहास्ति वाहनम् ॥

Elephants serve as fortresses in danger, as causeways in traversing waters, as houses on the wayside, and as monsters in battle. They give

⁶ See Shama Sastri's Translation and Word Index, and M. M. Ganapati Sastris ed.



¹ See Chap. II.

विनश्चतिमादायागतः शङ्कनकनामा प्रणिधिरिति गृदपुरुवाधिष्ठायकाद्वरिष्ठकादाकण्यं ? P. 400.

^{4 &#}x27;जड्डाचारिकनायकस्य विचावसोः'. Printed text reads नामकस्य which is incorrect. Ms. A reads नायकस्य.

⁵ Srutasagara says चार्यरुषप्रधानस्य.

delight in moments of joy: what other vehicle is there in the world, comparable to them?

पुरः मलक्पक्षस्रमिभिरभिष्टन्तुं व्यवसिते गतैः सर्वेर्गर्वात् समरसमये सिन्धुरवते । विदीर्णं मातक्षेत्तुरगनिवहैस्ताप दलितं रथैः प्रास्तं पद्गैः पिशितकवलीभूतमविशत् ॥

When, at the time of battle, a mighty elephant proudly begins to charge, stepping to and fro, and turning to the right and to the left, with all possible movements, the foeman's elephants are ere long pierced, the horses crushed, the chariots scattered, and the infantry reduced to pulp. The speed of elephants is praised in Yasastilaka 3. 309, and Somadeva, the writer on polity, goes as far as to offer salutation to elephants (2. 169):

सामोज्ञवाय ग्रुभलक्षणलक्षिताय विग्यात्मने सक्कदेवनिकेतनाय । कच्याणमञ्जलमहोत्सवकारणाय तुभ्यं नमः करिवराय वराय निष्यस् ॥

A large number of technical terms appertaining to elephant lore is used in Books II and III. In the latter Book Somadeva enumerates the different methods of treatment applied to rutting elephants, and gives the names of certain kinds of elephants and the particular states of intoxication to which they are subject. For example,

Names	of elephants	
Names	of elephants	

Vasumatītilaka Samjāta-tilakā
Paṭṭavardhana Ārdra-kapolikā
Uddhatāṅkuśa Ādhonibandhinī

Paracakra-pramardana Gandhacāriņī Ahitakula-kālānala Krodhinī Carcarīvatamsa Ativartinī

Vijayasekhara Sambhinna-madamaryādā

States of intoxication

The above details are in our work reported to the king by an army commander; and elephant-lore, like the science of horses, was regarded as an auxiliary branch of statecraft in view of the important part played by elephants in warfare.

Like elephants, horses are also praised for their utility in war, and mention is made of various characteristics of efficient chargers. Particularly good are the well-trained ones with well-proportioned, symmetrical and compact limbs (2. 206). Good horses have large foreheads, mouths depressed on the outside, smooth skins, stout shoulders, long

^{1 &#}x27;सोसाळ्यंडणसंचयव्यासारमुखवर्धनकटवर्धनकटकोभनमतिभेदनपवर्धनवर्णकरगन्धकरोद्दीपनहासमविनिवर्तनमभदमदोपचा-रोपदेशविद्यारदण्णण्यः १२. ४९५.

अल्ब्रुताथिकवेदाः समञ्जिभकाश्च नथौतिः सर्वैः। संदत्तवनाङ्गतन्थाः कृतविनयाः कामदास्तुर्गाः ॥

legs, broad backs and lean bellies (2. 203). Their colours are like those of pearls, or blue lotuses, or gold, or filaments of flowers, or collyrium, or bees, or the rising sun, or Aéoka flowers, or parrots. The neighing of good horses is deep like the trumpeting of elephants, or the roar of lions, or the bellowing of oxen, or like the sound of trumpets, drums or clouds; and the smell of lotuses, blue lotuses, Mālatī flowers, clarified butter, honey, milk, or the perspiration of rutting elephants is present in their perspiration, mouths and ears (2. 197-9).

Questions of food and drink are discussed in relation to health and physical well-being in a large number of verses (3. 321-74) attributed to the court physician Sajjana, alias Vaidyavidyāvilāsa, to whose words the king listens at meal-time. Sajjana is also described as one who gives instruction in the art of producing sixty-three varieties of flavours by primary and secondary combinations of the usual six, and Srutasāgara in his commentary gives a formidable list of these combinations. Sound dietetics is a subject intimately connected with the welfare of the king, and accordingly given a place in Somadeva's discourse on the art of government.

Sajjana says many sensible things. Addressing the king, he remarks that just as food is not well cooked in a vessel that is neither covered nor stirred, so a man who has neither sleep nor exercise cannot digest what he eats (3.322). He who gorges himself with food gluttonously even when he is not hungry stirs up diseases, like dormant snakes, for his own ruin (3.329). All stale food with the exception of clarified butter and liquids, food containing hair or worms, and réchauffés should be avoided (3.344); and one should also abstain from overeating, undereating, eating of combinations of hygienic and unhygienic food, and eating immediately after eating (3.345).

Certain kinds of food are recommended for particular reasons. In the autumn one should take sweet, bitter and astringent things; in the rainy season and the winter sweet, salty and sour things; in the spring pungent, bitter and astringent things; and in the summer mild preparations (3.349). Again, in the winter one should take fresh food, preparations of milk, pulses, and sugarcane, curds, and things prepared with ghee; and oil, too, is beneficial (3.350). In the spring one should take food consisting chiefly of barley and wheat, and containing little ghee, and avoid

¹ विशासभाका विद्रानतात्वाः सहमत्वयः पीवरवाड्डदेशाः । स्त्रीवैजद्याः एश्वप्रस्थासानूब्राः कामकृतस्त्ररक्षाः ॥

^{2 &#}x27;रसानां गुक्संसर्गभेदेन त्रिषष्टिज्यजनोषवेशमाजः सळ्जनिवणाः'.

^{3 &#}x27;अस्यशनं रुख्यानं समयनमध्यभन्यनं संस्थारयम्'.

heavy, cold and sweet dishes (3.351). On hot days one should take Sali rice. Moog soup containing ghee, lotus stalks, fresh shoots and bulbs, fried barley flour, sherbets, curds mixed with sugar and spices, coconut-milk, and water or milk with plenty of sugar (3.352). In the rainy season the food should be dry light, oily and warm; and preparations of old Sali rice, wheat and barley should be taken (3.353). In the autumn the diet should consist of ghee, moog, Sali rice, powdered wheat, preparations of milk, patolas, grapes, Amalaki fruits, sugar, and sweet bulbs and leaves (8.354).1 A number of vegetables including slices of fresh ginger is praised in 3.356°, and the properties of ghee, curds, butter and milk are described in verses 3.360-63. We are also told that the constant eating of over-sweet dishes leads to indigestion, too much of salty food causes weakening of vision, extremely sour and pungent dishes lead to physical decay, and any unhygienic food causes loss of strength as soon as it is taken (3.364). Certain remedies are auggested for indigestion (3.366), and emphasis is laid on the proper use of water. Water is called both amrta and view; properly used, it is ampto, nectar, and used without proper care, it is visa, poison (3.368). Transparent water without any taste and smell. and swept by wind and sunshine, is beneficial by nature; in all other cases water should be boiled before drinking. Water exposed to the rays of the sun and the moon should not be used longer than a day and a night; water boiled in daytime should not be taken at night, and water boiled at night should not be taken in daytime (3. 370-1).

Examination of food and detection of poison is dealt with in a number of verses; and the subject has, of course, an important bearing on the safety of the king, and is exhaustively dealt with in Kautilya's Arthasastra I. XXI. Speaking of poison, the court physician mentions certain phenomena indicating its presence in food. He observes that, at the sight of poison, crows and cuckoos cry in an unwonted fashion, mungooses and peacocks are overjoyed, the Kraunca bird sleeps, the cock crows in a harsh tone, the parrot vomits, and the monkey evacuates bowels; while the eyes of the Cakora bird lose colour, and the goose limps. Besides, flies do not settle on food containing poison (3. 338-9). Addressing the king, Sejjana further remarks that fire crackles in contact with food mixed with poison, as it does when a quantity of salt is thrown into it (3. 340).

1 .

१५ श्रुद्धाः व्यक्तिः समिषविक्रतिः शीरविषयः पटोसं सृदीकाः फलमिइ च षाण्याः सञ्जवितम् । सिता शीतण्याया मश्रुरसवर्थः कन्दकुष्कं शर्रकाले सेर्च्य रजनिवदने चन्द्रकिरणाः ॥.

थार्क इत्याक्षे बोहर्क कारवेर्क विश्वी बीवन्सी वास्तुककारबुकीयः । सबः संयुक्ताः वर्गदाविर्मदान्ताः कि स्वकाँकैयेत् पालवधार्यक्षणः ॥

Certain kinds of food are forbidden under given circumstances, for example, germinating paddy, and ghee kept in a brass vessel for a period of ten days (3. 341). Further, bananas should not be taken with curds and butter-milk nor milk with salt......nor broth of pulses with radishes; fried barley powder should not be taken when it becomes compact like curds, and all sesamum preparations are prohibited at night (3. 342-43).

The discourse on food and drink shows the importance attached by Somadeva to a proper understanding of dietetics for the safety and physical wellbeing of the king and the efficient discharge of his duties. It shows also the Jaina teacher's keen interest in the secular branches of study.

It is evident that Somadeva in Yasastilaka does not go over the entire range of topics dealt with in the nitisastras, but rather concentrates on problems affecting the king personally and the rôle played by him in the administration of the state. Considered from this point of view, the relevant portion of Yasastilaka reminds one of the Mirrors or manuals of instruction for the guidance of kings, which were widely prevalent in the Middle Ages in the West, such as the book of Agapetos, the tutor of the Emperor Justinian (sixth century A. D.), and specially the famous Secretum Secretorum attributed to Aristotle, many versions of which are known to exist in different languages. There are, for instance, Latin texts not earlier than the twelfth century, which 'in their turn rest on Arabic originals'. We may here refer to two English versions, the first from a shortened French source, the second from a Latin source made soon after 1400 A. D. The Prologue to the first version tells us that the book was translated from Greek into Syriac, and thence into Arabic. It is interesting to find that although Somadeva's discourse is far more systematic and methodical, and more intimately connected with the actual problems of administration, there are certain noteworthy points of resemblance batween his work and the Secretum Secretorum. Firstly, as in Yasastilaka, the king is warned in the Secretum never to trust a woman, and it is also pointed out that many kings have been poisoned, a woman's hate being the worst poison. Secondly, both works treat of questions of health and food and drink; and proportionately speaking, the Secretum seems to attach even greater importance to the subject

¹ Cf. the treatise on diet composed by Anthimus, a Bysantine doctor, for the Frank king Clovis (481-511 A. D.), to whom he had been sent by Theoderic the Great.

² J. R. A. S. 1908, pp. 1065-1084.

³ See Three Prose Versions of the Secreta Secretorum, ed. Steele. Part I. Text an Glossary. (Early English Text Society).

⁴ Pp. 20, 64,

than our text. Both works deal with the seasons and the kinds of food apprepriate to each of them; and the Secretum, too, speaks of forbidden combinations of food, e. g., milk and flesh. Thirdly, the qualities of secretaries, messengers, and counsellors are dealt with in the Secretum as in Yasastilaka, although the subject is treated far more exhaustively by Somadeva with concrete details and illustrations. The opinion of the Secretum that the king should have five counsellors, or not less than three, if five are not available, may be compared with Somadeva's recommendation in Nttivākyāmṛta that the number of ministers should be three or five or seven. On the other hand, the author of Yasastilaka does not evince any interest in certain topics dealt with at length in the Secretum e. g., physiognomy, miraculous properties of plants, magic stones etc.

It is a noteworthy feature of Somadeva as a political thinker that he divests himself of his theological bias while treating of the problems of the state. For example, as an exponent of Jaina doctrines he severely condemns the Lokayatika or materialistic view of life, but in Nitivakyamrta 6. 33 he declares that a king conversant with the Lokavatika system is capable of exterminating the malefactors of the state. Similarly, while emphasizing the importance of Dharma, he declares in the same work that he who solely devotes himself to Dharma, overriding the claims of Artha and Kāma, is like a man who tills a forest, abandoning a ripe cornfield (1.44). It is also noteworthy that at the beginning of Nitivakyāmrta Somadeva offers obeisance not to the Arhat but to the State (Raiva): and his method of approach to the problems of government is certainly not that of a Jaina theologian but that of an unbiassed thinker with considerable knowledge and experience of the affairs of the state. The non-theological attitude of the Jaina author in matters affecting the state may be contrasted with the outlook of certain Western political thinkers of the Carolingian age, who were Churchmen and 'approached the questions at issue through theology'. The aim of writers like Smaragdus, Jonas and Sedulius, who paid attention to the problem of government in the first half of the ninth century, and wrote hortatory treatises. contrasting the good and the bad ruler, is, generally speaking, to produce, 'as it were. manuals of Christian ethics for Christian rulers'. Admonitions such as

¹ Pp. 27, 72.

² P. 76.

³ Pp. 98, 101.

⁴ See Chap, VIII.

⁵ Laistner: Thought and Letters in Western Europe (A. D. 500 to 900), p. 261.

that 'the Christian ruler must trust in divine aid rather than in his own courage and that of his troops' would have appeared highly incongruous to the Jaina thinker.

Somadeva's ideal of kingship is evident from his description of the life of Yasodhara as a ruler. In presenting before us a picture of his activities, the author of Yasastilaka does not attempt to make him a paragon of virtue or even a hero, but is content to depict him as a prince diligent in discharging the duties that devolve upon him as the ruler of the state. Yet he came to an untimely end, because of his failure to take precaution against a woman's perfidy; and his example is intended to be an object lesson for other kings to profit by. Yasodhara, as represented by Somadeva, is very different from the Syrian king, mentioned by Dio Chrysostom, who spent his life indoors with eunuchs and concubines without even a glimpse of army or war or assembly at all. It may be noted that Dio insists on virile pursuits for the king, and considers hunting to be the best recreation for him.2 Yasodhara does not indeed indulge in hunting, but we see him training elephants and armouring their tusks and practising archery. More questionable is his participation in the summer sports and the gaieties of moonrise in the company of young women, as described in Yasastilaka, Book III; but this may be regarded as a concession to prevailing custom and the conventions of kāvya poetry, and is certainly contrary to Somadeva's considered opinion against the association of the king with the women of the harem.

Somadeva's discourse on the problems of government in Yasastilaka is doubtless unparalleled in kāvya literature, but it should be remembered that earlier poets like Bhāravi, Māgha, Bhaṭṭi, and Ratnākara also deal with aspects of Nīti especially in relation to matters affecting peace and war; while Bāṇa's interest in the duties and responsibilities of kingship is revealed in Sukanāsa's advice to Candrāpīḍa. Further, Bāṇa, like Somadeva, records in Harşacarita, Book VI, a large number of semi-legendary traditions illustrating the mortal danger to kings from carelessness and risky adventures and from the machinations of women. Somadeva and Bāṇa both seem to draw on earlier sources, as similar stories, for instance, those about women murdering kings, are also found in Kauṭilya's Arthasāstra I. XX and Kāmandaka's Nītisāra 7. 11. 51 ff.

¹ In the Fourth Discourse on Kingship. Dio was a Greek moralist of the first century

² In the Third Discourse on Kingship.

SOCIAL DATA

The interesting data concerning religious conditions in the tenth century, preserved in our text, constitute a large mass of information which has been analysed in subsequent chapters; and we may here confine ourselves to Somadeva's references to social conditions proper.

The charges brought by the spy against the king's minister, a provincial governor, in Book III, point to certain potential abuses of the times, and similar incidents are mentioned also in *Rājatarangiņī*. The spy, for instance, says (3, 172):

वापसमयेषु विष्टिः सिद्धायः शीरिकविशकालेषु । लवनावसरेषु पुनः स्वय्कन्दः सैनिकावाधः ॥

The minister is alleged to be responsible for three things: demanding unpaid labour at the time of sowing, collection of dues while the ears of corn are still unripe, and the unbridled movement of troops at the harvesting season. In Nitivākyāmṛta 19. 15, 16 Somadeva says that the collection of dues (siddhādāya) while the ears of corn are unripe depopulates a country, and the movement of troops at the time of harvest leads to famine. As regards unpaid or forced labour (visti), it goes back to early times; and Kautilya says in Arthasastra II. I that the king should protect agriculture from the abuses of fines, forced labour, and taxation. Forced labour seems to have been the poor mans terror as we learn from the parable of the foolish son in Saddharmapundarika, wherein the vagrant youth comes after years to beg at the mansion of his wealthy father, and taking the latter for a king or a grandee, fears lest he should be detained to do forced labour, and slinks away in a hurry. of a village sometimes carried with it the right to unpaid labour within the area granted. A record of the Raştrakuta king Indra III, dated 915 A. D., grants, for instance, a village called Tenna to a Brahmana with the accompanying right to forced labour as occasion presents itself.4 Somsdeva, it should be noted, takes exception to forced labour only at the time of sowing.

It is also alleged by the spy that Yasodhara's minister misappropriates agrahdras and devablogas. Agrahdras are villages granted to Brahmanas, and devablogas are temple endowments (villages, cornfields

^{1 &#}x27;श्रीरेषु क्षिकेषु सिद्यादायो जनपदमुद्रासयति', 'लवनकाले सेनामचारो दुर्गिक्षमानहति।'

³ 'दम्बविद्यित्रानाचे रहेन्द्रपहतां कृतिन् '-

³ भा दानि श्रेषं से कमेवमन गृहित्व वेटि से च कार्यवस् ' IV. 17.

^{4 &#}x27;सोल्यमान्दिकः' B. I. Vol. IX (Two grants of Indraraja III).

⁵ Tatastilaka 3, 236 quoted in Chap. II (q. v.)

etc.); and the two terms are sometimes mentioned together, e. g., in the aforesaid grant of Indra III (verse 24). It was, of course, an abuse of power to rob the grantees of their legitimate rights, and similar instances are also recorded in the Rajatarangini. For example, during the reign of Avantivarman (855-883 A. D.) a powerful feudal baron was beheaded under the orders of the minister Sūra for the crime of robbing the temple of Bhūteśa of villages (5. 52 ff.). Some of the later kings of Kashmir were themselves notorious for such usurpations, notably Śamkaravarman (883-902 A. D.), Kalaśa (1063-89 A. D.), and Harşa (1089-1101 A. D.). Kalhana deplores the fact that Gauraka, the aged and virtuous minister of Harşa, accepted the post of Arthanāyaka (Prefect of Wealth) for the confiscation of the villages and the entire property of all the temples of the gods (7. 1103-4).

The next charge against the minister is that he melts down the images of the gods and replaces them by those of lesser value; he also sells an image, and with the proceeds institutes a religious festival.1 The motives of the minister are evidently not only cupidity but a show of piety Be that as it may, the practice of melting down images by greedy monarchs is wellknown in history and found not only in India but also elsewhere; it was a form of sacrilege akin to the plunder of temples practised by many kings for the treasury. The Rajatarangini has a good deal to say about such practices being resorted to by the kings of Kashmir. Samkaravarman plundered sixty-four temples of the gods (5. 169). Kalasa removed the copper image of the Sun called Tamrasvamin, and carried away also the brass statues from the Vihāras (7.696). The climax came during the reign of Harsa, who, after plundering the treasures of the temples granted by former kings, turned his attention to the images, and appointed an officer called the Prefect for the uprooting of the gods (devotpāṭana-nāyaka), with the result that images of gods made of gold, silver and other metals rolled in filth in the streets, like faggots of wood (7. 1089 ff.). Another king of Kashmir Kşemagupta (950-958 A. D.), a contemporary of Somadeva, used the brass from a statue of Buddha in building the shrine of Ksema-Gaurisvara, a show of piety comparable to that of Yasodhara's minister (6. 172-3). Turning elsewhere, we find that towards the end of the twelfth century a prince of Gujarat, Prahladanadeva, the author of Pārthaparākrama Vyāyoga k and founder of Palanpur, melted a brass statue of Jina for making a bull for the Acalesvara temple; and there is a legend that he was stricken with leprosy as a result of the sin, but cured himself by building a monastery

¹ Ibid. 3, 227, 235 quoted in Chap. II (q. v.)

Yasastilaka would lead one to suppose that the melting down of images to meet financial needs was not unknown in the Deccan in the tenth century, and Somadeva's statements may be taken as a kind of protest against the practice being resorted to by provincial governors. It may be mentioned in this connection that, elsewhere, during the reign of the Byzantine Emperor Alexius I Comnenus (1081-1118 A. D.), Leo, Bishop of Chalcedon, came to grief for protesting against the melting down of icons 'for purposes of filling the imperial treasury'.

The spy in his report describes Yaśodhara's minister as a monster of financial corruption (lañeā-luñeā-niśācarah 3. 185). Lañeā or lañea is bribery, while luñeā is extortion; and the phrase is used in the sense of financial corruption in general. Somadeva deals with the subject in his Nītivākyāmrta and describes lañealuñeā as the source of all evils. Financial malpractice represents the seamy side of government, and Somadeva exhorts the king not to allow persons who come on business to become the vietims of rapacious officials."

Glimpses of economic prosperity are occasionally afforded by our work. The description of a prosperous dairy-farm of Karahāṭa occurring in Book V has been translated in the previous chapter. More interesting is the description of the mart owned and run by the trusted priest Śrībhūti in the story recounted in Yasastilaka, Book VII, section 27. We are told that

¹ See Dalal's Introduction to the play in G. O. S.

² Classical antiquity provides instances of rapacious princes laying sacrilegious hands on costly images. Clement of Alexandria (second century a. n.) reports in his Exhortation to the Greeks (Chap. IV) that the tyrant Dionysius the younger stripped the statue of Zeus in Sicily of its golden cloak and ordered it to be clothed with a woolen one; while Antiochus of Cyzicus, a Greek city of Asia Minor, when he was in want of money, ordered the golden statue of Zeus, fifteen cubits high, to be melted down, and a similar statue of cheaper material covered with gold leaf to be set up in its place.

³ Hussey: Church and Learning in the Byzantine Empire, p. 94.

⁴ Lañoa, 'bribe', is used in Pali, being peculiar to the Jataka literature. See Rhys Davids: Pali-English Dictionary. Cf. Pali lañoakhādaka, 'bribe-eater'. The Bengali expression ghushfor means the same thing. Compare also lāca khāņe in Marāthi and laños tinas in Kannada.

^{5 &#}x27;सर्वाचिन पुरुषत् रुम्रज्ञानिशाचराणां भूतवशिष्ठ कुर्यात्', 'रुम्रज्ञमा हि सर्वेपातकानामागमनहारम्', 'मातुः खनमि सुधन्ति रुम्रोवितः' etc. Srutasagara in his comm. on Valastilaka 3. 185 explains रुम्रज्ञानिशाचरः का उत्सोट(ज् !) जोटने राख्यः. The anonymous comm. on Nitivakyamṛta says क्षम्रख्यानिश्चन्यां सुम्रकाच्येन (रुम्युक्तः !) उत्सोचः दुम्राश्चने वर्त्वात्तरः यत यव निशाचरा राक्षसाः. Varther on it says क्षम्र वर्त्वात्तरम्थान, also स्थ्रेन कार्यकारणे इर्णे. There is some confusion of meaning, but the expression as a whole signifies extortion or unlawful exactions by the king or his officials. Somadeva remarks in Nitiedkyamṛta (op. cit.): राह्ये स्थ्रीन कार्यकरणे कृत्य नाम स्थ्याणम्.

the mart (penthästhäna) was furnished with tents containing many compartments and large stores of merchandise, with stalls for cattle nearby. Water, fedder and fire-wood were easily available, and the establishment covered an area of about four miles. It was closely guarded by troops, and protected by moats, gates, walls and ramparts, and had avenues with arrangements for water-supply, eating houses, assembly halls, and warehouses for different classes of commodities. Gamblers, whoremongers, jesters and dancing masters were excluded from the precincts of the mart. Here merchants from many countries were allowed to carry on their business, the rates of toll and rent being extremely moderate. The mart maintained by Sribhūti seems to be entirely a private organisation, but in Nitivākyāmṛta 19. 21 Somadeva speaks of pintha (sic) as a state organisation, and observes that a mart 'maintained with justice' is a source of endless profits to the king.2 The commentary on the latter work explains pintha as sulkasthana, and quotes a verse from Sukra to the effect that toll should not be realised at excessive rates, and whatever is stolen by thieves from the mart should be restored to the merchants by the king from his own treasury." The pintha is described in Nitivākyāmrta as panyaputabhedinī, explained in the commentary as containing diverse places for storing commodities,4 and it seems to have had an elaborate organisation, to judge from the description in Yasastilaka. The granting of proper facilities and protection to merchants was the chief aim of these emporiums, which were also an important source of revenue to the state.

References to the fine arts are few and far between in our work. An interesting statuary group is presented in the description of the Pavilion of mechanical showers in Book III.⁵ The account of the Jaina temple in Book V is chiefly remarkable for its paintings, of which two categories are mentioned.⁶ Firstly, there are portraits of wellknown figures of Jaina legend and mythology, viz. Bähubali the warrior saint,⁷ Pradyumna whose austerities

^{1 &#}x27;स किल श्रीभृतिविश्वासरसिनिविद्यात्र परोपकारनिवृत्या च निमक्तानेकापनरकरचनाशालिनीभिमेहाशाण्डवाहिनीभिगौनशालोपशस्याभिः कुल्याभिः समन्त्रितम्, अतिस्रुलभज्ञल्यनसेन्धनप्रचारम्, भण्डनारम्भोद्भटभरीरपेटकपक्षरस्यास्य गोक्तपंप्राणं वप्रप्राकारमतोलिपरिकापरिस्वितत्राणं प्रपासत्रसमासनाथवीथिनिवेशनं पण्यपुटभेदनं विद्दितकितविदिविद्वव्यविद्याने पण्ठास्थानं विनिर्माण्य नानादिग्वेश्वोपसर्पणसुवां वणिजां प्रशान्तशुक्तभादकभागडारव्यवद्वारमचीकरत्। p. 345.

^{2 &#}x27;न्यायेन रक्षिता पण्यपुटभेदिनी पिण्ठा राशां कामधेनः'.

³ तथा च शुकः — माश्रं नैवाधिकं शुक्कं चौरैर्यखाइतं भवेत् । पिण्ठायां मूनुजा देयं विग्रजां तत् स्वकोशतः ॥

^{4 &#}x27;पण्यानि विभाग्यनानां कुंकुमहिगुरसादीनि ऋवाणकाति तेषां पुटाः स्वानाति भिष्यन्ते यसां सा पण्यपुटमहिनी !

⁵ See Chap. II.

^{6 &#}x27;श्रक्तिकृतिरिव विश्ववृद्धका' p. 246.

^{? &#}x27;विजयसैनेव बाबबलिविद्या'.

are celebrated in Jaina legend,1 the Tirthamkara Suparsva,2 and king Asokacandra and his consort Rohini, the woman who did not know how to weep.* Secondly, there are representations of most of the dreams of Rsabha Jina's mother, e. g., the Airavata elephant, a bull, a lion, the goddess Laksmi, the sun and the moon, a lotus-pool, the ocean, flames, a heap of jewels, and an aerial car. Further, the temple is described as being marked with two fish, like the Mudra of the Pandyan kings. The figures of fish were apparently painted on the walls, a pair of fish being included among the eight auspicious objects of the Jainas. It may be noted in this connection that the fish appears also in early Christian art where it 'recalled the name of Jesus, as Christ, Son of God and Saviour'. As regards the Pandyan mudra, it might mean seal or coin or perhaps both. We know that "gold coins bearing the emblem universally adopted by the Pandyas in later times, viz. the fish have been attributed to a period from the 7th to the 10th century A. D."; It is extremely probable that Somadeva actually saw Pandyan coins with the double fish emblem.

In the course of the description of the Jaina temple Somadeva refers to two technical terms of painting: $r\bar{u}pagunanik\bar{a}$ 'the study of figures' and $p\bar{a}r\epsilon v\bar{a}gata$ 'the side view proper', being one of the nine different positions in which the figures may appear. Visnudharmottara 39. 1 (Part III) says, nava sthānāni $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}n\bar{d}m$.

Writing in ink on ivory slabs is mentioned in Book I. In Book III Somadeva refers to a gold ring with the figure of Lakṣmī stamped on

^{1 &#}x27;प्रकटरतिजीवितेशा'. Ms. A remarks प्रकटः कामी वन, प्रवृत्तस्वामी विन्नलिखिती यन.

^{2 &#}x27;सपार्यागता'-

^{3 &#}x27;अञ्चित्रिणीपेश्चा'. For the story see the Rathā of Kanakakuśala.

^{4 &#}x27;संनिहितरावता '''' आसीनसीरभेया '''' निलीनोपकण्ठकण्ठीरवा ''''' रमोपक्कोभिता ' etc. Ms. A remarks विश्वलिखितस्वमावली

^{5 &#}x27;पाण्ड्यमुद्रेय शकुलियुगकाद्विता'-

⁶ Foakes Jackson: History of Christianity A. D. 590-1314, p. 35.

⁷ Rapson: Indian Coins, p. 36.

⁸ Prof. K. A. Nilakanta Sastri writes to me that the Pāṇḍyan emblem was always a pair of fish—both lāñchana and dhvaja. Jayanagara of E. Java (1309-28) who called himself Sundarapāṇḍyadevādhiśvara-nāma-rājābhiṣeka also had a pair of fish for his mark on his seal. Pāṇḍyan coins with fishes on them are noticed also by Hultssch in Indian Antiquary, Vol. 21, p. 324 and by Codrington: Ceylon coins and currency, pp. 86-89.

^{9 &#}x27;इत्याणनिकेन द्वपार्थगता', which refers also to the Tirthamkara Suparsva by क्रेन.

¹⁰ See also Kramrisch: Vishpudharmottara, p. 13.

^{11 &#}x27;दिरवरदफलकमणीकिसितकिपस्पर्यानि' p. 79.

it. Glass bangles are mentioned in Book IV. Shopfronts of garland-sellers, stalls of dealers in perfumes, and streets full of courtesans and women messengers form part of the description of evening scenery at the beginning of Book IV. The gorgeous apartments of the queen are located on the top floor of a seven-storied palace, and a Jaina shrine is described as being on the top floor of a seven-storied palace belonging to a wealthy merchant in a story in Book VI, section 12. Palaces whence elephant-races were witnessed are mentioned in Books III and VII, section 32.

The prevalence of early marriage is attested both in Yasastilaka and Nitivākyāmṛta. A speaker in a story in the former work (Book VI, section 19) identifies heaven with the mutual love of a maiden, twelve years of age, and a youth of sixteen⁸; while in Nītivākyāmṛta 31. 1 it is clearly said that a maiden and a youth of the age specified above are fit for marital relations.⁸

Certain details concerning crime and punishment are preserved in Yasastilaka. In a story in Book VII, section 27, the priest Śrībhūti who is found guilty of breach of trust is given three choices by the king: he must either swallow three bowls of cow-dung or receive thirty-three blows to be given by powerful wrestlers, or forfeit his entire property. When he chooses the latter alternative, all his wealth is confiscated; and he is smeared with clay teeming with worms, and made to wear garlands of potsherds and abandoned platters, and expelled from the city seated on the back of a donkey. Similar treatment is meted out to Parvata by the enraged citizens in a story in Book VII, section 30. He is pelted with clods of earth and severely whipped and turned out of the city on the back of a donkey, clothed in rags from the cremation ground, and wearing a garland

^{1 &#}x27;क्यमीसदाष्ट्रां गाञ्चेयोमिकामिव' p. 394.

को नु सन्त विघटितं चेतः रफटिकवरुयमिव मुचापि संधातुमहिता p. 77.

^{3 &#}x27;स्वाजीविनामापणरक्तमारोषु' p. 18.

^{4 &#}x27;सीगन्धिकानां विषणिविस्तारेष्' p. 18.

^{5 &#}x27;पण्याज्ञनासमितिभिः""संचारिकानिकायेन च समाकुलेषु "राज्यवीवीमण्डलेषु ' p. 19.

^{6 &#}x27;सात्रज्ञासारोपरितनभागवर्तिन'''''वासम्बने' Book IV, p. 29. A partially ruined palace, known as the Kushk Mahal, at Chanderi, now in Gwalior state, has been identified as a seven-storied palace ordered to be built by Mahmud Shah of Malwa in 1445. Only the remains of four stories now exist. Percy Brown: Indian Architecture, Vol. II, p. 65.

^{7 &#}x27;राजिनिश्वरप्रधावसंदर्शनप्रासादसंपादनाय'; 'प्रधावधरणिषु करिविनीदिविकोकनदोष्ट्दं प्रासादमध्यास्य'. Vol. I, p. 495; Vol. II, 369.

६ 'बादशक्यों सी वोबशवर्षः पुरुषः । तयोरन्योन्यमनन्यसामान्यसेहरसोत्सेकप्राद्वर्भृतिः प्रीतिः " सर्गः ।' p. 317.

अ 'बावजनमं सी मोडशनमं पुनान् प्राप्तव्यदहारी भवतः'

of platters, with the head shaven at random with the blade held in the reverse direction, and Bilva fruits suspended from the tuft of hair on the crest of the head. As we have already seen, the envoy of the king of Pancala was threatened with humiliation of this nature on account of the hostility of his master to Yasodhara. In another story in Book VII, section 31, the libertine Kadarapinga and his father, the minister Ugrasena, who connived at his adulterous adventure, are exiled by the king after indictment in the presence of all the people.1 Public humiliation of the kind noticed here seems to have been reserved for respectable offenders, and is heard of in the annals of other countries as well. In the eighth century at Rome, for example, the deposed Pope Constantine, after he was blinded, was led in derision through the streets seated on a horse with a woman's saddle and heavy weights attached to his feet.' Somadeva refers also to the torture of thieves and the indignities heaped upon them. In Yasastilaka, Book V, in the episode of king Sudatta, a thief accused of robbing and murdering a barber is condemned by the judges to 'picturesque imprisonment' (citro bandhah) so that he might lose his life in ten or twelve days, the preliminary indignities to be inflicted on him being riding a donkey, and fastening round the neck a row of east off earthen vessels.

Certain details about cruelty to animals are recorded in our work. In one of the birth-stories in Book V, a buffalo is tortured to death with revolting cruelty for killing the king's horse; and the incident is described also in Haribhadra's Samarāiccakahā. We are told that the feet of the animal were tied together, and burning coals heaped upon the body, while salt water was poured over the wounds, and he was allowed to drink water mixed with boiled extracts of peppers. On the other hand, the epitaphs on the dog and the peacock who were accidentally killed, as related in another story in the same Book, bear testimony to the love of animals. It is interesting to note that a contemporary record, the Atakūr inscription of the Ganga ruler Būtuga II, who took part in the battle of Takkolam in 949 A. D., tells us that Manālara who fought in front of him in the battle made a gift of land in memory of a hound, who had attacked and killed a boar, but was himself killed in the fight.

^{1 &#}x27;निखिलजनसमक्षमाक्षारणापूर्वकं प्रावासयत्' p. 366.

^{&#}x27;तदस्य माटबरस्य चर्कावदारोहणोच्छिद्दशास्त्रजिरस्यजिबन्धनिबन्धनपूर्वकश्चित्रो बन्धः कर्तव्यो वधायं नश्चत्रवाणिजो दश्च-भिद्योदशिमां दिवसरस्य विस्तुजति ।' p. 245.

³ See Chap. III of this book.

⁴ See Chapters II and VIL

⁵ Sources of Karadtaka History, Vol. I. p. 97.

CHAPTER VI

YASASTILAKA AS A RELIGIOUS ROMANCE

As we have already remarked (Chap. IV), the Jaina writers of the tenth century and after, who composed prose romances in Sanskrit, introduced into them a religious element which was absent in the works of Dandin, Bana and Subandhu. Siddharşi's Upamitibhavaprapañoù Katha and Somadeva's Yasastilaka are two outstanding examples of Jaina religious romance in the tenth century, and it is evident that the development of the religious novel is a comparatively late phenomenon in Sanskrit literary history. In Prakrit, on the other hand, we have comprehensive Jaina religious romances like Uddyotana's Kuvalayamālā and Haribhadra's Samarāiccakahā in prose and verse composed in the eighth century, while the extensive Vasudevahindi in prose is ascribed to about the seventh century, and the lost Tarangavati of Pādalipta is much earlier. Such religious romances are called dhammakahā (dharmakathā) in Prākrit; and Haribhadra, in fact, enumerates four varieties of kathā in the prologue to his Samarāiccakahā: arthakathā, kāmakathā, dharmakathā and the mixed samkīrnakathā. Of these the dharmakathā is declared to be the highest type of narrative, illustrating as it does such qualities as forbearance, kindness, honesty. renunciation, austerities, self-restraint, truthfulness, purity, non-possession, and chastity, and the Anuvratas and the other vows; in fact, the entire code of Jaina ethics and religious discipline. Uddyotana in his Kuvalayamālā mentions four types of dharmakathā: ākṣepiṇī or pleasant, vikṣepiṇī or unpleasant, samvegajanani or leading to knowledge, and nirvedajanani or leading to detachment. The purpose of the dharmakathā is clear from these definitions, and we may in this connection refer to another division of kathā into cariya and kappiya found in Vasudevahindi, Chap. X. which has a bearing on the character of the dharmakathā. The cariya deals with what is actually seen, heard or experienced in matters relating to dharma. artha and kāma; while the kappiya is a story modified and altered for the purpose of instruction, and narrated in one's own way:8 it is obviously an imaginative tale with a didactic purpose, and may be correlated with a dharmakathà.

¹ Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Vol XVI, p. 31.

^{2 &#}x27;तत्राक्षेपिणी मनोऽनुक्ला, विक्षेपिनी मनःप्रतिकूला, संवेगजनमी श्रानोत्पत्तिकारणम्, निर्वेदजननी वैराग्यजनका ।'
Ratnaprabhasūri's Sanskrit summary of Kuvalayamālā.

उविहा कहा—चरिया य किप्पया य । तत्व चरिया दुविहा—इत्थीए पुरिसस्स वा । धम्मत्वकामक्र असु दिहं सुवमणुभूवं चरियं ति अचित । ज पुण विवजासियं असलेहि उवदेसिथपुर्वं समतीर अस्त्रमाणं किञ्जह तं कृष्यियं ।'

Judged by these standards, Yasastilaka is clearly a dharmakathā. Apart from the fact that it is a comprehensive manual of Jaina moral and religious doctrines, its purpose is to illustrate the great doctrine of ahimsā and its manifold implications. Yasodhara, it will be remembered, had to undergo grievous suffering in several births even for the sin of sacrificing the paste model of a cock with the idea of killing. This aspect of the story brings into relief certain moral and religious issues which throw interesting light on the Jaina view of ahimsā.

In the first place, the practice of sacrificing the effigy of an animal seems to have been an expedient favoured by those who were opposed to animal sacrifices as such, and instances of this are recorded not only in Indian but also Greek literature. It is stated, for example, in Rajatarangini 3. 7 that during the reign of Meghavahana, an ancient king of Kashmir, who prohibited animal slaughter in the kingdom, it was the custom to sacrifice butter models of animals in Vedic rites and those of paste in the Bhūtayajña offerings. In later times Madhvācārya is said to have introduced a reform consisting in the substitution of similar models of rice-flour for live animals in Vedic ritual.2 As regards Greek tradition, Philostratus likewise says in the Life of Apollonius of Tyana, the Greek saint and mystic of the first century A. D., that Empedocles of Acragas (in Sicily), made at Olympia a bull out of pastry (pemma poissamenos) and sacrificed it to the god, showing thereby that he approved of the sentiments of Pythagoras. Apollonius himself, who was opposed to animal sacrifices, is said to have condemned blood offerings in the temple at Alexandria, and sacrificed in the fire, by way of contrast, a plasma interpreted as a frankincense model of a bull (V. XXV). It is interesting to note that Apollonius refers in this connection to Indian sentiments, perhaps Buddhist or Jain. When the Egyptian priest sneered at his disapproval of animal sacrifices by saying that no one was so clever as to make corrections in the rites of the

¹ तस्य राज्ये जिनस्येव मारविदेषिणः प्रभोः। ऋतौ एतपशुः पिष्टपशुर्भृतवकावभूत् ॥

² See Indian Historical Quarterly, Vol. XVI, p. 378.

³ Book I, chap. I (Loeb Classical Library). Philostratus wrote about 217 A. D. Diogenes Laertius VIII. 53 records a statement that Empedocles sacrificed a bull made out of honey and barley-meal in honour of the Sacred envoys. It may be noted that the early Egyptian king Amenhetep IV (fourteenth century B. C.) is said to have offered in his temple bloodless sacrifices, chiefly of incense. He suppressed the worship of Amen and of the old gods of Egypt, and led a short-lived religious revolution in favour of the god Aten, which represented a solar monotheism based on the worship of the disc of the sun. Budge: Egypt, p. 146. cf. Erman: Handbook of Egyption Religion, p. 63 ff. and Breasted: Development of Religion and Thought in ancient Egypt, p. 319 ff.

Egyptians, Apollonius is reported to have answered: "Anyone with a little wisdom, if only he comes from India". Be that as it may, the story of Yasodhara makes it clear that so far as Jainism was concerned, it was opposed even to the sacrifice of lifeless models of animals; it rejected the very idea of animal sacrifices.

In the second place, the story of Yasodhara is designed also to show that the resulting consequences might be quite out of proportion to the sin committed by an individual. The latter point is emphasized by Haribhadra in his version of the story. He makes Yasodhara declare that even a slight error is fraught with peril, as can be seen from the dire results produced even by the sacrifice of a cock made out of paste.

Somadeva considers the problem of ahimsā in its relation to abhinivesa, the decisive character of which is stressed in a notable discourse in Book IV. It is put in the mouth of Yasodhara, who accepts a compromise on the subject of animal sacrifice, and agrees to sacrifice an artificial cock to Candika with the object of attaining the results obtainable by sacrificing living creatures.3 The idea of killing is thus present, although a lifeless model is substituted for a real cock. Somadeva emphasizes in this connection the importance of abhinivesa, 'mental preoccupation or resolve', 'purpose or motive', or 'intention', and declares that "the wise speak of abhinivesa as occupying the paramount place in all actions leading to virtue or sin. The external sense-organs, like the rays of the sun, are drawn equally to good as well as bad objects, but that alone does not make the agent liable to virtue or sin."4 This is another way of saying that it is the intention and not the outward act which determines the moral validity of an action. The idea is implied in another statement which Somadeva makes elsewhere, that if a pereson, pure in thought and action, inflicts injury on any one, he cannot be regarded as having done any injury at all.5 Somadeva illustrates the point further, using in this connection the term abhidhyana in place of abhinivesa. A fisherman, for example, although he does not actually kill the fish, is a sinner because his motive is himsa;

¹ See Chap. III.

अही दारुणविवागया अकत्वायरणाणं जिमह देवयानिमित्तं पिद्वमयकुकुडवही वि एवं परिणओ ति। दारुणो य विवानी वेवस्स वि प्रमावचेडियस्म लेण पिद्वमयकुकुषवही वि पेच्छ कहं परिणओ ति।

^{3 &#}x27;सर्वेषु सर्वेषु इतेषु बन्धे भवेत् फलं देवि तदत्र भूषात्' Book IV, p. 163.

अमिनिवेशं च पुनः पापपुण्यक्रिवास प्रधानं निधानमामनन्ति मनीविणः । बाब्रानीन्द्रियाणि तपनतेजांसीव श्रुमेष्यश्चेषु च बस्तुषु समं विनिषतन्ति । न वैतावता भवति तविष्ठातुः कुश्लेन चाइहेन संबन्धः । Srutasägara says अब्हेन पापेनः p. 138.

⁵ शुक्रमार्गमतीषीगः शुक्रचेतीवचीवपुः । शुक्रान्तरात्मसंपन्नी हिंसकोऽपि न हिंसकः ॥ Book VI, section 21, p. 335.

while a cultivator who happens to kill various creatures while tilling the ground incurs no sin, because he has no deleterious motive.

Somadeva uses also the terms samkalpa and abhisamdhi in the same sense as abhinivesa. Abhisamdhi is often used in this sense elsewhere, for example, in the Prasastapāda Bhāsya on the Vaisesika Sūtras. With regard to samkalpa, Somadeva says that the profanation of stones invested with divinity and set up with the samkalpa or intention of worshipping them is (in the Jaina view) a grievous sin. Similarly, it is the samkalpa which makes a householder an ascetic, and an ascetic a householder.4 The householder Arhaddasa continued his religious meditation even on hearing of the death of his wife and children; while the sage Puruhuta, formerly a king, although engaged in austerities, formed a warlike resolution as soon as he heard that his son was being attacked by enemies. The respective samkalpas of Arhaddasa and Puruhuta thus determined their moral outlook. regardless of their outward vocations. Somadeva lays emphasis also on the difficulty of purifying the mind once it is polluted by an evil intention, and says that the sum total of pious acts done over a long period is completely destroyed in a moment, like a house on fire, if marred by an evil intention even once through error. Further, the bearing and conduct of different persons towards the same object are bound to vary according to the diversity of their intentions or mental attitude. A sage, a libertine and a vulture do not, for example, have the same attitude towards the body of a young woman.

Somadeve points out that mental activity is the main spring of virtue and sin:

नरेषु संकल्पवहोन मन्मयो यथा प्रवर्तेत पथम धेनुषु । तथैय कर्माण्युभयानि मानसाहवाति बोबाविपतिर्विज्ञन्मितात् ॥

'Just as, under the stress of mental preoccupation, Love appears in men, and milk in cows (at the sight of the calf), similarly on account

मा किया कापि नासीह यस्त्रां हिंसा न क्यिते। विशिष्येते परं मायायत्र मुख्यानुविक्तिः।। अञ्चलि भवेत् पाणी निक्तिय न पाणमाञ्च। अभिष्यानिविश्वेण यथा वीतरकर्वती ॥ Book VII, section 26, p. 335.

^{2 &#}x27;भर्मैः....पुरुषान्तःकारणसंबोगविद्यक्षामिसन्धिजः'.

^{5 &#}x27;संकल्पोपपञ्चमतिञ्चानि च देवसायुज्यभाश्चित्रिकाशकलानि किमलासादयम् पुरुषो न भवति लोके पञ्चमहापातकी ।' Book IV, p. 136. There is a marginal note in Ms. A: आसातनां कुर्वन् , which we have followed.

⁴ संबक्ष्येन च मवन्ति गृहमेथिनोऽपि मुनयः। मुनवश्च गृहस्याः।' Ibid.

⁵ उत्तरमधुरायां निशाप्रतिवासितसितिवादिवस्त्रितकलक्षुत्रमित्रोपद्रवोऽन्येकलमावनमानसोऽईश्रसः ।' 'जुल्लमपुरे चरादाकाणि' ससुतसमरसितिरतापनवेगगुतोऽपि पुश्हृतदेवपिः ।' Ibid., p. 187.

^{6 &#}x27;चिरेणापि च काळेन कृतः कृत्याणकर्मणां प्रचयः प्रभावयशेन सकृदपि संजातविधिर्दुरमिसंधिः पावकनिक्षेपात् प्रासाद इव क्षणेन विनक्ष्यसास्थाः ।' Ibid., p. 136

^{7 &#}x27;अस्ति च अगटासिद्धमिदगुदाहरणम्-एकसिक्केव किल कामिनीकलेवरे गुनिकामिकुणपाश्चिनामभिनिवेशनिमित्तो विचित्र-निवेदः क्षत्रैविषाक इति ।' Ibid., p. 137.

of mental activity the Self acquires karma, good and bad (Book IV, p. 137). Thus, according to Somadeva, mental activity represented by abhinivesa or sankalpa involves a process which gives rise to the physical act and determines its moral validity. And ahinsā is not merely abstention from killing, but presupposes the absence of the very idea of killing, the complete absence of any sankalpa that might have the remotest connection with injury to living creatures.

The insistence on ahimsa brought Jainism into conflict with diverse cults, and Yasastilaka preserves a faithful record of the religious conflict of the times. Somadeva's romance is a notable protest not only against the Vedic sacrificial system but against the debased form of contemporary Kaula or Tantric cults involving human sacrifice, and contains also imnortant data on the historic conflict between Saivism and Jainism in the South. These topics have been dealt with in subsequent chapters, and we may here confine our remarks to the protest against Tantric and Vedic rites. It may be noted that such protests occur also in Jaina works outside Sanskrit literature, for example, in the poem Neelakesi, one of the early Tamil classics, which describes how the Jaina ascetic Municandra puts a stop to blood offerings to Kali, and foils the designs of the goddess and her chief Neelakesi, who is ultimately converted to Jainism, and preaches the doctrine of ahimsā. The Neelakesi is also a controversial work, like Somadeva's Yasastilaka, directed against Buddhism and other systems, one chapter being devoted to the criticism of Vedavada involving animal sacrifices.

It will be remembered that Yaśastilaka opens with the Māradatta episode which shows the Tantric cult in the darkest colours, and there is hardly any parallel to Somadeva's graphic description of the temple of Candamari and its horrid atmosphere in the whole range of Sanskrit literature. The human victims are brought to the temple, but not actually sacrificed; and this gives the author an opportunity of demonstrating the salutary influence of Jainism, which undoubtedly did good work by raising its voice against blood-thirsty cults like those of Candamārī or Candikā. A somewhat similar episode occurs in Haribhadra's Samarāiccakahā (Book VI) in the story of Dharana, who is brought by the Śabaras to the temple of Kādambarī or Candikā as an offering, but is not actually immolated;

¹ See Jaina Antiquary, Vol. VI, No. 2 and Vol. VII, No. 1.

² See Chap. II.

³ Compare the early Christian protest against human sacrifices, e. g. in Clement's Exhortation to the Greeks (second century). In that work he records a number of Pagan cults involving human sacrifice, and remarks that such sacrifice is murder and human butchery.

and the Sabara chief Kalasena partially at least accepts the principle of ahimsa, and agrees to worship the idol with flowers and perfumes instead of blocd offerings. In our work Maradatta and his subjects are, of course, converted to Jainism at the end; and the conversion motif is an appropriate feature of a religious romance, and found, for example, in early Christian novels like Xanthippe and Polyxena attributed to about the fourth century,1 and St. John Damascene's Barlaam and Ioasaph etc. Perhaps more striking is the calm and fearless attitude of the two young votaries of Jainism, who are brought to the temple of Candamari as sacrificial victims. Their courage, equanimity and dignified bearing do credit to the faith; and it is they who give utterance to the great idea of returning good for evil. As we have pointed out elsewhere (chap. I), the idea is common in Buddhist literature, and inherent in the doctrine of the Four Actions,3 but it no doubt represents one of the noblest teachings of Jainism as well. The utter resignation of the young ascetics and their readiness for suffering and disregard of death, as expressed in their own utterances, clearly illustrate some of the best moral influences of the doctrine of the Arhat. For example,

सोडस्त्वत्रणयादनेन मनसा तहुःसदावागकः संसाराध्यिनिमजनादपि कृतं किंचिरवदागन्दनम् । स्वरकीडागमकारणोचितमतेस्त्वकः श्रिवः संगमो यद्यदापि विचे न तुष्यसि तदा तत्रापि सजा वयम् ॥

'Thou Fate, thanks to thy favour, this heart hath endured the intense fire of all that suffering (in previous lives). Thou hast even been gratified a little by our plunging into the ocean of worldly existence. Prosperity, apt to be the cause of thy sports, hath been discarded by us. If thou art not pleased even now, ready we are for suffering evermore.' (1.132). The ascetic boy likewise says to his companion at the sight of the terrible goddess and the king and the crowd in her temple:

विश्वद्वशेषं तप एव रक्षा प्रामेष्वरण्येषु च संयतानाम् । भतः ऋतान्तेऽपि समीपकृतौ मात्तर्मनो मा स्म कृथा निरीक्षम् ॥ जीवस्य सद्दर्शनरक्षमाजक्षारित्रयुक्तस्य समाहितस्य । भाक्षसितो सूर्युक्पप्रयातः परं प्रमोदस्य समागमाय ॥

'Austerities, based on pure knowledge, are the sole protection for those who have self-control, whether in human habitations or in the woods. Hence, o mother, never feel helpless even when Death is near. Death, ever expected, when it comes, causes only delight to the man who

¹ Glover: Life and Letters in the fourth century, p. 376.

² Yaiastilaka 1, 151.

³ According to the Mülasarvästivädaikasatakarman, they are (1) not returning slander for slander; (2) not returning anger for anger; (3) not meeting insult with insult; (4) not returning blow for blow. See I-Tsing: A Record of the Buddhist Religion, translated by Takakusu, p. 56 (f. n. 2.).

possesses the Jewel of Right Faith, and is endowed with Right Conduct, and devoted to holy meditation.' (1.139-40).

Without disparaging Somadeva's picture of the fortitude and equanimity of the young Jaina munis, we may here refer to the remarkable story of the young Buddhist novice Adhimutta in the Theragāthā (705 ff.), which depicts his dauntless courage and philosophic calm when he is seized by highwaymen as a suitable offering to their deity, apparently of the same type as the Caṇḍamārī figuring in our romance. Adhimutta declares, for example,

This body irketh me; no seeker I
To live. This mortal frame will broken be,
And ne'er another from it be reborn.
Your business with my body, come, that do
E'en as ye will; and not on that account
Will hatred or affection rise in me.

The attack on Vedic and Smarta rites involving animal sacrifices occurs chiefly in the controversial dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother, analysed elsewhere.2 The Jaina condemnation of animal sacrifices is similar to that of the Buddhists, and reminds one of the attacks on Pagan sacrifices found in the writings of the Christian apologists of the second century such as Justin, Clement of Alexandria, Tertullian, and Tatian, and Arnobius (end of the third century) and others. Arnobius, for example, says: "Lastly, what pleasure is it to take delight in the slaughter of harmless creatures,.....to see rivers of blood, the life fleeing away with the blood......? Will any one believe that the gods, who are kind, beneficent and gentle, are delighted and filled with joy by the slaughter of cattle, if ever they fall and expire pitiably before their altars?" Similar sentiments are found in Yasastilaka, Book IV and other anti-Brahmanical writings.5 Arnobius goes on to say:".....is that foul smell, then, which is given forth and emitted by burning hides, by bones, by bristles,.....(is that) a favour and an honour to the deity?" This sounds like Somadeva's line (Book IV, p. 109):

सुधान्धसः सर्गसुसोविताङ्गाः सादन्ति किं वह्मगतं निक्रिमाः।

¹ Pealme of the Early Buddhists II. Trans. by Mrs. Rhys Davids, p. 293.

² See Chap. XII.

³ Details in Lietzmann: Christliche Literatur; Croiset: Histoire de la Littérature Grecque, Vol. V, p. 727 ff.; Schanz: Geschichte der Römischen Litteratur, Vol. III, p. 345 ff.) 3rd ed.); and Puech: Histoire de la Littérature Grecque Chrétienne.

⁴ The Seven Books of Arnobius Adversus Gentes VII. 4 (Ante-nicen Library).

⁵ See Chapters XII and XIII.

The condemnation of animal food and blood-shedding sacrifices is an important adjunct of the Jaina doctrine of ahimsā, and Somadeva's Yasastilaka contains many interesting data on this aspect of Jainism. Buddhism was equally opposed to sacrifices, but the Jaina prohibition of animal food is far stricter than that of the Buddhists; and Somadeva, in fact, severely criticizes the latter for eating flesh, and similar criticism occurs also in the Tamil Jaina poem Neclakesi. It is noteworthy that it is in certain early Greek and Jewish and Jewish-Christian sects

¹ Quoted in Chap. XII.

² Arnobius (op. sit.) VII. 4.

³ See Chap. XIII.

⁴ Jaina Antiquary, Vol. VII, p. 3.

The early Pythagoreans do not seem to have been strict in abstinence from animal flesh. It is said that Pythagorea himself did not abstain from animal flesh in general, but only from that of the ploughing ox and the ram, although he is said to have refused to sacrifice on any but the oldest altar, that of Apollo the Father, where only bloodless sacrifices were allowed. It was the later Pythagoreans (fourth century B. C.), called also Akousmatics, who practised vegetarianism and complete abstinence from meat, fish, wine etc., and did not participate in the usual sacrifices. Diogenes Leartius, Book VIII; Burnet: Barly Greek Philosophy, p. 93; Zeller: Outlines of the History of Greek Philosophy, p. 72. Still later, the Pythagorean rule of life embodying these principles was sgain preached by Apollonius of Tyana, as stated above. Empedocles of Akragas (fifth century B. C.), who as a religious teacher was guided by Pythagorean influence, also advocated abstinence from animal food and blood-offerings, which, as we have seen, were replaced by sacrificial cakes in animal form. Burnet (op cit.), p. 250; Zeller, p. 58.

The Essenes were a pre-Christian Jewish sect of Syria and Palestine, and followed, according to the historian Josephus, the Pythagorean mode of life, a significant phrase. Moore: Judaism, Vol. I, p. 457. They were communists, and the initiate, before he was allowed to share the common meal, was required to take an oath, among other things, "never to injure anyone, either of his own accord or under compulsion". The Essenes included the Therapeutae, who were to be found in Egypt,

and schools that we find a close parallel to the Jaina prohibition of animal food and sacrifices; and special reference may be made in this connection to the Pythagorean rule of life preached by Apolloinus of Tyana in the first century A. D., as recorded in the biography of the saint by Philostratus (VI. XI; VIII. VII etc.).

The dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother in Yasastilaka, Book IV, is highly interesting from the standpoint of comparative religion. In the first place, the attack on the Brahmanical deities, found also elsewhere in the work as well as in other Jaina texts, is comparable to the attack on the pagan deities found even in a more systematic form in early Christian apologetic literature, e. g., in Clement's Exhortation to the Greeks, The Seven Books of Arnobius Adversus Gentes etc. In the second place, it is noteworthy that in the dialogue in question Yasodhara takes pains to prove the antiquity of Jainism in order to refute the charge that it is a modern creed, and cites in support of his thesis evidence that is not altogether convincing and reliable. The anxiety of a minority faith to establish its ancient origin by all kinds of literary evidence at its disposal for the purpose of enhancing its prestige is inevitable in its struggle for recognition, and instances of this are found also in the literature of Christianity in the early period of its conflict For example, Tertullian, who admits that the with the Pagan cults. Christian community is rather modern, points out that it rests on the very ancient books of the Jews, and asserts that Moses is earlier than Danaus. "Your most ancient of men", about a thousand years ahead of the Trojan

and led the contemplative life of ascetics; their so-called feasts where no flesh was served 'were famous and formed a contrast to Greek revels.' Encylopedia Britannica, sub voce, fourteenth edition. Next in importance for our purpose is the Jewish-Christian sect of the Ebionites (second century), or, strictly speaking, that section of the Ebionites who derived their tenets from contact with the Essenes and the Gnostics, and professed what is known as Essene or Gnostic Ebionism. These Ebionites denied the divinity of Jesus, and their discipline included abstinence from flesh and prohibition of blood-shedding sacrifices: the Pentateuch, specially where it requires sacrifice and the use of flesh, was to be rejected. Gnosticism has been described as a system of heathenish thought, adopting some Jewish and some Christian elements; and we are here concerned with the Syrian school whose inspiration was Oriental, and practice ascetic. Satornilus, or Saturninus, of Antioch and Tatian of Mesopotamia belong to the Syrian school (second century A. D.). Both of them condemned marriage and animal food, while the asceticism of Tatian was so thorough that his followers were called Encratites, or professors of an abstinence that was really total. Kidd: A History of the Church, vol. I, chapters IV and VIII. On the Essenes cf. Headlam: The Life and teaching of Jesus the Christ, pp. 110, 137, 162, fourth edition, 1940; Peters: The Religion of the Hebrews, p. 423,

¹ See Chap, XIII.

war, and fifteen hundred years before Homer. As regards proofs, the task of setting them out in deatail is "not difficult so much as endless, not an arduous task, but for the moment too long". To the same category of presumptions belong Pontius Pilate's alleged report to Tiberius on the story of the resurrection of Christ's, and spurious documents such as the letter of Marous Aurelius to the Senate on the miraculous effect of the prayers of his Christian soldiers on the great drought in Germany, Abgar's letter to Christ and his reply thereto etc. So far as Somadeva is concerned, he cannot indeed be accused of forgery, but only of misjudging the chronological value of some of the authorities cited by him.

It will also be seen that, in Yasodhara's dialogue with his mother Candramati, he makes a serious attempt to refute the charges levelled against Jainism by her; and elsewhere in the work Somadeva justifies certain typical beliefs and practices of the Jaina faith. It is, as a matter of fact, one of the objects of Yasastilaka to remove misconceptions and prejudices concerning the religion of the Arhat, and establish its legitimate place among the recognised schools and systems of the land. In this respect also Somadeva's work reminds one of the literary efforts of the early Christian apologists, and we may besides refer in this connection to a work like Against Apion of the Jewish historian Josephus (first century A. D.) who sets out not only to demonstrate the great antiquity of the Jewish people and explain and eulogize their law but to rebut certain calumnies of the Greek writers on the subject. The occasional similarities between Jaina and Christian polemical literature are not surprising, and may be attributed to a like cause, the religious conflicts of the Graeco-Roman world and of Ancient and Medieval India.6 Apologetic literature is. however, apt to lose part of its interest after the storm and stress of controversy is over, and what has been said of the work of Josephus may also be applied to Somadeva's apology for Jainism in his romance. No one today doubts the antiquity of Jainism or considers the Digambaras

¹ Apology, Ch. XIX, Trans. by Glover.

² Ibid. Ch. XXI.

³ Eusebius: Ecclesiastical History I. XIII.

⁴ See Chaps. X and XIII.

⁵ Croiset (op. cit), p. 443.

⁶ Candramati's strictures on the Digambaras for their part in the conversion of Yasodhara to Jainism reflect the feelings of a pious Hindu and indicate the spirit of the times. We are reminded of the attack of Celsus (second century) on converts from Judaism to Christianity, the main charge being that they have erred 'in consequence of their minds being led captive by Jesus; that they have been most ridiculously deceived' etc. Kid: A History of the Church, Vol. I, p. 413. For similarity of language see Candramati's remarks cited in Chap. XII.

to be unworthy people, but the defence of Jainism in the dialogue in Yasastilaka, Book IV, although a record of past prejudices, is important as reflecting contemporary conditions, and preserving for us a number of citations from works no longer extant and certain important references to little known authors.

The controversial dialogue is indeed a conspicuous feature of Yatastilaka as a religious romance. In addition to the dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother in Book IV, the episode of Candakarman in Book V contains a dialogue in which a follower of the Sāmkhya system, a Mīmāmsaka, a Cārvāka, a Saiva and a Buddhist expound their respective tenets before the Jaina teacher Sudatta, who makes a summary reply, reserving for special attack the doctrines of the Saivas.1 Very unlike is the dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother, which is a lively debate on questions at issue between Jainism and the Brahmanical religion. It is an interesting fact that, whenever there is a conflict of religions, the controversial dialogue or religious debate tends to appear in contemporary literature. In Jaina literature itself there are examples which might have served as models for later writers like Somadeva. For instance, in the Sūtrakrtānga Sūtra II. 6 there is an important dialogue, in which Ardraka, a follower of Mahavira, is the chief speaker, and refutes the views of the representatives of various schools: Gosala, a Buddhist, a Vedantin. a Vedic priest, and a Hastitapasa. Turning to Western literature, we may refer to such examples as the famous Octavius of Minucius Felix (circa 180 A.D.), which records a debate in Latin between two friends on the relative merits of Pagan and Christian beliefs; Justin's Dialogue with the Jew Trypho (2nd century) in Greek; and Aristo's lost Dialogue between the Christian Jason and the Jew Papiscus, also in Greek, and composed about the same time. Amicable debates between exponents of Christianity and Islam are known to have been encouraged by certain Califs and Byzantine Emperors; while the Emperor Manuel Palaeologus (1391-1425 A. D.) wrote a large number of polemical dialogues directed against Islam.' More interesting from our point of view is the debate on the validity and merits of Pagan beliefs and the Christian religion occurring in St. John Damascene's Barlaam and Ioasaph, a Greek religious novel of about the eighth century

¹ See Chap. VIII.

² Jacobi: Jaina Satras, Part II, p. 409 ff.

³ Croiset (op. cit.), p. 736 ff. cf. Glover: Conflict of Religions in the early Roman Impire, p. 176.

⁴ Runelman: Bysantine Civilisation, p. 131.

⁵ Montelatici: Letteratura Bisantina, p. 250.

A. D.1 The discussion here is not in the form of a dialogue, but we have the speech of Nachor against the Pagan deities and in praise of the Christians; and the contest, as recorded in the work, provides, on the whole, a good example of a religious debate incorporated in a prose romance (Chap. XXVI ff.). Authorities are not cited as in Yasastilaka, but the speech of Nachor itself is nothing but the Apology of Aristides, a philosopher of Athens of the second century A. D., fitted, with some deftness into its new context.' In the Jaina romance mother and son disagree on religious issues, while in the Greek story the disagreement is between father and son; but while in Yasastilaka the disputants themselves carry on the debate. in Barlaam and Ioasaph orators are employed by both parties to plead their cause. Religion plays an important part in another medieval Greek romance of the tenth century, the Byzantine poem of Digenis Abritas, wherein Emir Mousour, a Muhammadan convert to Christianity, soon after his baptism enters into a discussion with his mother to make her renounce the religion of Islam, and argues like a veritable theologian. Somadeva's Yasastilaka is likewise a religious romance composed in an age of conflict, and as such shares some of the characteristics of works written at similar epochs.

The stories of rebirth in Book V are a typically Jaina feature, and similar stories are found in earlier romances like Vasudevahindi, Samarāiccakahā etc.; they are not without interest from the standpoint of comparative literature. The sequence of Karma and rebirth, which

¹ Text and Trans. in Loeb Classical Library.

² Diehl: Figures Byzantines, Vol. II, p. 312.

³ As is well-known, Pythagoras and Empedocles taught the doctrine of Rebirth or transmigration, which the former may have learnt from the contemporary Orphics, For possible Indian influence on Pythagoras see Gomperz: Greek Thinkers, vol. I. p. 127. Empedocles says that he has not only been a human being, a boy and a maid. and a plant, but even a fish. Diogenes Laertius, VIII. 77. The Greek writer Lucian of Samosata (second century A. D.), in his pleasant satire The Dream, or The Cock, describes the bird as declaring to his master Micyllus the cobbler that, before being a cock, he was first Euphorbus who fought at Troy, then Pythagoras, then the courtesan Aspasia, then the Cynic Crates; after that, a king, then a poor man, and soon a satrap: then a horse, a jackdaw, a frog, and a thousand things besides: the cobbler admits that he can no longer call himself the master of such a bird. Traces of belief in metempsychosis are found in old Irish sagas (seventh century A. p. and earlier). For example, Tuan, son of Cairell, had been successively a man, a stag, a boar, a falcon, a salmon, and then again a man. Dottin: L'Épopès Irlandaiss, p. 9. The peculiarity of the Jaina stories is that each successive birth of an individual is narrated in detail so as to form a regular sequence designed to illustrate the vicissitudes brought about by the law of Karma. The period of development of these stories may be taken to be the same as

these stories illustrate, is also emphasized elsewhere in the romance, being represented as the raison d'être of Dharma and the antidote against materialism.

सत्यं न धर्मः ऋयते यदि स्याद् गर्भावसानान्तर एव जीवः।

न चैवम् ।

जातिसाराणामथ रक्षसां च दृष्टिः परं किं न समित छोके ॥ स्वयं कृतं जन्तुषु कर्म नो चेत् समः समस्तः खलु किं न छोकः । भृतात्मकं चित्तमिदं च मिथ्या स्वस्पभेदात् पवनावनीव ॥

एवं चेदमपि संगच्छते-

यदुपचितमन्यजन्मनि शुभाशुमं तस्य कर्मणः प्राप्तिम् । व्यक्षयति शास्त्रमेतत्तमसि द्रव्याणि दीप इव ॥

"Dharma need not, of course, be practised if the existence of the Self were limited to the period between birth and death. But that is not the case. Because, do we not see in the world people who can remember their past lives as well as those who become ghosts after death? If self-done Karma had no effect on sentient beings, would all creatures not be equal in status? It is also false to say that the mind is composed of matter, because mind and matter essentially differ, like earth and air. On these grounds the following statement turns out to be true. The science of astrology reveals the consequences of good and bad Karma accumulated in another birth, just as a lamp reveals things in darkness." (Book IV, p. 92).

The romance of Yasodhara has also a didactic purpose. It shows us the seamy side of a woman's character and warns against the grave consequences of conjugal infidelity. The idea that marriage entitles the husband to the body of a woman, 'sold by her parents in the presence of the gods, the Brāhmanas, and the Fire' but not necessarily to her heart, occurs twice in our work, in Book IV, and in the story of Padmā in Book VII. We read in the latter context:

स्त्रीणां वर्षुवेन्धुभिरम्निसाक्षिकं परत्र विकीतमिदं न मानसम् । स एव तस्त्राधिपतिर्मेतः कृती विस्तरभगर्भा नत् यत्र निर्कृतिः ॥

that of the Jaina Kathanaka literature. See Chap. XVI. It may be added that the doctrine of metempsychosis appears also in the system of the Elkasaites, a Jewish-Christian sect of the second century: 'for, though Christ was regarded as born in the ordinary way, His birth of Mary was held to be but one of many such experiences. He had been incarnate before and would be incarnate again.' Kidd (op. cit.), vol. I, p. 103. The literary history of metempsychosis is a subject which requires detailed treatment on comparative lines.

¹ Srutasagara says in his commentary that the verse is written at the beginning of horoscopes. The evidence of astrrlogy is cited to prove the existence of Karma and rebirth.

² See Chap. ILI.

'The body of a woman and not her heart is sold to another by her relations with Fire for witness. But he alone is the lucky lord of her heart, in whom she verily finds joy and bliss born of confidence.' (p. 363).

These ideas favourable to free love seem to have been current in Somadeva's time, and it is his purpose in Yasastilaka to show that they cannot be put forward as an excuse for treachery much less for crime.

We have discussed elsewhere Somadeva's attitude towards women, and as is to be expected in a thinker like him, it takes into account diverse aspects of female character (Chap. V). There are, however, certain attacks on women, for example, those in Book I, attributed to the sage Sudatta, which may fittingly occur in a religious romance, particularly in one dealing with a Jaina theme. Similar attacks are also found, for example, in the Buddhist biographical romance Lalitavistara (Chap. 15). Disgust for women is only a form of that detachment and indifference to worldly pleasures which it is the purpose of a Jaina dharmakathā to inculcate. This is the moral and religious background of Sudatta's reflections on the decomposed body of a young woman in the cremation ground (1.95-124), particular emphasis being laid on the contrast between the courtesan's once admired beauty and the miserable condition of her corpse.1 The musings of the Jaina monk belong to the same category as the Buddhist asubha-bhāvanā, the meditation on impurity, on the horrors of bodily disease, decay and corruption. The dead body of a young woman is a familiar object for such contemplation, and an early example of this is found in a psalm attributed to the Thera Rajadatta in the Theragatha (315 ff.), which describes the monk's effort to meditate on the partially intact corpse of a courtesan slain and cast into the charnel-field.2 It may also be noted that observation and contemplation of corpses in various stages of decomposition, and the realisation that one's own body is of like nature, form part of the discipline envisaged by the introspective process of satipatthana. described in the Dighanikaya XXII. 7 ff. and other Buddhist texts. Meditation of this type is known as pratyūha or pratipaksa-bhāvanā, 'meditation counteracting attachment to worldly objects', in Brahmanical literature. Jayanta, discussing the subject in Nyayamanjari (Chap. 9), explains how

I See Chap. VII

² Psalms of the Barly Buddhists, II. p. 189. Cf. Majjhima-nikāya XIII, Mahā-dukkha-kkhandha Sutta. Here the body of a young woman, lying deed in a charnel-field, and being devoured by animals and birds of prey, is described to illustrate the perils that attend visible forms and the satisfaction they give.

the Vivekin contemplates objects likely to produce vairagya, and gives the same example of meditating on the body of a beautiful maiden mangled by birds of prey:

सती तरखताराक्षी पीनोसुङ्गधनसनी। विद्धप्यमाना काम्तारे विद्दगैरय दहवते॥ विभाति विद्दिवास्थाः पद्मगम्धनिमं वपुः। अम्तर्मजास्थिविण्मूत्रमेदःक्रिमिकुलाकुलम्॥ व्यासानना विद्वसाक्षी विवर्णां सासधुर्षुरा। कथमस्य न रागाय स्त्रियमाणा तपस्तिनी॥

Sudatta's verses on the corpse of the young woman in Yaśastilaka, Book I, may be called a poetic elaboration of a similar idea, and constitute an eloquent sermon on the emptiness of life.¹

An idea of the place occupied by religious topics in Yasastilaka may be had from the fact that, of its eight Books, the last three exclusively deal with Jaina doctrines; the comprehensive controversial dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother occurs in Book IV, and Sudatta's dialogue with Candakarman and his companions containing the criticism of Saiva doctrines in Book V; while the cult of Candamārī and the Jaina reaction to it are delineated in Book I. Only Book II and the lengthy Book III may be said to be free from religious topics as such.

¹ Scenes from the burning ground, 'the vultures and their mates in flocks' and 'the skulls of the dead', are used to illustrate the essential vileness of the human frame in the Nāladiyār (Chap. V), one of the early Tamil classics, and believed to be of Jaina origin. Pope's translation, p. 29. He remarks that there is no chapter corresponding to this in the Kurral, but the same spirit is recognised in the Tamil Jaina epic Jīvakacintāmaņi XIII. 162-201, 'very striking verses'. "Everything that can be said in disparagement of the 'body of humiliation' is heaped up there. This is a characteristic of Jaina works. In Śaiva books the standpoint is somewhat different." A foundation is laid in this and the preceding chapters for the doctrine of Renunciation, which is defined as 'the relinquishment of all attachment to worldly wealth without, and to the body within (the lusts of the flesh) in view of their impermanency.' pp. 30, 35.

CHAPTER VII

YASASTILAKA AS AN ANTHOLOGY OF SANSKRIT VERSE

The large number of verses interspersed in Yasastilaka makes it a veritable anthology of Kāvya poetry in the tenth century. There are groups of verses on diverse topics as well as individual ślokas, which can be detached from the context without causing any appreciable break in the narrative, and form, so to speak, an independent body of verse valuable for the study of the poetry of the period. These verses, as a rule, are composed in a comparatively easy style more attractive than the intricate prose, in which Somadeva chose to recount his story.

I. COURT POETRY

A number of verse-groups forming part of the autobiographical record of Yasodhara deals with aspects of medieval court life, with which the romance is so closely bound up, and often gives vivid pictures of scenes which Somadeva must have personally witnessed. The cultural value of these verses can hardly be overestimated, and the following specimens form a more or less consecutive series.

a) birth of Yasodhara

The festivities and the atmosphere of gaiety at the royal palace at Ujjayini on the occasion of the birth of Yasodhara are described in a dozen verses (2.68-79).

नृत्यदृद्धपुरिश्रगेयसुभगाः सोह्यसहङ्घाननाः खेळद्वामनकामिनीप्रियभुवः सानन्द्रधात्रीकुकाः । पिष्टापीडविडम्ब्यमानजरतीसीमन्तकान्ताङ्गणास्त्रोधावरवैः समं किळ वभुः शुद्धान्तमध्यासदा ॥

At that hour the inner apartments, delightful with the songs of the old matrons dancing therein, rang with the festive notes of musical instruments, and the countenance of the attendants beamed with joy. The floor presented an amusing scene, dwarfish women indulging in sports. Groups of delighted nurses were about, and the forecourts were charming with the presence of old dames, their hair heavily laden with heaps of coloured powder (2.68).

भानन्यवाचरवपूरितदिक्कुखानि पौराङ्गनाजनविनोदमनोहराणि । भासुककेतुरवितोस्तवतोरणानि कामं तदा ब्रुकुमिरे नगरे गृहाणि ॥

At that time the mansions in the city brightly shone, with flags flying and decorative arches built for the occasion. Charming they were with

the pleasantry of the women of the city, and filled the quarters with the gay notes of music (2.75).

आसण्डलप्रतिमयुत्रवतां धुरीणः स्रीहोचनोत्पस्रविकासरसम्बीणः । त्रैहोक्यपावनयत्राःकिरणोदयेन त्वं नन्दतात्तनयजन्ममहोत्सवेन ॥

धर्मः पहिष्यः क्रियः क्रुसुप्तिताः कामः फलैः श्राध्यते वंशस्ते क्षितिनाथ संप्रति परां छायां श्रितः कामपि । भूदेबी सक्तार्थतामुपगता मूलान्वयानां पुनश्चित्ते माति न देव सान्दित्तरसस्वरपुत्रजन्मोत्सवः ॥ सानन्दं बन्दिवृन्दैः क्षिद्वनिपतिः स्त्यते प्रार्थितार्थेर्वन्धूनां तृष्टिवानैः कचिद्वतनुमुदः सौविद्ह्यास्वरन्ते । बाकस्यं भर्नृष्ठक्मीमियमनुभवतात् पुत्रपौत्रेश्च सार्धं देवीत्येवं पुरोधाः कचिद्पि च पठत्याक्षिषः कामित्रश्चीः ॥ स्वर्गः कस्पद्वमैभूः कुलधरणिधरौगैरधान्ना पयोविद्योः पूष्णा भोगिलोको मुजगपरिवृद्धनाकरक्षमेव रक्षेः । देवस्वाविद्यराय प्रयिष्ठपृथुयशाः कीर्तिपृथ्वी तथेयं देवी च स्वात् प्रमोदाबद्दिवसवती पुत्रजन्मोत्सवेन ॥

At one place the bards, asking for various gifts, praised the king (Yasorgha) in joy: "Thou art chief among those who possess sons like Indra. Thou dost delight in the amorous play of women's lotus eyes. Mayst thou rejoice in the birth of thy son, a mighty festival, the source of a worldpurifying halo of fame! The tree of virtue has put forth leaves, riches have blossomed forth, and desire is crowned with success. Thy dynasty, o king, now attains a radiant glory beyond all words. The divine Earth has her crowning desire fulfilled. And, sire, the joy of thy ministers occasioned by the birth of thy child, knows no bounds, for it has deepened the affection of their hearts." At one place the chamberlains were hurrying to and fro, overjoyed at the gifts presented by their friends. Elsewhere the family priest, desiring wealth, recited blessings: "May the queen share for years the prosperity of her consort in company with her sons and grandsons! Just as heaven is delighted with the Kalpa trees, the ocean with the moon, and the nether regions with the lord of the serpents; similarly, may the king, with his fame spreading far and wide, long enjoy days of happiness on account of the joyous birth of this child! May the queen, too, be likewise happy. great in her renown; just as the earth is happy with the great mountainranges, the sky with the sun, and the bosom of the earth with its jewels!" (2.76-79).

b) wedding and coronation of yasodhara

The wedding and coronation of Yasodhara give occasion to a series of verses recited by the court poets. A bard named Jalakelivilāsa announces the preliminary ceremonial bath (2, 202-13):

वन्नाभूदम्भातपः सुरकरी करपहुमः कौस्तुभो लक्ष्मीरप्सरसां गणम सुषया सार्थ सुषानां सुदे। यज्ञूयो सुवनोपकारिषरितैरासेय्यमानं वनैस्तद्भगकरवारि मज्जनविधी भूयासव श्रेयसे ॥ यश्चाकलोकसुनिमानसकस्मपाणां काश्यं करोति सकृदेव कृताभिषेकस्। प्राक्षेत्रकेसिसराभमपापसानां सेव्यं च यक्षव तदम्बु सुदेशस्तु गाङ्गम् ॥ "In the ceremony of thy bath, may the waters of the ocean bring good to thee, the waters whence emerged the moon and the Airavata elephant and the Kalpa tree and the Kaustubha gem and the goddess of wealth, and the nymphs along with nectar for the pleasure of the gods! The clouds, benefactors of the world, frequent those waters again and again (2. 210). May the waters of the Ganges bring thee joy! Even a single dip in them destroys the mental impurities of the sages living in the celestial world. Those are the waters resorted to by the anchorites living in the hermitages on the Himalayan peaks." (2. 211).

बद्धनानर्भदागोदायन्त्रभागासरस्तती- । सरयूमिन्द्रशोभोत्येर्जकेर्देवोऽभिषय्वतास् ॥

"Let the king be bathed with the water brought from the Yamuna, the Narmada, the Godavari, the Candrabhaga, the Sarasvati, the Śarayu, the Indus and the Śona!" (2. 213).

The ceremonial bath is described in the following verse spoken by Yasodhara:

उद्योक्यकवीचिमिविचिकतापाङ्गोत्पक मेनिभः प्रश्लुम्बस्कुचचक्रवाकमिश्रुवैर्म्याकोकगानीहरैः । बारबीमिबहैः सत्त्वैविनइं बाताभिषेकोत्सवः कामं स्कारितकाश्चिदेशपुक्षिनैः सिन्धुमवाहैरिव ॥

'The gay ceremony of my bath was performed by bevies of courtesans resembling river-streams, to the sound of music. The curls of their hair waved like ripples; the corners of the eyes moved like blue lotus beds; the bosoms heaved like pairs of red geese; the navels were agitated like pools of water; and the hips expanded freely like a river's sandy bank.' (2. 214).

The sacred bath is followed by the nuptial rites, and a bard named Manojakuñjara addresses felicitations to Yasodhara and his bride Amrtamati (2. 215-220). There is almost an ironic touch in these verses in view of the tragic sequel to the marriage, which at the moment augured so well for the future.

क्ष्मीरियं श्वमपि माचव एव साक्षावेषा सची सुरपतिस्त्वमपि प्रतीतः । भाषास्तते तदिह किं भवतोरिदानीं प्रीतिः परं रतिमनोमववोरिवास्तु ॥ एषा हिमांसुमनिविर्मितवेहपतिस्तं चम्ह्रभूवंरचितावववम साक्षात् । एवं न चेत् कथमिषं तव संगमेन प्रसङ्गिनंगवकन सुत्तसुमकाकि ॥

"She is the goddess of wealth and thou art Visnu himself; she is Sacī and thou art the illustrious Indra. What blessings can now be given to you twain? Yet may mutual love be yours like that of Cupid and Rati! Her frame is verily made of moonstones, and thy limbs seem to be composed of particles of moonbeams. Otherwise how is it that the fair maiden shines in thy company, perspiring all over the body." (2.215-216).

¹ Moonstones are supposed to emit moisture when exposed to the light of the moon.

The bard then adopts an impersonal tone and describes the deportment of a newly married bride, an erotic picture often met with in Kāvya literature.

उक्ता वक्ति न किंचियुत्तरिमयं नालोकितालोकते शय्यायां विहितागमा च विवशसासोस्वणं वेपते । नर्माकापविधी सकोपहृत्या गर्म्तु पुनर्वाम्लित ग्रीतिं कस्य तथापि नो वितनुते बाला नवे संगमे ॥ किंचित् केकरवीक्षितं किमपि च भूभङ्गलीलायितं किंचिन्मनमनभाषितं किमपि च श्रेषामिलावेहितम् । इत्यं सुन्धतया बहिर्विलसितं वध्वा नवे संगमे विक्तस्येन मनोसुवा बलवता नीवीसल्ह्यं कृतम् ॥

"When spoken to, she never replies; when looked at, she never glances. Coming to the bed, she trembles heavily, being out of breath. Offended by jesting, she desires to depart. Yet who is not charmed by a maiden in the first union with her? A slight side-glance, a gentle play of the eyebrows, a few indistinct words, and a faint desire and effort to embrace. Such is the deportment born of simplicity, manifested by a bride in her first union with her lover; while the powerful Cupid, abiding in her heart, plays the villain with the knot of her skirt!" (2.218-219).

Then follow the coronation verses recited by the bards while the prince accepts the emblems of sovereignty:

अपहासितपुष्पदन्तं कुबळवकमळावबोधनाहेत । अधिरतस्कळमहीधरमाभाति तवातपत्रमिदमेकम् ॥
"Sire, here shines the unique parasol. It hath brought under its shade all kings, and surpasses in grandeur the sun and the moon, for it illumines the earth and calls into activity the goddess of wealth." (2. 222).

दिवद्विपमद्वंसामृश्वां शिरिस स्थितः। आरोहतां क्षितीशानां सिंहः सिंहासनं नृपः॥
"Let the prince ascend the throne. A lion among kings, he is above all rulers of the earth, having destroyed the pride of his enemies, elephants as it were." (2. 223).

मृगमद्तिस्केऽसिक्षधेचन्द्रावदाते जङ्गनिधिरसनोवीभाजनैश्वयेवये । जनितस्करुकोकानस्यकस्पप्रमोदः क्षितिरमण स्टाटे पट्टबन्धस्तवास्त ॥²

¹ Kuvalaya 'the earth' means also 'night lotus' which cannot be opened by the sun; and Kamalā 'the goddess of wealth' has to be construed also as Kamala 'day lotus' which cannot be opened by the moon.

Helen Johnson points out in ABORI, Vol. XXVII, p. 187, that there is a reference to pattabandha in Hemacandra's Trisastisaläkäpurusacarita 10. 11. In an account of the feud between Pradyota of Avanti and Udayana of Vitabhaya he describes the capture of Pradyota by Udayana who branded Pradyota's forehead with an insulting term. Subsequently they were reconciled and "Udayana made a pattabandha which concealed the brand on Pradyota's forehead. From that time a pattabandha indicated the majesty of kings. Formerly they were a kirita and nothing else as a head-ornament." Hemacandra thus meant by pattabandha a 'fillet', 'diadem', worn around the forehead in contrast with a head-dress worn higher on the head.

"Sire, let the diadem be placed round thy forehead, causing delight to all the world for countless ages. Adorned with an ornamental mark of musk-paint, thy brow is bright as the half-moon, shining with the glory of sovereignty over the sea-girt earth!" (2. 224). साथ त्यायम्

कश्मीविनोद्कुमुदाकरचन्द्रहासः संप्रामकेलिनलिनीवनसूर्यहासः । विद्विष्टदैत्यमदमान्यहराहहासः कीर्तिक्षियास्त्रिभुवनोदयमोद्रहासः ॥

"And, this thy sword is verily the light of the moon, causing the bloom of the night lotus-bed, to wit, the joyous advent of prosperity. It is verily the light of the sun, causing the bloom of the day lotus-bed, to wit, the sport of war. It is verily the loud laugh of Siva, reducing the pride of the demons, namely, thy enemies. It is verily the smile of Lady Fame, caused by the joy of her prosperous career through the world." (2. 226).

After the coronation, Yasodhara is greeted by the elders of the family who recite a number of punyaslokas or verses conveying good wishes and blessings (2. 229-235):

यावस्थीरवितः कुलावनिशृतः शेषः पयोराशयः सूर्यः श्रीतस्विदिशः सुरपतिश्रेक्षा च सर्गैः सह । पृतेषां द्विगुणीकृतोव्यजयसारसाम्यभाजारमना तावस्वं भितिपाक पाकय महीं जातोरसवः कामितैः ॥

"Rule thou the earth, o king, rejoicing in the fulfilment of thy desires as long as exist heaven and earth, the great mountain ranges, the serpent Ananta, the oceans, the sun and the moon, the regions of the sky, Indra and Brahman, and the created worlds: with a soul as exalted as any of these, but crowned with a glory twice as great as theirs!"

ज्ञानाहवजेश्रमञ्जलुभगास्त्र्णं कुरु व्याहतीद्वांगिन्द्र प्रहिणु द्विषां विजितये दिव्याकतः रथम् । दिकृषाकाः पुनरेत सत्वरममी देवस्य सेदाविधावित्यं पार्थिवनाथ कत्यनपरः शङ्काष्वनिर्जन्मताम् ॥

"King of kings, let the sound of conch proclaim this message: Recite quickly, o Brahman, Vedic verses charming with mystic formulas ensuring victory in battles! Send forthwith, o Indra, a chariot equipped with divine arms for vanquishing all foes! Come swiftly, ye guardians of the regions of the sky, to serve our king!" (2. 234).

The coronation over, Yasodhara and his bride leave the river side pavilion and return to the city on the back of an elephant. Yasodhara briefly recounts what he said to himself at the sight of the gaily decorated city (2. 236-239).

सौधात्रभागेषु पुराङ्गनानां नीखोत्वलस्पर्धिभिरीक्षणैमें । भानन्दभावादियमम्बरश्रीः पुष्पोपद्वाराय कृतादरेव ॥

'The goddess embodying the beauty of yonder sky seems to be eager to shower flowers on me in her joy, using for the purpose the eyes,

resembling blue lotus blossoms, of the women of the city, standing on the front terraces of the mansions.' (2. 237).

On arrival at the royal palace Yasodhara is welcomed by a bard named Kīrtisāhāra.

लक्ष्मीं विश्वद् ध्वजौवैः क्रविद्निलवलोह्योलवीचेषुनया-श्वायां पुष्पत् सुमेरोः क्रविद्रुणतरैः स्वर्णकुम्मांश्वज्ञकैः । कान्ति कुर्वत् सुधान्धेः क्रविद्तिसितिमद्योतिभिर्मित्तमागैः शोभां श्विष्यद्विमाद्येः क्रविदिव गगनाभोगभाग्भिश्व कृटैः ॥

श्रीक्षीकाकमलं तवावनिपते साम्राज्यचिद्धं महत् कीर्त्युत्पत्तिनेकेतनं क्षितिवधूविश्रामधाम स्वयम् । कद्मीविद्रमदर्पणं कुरुगृहं राज्याधिदेव्याः पुनः कीडास्थानमिदं विभाति भवनं वाग्वेवताया इव ॥

"Thy palace, o king, sometimes looks beautiful as the Ganges with its wind-tossed ripples on account of the rows of flags flying over the top. Sometimes it looks beautiful as the mountain of the gods by virtue of the crimson lustre of its golden cupolas. Sometimes it reproduces the beauty of the ocean of nectar with its glistening white walls. Sometimes it resembles the Himālayas with its sky-touching domes. A mighty emblem of thy sovereignty, this palace is verily the toy-lotus of the goddess of wealth! It is the birth-place of Fame, and Dame Earth's own resting-place! It is the luxury mirror of the goddess of wealth, and the family mansion of the presiding goddess of the realm! It appears to be the play-ground of the goddess of learning!" (2. 242-243).

c) MORNING SONGS

Verses recited by bards in the morning to awaken the king form an important component of court poetry, and in our work there are two sets of verses recited by the prabodha-mangala-pāṭhakas and the saukhasāyanikas respectively to arouse Yaśodhara from sleep (3.3-13, 14-22). The bright morning scenery is the theme of these verses, and there is also a personal touch in them, containing as they do occasional references to the king and his court.

d) A DISCUSSION AT THE COURT

An interesting discussion takes place at the court of Yasodhara on the relative merits of fate and personal endeavour as governing principles of human conduct, followed by a diversion on topics relating to state-craft. Pronouncements on the respective claims of daiva and purusakāra are as old as the Mahābhārata, but they assume here the character of a formal debate designed to give the king practical hints in shaping his policy.

The discussion is opened by a minister named Vidyāmaho-dadhi, who, after stressing the importance of maintaining the secrecy of

the annual section of the section of

deliberations in the council-chamber, proclaims the supremacy of fate, and recommends the policy of least resistance, free from warlike adventures, and aimed at the peaceful enjoyment of the fruits of prosperity and the cultivation of justice and virtue. The minister argues that man toils and suffers for nothing: what is written on the forehead will come about even if he remains inactive. Indra is the lord of heaven and the serpent Ananta the mainstay of the earth, not because of any personal exertion on their part, but because they are fated to be so:

थुवसेव परं कोकः क्रिशास्त्रात्मानमारमना । यदत्र किसितं भाके तत् स्थितस्थापि जायते ॥ मधोनसिदिवैश्वर्वे होक्स्योद्धरणे सुवः । को नाम पीक्यारम्भस्तदत्र वारणं विधिः ॥ 3. 37-8.

As for warlike ventures, the very name of enemies is unpleasant, and their sight, too, hateful like that of serpents:

वार्तवापि हि शबूणां प्रश्लुभ्यति मनोऽम्बुधिः । कसान् दृष्टिपथे कुर्याबरः कुम्सीनसानिव ॥ 3. 40. Fortifications and wise counsel are futile when fate decrees otherwise:

दुर्गं मन्दरकन्दराणि परिभिक्ते गोत्रवात्रीवराः खेयं सप्त पयोषयः स्वविषयः स्वर्गः सुराः सैनिकाः। सत्ती चास्य गुरुसाथान्ययमगात् प्रायः परेषां वशं दैवादेवपतिस्तदत्र तृप कि तक्षेण मक्षेण या ॥

The Mandara caves are Indra's forts. The great mountain-ranges are his ramparts. The seven oceans are his moat. Heaven is his realm, the gods are his soldiers, and Brhaspati his minister. Yet, by the decree of fate, he was oftentimes subjugated by his foes. Sire, of what avail is then army or counsel on earth? (3. 41).

The king should, therefore, enjoy his great fortune in peace without forgetting the claims of virtue. The speaker concludes by saying:

धनं धर्मविलोपेन परभोगाय सूपतेः । पापं त्वारमनि जायेत हरेहिंपवधादिव ॥

The wealth earned by a king by violating the right is enjoyed by others; he acquires only the sin for himself: just as a lion acquires sin by killing an elephant, while the other beasts feed on the flesh (3.44).

The views of Vidyāmahodadhi are attacked by another minister described as a materialist, who regards personal endeavour as the sole guarantee of success. As a follower of Cārvāka doctrines, he cannot, of course, accept any unseen agency like fate, but his views in this respect do not materially differ from those of the Yogavāsistha, which, too, glorifies personal effort and denies the existence of fate, although a Cārvāka would not certainly accept its theory that the so-called fate of popular imagination

¹ The Sūtrakṛtāṅga 2. 1. 12 describes a heretical school which regards Fate as the cause of everything. See also Jacobi: Jaina Sūtras II (S. B. E.), p. 35.

² Very similar verses coour in Gunabhadra's Atmanusasana and Bhartchari's Nitisataka.

³ Mumuksuprakarana, chas. 6-9.

is nothing more than the sumtotal of the actions and desires of previous.

Arguing that all actions depend upon effort and not on passivity, the speaker goes on to show the futility of depending upon fate. Fate, for instance, is powerless to feed even a man who has food before him, but whose hands are tied. He who relies upon fate is bound to lose even the wealth he has acquired: fate never guards a traveller who carries with him a bundle of jewels, but falls asleep on the way.

नरस्य बद्धहस्तस्य पुरो भक्ते कृतेऽपि यत् । अशक्तं मुखनिक्षेषे तद्दैवं कः समाश्रवेत् ॥ 3. 47. दैवावकम्बनवतः पुरुषस्य हस्तादासादितान्यपि धनानि भवन्ति दूरे । आनीय रक्षनिचयं पथि जातनिद्रे जागति तत्र पथिके हि न जातु दैवम् ॥ 3. 49.

The minister then applies his theory to the policy to be followed by the king:

विहाय पौरुषं यो हि दैवमेवावळग्वते । प्रासादसिंहवत्तस्य मूर्जि तिष्ठन्ति वापसाः ॥ Crows perch on the head of one who abandons effort and depends upon fate, just as they do on the head of a sculptured lion in a palace (3, 50).

तेजोहीने महीपाले स्वाः परे च विकृषिते। निःशङ्कं हि न को घत्ते पदं भस्मन्यन्त्वाणि ॥ When a king is devoid of vigour, both friend and foe prove disloyal. Who does not fearlessly tread on ashes that are cold? (3.51).

अहंकारविद्यानस्य कि विवेकेन मूमुजः। नरे कातरिकते हि कः स्थादश्चपरिमहः॥
Intellect is of no use to a king who lacks in pride. A coward has no use for arms (3.52).

हर्षोऽमर्पम नो यस धनाय निधनाय च। को विशेषो भनेद्राज्ञसास्य चित्रगतस्य च॥

What difference is there between a living king and a painted one, when his favour brings not riches nor his wrath destruction? (3.53).

वेषां बाहुबछं नास्ति येषां नास्ति मनोबछम्। तेषां चन्द्रबछं देव किं कुर्योदम्बरस्थितम् ॥ What, o king, can the power of the moon in the sky³ do for those who have no strength in their arms nor any strength of mind? (3.54).

The speaker, therefore, appeals to the king to exert his valour and triumph over his enemies. He urges valiant action and a resolute attempt to expand the existing prosperity, and concludes by saying:

करधाप्यनन्यसामान्यसाहसं नायकं बिना । कश्मीनं निर्भराक्षेषा प्रमदेव जरायती ॥ Just as a woman does not closely embrace her decrepit husband, similarly

¹ Cf. Matsyapurana 221. 2: स्त्रीव कर्स देवास्त्रं देशान्तराजितस्. The Jain Amitagati also identifies fate with the Karma of previous births, representing it as an all-powerful agency which none can escape. Subhäsitaratnasamdoha, vorses 343-374.

² Cf. Bhāravi 2, 20.

³ i. e. planetary influence.

the goddess of wealth, though possessed by someone, does not closely adhere to him unless he is a hero of uncommon courage (3.59).

The next speaker is a minister named Kavikulasekhara who tries to effect a compromise between the views of the two previous speakers. He recognises both fate and effort as determining factors in the life of man, although he gives greater importance to effort, and his point of view is the same as that developed in the Mahābhārata (Anuśāsanaparva, chap. 6), which likewise admits the existence of fate, but lays by far the greater emphasis on personal endeavour.

Kavikulasekhara opines that when success comes without being deliberately aimed at, it is to be attributed to fate; in all other cases personal exertion must be held to be the determining cause. When a serpent comes in contact with a man in his sleep and he remains unhurt, his safety is to be attributed to fate, but when the reptile is seen and avoided, the determining factor is one's personal effort. Fate and personal effort often help each other, and their mutual relation is like that of medicine and the vitality of a patient (3.61-63):

अप्रेक्षापूर्विका यत्र कार्यसिद्धिः प्रजायते । तत्र दैवं तृपान्यत्र प्रधानं पौरुषं भवेत् ॥ सुसस्य सर्पसंपकें दैवमायुषि कारणम् । द्रष्ट्वानुविद्धते सर्पे पौरुषं तत्र कारणम् ॥ परस्परोपकारेण जीवितौषधयोरिव । दैवर्पारुषयोर्वतिः फलजन्मनि मन्यतास् ॥

Nevertheless the speaker recognises personal endeavour as the governing principle of human activity and dismisses fate as something outside the range of sense experience² (3, 64):

तथापि पौरुषायत्ताः सस्वानां सक्छाः क्रियाः । अतस्तविन्त्यमन्यत्र का विन्तातीनिद्रयास्मनि ॥

A youthful minister, Upāyasarvajña by name, protests against this theoretical discussion, declaring that the king's council-chamber is not a school nor is the time opportune for an academic controversy. He, therefore,

¹ The Mateyapurāṇa (Chap. 221) admits a third factor, kāla. (देवं पुरुषकारश्च कालश्च पुरुषोत्तम। अयमेतन्त्रज्ञुष्यस्य विण्डितं स्पात् कलावहस्य।) but gives the palm to puruṣukāra. The Sūtrakṛtāṅga 1. 1. 2. 4 gives equal importance to fate and human exertion. See also Jacobi: Jaina Sūtras, 11, p. 240. They are treated as mutually dependent in Haribhadra's Vimtativinistikā, section 5.

³ Cf. Yogaväsisha, op. cit., 7. 6. The discussion of the ministers reminds one of the tenets of the early Jewish sects about destiny and free will. The Essenes exempted nothing from the sway of destiny. The Sadducess denied destiny altogether. The Pharisees 'held the middle ground—some things, but not all, are the work of destiny; some are in man's own power to determine whether they shall come to pass or not.' Moore: Judaism, Vol. I, p. 457. The Sadducess supposed that 'all our actions are in our own power.' The Pharisees ascribed everything to fate, and yet allowed that to de right or wrong is within the power of each individual, although fate does co-operate in his action. Peters: The Religion of the Hebrews, p. 421.

calls attention to matters of political import, confining himself to questions of foreign policy, which can be narrowed down to the problem of dealing with hostile or rival kings. Although the speaker is convinced of the might of his king, he recommends the utmost caution in adopting war as an expedient. In the first place, if the desired object can be attained by diplomacy, it is useless to resort to war: who, for instance, climbs a mountain in search of honey if he can get it on the Mandara tree?

सम्बद्धाशितश्रीणां शस्त्रद्धेन कि फलम् । की नाम शैलमारोहेदके सन्धमणुः सुनीः ॥ 3. 73.

In the second place, a king should take up arms only when all other means, conciliation, gifts and sowing dissension, have been tried and found wanting. It is useless to take up arms when the desired result can be obtained by conciliation: who, for instance, administers poison when death can be caused with molasses?

साझा दानेन मेदेन यह कार्य नेव सिध्यति । तत्र हण्डः प्रयोक्तम्यो नृपेण भ्रियमिष्छता ॥ सामसाध्येषु कार्येषु को हि शस्त्रं प्रयोजयेत् । सृतिहेतुर्गुढो यत्र कस्तत्र विषदायकः ॥ 3. 91, 92.

The speaker pertinently asks, how much can be achieved by the sword? A man has, after all, one body and two hands: there are enemies at every step, and even an insignificant foe can be extremely troublesome (3.90):

एकं बपुरुभी इसी शत्रवश्च पदे पदे । हु:सकृत् कण्टकोऽपि स्वात् कियत् सङ्गेन साध्यते ॥

When war is decided upon, it is the duty of a king to adopt defensive measures for his own realm: a king who neglects to do so is like a man who takes off his underwear to cover his head with:

भकृत्वा निजवेशस्य रक्षां यो विजिनीयते । स नृपः परिधानेन वृत्तमौलिः प्रमानिव ॥ 3. 74.

There are three periods in the life of a king: that of superiority to an enemy, that of equality with an enemy, and that of inferiority to him. It is in the first of these that warlike operations should be undertaken. Fighting with a powerful enemy is like fighting with an elephant on foot; while a clash between two equals, like that of two raw vessels, leads to the destruction of both. A powerful enemy should be attacked, like a wild elephant, by a combination of forces; while an equal should be subdued by employing others against him, just as one captures an elephant with the help of other elephants (3.81-3):

उद्यः समता हानिस्रयः काला महीशुवाम् । तत्राच एव बोद्धम्यं स्वातम्यसुभयोः पुनः ॥ पाद्युद्धमिषेभेन भूवसा सद्द् विप्रहः । तं संघातविघातेन साधवेद्वनहस्तिवत् ॥ मामभावनवशुद्धे समेनोभवतः श्रयः । एनं प्रवन्धयेदन्धैर्गतं प्रतिगतिहित् ॥

No enemy, however small, should be neglected; mighty elephants often meet with death at the hands of lion cubs. Sowing dissension among

enemies is an important step: a king neglecting this is like a man who pulls at bamboos interlaced in tall clumps. A king without power is like a lame man, unable to use his intelligence; and a king without intelligence is like a blind man, unable to use his power:

महं महानयं खल्यक्षिन्तेयं नृप मुख्यताम् । सिंहशायात् करीन्द्राणां मृत्युरत्र निद्शेनम् ॥ ३. ४५, मिस्या शत्रुसंघातं यः पराक्रमते नृपः । स तुङ्गस्तम्नसंख्यवीरणाक्ष्येकायते ॥ ३. ९४. शक्तिहीने मतिः कैय का शक्तिमैतिवर्जिते । नृपस्य न्याय्यदद्यान्तः पङ्गरम्थश्च कथ्यताम् ॥ ३. ९५.

Bravery and loyalty count more in an army than numbers. A brave, well-equipped and efficient army, though small, contributes to success, provided it is loyal; a mere conglomeration of heads serves no useful purpose. Loyalty is an important factor, and a king who causes disaffection among his loyal subjects is bound to come to grief like a man who awakens a sleeping cobra:

क्षत्रसारं भृतं श्रूरमञ्ज्ञसनुराति चेत् । अपि स्वस्पं श्रिये सैन्यं वृथेयं सुण्डमण्डस्त्री ॥ 3. 87. स्वाजुवर्तिषु क्रोकेषु वस्तु क्षोभाय चेष्टते । श्रेयांसि न चिरं तस्य सुसन्यालप्रवोधिवत् ॥ 3. 103.

In spite of the caution recommended by the speaker himself in matters affecting war, he ends by asking the king to send an expeditionary force against those whom a false sense of power has made overbearing and proud.

The chief minister Nītibṛhaspati approves the foregoing speech, and, for his part, gives some advice to the king. He asks the king to look after the kingdom as a gardener does a garden, and reiterates the warning not to despise enemies, however small.

e) A CHALLENGE TO OTHER KINGS

We have next a specimen of a challenge to various kings and peoples, which the royal clerk, ordered by the king, reads out to the envoy, entrusted with the message:

गर्व वर्षर मुझ मा चरत रे पञ्चालकाश्चापलं केलि केरल संहर प्रविश रे महेशा देशान्तरस्। सिन्धैसर्ववलावलेपरभसभ्रश्यद्विकारमनामित्यं विदिश्वितितं न सहते देवः स देशाश्चयः॥ शौन्डीवैशालिनि जगत्मयलन्धवर्णे देवे न वः प्रणतिभावसुपैति भूपः।

तस्याह्वेषु वयसां क्षिरसि प्रवन्धो यहाश्रमेषु परछोक्षिया जटानास् ॥

"Thou Barbara, renounce thy pride. Ye men of Pancala, be not wanton. Chief of Kerala, wind up thy amusements. King of Madra, take refuge in another land. The great king (Yasodhara), who believes in his destiny, will not tolerate your misdemeanour. You have forthwith lost all power of discernment through a false pride of wealth and power. The king who does not submit to His Majesty, magnanimous and renowned in all the three worlds, shall have birds of prey pouncing on his head fallen on the battlefield,

or have a tangled mass of hair on the head, passing his days in hely resorts, with his mind fixed on the world beyond!" (3. 112-3).

Luckily this arrogant message does not seem to have been delivered, for the author says that, in spite of the king's order to deliver a message like this, an envoy should regulate his speech and conduct according to the temperament and resources of the court he visits.

f) verses against ministers

The report of the spy against a wicked minister of Yasodhara gives occasion to a poetical outburst against ministers in general, which is all the more interesting as the relevant verses are attributed to various poets whose names are clearly mentioned. It is difficult to say whether these names are fictitious or refer to real personages. The former alternative seems to be the more probable, as in the foregoing sections also various verses have been attributed to bards or speakers whose names are obviously fictitious, and seem to have been introduced to lend a graphic character to the narrative. It may, however, be pointed out that the section on ministers belongs to a somewhat different category, as the verses here are given in the form of a regular anthology, and look like quotations from different poets. It is not impossible that some of the names refer to poets who were Somadeva's contemporaries or obscure writers, now forgotten, but we cannot be certain on the point. It is also noteworthy that certain names, e. g., Mugdhānganā-keli-kutūhala, Vilāsinī-locana-kajjala, and Praudha-priyāpānganavotpala are too artificial to be real, although, as a matter of fact, fantastic names of poets are not quite rare in the Sanskrit Anthologies.

The chief value of this collection of verses lies in the fact that it is the nearest approach to political satire that we have in Sanskrit literature before Kşemendra. Treatment of questions relating to Nīti or public policy is constantly found in the Mahākāvyas, but we have here a body of satirical verse directed against the ministers of kings; and there is at the end a set of verses which have for target a particular minister, and constitute a personal attack on him.

Tarunī-līlā-vilāsa is the author of a prelude to what he calls the drama of a minister's villainy.

वञ्चापञ्चजनाकृतिः क्षितिपतियंत्राभवश्वायकः पौरो माग्यपुराणपालितमतिमेत्री धवित्रीसुतः। स प्रौडोक्तिवृहस्पतिश्च तरुणीलीकाविकासः कविसादुमैक्तिदृरीहितं विजयते स्कोत्करं नाटकम्॥ The drama of a wicked minister's villainy thrives indeed well. It is full of excellent precepts. A king resembling a figure of straw is its hero.

¹ i. e. defeat will cause him to renounse the world.

The citizens are nurtured on the myth of Fate, and the minister is the son of a blacksmith's wife. And there is a poet in the drama, Tarunililavilasa by name; he is Brhaspati himself in forceful composition (3. 125).

मृक्षोष्टचेष्टः क्षितियः स्वभावात् सुदुष्टचेष्टः सचिवश्र यत्र । ग्रुभाशयस्यापि सुमेधसोऽपि क्षेमः कुतस्तत्र भवेजनस्य ॥

'How can even a man, honest and intelligent, be happy in a realm where the king is, by nature, like a clod of earth, and the minister a perfect villain?' (3. 126).

शिष्टाबासः कुतसात्र दुमेश्री यत्र भूपती । स्येनेश्वर्य तरी यत्र कुतसात्रापरे द्विजाः ॥

'How can the good live under a king with a wicked minister? How can small birds live on a tree where the mastery belongs to a hawk?' (3.127).

हुर्मेश्विको नृषसुतात् सुमहान् स लाभः प्राणेः समं भवति यञ्च वियोगभावः ॥ सृनाकृतो गृहसुपेस्य ससारमेषं जीवन् सृगो यदि निरेति तदस्य पुण्यम् ॥

When a person is not deprived of his life by a prince with a wicked minister, it must be reckoned a mighty favour. When a stag comes out alive, after entering the dog-guarded house of a butcher, it must be due to his religious merit (3. 130).

Kavi-kaumudī-candra declares:

अहिवल्यतमूलः पादपः केन सेन्यः श्रयति क इह शिष्टः शस्यसंगं तहागम् । विषक्कुषितमन्धः कस्य भोज्याय जातं कुसचिवहतभूतिर्भूपतिः केदपास्यः ॥ अविवेकमतिर्नृपतिर्मेकी गुणवस्यु विकत्रश्रीवः । यत्र खलाश्च प्रवलस्तत्र कथं सजनावसरः ॥

Who can resort to a tree that has a serpent coiled round its trunk? What wise man enters a tank with thorns in it? Who ever partakes of poisoned food? Who can serve a king whose welfare is marred by a villain of a minister? How can the good have any scope in a realm where the king lacks in discernment, the minister turns his face away from those who have merit, and villians are in power? (3. 131-2).

Two verses are attributed to a poet named Vidagdhamugdha, but they depend for force upon double entendre, and can be appreciated only in the original.

पहेजवने रुक्सिविंपिने विजयो हुताशने तेजः । तपने च परं मण्डरूमवनिपतेभैवति दुःसविवात् ॥ सहेषु परं कोशः शेवायां तण्डुरूः करे पर्व । चतुरहेषु च तब्रं दुर्मिश्रणि भवति भूपस्य ॥ Nitinetra mentions the characteristics of a wicked minister: राज्यवृद्धिस्ततोऽमास्याची न कुक्षिमिरः स्वयम् । अति स्थार्थय चेज्रकं मोक्श्रंकिभैवेत् कृतः ॥ यः स्थाद्धुजयवद्भ्यो वहिरीक्षितमोहितः । तं साद्क्ति न किं नाम स्विका इव सेवकाः ॥

The prosperity of a kingdom can be enhanced only by a minister who is not greedy. How can the owner eat if the cooking-vessel itself eats

up the rice? Is not the king who is charmed by outward looks, like a libertine, ruined by his servants, like whores? (3. 135-6).

कोशोद्वासी प्रजाब्बंसी तकको सविधायक: । यो विदेश विशिष्टानां शत्रुमेंकिमियादसाँ ॥
An enemy he is in the guise of a minister, who empties the royal treasury, ruins the people, stirs up rebellion in the army, and does harn to the leading citizens (3.138).

Mānadhanañjaya says:

मुपतिसुत: सकिवजनो दुर्जनोऽधम: सुजन: । महत्तां मसक्झूलं जातैश्वरं: कर्षेत्र ॥
A prince devoted to villains and a wicked minister, and the virtuous poor, and the wealthy miser cause headache to the wise (3.140).

Kavikovida dwells on the wiles of ministers:

यदीष्ठित वशीकतुं महीशं गुणय द्वयम् । यहुमायामयं वृत्तं चित्तं चाकरुणामयम् ॥

If you wish to bring a king under your control, cultivate two things,

an extremely deceitful character and a cruel heart (3. 142).

बहरकबुरसप्रभवेरन्तः ज्ञून्यार्थपाटवैः सचिवैः । सुरुषस्थाः प्रतिदिवसं वहयन्ते मरुमरीचिकाशक्कैः ॥

Like a mirage in a desert, the ministers daily deceive those (thirsty) deer, the simpletons. Outwardly they have charming manners, but inwardly they are good for nothing (3. 143).

कार्ये स्वस्थामिमते सचिवः सिद्धिं करोति हटदृश्या । नृपतिरयं बहुसचिवः के वयमन्नेति भाषतेऽन्यस्य ॥

A minister executes a task even by violent means when it serves his purpose. But when it serves the purpose of another, he simply says: 'The king has so many ministers; what authority have I?' (3. 144).

Abhimāna-mahīdhara says:

बचाप विश्वे न सुविधिः काम्येऽथं याच्यसे तथापीत्म्। इत मरणं माकार्थाः सुजनानां दुवैनैः संगम् ॥
Albeit thou grantest not our desires, o Fate, we beg this favour of thee: inflict death upon us, but never cause the good to associate with villains (3.148).

मञ्चावसरे समरे विश्वरे दारेषु वस्तुसारेषु । यो न व्यभिचरित तृषे स कथं तु न व्रक्षभस्तस्य ॥ He who is faithful to a king in deliberations, war and adversity, and in respect of his wife and treasures, is bound to be dear to him (3. 149).

Avyādhidurbala enumerates certain things that are not likely to happen:

श्वाराज्यो सिल्डिस दुर्जनजने विद्याविनोदस व श्वद्र संभ्रमभाषितस कृपणे लक्ष्मीविकासस्य व । भूते दु:सिविवागमस्य सुजने दारिम्रासंगस्य व ध्वंसः स्याद्विरेण यत्र दिवसे तं विन्तयन् दुवंकः ॥ I am tired of waiting for the day when the waters will forthwith cease to exist in the salt ocean, when villains will cease to profess love of learning, when mean fellows will cease to be addressed with respect, when misers

will cease to have joy of wealth, when kings will cease to have wicked ministers, and when honest persons will cease to be poor (3. 150).

Kavikusumāyudha is rather emphatic in what he says:

वदि तब इत्यं समयं विद्वत् सामेऽपि मा सा सेविष्ठाः । सचिववितं युवतिवितं सदिवितं सफवितं च राजानस् ॥ उपकः सक्षिक्षेत्र तरेजलियाचित मन्दरः प्रचरेत् । इति संभवति कदाविज्ञास्त्रस्थानः प्रनः सक्षितः ॥

If thou hast any sense of right, o wise man, never, even in a dream. resort to a king dominated by a minister or a young woman or a swordsman or a villain. A stone may float on water, the ocean may turn shallow, and the Mandara mountain may move, all this may one day happen, but never will there be a minister who is not a villain (3. 152-3).

Sujanajīvita is hard both on kings and ministers:

विश्वसं महिमासं सुजनं विश्वनं कुलीनमसुद्दीनम् । गुणिनं च तुःसकणिनं कुर्वादिति सचिवसिद्धान्तः ॥ इमुदाकर इब दिनकृति बिरमति मुपतिनेरे सरागे हि । स कच्च बिरके रज्यति रजनिरसमूर्णरजसीव ॥

'The faithful should be degraded, good men isolated, the highborn killed, and the virtuous made miserable': such is the doctrine of ministers. A king ceases to love a loyal person, just as a night lotus-bed ceases to bloom at the sight of the sun. He suddenly becomes attached to one who is disloyal, just as fluid turmeric sticks to pulverised lime (8. 156-7).

Mugdhängana-kelikutühala describes how ministers dissuade the king from helping good and pious men:

> उवरार्त इव लियेत मन्नी सत्यु धनम्यये । कृतार्थ इव मोदेत बिट-वाग्जीवनांदियु ॥ मसानि हुतमिव महते यहत्तं देव तद्फलं सकलम् । उपयोगिने तु देवं नटाय विटपेटकायापि ॥ पिण्डीचूराः केवक्रममी हि सर्वसमक्षणे दक्षाः । न हि यामार्थं सन्तः स्वामिन् भटपेटिकार्यं वा ॥

A minister would be pained, like a man suffering from fever, if money were spent for the benefit of honest people. But he would rejoice like a man who has achieved success, if it were spent on libertines, panegyrists and the like. (He says to the king) 'Sire, what is given to the pious is all useless, like an oblation offered on ashes. Money should be given to those who serve an useful purpose, even to dancers and pimps. Those pious fellows are merely gluttons, expert in consuming their substance. Sire, they do not keep watch at night nor do they contribute to the maintenance of the warriors.' (3. 158-60).

Vilāsinīlocanakajjala refers to the misappropriation of public money by ministers:

> बद्दं दृद्वंतेऽमासैः फलमाकाशजं कचित् । तत्सर्वस्वापद्दाराय मुरभेषु पुरभूतेवत् ॥ संभावनत्वनात्वोऽवं वत् स्वमेद महीमुति । तद्ग्यचाद्विनेकोऽत्व मा सून्मवि घनाविति ॥

If ministers sometimes show to ignorant kings a slight transitory profit from some investment, it is with a view to misappropriating the entire amount; just as certain jackals, showing to the lion a small portion of the game, ate all of it themselves! A minister creates an impression of his own honesty on the mind of the king lest the latter should find out the truth from another while he is misappropriating public money (3. 162-3).

Sarastani-kaitava-kautuka, after pointing out that the evil accompanies the good, depicts a minister as a kind of monster:

भौबेंडिसबैः सुधारभोधी भूपाछे प्रवलाः सलाः । सदर्पाश्रन्दने सर्पा न रहमनुपद्रवस् ॥

The great submarine fire burns in the ocean of nectar; villains are in power in the court of a king; and fierce serpents are found on the sandal tree. No gem is there without some blemish (3.165).

त्रहो प्रहाणामसुरोऽसुराणां यमो यमसापि नृपस्य मन्ती । एवं न चेदेव कथं नु जीवेदकारणं कोविदकामकाछः ॥

द्विजिह्वो जन्त्नां सृगपतिरिभानामिव कुले तिहण्डोऽद्रीणामयमसमरोचिः क्षितिंश्हास् । हिमातानोऽह्यानां तपतपनकालश्च सरसामभूत् कृरः कोऽपि प्रकृतिसक्सावेन महतास् ॥

The minister of a king is a planet among the harmful planets, a demon among demons, and death of death. If it is not so, how does he manage to exist without any justifying cause, he who frustrates the desires of all wise men? A minister is born among the great, savagely cruel by reason of his vile nature, like a serpent among the creatures, like a lion among the elephants, like a thunder-bolt over the mountains, like a fire among the trees, like a screen of frost over the lotuses, and like the hot summer among the pools of water (3. 166-7).

Praudha-priyāpānga-navotpala says:

तत्र कयं मनु सन्तो यत्रासे तबतुष्टयं युगवत् । किलकालः खलकाको नृवकालः सिवकालश्च ॥

How can the good live in a place where these four live simultaneously: the Death-like Kali Age, Death-like villains, a Death-like king, and a Death-like minister! (3. 169).

The verses of the remaining poets are directed against the wicked minister Pāmarodāra, and contain various charges against his character. The poet Asvattha says:

¹ See Srutasāgara's commentary.

² भ्रतिश्च means literally 'one who eats money.' 'Eating money' is the Assamese expression for 'misappropriation.' Compare also rokka tinnu in Kannada and paice khāṇē in Marāṭhi.

^{3 &}quot;The emperor's councillors are awindlers," declares a chronicler speaking of the conditions in Germany on the eve of the Reformation; "they nearly all grow rich while the emperor grows poor." Quoted by Janssen: History of the German People at the close of the Middle Ages, ii, 84.

The wives of others are, as it were, his married wives. The wives of his relatives are his companions of joy. Whores are the object of his love and affection. Nuns are his serving maids (3. 206).

The young poet Bharata (Bharata-bāla-kavi), after accusing the minister of dishonesty and corruption, exposes his hypocrisy:

परवित्तरतः परदारस्तः परवञ्चवद्विचिरिवरतः । अध्यस्थववंशभवः सचिवः समभूतव देव तमःमभवः ॥ Sire, thy minister, belonging to a degenerate oilman's family was born as the source of sin. He is addicted to the wealth of others, addicted to the wives of others, and addicted to the habit of cheating others (3. 201).

करितुरगरथनरोत्करविहारसंहारितालिकप्राणी । संचरित शहमध्ये नाव्ते पादुकाचुगळम् ॥ दक्षकुकुमकानि तरोगोंम्छति किछ तत्र जीवपीडेति । यम इव सकलांब पुनरेवहिजतापसान् असते ॥

'He travels in the kingdom, destroying numerous creatures by the reckless march of his elephants, horses, chariots and camp-followers, but does not wear a pair of shoes (for fear of hurting animal life)! He plucks no leaves, flowers, and fruits of trees lest he should hurt any sentient being; but, like the god of death, he destroys all gods (i. e. idols), Brāhmaņas and hermits.' (3. 210-11).

Puruhuta gives the following estimate of Pāmarodāra's character: मानवित मानवृक्षनो गुणवित गुणगोपनः स्वतः परतः । कुछशीळशीर्यशास्त्रिषु विशेषतो नृषु च कीनाशः ॥ भारोवृश्चिरिय सुधियां चण्डाळबळाशयोपमः इतिनास् । मस्माळकूपकल्पः सतां च तव देव सांप्रतं सचिवः ॥ नटा बिटाः किशाटाम पटुवाचाटतोरकटाः । सचिवे तव चेष्टन्तां कटके प्रकटिश्वयः ॥

'He wounds the pride of those who are proud, and conceals the merit of those who are meritorious, either himself or with the help of others. He is Death particularly to those who are high-born, good-natured and brave. Sire, thy minister is at the moment like the salt ocean in his dealings with persons of talent. For the virtuous he is like a Candala's tank. For the good he is like a rocky well in a desert. So long as thy minister is in office, may dancers, libertines, swindlers and glib tattlers thrive in thy camp!' (3. 216, 218, 220).

Panditavaitandika reports a conversation between Villainy and his mother Deceit bearing on the character of Pāmarodāra:

हे बस्त दौर्जन्य किमन्य माथे कः सांगतं नातुचितो निवासः । बदामि मातः शृष्ट्य सोऽस्ति नृतं यः पामरोदारगिराधराङ्कः ॥

(Deceit:) 'Child Villainy!' (Villainy:) 'What sayst thou, mother Deceit?' (Deceit:) 'What will now be our fitting abode?' (Villainy:) 'I say, mother, hear. There it is, a symbol of meanness, under the name Pāmaredāra!' (3. 224).

Sarasvatitudaga makes the final attack:

स्वपं कर्ता सबं इती खबं बका खबं कविः। खबं नटः खबं भण्डो मन्नी विश्वाकृतिसव ॥

'Thy minister appears in an endless variety of rôles. He is himself creator and destroyer both. He is himself the speaker and the poet, the dancer and the clown!' (3. 225).

In addition to the verses attributed to various poets, a number of verses on the much hated ministers is interspersed in the report of the spy, the line of attack being very much the same.

बहिरविकृतवेषैर्मन्दमन्दमचारैर्निमृतनयनपातैः साधुताकारसारैः ।
निकृतिनवविभीतैश्वान्तरेतैरमालैकिमय इव वकोटैर्वश्विताः के न छोकाः ॥
दूराहीर्धमवेक्षणं सरभसः प्रीतिकमः संग्रमः प्रत्यासन्तमथासनं प्रियकथाचारे महानादरः ।
बाद्योऽयं सचिवेतु चेष्टितविधिः कामं न कं मोद्येचित्तहा उ न जातु मार्द्यमयी मन्ये जनन्यामपि ॥

None there is who is not deceived by these ministers, as the fishes are by the cranes. They are immaculate in outward appearance and dress, walk slowly, and cast steadfast glances. A pretence of honesty is their asset, and they are trained in their inmost hearts in the art of deception (3. 191). They look intently at a visitor even from a distance, receive him with effusive courtesy and respect, offer him a seat near themselves, and cordially indulge in pleasant talks. Who is not supremely delighted by their outward deportment? But, methinks, they have no pity in their hearts even for their mothers (3. 193).

g) MINOR THEMES OF COURT POETRY

Among other verses incidental to court life may be mentioned those attributed to the Sandhivigrahins, announcing the arrival of the envoys of different princes at Yaśodhara's court (3. 246-9); the verses containing the challenge of his warriors to the king of Pańcāla (3. 405ff); and the eulogistic verses recited by the bard Mārgaṇamalla during Yaśodhara's archery practice (3. 466-72). There are also groups of verses which describe various court festivals. One such group is connected with the spring festival when the god of love is worshipped by the king. The latter is asked to put on vernal attire in a series of verses (3. 447-56), while the sport of swings which forms part of the festivities in honour of Cupid is described in the verses quoted below:

नविक्तस्वयपूर्वीपादपस्तरभक्तो माः सिततरुपक्वकारताशोकविद्यमतानाः । मणिकुसुमदुक्कोकोषकेतुमकार्यस्य नृपवर दोकाः कुषैतां कामितानि ॥ वक्तं वक्तसुपैति यत्र नवने नेत्रप्रतिस्पार्थनी वक्षः पीनपबोधराप्रकळनात् सोहासलीकास्तरम् । इत्यो इस्तसमीपवृत्तिवलितौ जक्के च जक्काकिते दोखान्दोळनमङ्गनार्पितभरं तत् कस्य न प्रीतस्त्रे ॥

'May the swings, o best of kings, fulfil thy desires! They are beautiful with poles of areca trees with fresh young leaves, and fitted

with branching Asoka creepers with camphor wood boards attached to the ends. Charming they are with canopies and banners of silk set with flower-shaped gems. Who is not delighted by the oscillation of the swings, the women adding their weight? During the sport, mouth comes near mouth; eyes meet eyes; the heart is enraptured at the contact of the partner's high projecting bosom; hands move close to hands; and legs are entwined with legs.' (3. 447, 458).

A bard named Śūnāsūkta recites a few verses (3. 462-464) describing to the king the Festival of Lights (dīpotsava):

सूतोन्मादितकामिनीजितधतमाणेशचाद्दःकटः क्रीडद्वारविकासिनीजनभवज्ञूवाविकस्पोज्ञटः । भाषोधध्वनिमञ्ज्ञारवभरव्याजुम्भिताशामुखः ग्रीतिं पूर्णमनोरथस्य भवतः पुष्पात् प्रदीपोत्सवः ॥

'May the Festival of Lights bring delight to thee, whose desires are fulfilled! It is enlivened by the flattering words of lovers, defeated in gambling, and held fast by their mistresses, excited by the game. It is charming with the varied adornment of the courtesans, engaged in sports. The regions of the sky resound with the deep auspicious notes of instrumental music.' (3. 463).

The blessings of the goddess Aparājitā are invoked on the occasion of the Mahānavamī festival by a bard named Vijayajaitrāyudha for the victory of the king on the battlefield (3. 459-61):

सङ्गे सङ्गतनुस्थितिर्धनुषि च प्राप्ता धनुःसंहतिं वाणे वाणवपुर्शुंजे शुजमयी गान्ने तनुत्राहृतिः । संप्रामेऽप्रजयाय चिन्तिसविधो चिन्तामणिर्भूशुनां या सा स्याद्वराजिता तव गुहुर्वेन्नाय घात्रीपते ॥

'May Aparājitā contribute to thy victory oft and anon, o king! In the sword of kings she is incarnate as a Sword, and in their bow she assumes the shape of a Bow. She is incarnate as Arrow in their arrows and as Arm in their arms, and assumes the form of an armour round their bodies: she is as a Wishing Gem in fulfilling their desire for triumph in battles.' (3.459).

The blessings of Sarasvatī are similarly invoked during the Pūrvarainga ceremony in the court theatre on the occasion of dance performances, and we have here a specimen hymn to Sarasvati recited on such occasions (3. 261-268):

स्वर्गेसदां वदनपद्मनिवासहंसी विधाधरश्रवणमण्डनरह्मरेखा । भूबासमानसविभूषणहारयष्टिवांग्देवता तृप तवावत्रतां हितानि ॥ संध्वासु प्रतिवासरं श्रुतिष्ठतिवेद्मा प्रणामाक्षकि योगसापसुपेख दुग्धजकवी शेषाभितः श्रीपतिः । संभुष्यांयति वाशस्त्रवक्षयं कृत्या करेऽनन्यपीर्देखि स्वत्पद्पद्वजहयमिदं सर्वार्षकामप्रदम् ॥

'May the Goddess of speech confer benefits on thee, o king! She is a wan abiding in the lotus mouths of the gods; a spray of jewels adorning the ears of the Vidyādharas; a pearlstring embellishing the minds of

mortals! Everyday at morn, noon and eve, Brahmā, Viṣnu and Śiva intently contemplate thy lotus feet that grant all objects and desires, o goddess! Brahmā, by folding his hands in obeisance; Viṣnu that lies on the serpent Ananta in the Ocean of Milk, by resorting to Yogic trance; and Śiva, by holding in his hand a rosary of Rudrākṣa beads!'(3. 264-5).

h) PANEGYRIOS

Panegyrics are an essential feature of court poetry, and there are several of them in Yaśastilaka. The following specimens are from among the verses addressed to Māradatta by the ascetic boy and the ascetic girl:

कप्रवृत्तमगर्भभृतिभवलं यत् केतकानां त्विषः श्वेतिम्ना परिभूष चन्द्रमहसा सार्थं प्रतिस्पर्धते । तत् पाकोनमुखनालिकेरसिक्षच्छायावदातं यशः प्रात्तेयाचकचूलिकासु भवतो गायन्ति सिद्धामनाः ॥ पुष्पश्चीर्यस्य ताराः प्रक्रममृतक्षिः पत्रकक्ष्मीर्धुनद्याः कञ्चोलाः स्कन्धवन्थो हरगिरिरमराम्भोधिरप्यालवालः । कन्दः शेषश्च भ्रात्ताः पुनरक्षिकदिगाभोग एवेष स साधेलोक्यप्रीतिहेतुः क्षितिप तव यशःपादपोऽनव्पकव्पम् ॥ रिपुकुक्रतिमिदनिकरदावानल जगति तनोषि मञ्चलं दिवि सुवि विदिशि दिशि च विद्यधार्चित धाम दधासि संततम् । सुवनाम्भोजसरसि महतां मत दिशसि विवोधनश्चियं धर्मविनोद भूप तव भानुमतश्च न किंचिदन्तरम् ॥

'The wives of the semi-divine Siddhas sing thy fame on the Himalayan peaks. White as the inner dust of camphor trees, and bright as the lustre of the milk in mature coconuts, thy fame vies with moonbeams, surpassing in whiteness the radiance of Ketakas in bloom! (1.155). Sire, may the tree of thy fame delight the universe for endless ages! The stars are its flowers, the moon is its fruit, the ripples of the celestial Ganges are its leaves, the mount of Kailāsa is its trunk, the Ocean of Milk is its watering basin, the serpent Ananta is its root, and all the regions of the sky are its branches! (1.165). No difference is there between thee and the sun, o king, delighting in religious virtue. Thou who art a forest-fire in destroying the expanse of darkness, to wit, thy foes, thou dost good to the world. Thou who art honoured by the wise, ever dost thou radiate thy brilliance in heaven, on earth, and in the regions and corners of the sky Thou who art esteemed by the great, thou dost create the beauty of bloom in the lotuspool of the world!' (1.169).

Battle-scenes, a favourite theme of Kāvya poetry, are described in Yasastilaka (3. 437-441):

क्रोधावेशप्रधावोत्रटसुभटषटाविभेवन्यूछबन्धस्त्र्णेत्वक्कतुरक्काननपवनवशावेशविखारसारः । आसीदस्यन्दनाप्रध्वजनिमृतभरः पर्यटस्कुलरेन्द्रस्कारव्यापारकर्णाहतिविततशिखः पांसुस्ध्वं व्यधावीत् ॥

The dust of the battlefield sped high, originating from the multitudes of warriors vehemently rushing forward in a paroxysm of rage, and was spread far and wide by the pantings of the swiftly galloping chargers. Masses of it were held fast by the banners on the top of the advancing

chariots, and its topmost layer was dispersed by the extensive flappings of the ears of the roving elephants (3. 437).

II. Scenes of Nature

Nature poetry is adequately represented in Yasastilaka, some of the descriptions being put in the mouth of the bards of Yasodhara's court and addressed to the king. While Somadeva's portrayal of natural scenery will not bear comparison with the classic descriptions of the earlier poets, it is not wanting in genuine touches which reveal the poet's sympathy with Nature and his accurate powers of observation. There are elaborate pictures of the seasons and morning and evening scenery as well as glimpses of animal life; and it may be said, on the whole, that the poet's verses on the subject often have rapidity of movement and show a comprehensive grasp of details.

A large number of verses is devoted to the seasons (1. 53-68; 3. 376-387, 389-401, 425-435, 442-446).

भास्त्रज्ञास्वति दाहवाहिमदति ज्वालोहबणाशाङ्गति शुध्यज्ञसृति दीप्यमानवियति प्रेज्जनमुखास्भोशुति । संशुद्धस्यसरिति कथत्तनुमति स्वान्तोज्ञबोर्जाहति ग्रीष्मेऽस्मिन् महति क्षयामयचिति प्राञ्चन् सृति गण्छति ॥

In the summer the sun shines bright, the winds radiate heat, the regions of the sky are made fierce like flames, the mountains wither, the sky is ablaze, and perspiration gleams on the visage of men. The rivers dry up, the creatures are scorched, Love loses its force, and the disease of consumption prevails. A wanderer at the height of summer meets with death (3.377).

मार्तण्डश्रण्डतापसापति मरुमुनामिसारवं द्धानः कामं व्योमान्तराणि स्थगयति किमिपि चौति धावत् पुरस्तात् । कर्षं निष्यामिनीचीचयमिव विस्तृत्वेतदाशान्तरालं सप्ताङ्गान् निम्नगानां पयसि च करिणः काथयन् वाति वातः ॥

Fierce with heat, the sun doth shine, setting the deserts on fire. A mysterious luminosity, moving before the eyes, intensely pervades the regions of the sky. Yonder horizon seems to belch forth waves of vapour. The wind blows, scorching the elephants, immersed in the waters of rivers (1.60).

The king is asked to resort to the refreshing shade of the gardens in the summer:

कृतिकसक्ष्यवारयाः प्रान्तज्तप्रतानाः स्तवकरितक्षक्रवास्तरम् नोपहाराः । जकसरिनस्तिरासारसाराः प्रियाणां क्षचकक्षश्रविकासैनिर्विशोद्यानसूनीः ॥

'Enjoy the gardens, delightful with breezes from the rivulets, accompanied by the graceful movements of the plump bosoms of thy mistresses. Beds of leaves are made in them, and mango groves are on the borders. The garden walls are made of clusters of flowers, and blossoms are strewn on the ground." (3.378).

The effect of the summer heat on certain familiar birds and animals is described in the following verse:

मध्यादेऽद्वाय बाहाबदुकतरखुरालोयमार्ग स्वकृति स्थानायानेतुमीकाः पयसि इतरतीन् हस्तिनी नैव मिण्डाः । सोचोनुष्यः सिकाणी विद्याति विश्वाति विष

The rainy season is brought into special connection with love and described with gusto and enthusiasm:

उन्मार्गाम्मसि मेघमन्द्रनभसि छन्नांशुमत्तेजसि श्रुम्यत्स्त्रोतसि रुद्धपान्धतरसि रफूर्जत्तविद्भयसि । कंद्रपाँकसि मत्तकेकिमनसि प्रेमोशते चेतसि काले यासि कथं च रूढवयसि प्रोटां प्रियां मुखसि ॥

In the season when the waters overflow, the sky is overcast, the rays of the sun are obscured, the streams are in flood, the speed of way-farers is checked, and flashes of lightning abound: in such a season, the resort of the god of love, when the peacocks' hearts are maddened, and thy own heart is eager for love, how canst thou leave thy youthful wife and go on thy travels, young as thou art! (3.389).

उत्कृत्रिक्किनं नटत्करिनं प्रादुर्भवष्छालिनं कीडद्रेककुछं पतद्वहुज्छं श्वस्यद्वरित्रीतलस् । पुष्परकामसदं ववजनपदं सोस्तर्गैसिम्धुराहं रहेमं मिहिरं जगस्त्रियकरं काम्येति न स्त्री नरस् ॥

What woman doth not approach her lover at the sight of the rainclouds, delightful to the world? Now the peacocks sing, the elephants dance, the trees flourish, the frogs make merry, heavy showers come, and the earth is astir; the frenzy of love grows apace, the countryside thrives in glory, and the current of rivers gains in force (3.390).

The monsoon breezes are described in several verses:

कुर्वाणाः प्रचलाकिनां कलरवेरत्।लमृत्तिकयां न्यस्यत्तो निचुलेषु कन्दलदलोहासावकामश्चियः । एते चातकपोतपेयनिपतत्पाधःकणश्रेणयो बाता वान्ति निदाधकक्षनधनोहादाः प्रदीर्घागमाः ॥

Breezes blow, pervasive in their approach, and skilled in overcoming the summer heat. They dance wildly to the sweet notes of peacocks, and exhibit in Kadamba trees the beauty born of the rich array of shoots and leaves, and produce the raindrops the young Cataka birds drink (3.392).

स्फुटितकुटजराजिमेहिकोह्यासहारी नवनिशुक्तविकासः कन्युलानन्दकारी । सरित वनसमीरः सीकरासारधारी कृतसमनिककान्तिः केतकीकाननानाम् ॥

3

A strong wind sweeps along, conveying sprays of water, and enhancing the beauty of the Ketaki groves. It makes the rows of Kutaja trees burst into bloom, and destroys the gaiety of Mallika blossoms, and makes the young Kadamba trees gay, and brings joy to Kandsla flowers (3, 398).

भोक्ताक्षयम् करहिनां करपुष्कराणि रुश्रोद्धरध्वनिवकीचककाननाम्यः। उद्गापयम् अञ्चरीनेवनीपक्षाः वातः प्रवाति विखिताण्डवपूर्वरङः ।

The wind blows, a prelude to the dance of peacocks, making the bees, seated on the fresh Kadamba blossoms, sing. It quickens the movements of the fore-ends of elephants' trunks; and makes the interior of the bamboo groves echo with loud sounds coming through the holes (8.394).

The pleasures of the rainy season are recounted again: रामाः कामप्रकामाः सुकविकृतिकथावोद्दा वाग्विवादाः सौधोत्सङ्गाः सभोगासक्णतस्वकोक्षासकान्ता दिशन्ताः । वर्षिमासारवारिसवदवशुक्रणमेणिसाराः समीराः सोऽवं मोताय राजवा भवति समयः कस्य पर्वन्यजन्यः ॥

Sire, who is not delighted by this season of rainclouds? Now the women burst with passion; literary quarrels take place centering round topics in the works of worthy poets; the palaces are equipped with the joys of life: the regions of the sky look charming with the gay beauty of the young leaves of trees; and the breezes are laden with thick sprays of water issuing from heavy showers (3, 401).

The plight of the animals in the deepening gloom of the rainy season with its floods and storms is touchingly described:

मेघोद्गीर्णपतत्कठोरकरकासारत्रसस्सिन्धुरे प्रश्लावितकृत्रपादपकुत्रश्लभ्यस्तरित्वाथसि । अन्म अव्हसमीरणाश्रवशिवाफेरकारताम्यन्मृते काले स्विमुखाप्रसेवतिमरप्रायःक्षपासक्रिति ॥

भूबःपबःष्ठवनिपातित्रशैकशुक्ते पर्जन्यगर्जितवित्रजित्रसिंहपोते । सीदामनीश्वतिकराखितसर्विके कं देशमाश्रवतु विस्मवती कुरजी ॥

The elephants are frightened by showers of ever falling rough hailstones hurled by the clouds. The waters of the rivers heave with the trees on the banks swept away by the current. The deer languish as the jackals howl on account of violent rainstorms. In such a season when the nights are dark as pitch, where will the hind take shelter with her young? Now, the mountain peaks are hurled down by heavy floods; the lion cubs are frightened by the rumble of the rainclouds, and the regions of the sky made lurid by flashes of lightning (1.65-6).

Winter scenery and the joys of the season are described in the following verses:

इस्रुक्तासिन सथाशालिन सरं शेफालिकोरफुलिन कौबोनमादिन कुन्दनन्दिन बनाव्छेवाननापादिन । भारतन्मन्ति वातवाहिनि हिमासारावसमाङ्गिनि काले कामिनि दीर्घरात्रिवटिनि पाटेत् इसी कोउध्यनि ॥ यंत्रेतत् स्वयमेव कामिष्ठ निक्षि कीर्णा भगालिक्षनं यत्रायं सरकेलिकामितसमावामिक्यामायमः । यत्रात्रांत्रंकपाकियाः परिवितः सकःसुतोऽसी रसः श्रीसी कस्य न स सितीधरपते प्राक्तेत्रकाकोऽध्रमा ॥

Beloved, what wise man would take the road in the season that prolongs the nights? It lessens the heat of the sun and lets loose winds, and the creatures are benumbed by snowstorms. This is the season that ripens the sugarcanes, and looks beautiful with the corn, and makes Sephālikā flowers abundantly bloom; maddens Kraunca birds, cheers up Kunda blossoms, and causes women to tighten their embrace (3.431). O lord of kings, who doth not delight in the winter now? In this season the women tightly embrace their lovers of their own accord at night; and the nights are long, like the cherished joys of the sports of love. Now is also served fresh sugarcane juice flavoured with slices of raw ginger (3.435).

वैनीताः सौधमध्ये धनषुस्णरसाखिसगात्रैः प्रकामं कान्तावक्षोजकुआर्जनविजयियुजैदीर्घयामास्वयामाः । विध्यातासस्ववक्षिप्रसरितभसितापाण्डयः पिण्डशेषास्ते हेमन्ते नयन्ते तव नृप रिपयः शर्वरीं पर्वतेषु ॥

Lean and emaciated, thy enemies, o king, pass the winter nights on the mountains, their bodies whitened by the spreading ashes of the fires dying out near them. Once did they pass the long nights in their palaces, their bodies profusely smeared with thick saffron paint, with the hands gaily placed in the bower of the bosoms of their beloved 1 (3. 433).

The poet is fond of dilating on the severity of the winter cold and its benumbing effect on men and animals, and sets before our eyes a series of vivid little frosty scenes:

सिंह: संगिहितेऽपि सीदित गजे शीर्थत्कमस्पन्दनी मध्याह्वेऽपि न जातशप्पकवछः प्रायः कुरङ्गीपतिः । वस्तः कुण्डितकण्डनाछवछनः पातुं न शक्तः सनं वन्त्रं नैति विभातकर्मकरणे पाणिहिंजानामपि ॥ इंसी चञ्चपुटान्तरार्पितविसच्छेदात् सरं खिद्यते मृमिक्चस्करा करेणुरवशक्षीरस्तनी ताम्यति । प्रातिष्टिमविचेष्ठितुण्डकळनाबीहारकाळागमे इस्तन्यस्तपळद्वा च शवरी वाष्पानुरं रोदिति ॥ अद्योऽचेंऽपि तरङ्गवारि करिणो गृह्वन्ति रोधःस्थिता जिद्धामाद्रळनाळमेति न पयः सिंहे सतृष्णोऽपि च । एणानामधरान्तराळ्छोठतास्तिष्टन्ति पाथःकणाः पूर्वोस्सातिक्युष्कपछ्वलगातः पोत्री च मुस्तशानः ॥

The lion sits idle, unable to move his feet, albeit the elephant is just near him. The antelope, as a rule, doth not take his mouthful of tender grass even at noon. The calf, with its neck inert, is unable to suck its mother's teat. Even the Brāhmaṇas are loth to apply the hands to the mouth while performing the morning rites (1.54). The female swan feels great pain when a piece of lotus-stalk is put in her mouth (by her mate). The she-elephant languishes, resting the trunk on the ground, with her full breasts benumbed with cold. The Sabara woman, taking some fruit juice in her hands, piteously weeps when she sees in the winter morning the inert face of her child (1.56). Even at noon the elephants drink only wave-splashed water from the banks of rivers. Even though the lion is athirst, water doth not come down his throat from the tip of the tongue. Drops of water remain rolling between the lips of antelopes. The boar resorts to the dry pond previously dug up, and feeds on Musta grass there (1.57).

The love life of animals in the spring is described in the following verse; इंसी यत्र सृणास्त्रिनीकिसकवैर्गण्यूवतीवैर्गकः कोकश्युन्यनचेष्टितः परिपतन् पारापतः कृतितः।
एणः सृष्टाविषवेणैर्मुगर्गतिर्गातं दुनः क्षेत्रणैः शृष्टारमसरमसादिहरयः स्वां स्वां प्रियां सेवते ॥

In springtime the animals, their hearts gladdened by the surge of love, caress their mates, each his own; the swan with offerings of tender leaves of water-lilies, and the elephant with mouthfuls of water; the red goose with strenuous kissings, and the flying dove with cooings; the antelope with gentle scratchings with the horns; and the lion with close embraces ever and anon (3.442).

Geographical names are sometimes used to add a zest to natural descriptions:

भागन्तं पश्चवीनां रतिरभसभरमासकेलिविनोताः सामोदं केरलीनां मुखकमलवनामोदपानवगस्भाः । भागोतं कुन्तलीनां भुवकल्यासायसकाराः समीराः काले वान्ति सा तस्मिन् किल मलवलतानिनी वाश्चिणात्याः ॥ The breezes of the south, which make the creepers on the Malaya mountain dance, blew at the time, soft, fragrant and cool. Verily these breezes delight in the vehement gaiety of love, in which the Pallava women indulge; avidly imbibe the fragrance of the lotus mouths of the women of Kerala; and linger on the perspiration on the high bosoms of the women of Kuntala (2.69).

Morning scenery is described in 3, 3-22, and moonrise in 3, 479-489, उत्कृष्ठीलो अलभिरवाई नीलनीरेजमेतनमारः स्फारः प्रमद्दृद्योदारचाराइचकोराः । सीधोत्सङ्गाः सपदि विद्वितकीरपूराभिषङ्गा बस्बोहासे स जयति जनानन्दनइचन्द्र एषः ॥ वृद्धिवैधिवज्यसमयः पुष्पकोदण्डपाणेः क्रीडानीडं रतिरसविधेः प्राणितं पञ्चमस्य । स्नीणां स्नीलावगमनिगमः कामिनां केलिहेतुः स्नोतःस्तिनिजमाणेश्चवां देव चन्द्रोदयोऽयम् ॥

Yonder Moon thrives in glory, causing delight to men. When he joyously rises, the ocean surges with waves; the blue lotus comes to life, the god of love waxes strong; the Cakora birds move freely about with delighted hearts; and the surface of palaces is forthwith flooded with milk! (3. 481). Sire, this moonrise causes the ocean to swell. It is the hour of the flowerbowed Cupid's triumph. It is the pleasure resort of the sentiment of love and the life-breath of the fifth note of the gamut. It is the science that teaches women their graceful movements; the occasion for the sports of lovers; and the source of the oozing of moonstones (3. 484).

Descriptions of mountains and the Siprā river occur in Book. V. The following verse is addressed to an ancient tree:

पान्त्रैः पश्चवसुण्टनं करदिभिः स्कन्यस्य संघष्टनं संवाधो हरिभिः शकुन्तनिकरैः क्षोत्स्तु किं वर्ण्यते । किं चान्यस्य देवदेहसदशक्षेक्षोन्यसान्यस्थितेरात्मीया इव यस्य वाचकजनैः स्वच्छन्दसेक्याः शिषः ॥

Travellers pluck thy leaves; elephants shake thy trunk; monkeys harass thee; and how can the pecking by bevies of birds be described? What else

can be said? Divine and universally honoured as thou art, thy riches can be enjoyed at will by suppliants as if they were all their own! (Book V, p. 177).

Apart from the glimpses of animal life in the account of the seasons, a considerable number of verses is devoted to the description of animals in our work. Verses in praise of horses and elephants are recited by the bards on the occasion of the presentation of the royal horse and the royal elephant to Yasodhara on the eve of his coronation. Elephants receive the first tribute of praise (2. 167-190). For example,

भवेतु तुर्गाणि अलेतु सेतवो गृहाणि मार्गेतु रणेतु राक्षसाः । अमःवसादेतु विनोद्देषसो गवा द्वान्यत् किमिहासः बाहनस् ॥ 2. 176.

Another series of verses is recited by the keepers on the occasion of the sports of elephants witnessed by Yasodhara (3.291-318). The poet often succeeds in presenting a life-like picture of the animal.

उत्तरमीकृतकर्णताकपुगकः प्रत्यसापांसुक्रियः मलादिष्टकरेणुकेलिरमणः प्रत्यर्पितारमोघटः ।

वातः प्रार्थनमा विराव विश्वानिश्चन् गृहीत्वा करे तिष्ठत्यन्यकरीन्द्रसंचरमनाः कोयम्बयाकीखितः ॥

The elephant stands, holding in his trunk the sugarcanes ere long seized at the instance of the keeper. He is rooted to the ground by the frenzy of wrath, his mind fixed on a rival; and the flat ears stand firm and erect. He hath given up playing with dust and renounced the joy of sports with his mate and repelled the pot of water set before him (3. 293).

Horses receive their share of praise in 2. 191-208. For example,

कदनकम्युक्केलिविकासिनः परवकस्वकने परिचा हवाः । सक्कम्बक्वेश्वजदृष्टवः समरकालमनोरथसिक्षः ॥

Perhaps more interesting are certain individual verses on other animals. A simple yet faithful description of a dog occurs in Book V:

निर्मासासाः कपिकनवनः स्वस्पतीस्माप्रकर्णः कुक्तिसामः प्रशुक्षज्ञवनः पूर्णवक्षःप्रदेशः । दुग्वकिण्यप्रवज्जवशनः सारसेयो महीशामानेटाव प्रजवचरणः किंचित्।सुप्रवादः ॥

The same Book contains the following vivid picture of a huge buffalo:

रक्तमन्त्रविकोककोचनवुगः प्रोधमतिष्टाननः प्रोत्कृणाप्रविदाणनीवणवपुर्नीलाञ्जनादिवसः ।

उत्कर्णः प्रश्चकम्बरो गुक्खुरः स्यूकत्रिकोरःस्यकः सा सूत्वा कमनीयबाकविरमूच्छानी पुनः कासरः ॥

The she-goat, after death, was reborn as a buffalo with a fine hairy tail. His eyes were nimble and fringed with red, and the mouth firmly based on the snout. He resembled a mountain of blue collyrium with a body made fierce by the horns curved at the extremities. He had erect ears, broad shoulders, massive hooves, and fleshy breast and buttocks (Book V, p. 224).

Epitaphs on animals do not seem to occur in Kāvya poetry, and the following mournful verses on a favourite peacock and a dog, uttered

by the king on their death, belong to a type rare in Sanskrit literature.1

मासाव्तरण्डनसभी रमजीविनोदे कीटावनीधरशिकातकवित्रकेते । को नाम केकिकरताकविधि वधूनां मृतातुनं स्वयि करिप्यति कीर्तिसेदे ॥

(Addressed to the peacock): 'Thou wast the oramental gem of the palace and the joy of the ladies, and gleamed like a picture on the rocks of the pleasure hill. Who will dance to the joyous clapping of hands by the damsels, since thou art dead?'

सिंदः सुवं निवसताद्वछोपकव्दे सोकारसेणनिवयश्वरतात् खळीतु । सरवाः परेऽपि विपिने विश्वसम्बद्धाई नाकं गरोऽवसशुना नतु विश्वकृतुः ॥

Verily this dog is gone to heaven. Let the lion now live happily on the mountain slope. Let the deer graze on the fields with zest. Let other animals, too, move about freely in the woods without fear (Book V, p. 192).

The commotion of the animals in the Sipra river, caused by the inroad of an army of fishermen, equipped with boats, nets and spears, is graphically described in the following verse:

उड्डीमाण्डलडिस्ममाञ्च्छभवज्ञास्त्रीकिनीकानमं कूछोत्तास्त्रविकान्तरास्त्रवस्त्रमाकगर्वार्भकम् । प्रायःपश्चित्रगर्दगर्वरमिकदेक्षियवार्कं सहस्रकोतः कस्त्रपायम् विवसप्राहं विगाहत्ततः ॥

Then the rolling waters of the river became turbid ever more, with the alligators lying helpless. The young birds flew about, and the waterlilies swayed to and fro. The young water-snakes, briskly moving about on the bank, languished after running into the holes. The young turtles mingled with the buffalces in the muddy hollows (Book V, p. 217).

III. THE CREMATION-GROUND

One of the most elaborate and comprehensive descriptions in Yasastilaka is that of the cremation-ground in the opening chapter, in which the sterner aspects of Nature are brought into play, and the entire description is of a kind not fully met with in Kāvya literature. The reader is at once reminded of the picture of the cremation-ground in Mālatīmādhava, but the aim of Bhavabhūti is to evoke horror, while that of Somadeva is to excite pity, and the verses are appropriately put in the

Of. the commemorative inscription mentioned in Chapter V, p. 123. It has been pointed out that the preface to the Histories of Orosius (417-18 A. D.) 'has a charm of its own, for it is one of the few places in ancient literature where dogs are mantioned with feeling.' In early Greek poetry we have the epitaph of Simonides on the hound Lycas whose white bones lying in the tomb are still a terror to the wild beasts. The poet refers to the mountain landscape in which the hound ran while slive. "Thy worth is well-known to tall Pelion and far-seen Ossa and the lonely peaks of Citheeron." Fragment 142, Diehl: Anthologia Lyrica V, p. 113.

mouth of the Jaina sage Sudatta.¹ The delineation of the horrid scene is followed by the thoughts provoked by the sight of the decomposing corpse of a young woman, and specially by the contrast between her former beauty and present condition. The decaying body is described limb by limb; and while the description of the scene of desolation shows graphic power, that of the dead woman is overdone, and lapses into wearisome details, although there are some beautiful verses which recount her former charms, Some of the verses in which the cremation-ground is described are cited below:

अर्थवृत्यवावलेशकालतैभेण्डनोज्ञटरटद्गलान्तरैः । कालकेलिकरकीतुकीवर्तैर्विश्वकद्वभिरुपद्वतान्तरम् ॥ इयेनकुलं वृक्कुलं द्रोणकुलं श्रकुलभण्डनाज्ञीतम् । शवपिशितप्राशवशादिवि अवि च समाकुलं पुरतः ॥

The place is overrun by dogs eager to devour the fragments of half-burnt bodies. They are busy fighting and loudly bark and indulge in a pastime delightful to the god of death. And in the foreground, flocks of hawks, owls and ravens, scared away by the fighting of the dogs, throng in disorder on the earth and in the sky in the hope of feeding on the flesh of the corpses (1.13-4).

गुञ्जाञ्जातसमांसकीकसरसत्त्वावोत्पथाः पादपाः प्रेतोत्पान्तपतत्वतन्त्रिपरुषप्रायाः प्रदेशा दिशः । एते च प्रवस्नानिकाश्रयवशाष्ट्रीर्वेष्टिखाः सर्वतः संसर्पन्ति जरत्कपोतरुचयो भूमाश्चिताचकजाः ॥

The trees are polluted by the oozings from the fleshy bones swallowed by the vultures, and the topmost branches are blown away by violent gales. The environs are made repulsive by the birds flocking round the dead, and on every side spreads the smoke of funeral pyres, resembling in colour age-worn doves (1.85).

कालाग्निरुद्रनिटिलेक्षणदुर्निरीक्षाः कीनाशहोमदुतवाहविरुक्षवीक्षाः । वाहद्रवच्छववपुःस्फुटदस्थिमध्यप्रारब्धशब्दकितना वृहनाश्चितानाम् ॥

The flames of the funeral pyres are hard to look at, like the eye on Siva's forehead at the time of the universal fire of destruction, and present a gruesome sight, like a ceremonial fire in which oblations are offered to the god of death. They are harshly loud with the sound produced within the cracking bones of the dead bodies dissolved by the fire (1.86).

भ्रम्बरस्रीरशवशीर्णशिरोजसारः कुष्यस्कलेवरकरश्चहतप्रचारः । दृश्वार्थदेहस्रुतकान्निमयप्रवन्धो वातः करोति ककुमोऽश्चमगन्धवन्धाः ॥

The wind fills the regions of the sky with a noxious smell. It is laden with the falling hair of decomposing dead bodies, and checked in its onrush by the skeletons of putrid corpses, and fans the flames clinging to the halfburnt bodies of the dead (1.88).

¹ A brief description of a cremation-ground occurs in Ksameśvara's Candakautika Act IV.

यान्युत्सवेषु कृतिनां कृतमङ्गलानि वाचानि मोदिजनगेयनिरर्गलानि । यान्येव शोकयश्चवन्धुरबोद्धराणि नर्दन्ति संस्थितवर्ता विरक्षकार्गि ॥

Those very musical instruments, which on festive occasions accompany, unimpeded, the songs of joyous crowds, and prove auspicious to the lucky, sound harsh when they mourn the dead, loud as they are with the wailings of kinsmen stricken with grief (1.89).

दृश्तोत्कीलितशुष्ककीकसक्छाकीको इलसालुकाः कण्डान्तःप्रविलग्नशस्यक्षकोद्गःलस्वलक्ष्मयः । प्रेतमान्तपुराणपादपपत्रसम्बद्धवरुकाः प्रभाग्यनस्यविशक्कतेत्कृतिक्षीवाः श्रिवाः सोजुवाः ॥

The jackals roam about, full of joy and maddened by their own fearless howls. Their palates are pierced by the nail-like fragments of the dry bones stuck fast in the jaws, and their bellies quiver as they spit out pieces of bones sticking in the throat; while their eyes are troubled by the leaves falling from the age-worn trees alongside of the corpses (1.94).

Sudatta then gives vent to his feelings at the sight of the dead body of the woman, apparently a courtesan:

या पूर्वं सारकेलिचामरहिषः कर्णावर्तसोत्पलिक्षष्टेन्दिन्दरसुन्दरस्रतिरभूद्रण्डस्थलीमण्डनम् । सेयं कुन्तलबक्करि पितृबने वातेरिता सांप्रतं भूलीभूसरिता दधाति विसररसंमार्जनीचेष्टितम् ॥

This lock of hair used to adorn her cheeks and looked like a fly-whisk during the sports of love and beautifully gleamed like bees clinging to lotus ear-ornaments. Driven along by the wind and grey with dust, it now does the work of a moving broom in the cremation-ground! (1.95).

करत्रिकातिककपन्नविचित्रितश्रीयोऽभून्सृगाङ्गसमकान्तिरयं क्योलः । सोऽधच्छवि वहति वायसबालभुक्तः कोथप्रदीर्णतनुतुम्बफलोपमेयाम् ॥

The cheek that was gaily embellished with ornamental designs of musk paint, and gleamed like the moon, is now pecked by young crows, and looks like a gourd lacerated by disease! (1.99).

षा क्रीसुदीव सरसीव सृवालिनीव लक्ष्मीरिव त्रियससीव बिलासिनीव । वैस्तेर्गुणैरजनि सा सुततुः प्रजाता प्रेतावनीवनवशा विवशा वराकी ॥

By dint of manifold merits, the fair maid was like the light of the moon, like a pool of water, like a water-lily, like the goddess of wealth, like a beloved friend, like an elegant lady. She is now wretched and forlorn, lying helpless in the wilderness of the cremation-ground (1.113).

यामन्वरेण जगतो विफलाः प्रयासा यामन्तरेण भवनानि बनोपमानि । यामन्तरेण इतसंगति जीवितं च तस्याः प्रथमय जनाः क्षणमेकमङ्गम् ॥

आस्टिष्टं परिचुन्त्रितं परमितं यहागरोमाञ्चितैस्तरसंसारसुसास्पदं वपुरभूदेवंदशागोचरम् । द्वीर्यंत्रभैचवं पतत्पक्षभरं अस्परिकरापक्षरं व्यव्यरसंधिवकं गळबळकुकं कृष्यरसामाजाककम् ॥

Without her, the toil of the world seems to be in vain. Without her, the houses look like the woods. Without her, life loses its meaning.

Ye folks, behold her body for a mement. The source of mundane joys, her body used to be clasped, kissed and enjoyed by men thrilled with passion. But it is now reduced to such a plight. The skin is torn to shreds, the flesh is falling off, and the network of veins is loosening. The strength of the joints is gone, the bones are crumbling to pieces, and the sinews are putrescent (1. 121-122).

With the above pictures may be compared that of Amrtamati, stricken with a fell disease after her crime. Somadeva depicts the gruesome to excite our pity.

अलिकुलमिवं स्तातम्तुप्रतानविष्सरं मनसिजधनुर्जातं जीवेचनुस्थित पिक्षनम् । कुवलयवनं धरे दैन्यं दवाप्रिसमाश्रयं युणदरमरभ्रसस्सम्मप्रभावसमृद्धपुः ॥

The hair, once dark as a swarm of bees, has turned grey as the threads of a cobweb. The eyebrows, once like Cupid's bow, resemble a dilapidated bow for cleansing cotton. The eyes, once blue lotus blossoms, look miserable, as if within grasp of a forest fire; and the body resembles a worm-eaten pillar, about to crumble (Book V, p. 223).

IV. OTHER DESCRIPTIONS

Somadeva's power of portraying the terrible is illustrated in the picture of Candamārī, which looms large in the opening chapter of the work. Sound echoes the sense in the powerful description of the goddess in 1. 137.

उरसपंदर्पसर्पाकुलविकटजटाजूटविभ्यद्विभूनि प्रान्तप्रेङ्गस्कपालाविलक्षकनरणस्ण्यस्यद्वाङ्गकानि । देखभ्वंसप्रमोदोद्धरविषुतकराभोगसर्वद्विरीणि स्काराघाताङ्किपातोष्छळदुद्वधिजळान्युद्धतोद्वेछितानि ॥

The impetuous movements of the goddess are such that the waters of the ocean are splashed by the heavy impact of her feet; and the moon (on her forehead) is terrified by the horrid mass of her matted hair, entwined with madly excited serpents; while the bells of her club ring out as the human skulls, swaying at her side, move to and fro; and the mountains are laid low by her massive hands as she vehemently waves them in an outburst of joy at the destruction of the demons.

Among other descriptions may be mentioned those of the city of Ujjayinî (2. 14-29) and of king Yasorgha (2. 31-53). There is a good description of child life in 2. 82-90, which testifies to Somadeva's accurate observation and love of children, and is all the more interesting, as such descriptions are by no means common in Kāvya literature.

अम्बां तात इति त्रवीति पितरं चाम्बेति संभाषते धात्रीपूर्वनिवेदितानि च पदाम्यधौकितो सस्पति । विकाछापविषी प्रकुप्यति धतो नासे स्थिरोऽयं कविद् व्याहृतो न तृणोति धावति पुनः प्रस्कृत्यितः सस्वरम् ॥

I Lit.: pillar orumbling owing to the accumulation of worm-holes.

The child addresses the mother as 'daddy' and the father as 'mammy'. He half utters the words first taught by the nurse. He becomes angry when an effort is made to teach him, and nowhere keeps still when held. He never listens when summoned. He slips and rises quickly and runs again (2.90).

तहें इनमेव यत्र शिक्षवः खेळन्ति न प्राक्तजे तेषां जन्म वृथेव छोचनपर्थ याता न येषां सुताः । तेषासक्ववित्रेपनं च मृपते पक्कोपदेहैः समं येषां धृत्तिविधूसरारमजरजञ्जन्तां न वकःस्पत्ते ॥

Verily the house where no children play in the courtyard is a jungle. Futile is their birth who have seen no children of their own. Beauty-paint, o king, is like a smearing of mud for those whose bosom's are not bedaubed with the dust transmitted by their children, grey with dust (2.88).

Descriptions of female beauty, so common in Kāvya poetry, are few and far between in Yasastilaka owing to the very nature of the plot. We may here cite two of the verses spoken by Vāriṣeṇa, unable to forget his young wife even after he has renounced the world:

बाक्कवास्यरभ्यं अकृतानतेकान्तं नवनयनविलासं चारताण्डस्यकं च । मधुरवाचनगर्भं स्नेरविश्वाधरायाः पुरत इव समास्ते तन्मुखं से प्रियायाः ॥ लीकाविलासविल्यस्वयनोत्पलायाः स्कारसारोत्तरिलताधरपञ्चवायाः । उत्तक्षपीवरपयोधरमण्डलायासस्या मया सह कदा नतु सँगमः स्वात् ॥

The watersports of young women in the Siprā river are described in the following verse in Book V:

अञ्चरकर्णवर्तसकाः सर्श्वितप्रान्तप्रसम्बासकाः शीर्थरक्षण्यस्याः परिगस्त्रप्रस्थासीयन्त्नाः । उत्कम्पस्तनमण्डलाः प्रविस्तस्त्रीसाम्बाहाकुलाः क्रीडन्ति सा पुराङ्गनाः वियत्तैरासेन्यमाना द्व ॥

A graceful picture of women adorned with floral decorations occurs in the description of the summer in Book III:

विकविविविकासीकीर्णेलोस्नासकानां कुरवक्युकुलस्तरहारसनीनाम् । इरजरुड्कामेः पश्चवैभ्रवजातेर्नुप किमपि कवारं गोपितां सुम्य वक्सम् ॥

Kiss, o king, the mouths of women redolent with mango shoots with slightly hardening tips. The agile curls of their hair are studded with fullblown Vicakila blossoms, and wreaths of Kurabaka buds and radiant pearlstrings adorn their bosoms (3. 379).

A number of playful verses occurs in connection with the description of moonrise on the subject of the conventional viraha of young women such as are frequently found in the Mahākāvyas, and it is interesting to find that the Jaina monk proves himself an adept in dealing with such topics. The following verses contain what is known as dūtīvākya, but are attributed in our work, as in so many other cases, to bards attached to Yasodhara's court.

मीष्मस्वकानिकमित्तं श्वसितं निवान्तमुचानसारिणसमसुतिरशुपुरः । भानतितस्तनतटास्तव कान्त कोपारकण्ठे च मत्वतक्रवाः सरवाः प्रिवावाः ॥ The sighs of thy beloved, o lover, are utterly like desert winds; her tears flow like a garden rivulet; and owing to her wrath her sobs are loud and shake the bosom (3.496).

श्वातस्विद्वित संज्वरभरावसाः सरःसंगमे पायःकाथविषेषैदसुनमभूदेतसदाकव्यैतास् । सङ्गोनं सुहरण्डजैस्तिमकुलैसीरे स्थितं हुरतः शीणं शैवलिमअरीमिरभितः क्षीणं क्षणाचान्युजैः ध

Listen, o brother, to the miracle of how the waters boiled when she entered the waters of the pool, suffering from grievous heat owing to her separation from thee! The birds flew off again and again; the fish kept to the shore at a distance; the offshoots of the moss were torn to shreds all around; and the lotus blossoms withered in a moment! (3. 497).

तव सुभग वियोगात् पञ्चवैरप्यहोभिर्मनसिजशरदीर्घाः श्वासधाराः सुदस्याः । सारविजयपताकास्पर्धिनी वक्तकान्तिसानुरतनुधनुरुयोतानवं चातनोति ॥

Beautiful lover, in less than a week, owing to her separation from thee, the fair maid's sighs lengthened like Cupid's arrows; the complexion turned white as Cupid's triumphal banner; and the body became lean as Cupid's bowstring! (3.498).

केदं काहर्यं क च मनसिजस्फारबाणमहारः कार्यं तापः क च निरवधिर्वाच्यप्रप्रचारः । केषा मुच्छां क च कुचपटमेञ्चणस्वासकरूपः कासी छजा क च मृगदशहिचन्नमेष प्रजरूपः ॥

What a great contrast between the emaciation of the fair maid's body and the continuous hitting by Cupid's arrows! Between her heat and the unceasing flood of her tears! Between her swoon and the sighs that shake her robe! Between her bashfulness and the words uttered at random! Strange it is all! (3, 502)

बन्धुमार्थनतस्त्विय स्मृतिनिज्ञावेशात्त्वा सुरथया द्त्तश्रक्षुषि याबकः कृतमिदं विस्वाधरे कजकस्। कण्डे काश्चिगुणोऽर्पितः परिहितो हारो नितस्वस्थले केयूरं चरणे एतं विरन्तितं हस्ते च हिस्तीरकस्॥

Begged by her friends (to decorate herself), the foolish girl, owing to the concentration of the night-like train of her thoughts on thee, applied red lac paint to the eyes and collyrium to the ruddy lips; wore the girdle round the neck and the neck-lace on the hips; and fastened the bracelets to the feet and the anklets to the hands! (3. 503).

कामस्वैतत्वरमिह रहो यन्मनःप्रातिकृत्यं तस्मादेष उवस्रति नितरामङ्गमाधुर्वहेतुः । कामं कान्तास्तर्भु रसिकाः प्रीतये कस्म न स्युक्तत्रास्तादः क इव हि सस्ते या न पक्का सुणास्यः ॥

Love's great secret it is on earth: it blazes up on account of disappointment of hopes, lending grace to women's physical charms. Fair women then become subject to intense passion, and who would not delight in them? 'What is their flavour like, o friend?' 'They are just tender water-lilies!' (3.506).

¹ Red paint is applied to the lips and collyrium to the eyes.

VI. DIDACTIC VERSES

As in other Kavya works, didactic verses are interspersed in Yasartilaka, and occasionally contain balanced expression of the wisdom of life and bring into relief human traits with remarkable fidelity and insight. The specimens cited here are classified according to the subject dealt with by them.

THE GODDESS OF WEALTH

रातशायज्ञवनिविरभूत् सोदरः काळक्टः कृष्णे वस्ताः प्रणयपरता पङ्कजाते रतिश्व । कक्ट्याश्चरताः सकळकूपतिस्वरिणीवृत्तिभाजः कः प्रेमान्त्रो भवतु कृतवीर्छोकविद्वाविकायाः ॥

What wise man will blindly fall in love with the subversive goddess of wealth, a harlot kept by all the kings? The Ocean ('the abode of waters', also 'the abode of folly') is her father, and the Kālakūṭa poison her brother. She loves Kṛṣṇa, the Black, and is fond of the mud-born lotus (2. 160).'

असहोकानरोधेन सहोकोपेक्षणेन च । ज्याकशैकान्तराकाकी क्राक्रीवाक्षमा रमा ॥

The goddess of wealth is helpless, like a hind placed between a wild elephant on one side and a mountain on the other, because she favours the wicked and neglects the good (3. 177).

TRANSIENT CHARACTER OF LIFE AND ITS JOYS

मायासाम्राज्यवर्याः कविजनवद्यनस्पर्धिमाधुर्येषुर्याः स्वमासैश्वर्यशोभाः कुट्टकनयमय।रामस्योत्तराभाः । पर्जन्यागारसारास्त्रितिपति धतुर्वन्धुराश्च स्वभावादायुकांवण्यकश्च्यसदिषि जगदिदं चित्रमत्रैव सक्तम् ॥

It is strange that the world is attached to life, beauty and wealth. They are but magnificent as a phantom empire, supremely sweet as the words of poets, beautiful as treasure obtained in dreams, charmingly resplendent like a magic garden, lovely as a mansion of clouds, and, by nature, delightful only as a rainbow (1.124).

मावारामसमा रमा मुखीमदं दु:खाबळेखोनमुखं समालोकनयः मुद्दपरिचयः कान्तः हतान्ते दिता। उत्साहोऽपि च देहगेहबिचयो यः सोऽप्यनिखोदयक्षपाकोकविद्यसचित्रतमसां ग्रंसां मचेदुस्यवः ॥
Prosperity is like a magic garden, and pleasure is liable to be marred by pain. Friendship is like the vision of a dream, and a beloved woman is but an aid to Death. Even the zeal for the mansion of the body has merely transient results. Joy is for those who have destroyed the darkness of their minds with the light of the Truth (Book V).

PROPER USE OF WEALTH

कव्या अपि भ्रियो वान्ति पुंतां भोक्तमजानताम् । अवदाः कुश्चरेन्द्राणां प्रकाका इव इस्तगाः ॥

Riches, even though acquired by men who know not how to enjoy them, are lost for ever, like the loose grains of boiled rice seized by mighty elephants with their trunks (3.78).

¹ The reference is to the legend of Laksmi emerging during the churning of the ocean. Japanidhi is purposely used for jalanidhi. Kṛṣṇa stands for Viṣṇu, the consort of Lakṣmi. Somadeva rarely uses such devices in his verse.

THE CURSE OF DEPENDENCE

सर्थं दूरे विदरति समं साधुमावेन पुंसां धर्मश्चित्तात् सह करुणया याति देशान्तराणि । पापं शापादिव च तुनुते नीचवृत्तेन सार्थं सेव।वृत्तेः परमिद परं पातकं नास्ति किंचित् ॥

> सीजन्यमैत्रीकरूणामणीनां व्ययं न चेत्रृत्यजनः करोति । फर्कं महीशादपि नैव तत्य यतोऽर्थमेवार्थनिमित्तमाद्वः ॥

No greater sin is there on earth than earning one's livelihood by serving others. Truth with honesty wanders far away from such men, and piety with compassion departs elsewhere from their hearts; while their sin increases with meanness, as if under a curse. Unless the servants of a king barter away the gems of courtesy, good will and kindness, they are never rewarded by him, for, as is said, money alone begets money (1. 130-131).

THE USE OF POETS

राजक्करोषविषय।तिशयप्रस्तौ येषां महाकविकृतौ न मनीषितानि । तेषां श्रुती च रसनां च मनस्र मन्ये वाग्देवताविहितशापमिवेसराणाम् ॥

Sire, Sarasvati's curse, I ween, is on the ears, tongues and minds of those kings, who evince no desire for the works of great poets, which create supreme beauty in an infinite range of themes (3. 275).

ELOQUENCE

यथास्त्ररहिते पुंसि षृथा शौर्यपरिव्रहः । तथोपन्यासहीनस्य वृथा शास्त्रपरिव्रहः ॥ स्फुरन्स्यपि मनःसिन्धौ शास्त्ररक्षान्यनेकशः । वचोगुणविहीनानि भूषयन्ति न सन्मनः ॥ विद्यानां स्फुरितं भीस्रै स्त्रीणां छात्रण्यवहृहिः । अन्तर्भवतु वा मा वा किं विद्यारैरतीन्द्रियैः ॥

The bravery of a person without weapons is useless; just so the mastery of the Sastras is futile in the case of a person without eloquence. The gems of learning may flash in plenty in the ocean of one's mind, but, without eloquence, they can never edify the minds of the wise. Like the beauty of women, the outward manifestation of learning causes delight. The inner existence of either matters little. What is the use of investigating things beyond the range of the senses? (3. 372-78).

FIVE GREAT SINS

स्वामिद्रोहः स्वीवघो बालहिंसा विश्वसानां घातनं लिङ्गमेदः । प्रावेणेतस्यञ्चकं पातकानां कुर्यात् सद्यः प्राणिनः प्रासदुःखान् ॥

Hostility to one's master, killing a woman, injury to children, killing those who have been assured of safety, and divulgation of secrets: these five sins nearly always bring swift misery to sentient beings (Book V).

THE RAISON D'ÊTRE OF AHIMSA

बलरत्र करोतीह सुखं वा दुःलमेव वा । वृद्धये धनवहतं स्वस्य तजायतेऽधिकम् ॥

¹ The idea seems to be that unless the employees of a king bring him money by fair means or foul, they are never rewarded by him.

The pleasure or pain which a man causes to others in this life is like money lent on interest: it becomes his in a greater measure (Book VII, section 24).

VALUE OF DIRECTING POWER

स्वयं विषमरूपोऽपि संवातः कार्यकृद्रवेत् । अधिष्ठातः प्रयक्षेत्र यथा इस्तोऽसमाङ्क्षिः ॥

Like the hand which has unequal fingers, a combination of things, although composed of unequal elements, proves effective by dint of the master's effort (3. 120).

VALUE OF CO-OPERATION

असहायः समर्थोऽपि न जातु हितसिद्धये । विद्वर्गतिविहीनो हि बुसस्यापि न दीपकः ॥

Even an able man can never do any good without the help of others Fire, without air, cannot burn even chaff (3. 228).

THE PROPER ATTITUDE TOWARDS ENEMIES

अनुनयत बदत मधुरं यत्कार्यं तदपि मानसे कुरुत । राति कलं हि मयूरः सविषं च भजन्नमं दशिति ॥ मूनां बहति लोकोऽयं यथा दग्धुमिहेन्धनम् । अनुत्रीहय क्षयं नेयस्त्रथारातिर्मेहात्मना ॥ प्रावः सरक्षविसानां जायते विपदागमः । ऋजुर्याति यथा छेदं न वकः पादपस्रथा ॥

Conciliate thy enemy and speak to him sweet words, but at the same time carry out what thou hast planned in thy mind. The peacock sings sweetly, but feeds on venomous serpents. Just as fire-wood is carried by. men on their heads in order to burn it, similarly, in the world, a high-spirited person should first conciliate an enemy and then destroy him. It is, as a rule, straightforward people who fall into danger. It is not possible to cut a crooked tree with the same ease as a straight one (Book IV).

VALUE OF REPORT

अबुधेऽपि बुधोद्वारे प्राज्ञानुज्ञा विजृत्मते । संस्कर्तुः कौशलादेति यतः काचोऽपि रवताम् ॥ आसमिति विवेकविकतः प्रसिद्धिमात्रेण रज्यते सकलः । कैरव इव कमलेऽपि हि न श्रीः पूज्यं तथाण्यक्षम् ॥

Even if a fool is called wise by all, the wise concur therein. Even glass becomes jewel owing to the craftsman's skill. All men, lacking in discernment, like a thing, simply because it is reported to be good. The goddess of wealth abides neither in the day-lotus nor in the night-lotus; yet the day-lotus is honoured (because it is said to be her abode) (3.181-82).

A SECRET OF SUCCESS

कावीयिनो हि छोकस किमन्याचारचिन्तया । दुग्धार्थी कः प्रमान्नाम गवाचारं विचारवेत् ॥

It is useless for people who seek to gain their ends to study the ways of others. Who ever investigates the ways of cows in need of milk? (3.231).

WHAT IS NOT PROFITABLE TO PHYSICIANS AND MINISTERS की स्वास्थ्याय समीहेते व्याधितस्य मृतस्य च । स्वार्थसिदिनरोदारी विग्रविक् ती वैक्सक्रिणी ॥ Fig. fie on the physician and the minister who strive for the health and well-being of a patient and a king respectively, since they thereby stand in the way of their own profit! (3. 187).

THE RIGHT THING IN THE RIGHT PLACE

तेवसेवस्वानं स्थाने एतं एतिकरं भवेत् । कराः सूर्यादमवद्भानोः कि स्कुरन्ति हतादमनि ॥

The might of the mighty produces happy results when exerted in the proper place. The rays of the sun do not flash on gravel as they do on sunstones (3. 178).

THE USE OF OPPORTUNITIES

अञ्चानभाषाद्यवा प्रमादादुपेक्षणाद्वात्यवभाजि कार्ये । पुंसः प्रयासी विकलः समस्ती गतीदके कः साहु सेतुवन्धः

All one's efforts are useless, once an opportunity is lost owing to ignorance or error or negligence. What is the use of a bridge after a flood has subsided? (Book IV).

ENDURANCE

मार्ववाधिकतरं करुधीतं तापताद्यनसहं च निसर्गात् । एवसेव वपुरुत्तमपुंसां संपदां च विपदां च सहिन्तु ॥

Gold is extremely soft, and yet, by nature, capable of bearing heat and pounding. Likewise, the bodies of the ablest men are capable of enduring privation as well as ease (Book V).

CHARACTERISTICS OF YOUTH

यसिन् रजः प्रसरति रस्रिलतादिवोचैरान्ध्यादिव प्रवलता तमसश्रकासि । सर्व तिरोभवति भीतमिवाङ्गजाभेसस्योवनं विनय सजनसंगमेन ॥

In youth ill-fame spreads far and wide as if from sin; deep-rooted ignorance reigns as if from blindness; and patience vanishes as if frightened by Cupid's fire. Control thy youth by association with the good (2. 161).

A THIEF SUSPECTS ALL

आत्मनीव परत्रापि प्रायः संभावना जने । यद्स्तेनाद्पि स्तेनः स्वदोषाद् परिशक्कते ॥

People, as a rule, suppose others to be just like themselves. A thief, on account of his own wickedness, suspects another, even though he is not a thief (3. 121).

CONJUGAL FIDELITY IS RARE

सुरतरहर्सं पुंसां वदि भवति स्वासु देव योषासु । किमिति श्रीरतिमन्दो गोबिन्दो बह्नवीकोकः ॥

Sire, if the inmost cortic desires of men were confined to their own wives, why should Kṛṣṇa, averse to Lakṣmī's love, run after cowherd maids? (3. 204).

ADVICE TO OTHERS

विवक्षणः कि तु परीपदेशे न स्वस्य कार्थे सकलोऽपि लोकः । नेत्रं हि दूरेऽपि निरीक्षमाणमारमावकोके स्वसमर्थमेव ॥

All men are expert in giving advice to others, but not in doing their alloted work. The eye can see even distant objects, but not itself (Book IV).

FATAL TEMPTATION

👫 नास्ति पर्छ सिछिछे बेन तिभिः सार्रो गलाहारे । प्रायेण हि देहमृतां तत्रासिक्वेतो सृखुः ॥

Is there no other food in the waters that a fish eagerly swallows the bait? Creatures are, as a rule, attached to objects that cause their death (3. 231).

FORCE OF ASSOCIATION

पुरुवसक्षं श्रियः सङ्गाज्येष्ठायश्र न केरवम् । त्रायो जनेऽन्यसंसर्गाद्वणिता दोषितापि च ॥

The day lotus is esteemed for its association with the goddess of wealth, but not the night lotus for its association with the goddess Jyeṣṭhā¹. Merit and blemish among men depend invariably upon the company they keep (3.118).

संसर्गेण गुणा विषय भवन्ति दोषासदञ्जतं नेव। स्थितमधरे रमणीनासमृतं चेतांसि कञ्जववित ॥

It is not strange that even merits turn into blemishes owing to the force of association. The nectar that is in women's lips corrupts the hearts of men (1.79).

REASON

ईतें युक्तिं यदेवात्र तदेव परमार्थसत् । यद्भानुदीसिवत्तस्याः पश्चपातोऽस्ति न कवित् ॥

Whatever in this world conforms to Reason is really true, for, like the rays of the sun, Reason is partial to none (Book VI, p. 270).

VII. ATTACKS ON WOMEN

Numerous attacks on women occur in Yasastilaka in prose and verse, and this is explained partly by the very nature of the plot and partly by the fact that such attacks are frequent in Jaina literature owing to the stress laid on asceticism and abstention from pleasures. Attacks in verse occur in works like Guṇabhadra's Ātmānusāsana, Subhacandra's Jñānārṇava, Amitagati's Subhāsitaratnasamdoha (both censure and praise), and in Hemacandra's commentary on his Yogasāstra 2. 105. A notable instance of such attacks in Jaina canonical literature is found in Taṇḍula-Veyāliya, one of the Paṇṇas, wherein women are decried in prose and verse. It should, however, be noted that the character of women is sometimes painted in dark colours in non-Jaina works as well, for example, in the Mahābhārata (Anusāsanaparva, chap. 38).

¹ For Jyestha see Chap. XV.

Specimens of Somadeva's deprecatory verses on women are cited below':

Werily there is poison in the eyes of women, I ween, and not in the eyes of serpents. Men, indeed, are seen to be reduced to 'ashes' as soon as they are looked at by women (Book IV).

देवेमंबुध्येरय राक्षसेची निसर्गतो गृदतरप्रचारा । ईटकवा ज्ञातुमियसवा वा न शक्यते सीजनविस्तकृतिः ॥
Neither gods nor men nor demons are able to ascertain or fathom the naturally inscrutable working of a woman's mind. Ibid.

वयोच्छिलण्डा मण्डूक्यो लोकविञ्जबहेतवः । तथा खिणः स्वमाचेन मर्तृष्यसन्तरपराः ॥

Just as crested tadpoles bring calamity to men, similarly women, by nature, set themselves to cause disaster to their husbands. Ibid.

The irresistible temptation offered by women and the bewildering effect of their charms are emphasized in the following verses:

क्षीणसपोभिः क्षपितः प्रवासैर्विच्यापितः साषु समाधितोषैः । तथापि चित्रं उवस्रति सराग्निः कान्ताजनापाकृविकोकनेन ॥

The fire of passion is weakened by austerities, attenuated by travelling, and effectively quenched by the waters of meditation. Yet, strange it is, it flares up at the sight of women's glances (1.72).

तावत्तपो वपुषि चेतसि तत्त्वचिन्ता कामं हृषीकविषये परमः शमश्च । यात्रस्र पश्यति मुखं सृगछोषनानां श्वकारकृतिमस्त्राहृतकामसूत्रम् ॥

The body undergoes austerities, and the mind meditates on the Truth, and perfect calm prevails over the senses, only so long as a man does not behold the visage of fair women, which, by its amorous play, illustrates the lessons of the science of love (1.73).

श्रोत्रं श्रुतो हरति बीक्षणमीह्यमाणश्चित्तं स्पृतः कृतसमागतिरङ्गकानि । प्राणान् प्रनः प्रणयनान् वियुतो रति च छोके तथापि बनिताजन एव सकः॥

Women, when heard of, deprive one of the faculty of hearing. Looked at, they deprive one of the power of seeing. Remembered, they captivate the mind; and embraced, they disable the limbs. When in love, they take away one's life; and when separated, they take away one's joy. Yet women are the goal of all efforts in the world (1.74).

¹ Somadeva's reasoned views on the subject present an entirely different picture. See Chap. V. Misogynistic traits appear also in other literatures of a bygone age, and have been inspired by different motives. In Italian Renaissance literature, for instance, 'the current abuse of women, in which Petrarch no less than Alberti and Boccaccio indulged, seems to have been a scholastic survival of the coarse and ignorant literature of the medieval elergy'. Symonds: Renaissance in Italy, Vol. IV, p. 184 (1937 ed.).

ताबहुरको मण्यासावत् स्वाध्यायपीरतं चेतः । वावत्र मनसि वनिताददिविषं विश्वति पुरुवाणास् ॥ Bers are obeyed and the mind is prope to study only so long as the

Teachers are obeyed, and the mind is prone to study, only so long as the poison of women's glances does not enter into the hearts of men (1.76).

तावत् भवजनिवयसावत् परकोकिनिन्तनोपायः । यावत्तरुकीविक्रमहतहरूचो न मकावेत ॥
The scriptures maintain their jurisdiction, and it is possible to ponder on the other world, only so long as a man's heart is not enthralled by a maiden's charms (1.7).

VIII. METRES

No attempt is made here to analyse the metres used by Somadeva in his verses. He uses, as a rule, the ordinary metres employed in Kāvya poetry, and it will be sufficient to point out a few special features, the most notable of which is the adaptation of certain Prākrit metres to the requirements of Sanskrit poetry.

Duvai or Dvipadi is a Prākrit metre, of which each foot consists of one gana of six mātrās, five ganas of four mātrās, and one long syllable at the end; the second and sixth ganas may be gurumadhya (---) or sarvalaghu (---). The metre is frequently used in Apabhramsa poetry, and Somadeva employs it in several verses of Yasastilaka (1. 167, 169; 3. 9, 17, 18, 313, etc). For example,

पूर्वं सरसकरजरेखाकृतिरधरक्षिसतो रिवसद्तु च शुस्णिपण्डखण्डशुतिरक्षचयण्छविस्ततः ।
पुनरयमरूणरक्षमु इरश्री द्वयति रागनिर्भरेः कुर्षन् ककुभि ककुभि वन्ध्कमयीमिव सृष्टिमंद्धभिः ॥ ३. 17
शतमखधामहेमकुम्भाकृतिरिन्द्रसमुद्रविद्वमसम्बस्तिमितकान्तिरहरूस्वयसमयसुवर्णद्र्पणः ।
उदयति रिवरुद्रारहरिरोहणरुचिरुविरोह्यरेः करोदिंग्द्यितामुखानि पिश्वरयश्वरुणितजक्षधिमण्डकः ॥ ३. 18
सुरिवरिरमरिसम्पुरम्भोनिधिरवनिरन्द्रपार्थिः फणिपतिरस्तरोचिरमराश्च दिशो दश यावदम्बरम् ।
तावदशेषमुवनिष्नतामणिचरित एरं महोस्तवेरस्यवचरितचन्द्र जय जीव विराज चिराच नन्द्र च ॥ 1. 167
शतमख दहन काल गुह वरुण समीरण धनद चन्द्रमः प्रथितैकैककुन्भिविभवास्तदिभानवत प्रयक्षतः ।
इरसुरदेष्ट्रकाम इव हस्तमुन्द्राति वियति वारणो नो चेदिभविहीनरचना भवतां भविता प्रताक्षिती ॥ ३. 313

It is certain that Somadeva is not the first writer to employ the Duvaï metre in Sanskrit verse. The following verse occurring in *Dasabhakti*, a collection of Jaina devotional compositions in Prākrit and Sanskrit, is, for instance, composed in the Duvaï metre; and the Sanskrit *Bhaktis*, it should be noted, are attributed to Pūjyapāda.

जातिजरोगराणातुरकोकसङ्खदीपिताः दुःसङ्गरकपतगसंसत्तविषः प्रतिवृद्धचेतसः । जीवितमञ्जूविन्दुचपकं तिवद्धसमा विभूतयः सक्छमिदं विविन्द सुनयः प्रकामाय वनान्तमाधिताः ॥
The Dvipadī or Duvaī, or Doai, as it is also called in the Prākṛta Paingala, is, properly speaking, a metre with two pādas, and appears as such in

Apabhramía works; but the Prākrit example of Dvipadī given in Hemacandra's Chandonusāsana contains four feet; and the combined definition and example of the metre in the Prākrita Paingala, although apparently given in two verses of two feet each (1. 152, 153), is taken by some to represent one verse of four feet. Somadeva's Sanskrit verses in the Dvipadī metre consist of four feet.

Mayaṇāvayāra or Madanāvatāra² is another Prākrit metre used by Somadeva in a group of lyrical verses, in Book V, which describe the Karahāṭa country. Each foot of this metre consists of four gaṇas of five mātrās, as pointed out by Hemacandra in his Chandonuśāsana, Chap. 4. Nanditāḍhya in his Gāthālakṣaṇa³ calls the metre Camdāṇaṇa. The verses in question are cited below (p. 162):

सस्यसंपत्तिसेक्षिससीमाशुवः सत्रवापीपपारामरम्योदयाः श्रीविद्यासोस्सवस्यक्षितपुरसमितयः पिद्धवपुरुतमनोहारिसर्वर्तवः समरभरनामिभटभाववादोःकटाः स्यागभोगप्रभावाङ्गुतक्यातयः प्रवणकारणागतोब्दणकुळकीतंयः पुरुतकळभूमयो ग्रामविनिवेशिकाः प्रचुरपथिकप्रियापणितपथिवसवः । पश्चिमीखण्डताण्डविततोयाशयाः । फुक्कफण्डवोद्घासिवनवृत्तयः । सक्छसंसारसुखसेवितागन्तवः । खेळदुन्मदवृषोस्खाततिनीतटाः । खुडवणांश्रमाचरितविगतेतयः सन्ति धर्मार्थकासेषु समनीतयः । कामिताबासिविजितामरोद्देशकाः ॥

Prākrit metres are also used in the three lyrical panegyrics, two in honour of king Māradatta in Book I (verses 175-212) and one in honour of the Arhat in Book VIII, section 37, consisting of 28 verses. Somadeva's scheme consists of an opening verse or verses in Āryā metre which he calls Varna; one verse in Mātrā' metre consisting of one line of 41 mātrās and

ईवं च कृतं द्विपादमेव न चतुष्पादं, उदाहरणानुरोधादिति केचित्। अन्ये तु यदीदं द्विपादमेव ताहिं लक्षणं पादचतुष्टवेन कथं कृतमिति इदं चतुष्पादमेव, न चीदाहरणविरोधस्तस्य चरणद्वयेनापि संभवात्'। See Comm. on verse 154 în प्राकृतपेक्षलम् (B. I.).

² It will be seen that each verse has four feet and each foot twenty mātrās. Somadeva seems to follow Apabhramśa models. The metre is known also as Kāminīmohana and occurs in the Apabhramśa poem Samdeśarāsaka (verses 82, 83, 85). For example, व्यूण णिसुणींव मणमत्वसरविद्या, मवउसरमुक्त णं हरिणि उत्तिहिया। मुक्त दीउन्ह नीसास उससंतिया, पदिय इय गाह णियण-यणि वरसंतिया। 83. The metre is discussed by Bhayani in his Introduction to the poem, p. 58, Singhi Jain Series, Bombay 1945. Samdeśarāsaka has been attributed to the twelfth century A. D.

³ Edited by Prof. Velankar in ABORI, Vol. XIV, pp. 1-38.

⁴ There are five feet, and Somadeva's scheme is 15+11+15+12+15. The Mäträ is an Apabhramsa metre and employed in Samdesarüsaka (verses 18, 19, 24, 25 etc). In Apabhramsa poetry a Mäträ verse is often followed by a Dohā, and the whole is called Raddā. The Prākṛta Paingala describes seven varieties of Raddā, that is,

another of 27; one or more verses with four feet of sixteen matrix each, called by him Catuspadi; several verses in Paddhatikā or Pajjhatikā metre consisting of four feet of sixteen matras each, and each foot rhyming with the other and ending with a ja-gana (---) or sometimes four laghus; and a concluding verse called Ghatta. It may be noted that the Pajjhatika is a popular metre in Apabhramsa poetry. It is, for instance, the prevailing metre of Karakandacariu of Kanakamara; while it has been pointed out that of the three hundred and fifty-four .Kadavakas (verse-groups) in Dhanapāla's Bhavisayattakahā, almost all with the exception of about seventeen are either in Pajjhatikā or in Alillaha metre, which is really a variety of Pajjhatikā. As regards the Ghatta verse, in all narrative poems in Apabhramaa he concluding couplet of a Kadavaka is almost always called Ghatta. Pingala recognises a Ghatta metre of 62 mātrās (31+31), and Śrutasagara saya in his commentary on 1. 212 that there are twentyseven varieties of Ghatta, and it may consist of 60 mātrās and sometimes of 62 mātrās. Of the three Ghattās in our work, two (1, 187 and 8, 37) consist of 60 mātrās, and in each half the first two sections of 10 and 8 matras each have a caesura and rhyme with each other, so that each half of 30 matras corresponds to a pada of the Caupaiā described and illustrated in Prākṛta Paingala (1.97 and 98). The remaining Ghattā (1. 212) is a two-foot Dvipadī with 28 mātrās (6+4+4+4 4+6) in each half. A reference to Apabhramsa poetry shows that a variety of metres may be used for the Ghatta verse.

As regards Somadeva's scheme of arrangement, it seems to be based on Präkrit models. An arrangement, similar to his is, for instance,

of Mātrā; and the composition of some of these resembles that of Somadeva's verse. For instance, the scheme of the Cārusenī variety is 15+11+15+11+15; that of Bhadra is 15+12+15+12+15; and that of Rājasenā is 15+12+15+11+15. Somadeva's scheme represents a slight variation of the Rājasenā variety in respect of the number of mātrās in the second and fourth pādas, the total number of mātrās (68) being the same. The following example of the metre is from Samdešarāsaka (verse 25): फुल्ड कोयण इवह दुवस्त, परिमहाउमुक्तुइ, विज्ञमह अर्थ अंगु मोस्ड । विरहानकि संतर्भिक्ष, समझ दीह करवाह तोडह ॥. This is followed by two lines of Dohā. The scheme followed here is 15+11+15+11+15 corresponding to the Cārusenī of Prākṛta Paiṅgala. The Rājasenā scheme of 15+12+15+11+15 is also found in Samdešarāsaka, as pointed out by Bhayani (op. cit.), p. 67. The Mātrā is believed to be a very old Apabhramsa metre, as it was known to Virahānka (Vṛttajātisamuccaya, JBBRAS, 1929, p. 87) who describes four different varieties of it, Cārunetrī, Rāhusenī etc. See Velankar: Apabhramsa Metres, Journal of the University of Bombay, November 1933, p. 50.

¹ See Introduction to Gune's edition in G. O. S., p. 28.

² See first definition of DvipadI in Prakrta-Paingala.

found at the beginning of Dhavala's Harivamsapurāna composed in Apabhramsa verse, as can be seen from the extracts from that work given in Hiralal's Catalogue of Sanskrit and Prākrit Manuscripts in C. P. and Berar (pp. 716 and 763). We have here a group of eulogistic verses beginning with a Vaṇṇī (i. e. Varṇa I. 188-90) followed by a Mātrā (I. 191) and other verses (Catuṣpadī I. 192, Paddhatikā I. 193, 210-11) ending with a Ghattā (I. 212). One of the panegyrics addressed to Māradatta is reproduced below to illustrate the above scheme of arrangement followed by Somadeva. As we have already pointed out (see Chap. I), there was a considerable output of Apabhramsa poetry in and before Somadeva's time; and he has clearly borrowed Apabhramsa metres and applied them to Sanskrit verse for the sake of novelty.

```
वर्णः ॥ छक्ष्मीरामानङ्गः सपत्रकुलकालविक्रमोत्तुङ्गः । कीर्तिविलासतमङ्गः प्रतापरङ्गश्चिरं जयतु ॥
      उत्सारितारिसर्पः शरणागतनृपतिचित्तसंतर्पः । छङ्मीछ्छामकूर्पस्तपतु चिरं नृपतिकन्दर्पः ॥
      सुवनाज्ञसरस्तरणिर्धर्मामृतहरणिरुवयतरुधरणिः । श्रीरमणीरितसरणिर्मण्डिकिकिशसामणिर्जीयात् ॥
    मात्रा ॥ कुवलयोत्सवचन्द्र नृपतीन्द्र लक्ष्मीयर कीर्तिसरदसृतवृष्टिपल्लियतबुध्यन ।
            आभुवनमभिमानधन धर्यसदन जय विहितसदवन ॥
  चतुष्परी ॥ तृप महति भवति किंचिहिरापि वक्तं गुणमखिलं गोत्तरामि ।
            दीसिर्धुमणेरवनीश यत्र का शक्तिः काचमणेहि तत्र ॥
 पद्धतिका ॥ जय लक्ष्मीकरकमलातपत्र
                                       सारस्वतरसनिष्यन्द्रपात्र ।
            धर्मार्थकामसम्बन्धत्तित
                                       तीर्थार्थिमनोरथवर्तिवित्त ॥
            केरलमहिलामुखकमलहंस
                                       वङ्गीवनिताश्रवणावतंस् ।
            चोलसीकुचकुकालविनोद
                                       पछ बरमणी कृतविरह खेद ॥
           कुन्तलकान्तालकभक्रनिरत
                                      मळयाङ्गनाङ्गनखदाननिरतः।
           वनवासियोषिदीक्षणविसुरथ कर्णाट्यवतिकैतवविद्रश्च।
           क्रजाङ्गललकनाक्चतन्त्र
                                      कम्बोजपुरन्ध्रीतिसक्षपत्र ॥
   घता ॥ नृपनृपतीश्वर भूरमणीश्वर यदिइमखिलगुणसंश्रय ।
           उक्तं किंचिक्वरस्तुतिकृतिचिक्तिश्चत्रं न महोदय ॥
```

Among comparatively rare Sanskrit metres employed by Somadeva may be mentioned the Mattebhavikrīdita used in 2.229. The metre of the following verse (1, 127) is uncertain.

न दैन्यात् प्राणानां न च हृद्यहरिणस्य रतये न दर्पादङ्गानां न च करणकरिणोऽस्य सदनात् । विधावृत्तिः किं तु क्षतसदनचरितश्चतविधेः परे हेती सुक्तेरिह सुनिषु न सलु स्थितिरियस् ॥

The verse is composed in a metre corresponding to the Krīdā metre described by Hemacandra in his *Chandonusāsana*, chap. 2, but in that metre the 12th and 13th syllables are heavy, whereas they are light in Somadeva's verse.

IX ARDHASAMASYA AND NANDI VERSES

An interesting example of an Ardhasamasyā verse, of which the first half is spoken by a court poet and the other half by the king, occurs in the description of sunrise in Book III.

अर्धकाव्यकविः---

अरुणकिरणमध्ये निद्रुमसम्बदिग्दः क्षितिए किमिन शोमां मानुरुधम् विभर्ति ।

राजा--

बुध युधि सम रात्रीः शोणितापूरितायां प्रतादुपरि कोपात् पाटलं बहुदात्मम् ॥ 3. 19

(The poet): "Sire, the Sun with its coral orb rises amid crimson rays. What is its lustre like?" (The king): "Wise one, it resembles my own face, red with fury, emerging above the battlefield overflowing with the foeman's blood." Other examples of Ardhasamasyā verses are found in later Kāvya literature. There is, for instance, a series of them in Naisadhacarita 4. 102-9 and in Utprekṣāvallabha's Bhikṣāṭana Kāvya (Paddhati 9).

Nāndī verses are imitated in 3. 122-124. As explained in the Nāṭyaśāstra 5. 109 ff., Nāndī consists of one or more benedictory verses recited by the stage-manager before the commencement of a dramatic performance; and a Nāndī verse may contain eight or twelve padas or phrases (avāntara-vākyas, according to Abhinavagupta). In certain satirical verses on ministers of kings, attributed to a poet named Taruṇīlīlāvilāsa, the evil ways of a minister are represented as a drama, and three appropriate Nāndī verses are added as a prelude.

तत्र तावत्तरूणीलीलाविलासस्य-

नमो दुर्मिश्वणे तस्म नृपाङ्किपमहाहय । यद्वशासार्थिसंप्रार्थ्यसच्छायाश्रमविश्रमः ॥ अप्रपदा नान्दी ।

यस शिष्टघटोच्छेदि मञ्जसूत्रं विज्रूम्भते । सांपासपाचिने तसी नमी दुर्मश्चिकिणे ॥ इयं च ।

और्वायापूर्वरूपाय तस्म दुर्मिम्रिणे नमः । अजडा अपि शोष्यन्ते येन पत्युः भ्रियः पराः ॥ इयं च द्वादशपदा । ततश्च-

चन्नापञ्जजनाकृतिः क्षितिपतिर्यन्नाभवसायकः...तहुर्मेश्चिदुरीहितं विजयते सूक्तोत्कटं नाटकस् ॥

X. STYLE

As we have already remarked, Somadeva employs in his verse a simpler and more lucid style than in his prose; and his poetry is, on the whole, free from the intricate and involved ideas and expressions that characterise his prose. He tells us in one of the opening verses of his romance (1. 27) that neither Vakrokti (ornate speech) nor Svabhāvokti (natural expression) alone pleases the wise who delight in a combination

of both; and this may be taken as a fair description of the principle underlying his own poetical style. Yet it should be remembered that Somadeva liberally uses the resources of the Kāvya style, and his verses are sometimes marked by the complexities and conventionalism associated with that style.

A few examples will suffice to illustrate the artificial conceits occasionally employed by Somadeva. In 1.168 a king is told that his Fame, although it is an old eunuch, goes up to enjoy the starry Heaven, after enjoying all the Regions of the sky ('fame' being neuter and 'heaven' and 'regions' feminine in Sanskrit). The description of battle scenes in 3.438 ff. is full of far-fetched and exaggerated conceits, and the picture of 'the violent battle' in 3.436 is made unreal by the poet's anxiety to compare it to the rainy season. An instance of how an otherwise beautiful verse is spoilt by an artificial conceit is provided by the following description of a young woman, which ends by figuring her as an enclosure for capturing Cupid, the elephant (Book VII, section 31):

एषेन्द्रियदुमसमुष्ठसनाम्बुवृष्टिरेषा मनोमृगविनोदविद्वारभूमिः। एषा स्मरद्विरदयन्धनवारिवृत्तिः किं सेचरी किममरी किमियं रतिर्वा॥

Conceits like these are a common feature of the Kāvya style in its later phases, and it must be said to the credit of Somadeva that he often shows laudable restraint and discrimination in the use of the many artifices resorted to by the poets of his age.

Citrālamkāras are absent in our work, and even sleşa or word-play, which adds to the intricacy of Somadeva's prose, is but rarely used in his verse; and only a few examples of it can be recorded. There is a pun on kharadanda in 3. 430, and the expression sadāna has four meanings in 3. 312. The epithets in the verse quoted below (1. 174) are simultaneously applied to three different things: dancing, dalliance with women and the royal court.

चञ्चत्कुन्तलचामरं कलरणत्काञ्चीकयादम्बरं भूभङ्गार्पितभावमूरुचरणन्यासासनानन्दितस् । सेकल्पाणिपताकमीक्षणपथानीताङ्गहारोत्सवं नृत्यं च प्रमदारतं च नृपतिस्थानं च ते सान्स्रदे ॥

Elaborate Rūpakas are sometimes met with; for example, in 3. 380, 381, wherein the women companions of Yaśodhara in his summer sports are successively figured as 'rows of woodland groves' and 'pools of water'.

अलककिसलयानां भूलतासाविनीनां नयनमञ्जलहानां चारगण्डस्वलीनास् । कुचकुसुमचयानां स्रोवनभ्रेणिकानामवनिषु कुरु केलीः किं नृपाम्येर्वनाम्तैः ॥

¹ उपमुज्य यहिशस्ते नर्पुंसकं वृद्धमि यशः सर्वाः । बामुपभोक्तुं यातं तरिकततारां तदाश्यर्यम् ॥

कसद्ककतरङ्गाः काम्यनेत्रारविन्दाः प्रचळशुजलतान्ताः पीनवशोजकोकाः । अतनुजवनकृताक्षाद्यवयवारस्यव तृप जककेकि कुर्वतां सीसरस्यः ॥

A typical Rūpaka is jarā-rajakī, Old Age fancied as a laundress whitening the hair, reminding one of rajanī-rajakī in Naisadhacarita 22. 113.

A practical example of Samāsokti occurs in connection with the satire on ministers. We are told that certain wise people, being unable to express their sentiments regarding the ministers of a king openly, do so with the help of a Samāsokti.²

प्रतीक्षे जातास्यः सुकृतसुलभं तिहनमहं यतो यातारोऽमी प्रलयमहयश्रम्दनतरोः । अमीषां पापानामिह हि वसतामेष महिमा कदाप्येतच्छायामभिक्षति यशाप्यगजनः ॥ 3. 168

'With confidence I await the auspicious day when the serpents on the sandal tree will go to ruin. Thanks to those wicked creatures living on the tree, no wayfarer ever seeks its shade.'

An important feature of Somadeva's style is the occasional but deliberate effort to attain lyrical effect and the occasional use of rhyme (2.220, 3.428 etc.). He may be regarded to some extent as a precursor of the lyrical style which reached its full development in Jayadeva's Gitagovinda; of this there is interesting evidence in the use of Apabhramsa metres in the three lyrical panegyrics considered in Section VIII (see above). Rhyme is also used in isolated verses for lyrical effect (2.75, 76 226 etc.). Repetition of the last letter in a series of epithets is sometimes practised to give a lyric tone to a verse.

भारवज्ञास्वति दाहवाहिमरुति ज्वालोखणाशाकृति etc. उम्मार्गाम्भसि मेघमन्दनभसि च्छचांग्रुमत्तेजसि etc. इक्षुक्षासिनि सस्वशालिनि सरं शेफालिकोत्फुल्लिनि etc.

Alliteration is freely used in many verses interspersed in the work, and the quick movement of such verses is invariably in keeping with their lyrical qualities. Good use of onomatopoeia is made in the following description of elephants (3.304):

तहस्ति बन्धनं युटति कन्धरोष्ठासने खणस्त्रणिति विक्रिका गलति विक्रमारिमणि । सदम्मदिति अञ्चते तदगणः कृताधद्दने खदत्खदिति वारणः पतति चात्र युद्धैविणि ॥

^{1 &#}x27;क्रूणच्छिषः साम्र शिरोरुद्शीर्वरारजक्या कियतेऽवदाता' 2. 102.

विकास केश्विदिपीश्विदिरेतदेव इदयसमीप जिह्नासं कर्तुमतरीद्वः समासोकिमिनेग।

CHAPTER VIII

PHILOSOPHICAL DOCTRINES

A considerable number of philosophical doctrines is mensioned and discussed by Somadeva in Yasastilaka. The first section (Kalpa) of Book VI is interesting as a summary of the theories of salvation propounded by different schools of thought, followed by critical remarks on the opinions recorded by the author. The section is called Samasta-samaya-siddhāntāva-bodhana, Elucidation of the doctrines of all the schools, and important as a collection of philosophical tenets current in the tenth century. Another set of opinions is recorded in Book V in the episode of Candakarman and Sudatta, in which the former and his companions give an exposition of the doctrines followed by them, while the latter criticizes and tries to refute all of them. If we add to these the various Jaina doctrines explained and discussed in Yasastilaka, it will not be an exaggeration to describe the work as serving the purpose of a veritable compendium of the philosophical speculations of the age. The principal doctrines summarized in the romance are here grouped together according to the system to which they belong.

VAIŚESIKA DOCTRINES

Somadeva refers to two classes of Vaiseṣika thinkers: the Tārkika Vaiseṣikas and the Saiddhānta Vaiseṣikas. The main difference between the two schools of Vaiseṣika philosophy is that the Saiddhāntas were Śaivas or worshippers of Siva¹ and laid stress on faith; while the Tārkikas were followers of the Vaiseṣika system proper and laid stress on knowledge. The Saiddhāntas held that salvation is the outcome of faith as manifested in initiation into the cult revealed by the omniscient Śiva in his two aspects, corporeal and incorporeal². It may be mentioned in this connection that, according to Haribhadra's Ṣaḍdarsanasamuccay x (vv. 13,59), both the Naiyāyikas and Vaiseṣikas were worshippers of Śiva. Guṇaratna mentions in his commentary four sects among the followers of Śiva: Śaivas, Paśupatas and two others, and adds that the Naiyāyikas were called Śaivas, while the Vaiseṣikas were called Pāśupatas. There was, however, a distinct school of Pāśupatas with doctrines of their own, and these do not seem to have been

¹ The Siddhantas or the Sivasiddhantas are the Saiva scriptures, and the Saivas were often called Saiddhantikas, e. g. in the Gurgi inscription of Prabodhasiva (मधुनती भान सेहान्तिकानाम्). See Chap. XIII for this inscription.

^{3 &#}x27;सक्लिनिष्कलासमासमञ्जलकापेक्षदीक्षाकसणाच्यदामात्रानुसरणान्मोक्षः इति सेद्धान्तवैश्चेषिकाः ।' Vol II, p. 269.

directly connected with the Vaisesika system. Somadeva himself, as we shall see, mentions their view of salvation separately.

The Tarkika Vaiseșikas, who do not seem to have been Saivas, held that salvation results solely from the knowledge of the categories based on an understanding of their similarities and dissimilarities; and the categories are Substance, Quality, Action, Generality, Particularity, Inherence and Non-existence. Strictly speaking, this is not an accurate presentation of the Vaisesika view. According to that system, salvation is the result of Dharma and knowledge of the categories is only a means to that end inasmuch as such knowledge produces Dharma, of which the essence is nivetti or renunciation; and this cannot be realised unless the nature of all objects, external and internal, is comprehended and the evils inherent in them grasped. Besides, Dharma cannot bring about salvation unless it is supported by the will of God. This is the interpretation of Śrīdhara in his Nyāyakandali. Udayana says in his Kiranāvalī that salvation results from the realisation of the ultimate truth, revealed by the continuous practice of Dharma or renunciation according to the principles laid down in the Veda and other sacred texts, the knowledge of the categories being a preliminary discipline.8

It is interesting to note that Somadeva, like Śivāditya, the author of Saptapadārthī, definitely includes Abhāva or Negation among the categories. It is wellknown that Kanāda recognised only six categories, but from about the tenth century onwards writers on the Vaiśeṣika system such as Śrīdhara and Udayana have emphasized the importance of Abhāva; and others have given it its legitimate place among the categories.

Somadeva attempts to define with precision the nature of salvation propounded by the Vaiśeṣika system. He says that the followers of Kaṇāda hold salvation to be the absolute cessation of the nine attributes of the Soul, namely, knowledge, pleasure, pain, desire, aversion, effort, virtue, vice and

^{1 &#}x27;द्रव्यगुणकामैसामान्यसमवायान्त्यविशेषाभावाभिधानानां पदार्थानां साधम्धेवैधम्याविशेषतश्चात् शानमात्रात् इति ताकिक-वैशेषिकाः ।' Ibid.

² The Protostopada Bhaşya says 'तिवृत्तिलक्षणः केवलो धर्मः परमाधेदर्शनजं सुखं कृत्ना निवर्तेते। तदा निरोधात् """ (see below). Śridhara says 'तिव्रःश्रेयसं धर्मादेव भवति द्रव्यादितस्वद्यानं तस्य कारणत्वेन निःशेयससाधनित्वायामा तस्वतो झातेषु बाद्याध्यात्मिकेषु विषयेषु द्रोपदर्शनादिरक्तस्य समीहानिवृत्तावात्मग्रस्य तद्यानि कर्माण्यकुर्वतः तत्परित्यागसाधनानि च श्रुतिस्मृत्युदितानि असंकल्पितफलान्युपाददानस्यास्मज्ञानमभ्यस्यतः प्रकृष्टिनिवर्तकस्मोण्यये सति परिषकात्मग्रानस्य आत्यन्तिकसरीरिवयोगस्य भावात् । "" धर्मोऽपि केवलं तावत् न निःशेयसं करोति यावदीवरेल्थ्या नानुग्रस्यते तैनेदस्रक्तम्-ईश्वरचोदनामिन्यकात् धर्मादेवित ।'

^{3 &#}x27;शाक्षेण पदार्थान् विविच्य श्रुतिरमृतीतिहासपुराणोपदिष्टयोगविभिना दीर्घकालादरनैरन्तर्यसैवितान्निहृत्तिलक्षणाद्धमीदेव तत्त्वज्ञानमुत्पवते यतोऽपकृत्यते । धर्मस्यैव प्राधान्यम् ।'

⁴ Udayana says 'अमावस्तु स्वरूपवानवि पृथक् नोदिष्टः । प्रतियोगिनिरूपणाधीननिरूपणत्वात् । न तु तुच्छत्वात् ' Sridbara says 'अभावस्य पृथगतुपदेशः मावपारतक्यात् न त्वभावात्'.

mental impressions.¹ Somadeva illustrates this statement by quoting a verse which tells us that, according to the sage Kaṇāda, the nature of an emancipated being is the same as that of the soul, as it may be supposed to exist outside the body. तहसम—

वहिः शरीराधद्वपमात्मनः संप्रतीयते । उक्तं तदेव मुक्तस्य मुनिना कणभोजिना ॥

This is in conformity with what we know of the Vaiseşika conception of salvation, which is also described as a quiescent condition comparable to a fire that has burnt up its fuel. The actual number of the attributes of the soul given by Somadeva is immaterial, since the Bhasya of Prasastapada enumerates as many as fourteen of them. The vital point is the complete loss of attributes in the state of emancipation. Sridhara says that salvation does not consist in eternal bliss but in the continued existence of the soul in its own pristine condition, divested of all specific attributes. He meets the objection of Mandana that this kind of salvation is nothing but annihilation, by contending that the cessation of specific attributes does not amount to annihilation in the case of the eternal soul. Nevertheless, the view that salvation consists in the eradication of specific attributes is rejected in philosophical texts of diverse schools, e. g. in Sāmkhyasūtras 5. 75, Bhojavṛtti on Yogasūtras 4. 33 and Bhāsarvajña's Nyāyasāra.

Somadeva makes some statements criticizing the Vaiseşika views on salvation. He criticizes the Saiddhānta Vaiseşikas by saying that faith alone cannot lead to salvation; the Udumbara fruit never becomes ripe on account of the faith of a hungry fellow. Further, if Mantras or mystic texts brought about the purification of the Self, no one would undergo the hardship imposed by self-discipline. Dīkṣā or initiation, too, cannot bring about salvation, as it is seen that the worldly blemishes which existed before initiation persist even after the completion of the rite. As regards the Tārkika Vaiseṣikas, Somadeva criticizes their view that knowledge leads to salvation. Knowledge, he says, helps one to comprehend things, but

^{1 &#}x27;ज्ञानसुखदुःखेच्छाद्वेपप्रयत्नधर्माधर्मेसंरकाराणां नवसंख्यावसराणामात्मगुणानामत्वन्तोन्सुक्तिर्मुक्तिः इति काणादाः ।'

^{2 &#}x27;तदा निरोधात् निर्वाजस्थात्मनः शरीरादिनिवृत्तिः पुनः शरीराधनुत्पत्तौ दग्धेन्धनानलबदुपशमो मोक्ष इति' Prasastapada Bhasya.

^{3 &#}x27;तस्य गुणाः वृद्धिसुखदुःग्वेच्छाद्वेषप्रयक्षधर्माधर्मसंस्कारसंख्यापरिमाणपृथक्त्वसंयोगविभागाः ।'

^{4 &#}x27;नाम्त्यात्मनो निन्यं सुयं तदभावात्र तदनुभवो मोक्षावस्था किंतु समस्तात्मिविशेषगुणोच्छेदोपलक्षिता स्वरूपिकातिदेव ।'

^{5 &#}x27;यदुक्त मण्डेनन थिशेषगुणनिवृत्तिलक्षणा मुक्तिरुच्छेदपक्षात्र भिष्यते इति । विशेषगुणोच्छेदे हि सति आत्मनः स्वरूपेणाव-स्थानं नोच्छेदो नित्यत्वात्।'

⁶ See Naisadhacarita, trans., Appendix I, p. 497.

⁷ श्रद्धा श्रेथोऽथिनां श्रेयःसंश्रयाय न केवला । बुशुश्चितवशात् पाको जायेत किसुदुम्बरे ॥ पात्रवशादिवन्मश्चादात्मदोपपरिक्षयः । दृश्चित यदि को नाम कृती क्लिड्येत संयमेः ॥ दीक्षाक्षणान्तरात् पूर्व ये दोषा भवसंभवाः । ते प्रश्चादपि दृश्यन्ते तम्न सा स्रक्तिकारणम् ॥ Pp. 270-271.

not to acquire them; the mere perception of water, for example, does not slake one's thirst.1

Somadeva offers some further criticism on the kind of salvation set forth by the Vaisesikas. The purport of what he says is: it may be readily admitted that there is no knowledge or bliss of a worldly character in salvation, but if it is assumed to be a condition in which knowledge is totally absent, what would be the character of the emancipated soul? There cannot be a soul without knowledge, just as there cannot be fire without heat. The opinion of the Yoga system of Patanjali is cited to show that knowledge exists in the state of salvation. Somadeva argues that such beings as 'jadāsiva or Īsvara cannot be held to be worldly entities since they are omniscient, and if they are not worldly entities, they must be held to be emancipated beings. Now, Patanjali tells us that Isvara, who is untouched by worldly ties such as the Kleśas, Karman and its effects, and impressions, possesses omniscience in an unlimited degree.3 The example of Siva or Isvara, emancipated yet omniscient, thus shows that knowledge can co-exist simultaneously with salvation. Somadeva reinforces his argument by quoting a verse from Avadhūta, addressed to Šiva, in which universal knowledge is attributed to the Exalted One, besides irresistible power, aversion to pleasure, contentment, self-control, supreme bliss and unimpeded energy. On these grounds Somadeva, like many other thinkers, rejects the Vaisesika view of salvation as a colourless condition devoid of attributes.

BUDDHIST DOCTRINES

a) As in the case of the Vaisesika doctrines, Somadeva makes three different statements defining Buddhist views on salvation. First, he seems to say that final release results from the practice of bhāvanā based on the realisation of nairātmya, both of which are well-known Buddhist concepts. Nairātmya is non-existence of the self; man, according to the Buddhists, is a compound of material and spiritual aggregates known as skandhas. "Just as the word 'chariot' is but a mode of expression for axle, wheels and other

¹ श्वानादवगमोऽर्थानां न तत्कार्यसमागमः । तर्पापक्षयोगि स्याद्दृष्टमेवान्यथा पयः ॥ P. 271.

² बोधो वा यदि वानन्दो नास्ति मुक्ती भवोद्भवः । सिद्धसाध्यतयास्माकं न काचित् क्षतिरीक्ष्यते ॥ न्यक्षवीक्षाविनिर्मीक्षे मोक्षे कि मोक्षिकक्षणम् । न सम्मानन्यदुष्णस्वाछक्षम् छक्षं विचक्षणैः ॥ P. 272. Ms. A remarks: समस्तपदार्थावकोक्षन-विनाशकक्षणे (मोक्षे)-

^{3 &}quot;किं च सदाशिवेश्वरादयः संसारिणो मुक्ता वा । संसारित्वे कथमाप्तता । मुक्तत्वे 'क्षेश्चकमैविपाकाश्येरपरामृष्टः पुरुविक्षेष हैश्वरस्त्रत्र निरित्तश्यं सर्वेशवीजम्' इति पत्तक्षलिजस्पितम्, 'ऐश्वर्यमप्रतिहृतं सहजो विरागस्तृतिर्गनसर्गजनिता विशते- न्द्रियेषु ।' इत्यवधृतामिथानं च न घटेत ।" 2.272.

⁴ For the verse from Avadhüta see Chap. XVIII.

^{5 &#}x27;नैरास्प्यादिनिदेदितसंभावनातो भावनातः इति दश्वलक्षित्याः' P. 269. See next Chapter for further details.

constituent members, placed in a certain relation to each other; but, when we come to examine the members one by one, we discover that, in an absolute sense, there is no chariot; in exactly the same way, the words 'living being' and 'ego' are only modes of expression for a complex of bodily and non-bodily constituents." Bhāvanā is meditation or cultivation, the highest of the three stages of spiritual training recognised by the Buddhists, the other two being sraddhā (faith) and darsana (sight, personal inquiry). As Poussin says, "That is Faith, adhesion to the word of the Master. To ascertain this statement by personal inquiry is what is called Sight. Finally, to ponder over it until it becomes not only familiar, but actually always present to the mind, that is Cultivation."

Somadeva ridicules the doctrine of bhāvanā as a means to salvation. Anything and everything may flash across the mind in the course of bhāvanā or meditation; if salvation were to result from meditation, any swindler would obtain it. Somadeva in this connection quotes a verse which describes an imprisoned thief as declaring that he can clearly see his beloved's face (by force of meditation), even though his eyes are closed and the prison locked up and it is pitchy dark inside.

The doctrine of nairātnya is set forth also in the episode of Caṇḍakarman (Book V) by the Buddhist Sugatakīrti, one of the interlocutors. He maintains that the belief in a Self is nothing but 'the certain blindness of a great delusion', and quotes two verses which declare the notion of the Self to be the source of all evils:

यः पश्यत्यात्मानं तत्यात्मिन भवति शाश्वतः स्नेहः । स्नेहात्सुखेषु तृष्यति तृष्णा दोषांस्तिरस्कुरुते ॥ आत्मिन सति परसंज्ञा स्वपरविभागात्परिग्रहद्वेषौ । अनयोः संग्रतिबद्धाः सर्वे दोषाः प्रजायन्ते ॥

"Whose believes in a Self conceives lasting affection for it; from affection arises desire for pleasures, and desire hides all blemishes. Once there is the notion of the Self, there arises that of the not-Self, and from the distinction between one's own self and others arise attachment and hatred. All evils originate, dependent on these two." Vol. II, p. 252.

Sugatakirti goes on to define the Buddhist view of salvation and calls it *nirodha* or cessation. He quotes a verse which says that, just as the flame of a lamp goes out without leaving any trace when the oil is used up,

¹ Visuddhimagga cited in Poussin: The Way to Nirvana, p. 42.

² Ibid. p. 159.

³ सर्व चैतिस भासेत वस्तु भावनया स्फुटम् । तावन्मात्रेण मुक्तत्वे मुक्तिः स्याद्विमलिभनाम् ॥ P. 271.

^{4 &#}x27;तदुक्त-प्-पिहिते कारागारे तमसि च' otc. See Chap XVIII.

similarly a man becomes calm without attachment, when passion is destroyed.

वधा स्नेहसवाहीयः प्रभाग्यति निरम्बयः । तथा हेशसवाजन्तुः प्रभाग्यति निरम्बयः ॥ Ibid. Such being the ultimate end of man, Sugatakīrti comes to the conclusion that all forms of religious austerities are nothing but self-torture.¹ He goes on to quote a verse which enumerates the five emblems of folly: the authority of the Vedas, the theory of a Creator, bathing for religious purposes, the pride of caste, and self-mortification. तद्वजम—

वेदप्रामाण्यं कस्यचित् कर्तृवादः स्नाने धर्मेच्छा जातिवादावछेपः। संतापारम्भः क्षेत्रानाशाय चेति ध्वसप्रज्ञानां पञ्च छिङ्गानि जाट्ये ॥ Ibid.

Certain other verses are cited by Sugatakīrti in support of sensual enjoyment; and the object of Somadeva is to show Buddhism in an unfavourable light, the theory of nairātmya being represented as leading to materialism. The doctrine comes in for further criticism in Sudatta's reply to Sugatakīrti. The Buddhist monk recognises his alms-bowl, the houses of the donors, and himself as recipient of the alms: how can be then believe in the non-existence of the Self? If a man becomes extinct without leaving any trace, there can be no continuity of life,4 and such a unique being cannot be compared to anything else, for example, a lamp. Further, if an individual is liable to destruction at each successive moment (for, in the Buddhist view, man is not the same for two consecutive moments), how does he hold together a compact group like that of the sense organs? How can impressions abide in something that is in a state of flux? Somadeva, therefore, declares that the Buddhist doctrine is devoid of all proof, and as such cannot provide a basis for the regulation of charma and adharma. The Buddhist, after denying the existence of the visible Self, might vociferously maintain the existence of some unseen principle (for example, the skandhas), but his position would be no better than that of the potter who asserted that his donkey had two horns, but his neighbour's ox had none! Sudatta then attacks the Buddhist doctrine that there is rebirth but no transmigration of a soul.

^{1 &#}x27;प्यं च सति केशोङ्गबनतप्तशिकारोहणकेशदर्शनाशनविनाशनद्वाचर्यादयः केश्रूमारमोपयातायैव !'

^{2 &#}x27;पयोधरभराल्लाः''' 'स्त्रीमुद्रां अवकेतनस्य'''' See Chap. XVIII.

³ सीडहं तदेव पात्रं तान्येतानि च गृहाणि दातृणाम् । इति नित्यं विद्वपोऽपि च दुराग्रहः कोऽस्य नेरात्म्ये ॥ Vol. II, p. 256.

⁴ The Jaina critic misunderstands the significance of Nixodha, and wrongly interprets it as annihilation without any sequel (निरन्य). See next Chapter for the correct meaning of Nirodha.

⁵ संतानो न निरम्बये विसष्ट्र साष्ट्रयमेतकार्द्ध प्रत्यासत्तिहते कृतः समुद्रयः का वासना वास्तिरे । तस्ते वाचि समस्त-मानरहिते ताथागते सांप्रतं धर्माधर्मनिवन्धनो विधिरयं कौतस्कृतो वर्तताम् ॥ L. 257.

⁶ इष्टालयात्तरनमदृष्टमेष प्रसाधयेश्वेद्धनासरालः। तदा खरोक्ष्णोः श्वतितो विषाणे विषक्षिषेश्व जगी कुलालः॥ 1bid.

नाई नैय परो न कमें भिरिह प्राचेग बन्धः क्रविक्रोक्ता प्रेख न तत्फ्रक्स च वदेदित्थं स चौदो यदि । कसादेप तपःसमुखतमनाश्चेत्यादिकं बन्दते किं वा तत्र तपोऽस्ति केवलमयं पूर्वेजंडो वश्चितः॥

"The Buddhist maintains that neither 'l' nor any one else exists nor does bondage, as a rule, result from karman in this life nor does a mar reap the consequences of his actions after death. If so, why does a monk, bent on austerities, worship shrines and the like? Or, perhaps, there is no scope for austerities here. The fool is simply deluded by cheats." (Vol. II, p, 257)

b) The ancient Buddhist doctrine of Nirvāna is also mentioned by Somadeva. He states that, according to the Buddhists, emancipation consists in the emergence of a detached frame of mind, and quotes two verses in illustration of the doctrine:

दिशं न कांचिद्विदिशं न कांचिन्नेवावनि गच्छति नाम्वरिक्षम् । दीपो यथा निर्कृतिमभ्युपेतः खेहश्रयात् केवलमेति शान्तिम् ॥ दिशं न कांचिद्विदिशं न कांचिन्नेवावनि गच्छति नान्तिरश्चम् । जीवन्तथा निर्कृतिमभ्युपेतः क्षेत्रश्चयात् केवलमेति शान्तिम् ॥

"The flame of a lamp, when the oil is used up, goes not to any of the four quarters nor to any point between nor earthwards nor skywards. Ceasing to burn, it simply becomes extinct. Just so a sentient being, when his passion is destroyed, goes not to any of the four quarters nor to any point between nor earthwards nor skywards. Becoming calm, he simply attains peace." (Vol. II, p. 270)²

The end of passion (klesa) is often represented as nirrāna in early Buddhist texts. The klesas are moha 'delusion', rāga 'lust' and dosa 'hatred or malice'. We read, for instance, in the Samyuttanikāya XXXVIII. I: yo kho āvuso rāgakkhayo dosakkhayo mohakkhayo idam vuccati nibbānam ti. The end of lust, hatred and delusion is here called Nirvāṇa. The nature of Nirvāṇa is discussed in the Milindapañha' which describes it as a condition in which no evil dispositions can grow. Nirvāṇa is supreme bliss, in which the burning heat of the threefold fire (lust, malice and delusion) is totally absent. As water allays the thirst of men and beasts, so does Nirvāṇa allay the thirst of craving after lusts, craving after future life, and

^{1 &#}x27;निराश्रयचित्तीत्पत्तिरूक्षणो मोझः इति मोक्षावसरास्ताधागताः ।' P. 270. See next Chapter for another reference to this definition by a Jains writer and its interpretation.

² See also Chap. XVIII.

³ See The Questions of King Milinds, trans. by Rhys Davids, Part II, pp. 190, 195, 197, 203. The extracts in Pali are from the Devanagari edition of the text, Bombay 1940.

^{4 &#}x27;निब्बानं सम्बक्तिलेसानं अविस्हनं'

^{5 &#}x27;ब्यपगततिविधश्गिसन्तापं परमञ्जल निव्यानं'

craving after worldly prosperity. Nirvāṇa is thus "a state of mind to be realised and enjoyed by a man here, on this earth, in this life, and in this life only". If grounded in virtue, and mentally alert, "the man who orders his life aright, wheresoever he may be, will realise Nirvāṇa." It is peace beyond compare.

In the Buddhist view, it is immaterial to ask what becomes of the emancipated saint after he has passed away. In the Aggi-Vacchagotta-sutta of the Majjhimanikāya, Vacchagotta is asked by the Buddha whether he can tell in what direction an expiring fire goes, whether to east, west, north or south. The reply is na upeti, the question does not apply. The fire has simply gone out for lack of fuel to sustain it. Similarly, it is pointed out, everything by which the emancipated saint might be denoted, has passed away, has been completely extirpated; 'reborn does not apply to him nor not reborn nor any combination of such terms'.

Nirvāṇa is in a sense freedom from rebirth. The man who sees the peril in the cycle of births (pavatta), says the Milindapañha, realises that it is all "burning, and blazing, full of pain and of despair", and yearns for a state in which there is no becoming (appavatta), and concentrates his mind on it; and, after mighty endeavour, having gone far beyond the cycle of existence, attains freedom from samsāra. "And when he has gained that, the man who has ordered his life aright has realised Nirvāṇa."

^{1 &#}x27;उद्दर्भ किछंततसितपिवासितवस्माभितत्तानं जनपसुवजानं पिवासाविनयनं; एवमेवः निन्वानं कामतण्हाभवतण्हाविभव-तण्हापिपासाविनयनं ।'

² Ibid. p. 181. f. n. 1.

^{3 &#}x27;याथ कल्बाचि वि ठितो सम्मापिटपक्को निब्बानं सच्छिकरोति।'

⁴ Cf. 'अनुसरं बोगक्खेमं निष्यानं' 'अनुसरं सन्तिवरपरं परियेनमानो' Ariyapariyesana Sutta, Majjhima-Nikaya (26).

⁵ See Further Dialogues of the Buddha, Vol. I, pp. 343, 344.

^{6 &#}x27;प्यतं समतिकमित्वा अप्पवत्तं ओकमति।' See Rhys Davids: Pali-English Dictionary under papatta.

⁷ The Questions of King Milinda (op. cit.), p. 201.

⁸ अनेकनन्मसंततेर्यावदबाक्षयः पुमान् । यद्यशी मुक्लवस्थायां कृतः क्षीयेत हेतुतः ॥ P. 272

So the living, moving body of the perfect man is visible still, though its cause has ceased to act: but it will soon decay and die and pass away; and as no new body will be formed, where life was, will be nothing".

Nirvāṇa corresponds in fact to jivanmuktatā, and this interpretation seems to have been well-known in the tenth century. Utpala, a writer of the Kasmir school of Śaivism, who lived about this time, defines Mokṣa as jivanmuktatā, and quotes in illustration a Buddhist verse, among others, which says that samsāra consists in a state of mind sullied by lust and other impurities; while freedom from such a condition constitutes Mokṣa.²

c) The third important reference to Buddhist doctrine is that to the Mādhyamika theory of Void (sānyatā), which Somadeva attributes to "certain Buddhists who are regular cheats, and have invented the pervasive darkness of sānyatā". The following definition of sānyatā is quoted in Yasastilaka VI. I (p. 269):

नेवान्तस्तरवमस्तीह न बहिस्तत्त्वमञ्जसा । विचारगोचरातीनेः शून्यता श्रेयसी ततः ॥

The purport of the verse is that there is no reality external or internal; hence the realisation of Void is the highest good, transcending as it does the range of the intellect. It is doubtful whether Somadeva fully appreciates the significance of the theory of sūnyatā as interpreted by Nāgārijuna and Candrakīrti in the Madhyamakakārikās and the Prasamapadā commentary respectively. The sum and substance of the doctrine is that sūnyatā is nothing but the law of causation known as pratītya-samutpāda, that is, whatever is subject to cause and condition is really unborn, has no real origination, and is hence called sūnya or void. All objects have only a relative existence like a mirage or a magic show or a dream; and the doctrine of sūnya is called madhyamaka or the middle doctrine, because it avoids the two extremes of existence and non-existence. The doctrine is such that it

¹ Buddhism, p. 114 (S. P. C. K, 1903).

^{2 &#}x27;बीड च - रागादिमलिनं चित्तं संसारस्तां इविकता । संक्षेपात् कथितो मोक्षः प्रहीनावरणाजिनः ॥' Spandapradipika p. 7 (Vizianagram Sanskrit Series).

^{3 &#}x27;भइयतोहराः प्रकाशितश्चन्यतेकान्ततिमिराः शाक्यविशेषाः'

⁴ यः प्रतीत्यससमुत्पादः शून्यतां नां प्रचक्षमहे । सा प्रश्निस्वादाय प्रतिवत् सेव मध्यमा ॥ Madhyamakakarika 24. 18. Candrakirti says: 'योऽयं प्रतीत्यसमुत्पादो हेतुप्रत्ययानपेक्ष्याङ्करविज्ञानादीनां प्रादुर्भावः स स्वभावेनानुत्पादो भावानां सा शून्यता ।'. Also 'यः प्रत्यवैज्ञायते स झजानो न तस्य उत्पादु स्वभावतोऽस्ति । यः प्रत्ययाधीनु स शून्यु उक्ती यः शून्यतां जानति सोऽप्रमक्त ॥ वित भगवनो गाथावन्तमात् । एवं प्रतीत्यसमुत्पादशब्दस्य योऽर्थः स एव शून्यताशब्दस्थाधैः न पुनरभावशब्दस्य योऽर्थः शून्यताशब्दस्थाधैः ।'

^{5 &#}x27;मावास्वप्रगन्धर्वनगरादिवत्तं लीकेकाः परार्थाः निरुपित्तिका एव सन्तः सर्वलीकस्थाविद्यातिमरोपहतमितनयनस्य प्रसिद्धिमुपगता इति पररपरापेक्षयेव केवलं प्रसिद्धिमुपगता वालेर्भ्युपगम्यःते' (Chap. 7). 'तदेवं मध्यमगदर्शन एवास्तिस्वनास्तिस्वद्यपर्शनस्थाप्रसको न विद्यानवादिर्दशनादिष्वित विद्ययम् (Chap. 15). Cf. अस्तीति शास्तायाहो नास्तीत्युच्छेददर्शनम् । तस्मादस्तिस्वनास्तित्वे नाश्रीयेत विचक्षणः॥ Madhyamakakārikā 15. 10.

must be realised in one's own heart, and hence described as unexplained by speech and beyond the range of mental comprehension. Nirvāṇa, according to the Mādhyamika school, is nothing but the realisation of sūnyatā, which consists in the cessation of the complex of worldly notions known as prapañca.

Somadeva has a fling at the doctrine of void, when he says that if a follower of the Sunya theory tries to establish it by means of independent proofs, he will be contradicting his own theory that everything is sūnya 'void' 'non-existent.' The Mädhyamikas are, however, careful to point out that we nat they maintain is not abhāva, non-existence, but the relative existence of things: they recognise neither eternalism nor annihilationism.

SAMKHYA DOCTRINES

There are two statements defining the Sāmkhya view of salvation in Yasastilaka VI. 1. The first is that salvation results from discrimination between Matter (Prakṛti) and the Self (Puruṣa). The second is that salvation is the condition in which the Self subsides in the pristine state (of pure consciousness) after the cessation of intellect, mind and egoism, resulting in the destruction of the sense organs. The expression, tadā drasṭuḥ svarāpe-vasthānam, is borrowed from the Yogasūtras 1. 3. The idea of the soul abiding in itself after the cessation of all mental and intellectual processes occurs in Sāmkhyasūtras 2. 34.

In the episode of Candakarman (Book V), Sāmkhya doctrines are represented by Asuri who quotes the following verse on the Sāmkhya conception of the Self (p. 250):

अकर्ता निर्गुणः शुद्धो नित्यः सर्वगरोऽकियः । अमृतेश्वेतनो भोक्ता पुमान् कपिछशासने ॥

¹ Candrakīrti (18. 9) says: 'बिकल्पश्चित्तप्रचार: तद्रहितत्वाद तत्तरवं निर्विकल्पम् ।'

^{2 &#}x27;शून्यतेव सर्वप्रयञ्जितृत्तिरुक्षणस्वान्तिर्वाणमित्युच्यते' Candrakīrti on Madhyamakakārikā 18. 5. Properly speaking, salvation results from the destruction of Karma and the Klešas, but the latter spring from Vikalpas or fanciful ideas, and these again from prapañca, which is eradicated only on the realisation of Kūnyatā. Nagārjuna says: क्रमेंड्रेशस्यानमोक्षः क्रमेंड्रेशा विकल्पतः। ते प्रपञ्चात् प्रपञ्चत् शून्यतायां निरुध्यते ॥ 18. 5. Candrakīrti says: 'ते च विकल्पा अनादिमत्संसाराभ्यतात् शानदेयवाच्यवाचककर्तृकर्मकरणक्रियाध्यप्रमुकुटरथरूपवेदनास्त्रीपुरुष्णभारामसुखदुःत्वयशेऽ यशोनिन्दाप्रशंसादिलक्षणदिचित्रतत् प्रपञ्चादुपजायन्ते । स चायं लेकिकः प्रपञ्चः निरवशेषः शून्यतायां सर्वभावस्थाव- शून्यतायां सर्वभावस्थाव- शून्यतायां सर्वभावस्थाव- शून्यतायां सर्वभावस्थाव-

³ शून्यं तस्त्रमदं वादी साभवामि प्रमाणतः । इत्यास्थायां विरुध्येत सर्वशून्यस्यवादिता ।। P. 272.

⁴ See above.

५ 'प्रकृतिपुरुषयोः विवेकमतेः स्यातेः इति सांख्याः ।' 1. 269.

^{6 &#}x27;बुद्धिमनोऽहंकारविरहादखिलेन्द्रियोपशमानहात्तदा द्रह्वः खरूपेऽनखानं मुक्तिः इति काषिलाः ।' P. 270.

⁷ तित्रिष्टचाङ्गपशान्तोपरागः सस्यः'

Asuri explains that Prakrti contaminates the Self, even though composed of bliss, like a piece of crystal, with such manifestations as intellect, egoism and the like, which bring in their train pleasure, pain and illusion. When the Self comes to realise the nature of Prakrti, its connection with the latter, comparable to that of fire with an iron ball, comes to an end; and the Self attains Kaivalya which means the cessation of all connection with knowledge and objects of knowledge. Asuri then declares that since salvation results simply from discrimination between Prakrti and the Self, it is useless to perform religious austerities; and one should therefore eat, drink, and be merry, and follow ones' inclination:

अनुभवत पिवत खादत विलसत मानयत कामितं लोकाः । आत्मव्यक्तिविवेकान्युक्तिनंनु किं वृथा तपत ॥

This looks like a satire on the Sāmkhya theory of salvation, but similar views are expressed in an authoritative text like the Mātharavṛtti on the Sāmkhyakārikās (37), where it is stated that, according to Kapila, a man has no prescribed duties; and final beatitude results solely from the knowledge of the twentyfive principles recognised by the Sāmkhya system. The Mātharavṛtti then quotes a verse very similar to that put in the mouth of Asuri:

इस पिब छछ मोद नित्यं विषयानुवशुक्ष कुरु च मा शङ्काम् । यदि विदितं ते कपिकमतं तत्पाप्ससे मोक्षमी ह्यं च ॥

Sudatta criticizes some of the Sankhya tenets in his reply to Asuri. If, as the Sankhyas hold, bondage and salvation, pleasure and pain, and activity and retirement belong to Prakṛti, what is the use of postulating the existence of a Self? Further, the Sankhya doctrine involves a number of self-contradictions. The Self never acts, yet it enjoys the fruits of action; it is devoid of action, yet adopts an attitude of indifference; it is eternal, yet comes into temporary association with Prakṛti; it is bound up with Prakṛti, yet separates itself from the latter; it is pure, yet it is connected with the impure body; and it is called bliss, though it has no attributes. Besides, if the Self is eternal and without any form, it can have no connection with actions producing their results, just as the sky can have no connection with binding ropes.

In VI. I also there is some criticism of Sāmkhya views. Somadeva asks how it is possible to discriminate between the Prakṛti and the Self, both

^{1 &#}x27;स बदाः स्मार्टिकाइमानमिवानम्दात्मानमध्यात्मानं सुखदुः समोहावहपरिवर्तमेहदहं कारादिविवर्तैः काष्ठुपयन्त्याः प्रकृतेः स्वरूपमवगच्छति, तदायोभयगोलकानल तुस्यवर्गस्य बोधवहृदुधानेकसंस्रगस्य स्रांत त्रिसर्गे सक्तलान हेयसंबन्धाः बैकर्व्यं केवस्यमवलम्बते नदा द्रष्टुः स्वरूपेऽवस्थानमिति बचनात्। P. 250.

वन्थमोक्षी सुखं दुःखं प्रवर्तननिवर्तने । यद्येष प्रकृतेर्थमः कि स्थात् पुंसः प्रवत्यनम् ॥ P. 253.

⁴ अकर्ताप पुमान् भोका कियाश्रृणेऽप्युदासिता। नित्योऽपि आतसंसर्गः सवर्गोऽपि वियोगभाक् ॥ शुद्धोऽपि देहसंबद्धो निर्गुणोऽपि श्रमुन्यते। श्लम्योन्यविरुद्धोक्तं न युक्तं कापिलं वयः ॥ P. 253.

⁴ निलेडमूर्ते तदा दंशि करीभिः खफलेरिभिः। कुतो घटेत संबन्धो यथाकाशस्य रक्काभिः ॥ P. 254.

eternal and pervasive. He seems also to criticize the Sankhya theory that the self continues to exist without any knowledge or mental process after the cessation of its connection with the material world. He points out that the Self is bound to have unlimited knowledge even in its pristine state, once the impurity of Karma is removed, in the same way as the Self has knowledge of things in dreams that come true. The analogy of dream consciousness is continued; and Somadeva contends that even a blind man can see things in a dream, and it is therefore wrong to suppose that the emancipated Self can have no knowledge, being without any sense organs. It is but natural that the intellect should reveal the entire range of objects, like the rays of the sun, once the screen of Karma is destroyed.

VEDANTA DOCTRINES

There are two references to Vedānta doctrines in Yasastilaka VI. 1. First, it is stated that, according to the Vedāntins, salvation takes place when Nescience, which brings about the cognition of endless divergences, is destroyed as the result of the realisation of the Absolute. Secondly, all living creatures are merged in the Absolute after the final annihilation of the body, just as the space enclosed within a jar is merged in universal space after the breaking up of the jar.

Somadeva criticizes the above doctrines thus. First, if all divergence is dismissed as Nescience, how can the varied character of the world be explained, with its palpable manifestations of birth, death, pleasure and the like? Secondly, if the Absolute alone exists and nothing else, why is there no cessation of the waves of worldly phenomena? Why is the universe distinctly seen? Why is it not merged in the Absolute, just as the space enclosed within a jar is merged in universal space? The Vedāntins also say that the Supreme Soul is one, though it abides in each individual: it is seen both as one and many, like the moon and its reflections in water. The

:

¹ अध्यक्तनत्योनित्यं नित्यन्यापित्वभावयोः । वित्रेकेन कथं ख्याति मांख्यमुख्याः प्रचक्षते ॥ P. 271.

² See above.

³ बाह्य आह्य मलापायात् सलस्त्रम इवात्मनः । तदा द्रष्टुः स्वरूपेऽसिञ्जवस्थानममानकम् ॥ P. 272. Ms. A says: कमैक्षयात् केवलेन ज्ञानेन बाह्य पदार्थे आह्य अवलोकिते सति द्रष्टुरात्मनः स्वस्वरूपेऽवस्थानं स्वितिर्भवति । मानरहित- मनन्तं ज्ञानं स्वादित्यर्थः ।

⁴ यत्र नेत्रादिकं नास्ति न तत्र मतिरास्मनि । तत्र युक्तमिदं यस्मात्स्वप्रमन्धोऽपि वीक्षते ॥ P. 272

⁵ तदावृतिवृती तस्य तपनस्थेव दीधितिः। कथं न श्रेमुरी सर्वे प्रकाश्चयति वस्तु यत्॥ P. 273.

^{6 &#}x27;प्रमम्बद्धनवशादशेषभदसंबदनाविषाविनाशात् इति वेदान्तवादिनः ।' P. 269.

^{7 &#}x27;वथा घटनियटने वदाकाशनाकाशीभवति तथा देहोच्छेदात् सर्वः प्राणी परे बक्काणि लीयते इति ब्रह्माद्वैतवादिनः ।' P. 270.

⁸ भेदोऽयं यचनिया स्वाद्वैचिन्धं जगतः कृतः । जन्ममृत्युसुखप्रायौर्वेचनैमीनवर्तिभिः ॥ P. 272.

⁹ बढ़ीकं यदि सिदं स्वाकिस्तरकं कृतका स । घटाकाशमिवाकारे तत्रेदं लीवतां जगत् ॥ P. 273.

comparison is, however, fallacious, because the moon is seen as one in the sky and as many elsewhere in the form of reflections; but the undifferentiated Absolute is nowhere seen apart from the diversities of the visible world.¹

JAIMINIYA DOCTRINES

Somadeva refers to the Jaiminiyas or the followers of Jaimini, who declare that the mind is by nature impure like charcoal and collyrium, and can never be purified.² This opinion is expressed also by Dhūmadhvaja in the episode of Caṇḍakarman and Sudatta, and he deduces from it a sensualist conception of life. He maintains that just as charcoal, though rubbed, never becomes white, similarly the mind, impure by nature, never becomes pure.³ Further, there is no heaven in the guise of another world for which it is worth while to perform religious austerities; the real heaven is the ardent mutual love of a maiden of twelve and a youth of sixteen!⁴ Dhūmadhvaja goes on to ridicule those who forsake the pleasures of the senses and strive for pain rather than pleasure.

The opinions of Dhūmadhvaja are controverted by Sudatta. If the mind or the inner faculty is impure, why does Kumārila in offering salutation to Siva describe him as being composed of pure knowledge? Sudatta then cites a verse which declares the existence of the eternal soul: it is interwoven with all things, yet not in contact with anything, and its nature is ever pure as the sky. क्यं चेदं वचनमजर्थम्—

समस्तेषु वस्तुष्वनुस्यूनमेकं समस्तानि वस्तुनि यश्च स्पृशन्ति । विषद्भत् सदा शुद्धमधस्यक्षयं स सिद्धोपलब्धः स निस्रोऽहमात्मा ॥ P. 254

The sage concludes by saying that just as a gem tarnished by impurities can be cleansed with effort, and ore turned into gold by a process of manufacture, similarly the Self can be liberated from passion by those who are well-meaning and resort to the canon of the Arhats.

अध मतम्—एक एव हि भूतात्मा देहे देहे व्यवस्थितः । एकथानेकथा चापि दृश्यते जलचन्द्रवत् ॥ तद्युक्तम् । एकः खेडनेकथान्यत्र यथेन्दुर्वेवते जनैः । न तथा वेवते महा भेदेभ्योऽन्यदभदभाक् ॥ १. 273.

^{2 &#}x27;अङ्गाराजनादिवत् स्वभावादेव काजुव्योत्वर्षप्रवृत्तस्य वित्तस्य न कुतिश्चिद्रिशुद्धवित्तवृत्तिः इति जैमिनीयाः ।'

³ प्रथमाणी यथाङ्गारः शुक्रतां नैति जातुचित् । विश्वध्यति क्षतिकत्तं निसर्गमिलनं तथा ॥ P. 250.

^{4 &#}x27;न चापरमिषस्ताविषः समधोऽस्ति यदधोऽयं नपःप्रयासः सफलायासः स्थात् । यतः द्वादशवर्षः योगा पोडश-वर्षोचितस्थितिः पुरुषः । प्रीतिः परस्परमन्थोः स्वगः स्मृतः सद्भिः ॥' P. 251.

^{5 &#}x27;शुष्यमाणाङ्गारवदन्तरङ्गस्य विशुक्रभावे कथमिदमुदाहारि कुमारिलेन-विशुद्धशानदेहाय।नमः सोमार्थ-भारिणे॥' See Chapter XVIII.

⁶ मलक्कुषतायातं रसं विद्युध्यति यसतो भवति कनकं तत्पाषाणो यथा च कृतिक्रियः । कुशलमतिभिः कैश्चिद्धन्यैस्तथाप्तनः याश्रितैरयमपि गलस्क्वेशाभोगः क्रियेत परः पुमान् ॥ P. 254.

The Jaiminiya doctrine is criticised also in VI. 1. Somadeva says that removal of impurities involves transformation of character, and this can be brought about through the operation of the appropriate causes as in the case of gems and pearls.¹

CARVÁKA DOCTRINES

Somadeva refers to the Bärhaspatyas or the followers of Brhaspati and calls them the leaders of all the Nāstikas or materialists, popularly known as the Cārvākas. According to them, dharmas or qualities may be said to exist only if we can be sure of the existence of a Dharmin, one who possesses dharmas, that is, the Self. Similarly, there is no other world than this, because no one lives after death. The question of salvation thus does not arise. The Bärhaspatyas then regard emancipation as a myth.

In the episode of Candakarman and Sudatta, the former sets forth Cārvāka doctrines. He declares that those who believe in the rebirth of a dead man, those who believe in a Dharma whose result is unseen, and those who believe in a soul apart from the body are people who 'see blues and yellows'. The four elements, constituting the body, and moved by the five breaths, produce consciousness, just as substances like flour-water, molasses, and the Dhātakī creeper possess intoxicating properties, and just as the combination of lime, betel and areca-nut produces a red colour. Consciousness lasting from birth to death never reemerges, once it has reached its term, like a leaf fallen from the tree. Since there is no life after death, and the living creatures are like bubbles of water, all painful efforts (such as religious austerities) are futile. "One should therefore live

¹ स्वमावान्तरसंभृतिर्यंत्र तत्र मलक्षयः । कर्ते शक्यः स्वहेतुभ्यो मणिमुक्ताफलेभ्विव ॥ P. 271.

^{2 &#}x27;सित धर्मिणि धर्माश्चिन्त्यन्ते ततः परलोकिनोऽभावात परलोकाभावे कत्यासौ मोक्षः इति समवाप्तसमस्तनास्तिकाधिपत्या बाईरपत्याः ।' P. 269.

³ पश्यन्ति थे जन्म मृतस्य जन्तोः पश्यन्ति ये धर्ममदृष्टसाध्यम् । पश्यन्ति येऽन्यं पुरुषं शरीरात् पश्यन्ति ते नीलक्ष्मीत-कानि ॥ P. 252.

⁴ Empedocles likewise taught that "it is by these (elements) men think and feel pleasure and pain". Thought, like sense-perception, is only a function of the body, which is composed of the elements (fire, air, water and earth). Burnet: Barly Greek Philosophy, p. 247; Zeller: Outlines of the History of Greek Philosophy, p. 57. In his religious teaching, however, Empedocles laid stress on the doctrine of transmigration of souls.

⁵ The important Jewish sect of the Sadducees denied 'the survival of the soul—it perishes with the body—and the rewards and punishments in Hades.' Moore: Judaism, Vol. II, p. 317.

^{6 &#}x27;प्राणापानसमानोदानन्यानन्यतिकीर्णेन्यः कायाकारपरिणतिसंकीर्णेन्यो वनपवनावनिषवनसखेभ्यः पिष्टोदकगुढशातकीः प्रमुखेन्य इव मदशक्तिः, पर्णचूर्णकमुकेम्य इव रागसंपत्तिस्तदारमगुणकार्थस्वभावतया नैनन्यमुपजायते । तश्च गर्भादि- मरणपर्यन्तपर्यायसतीतं सत् पादपात् पतितं पत्रमिव न पुनः प्ररोहति । तथा च परलोकाभावे जकबुद्धदस्यभावेषु जीवेषु

, **\$**

merrily as long as one lives: no one can escape death, and the body, burnt to ashes and extinct, never returns."

Sudatta while criticizing the above doctrines tries to establish the existence of the Self and continuity of births. He cites three factors in favour of his contention. First, a new-born child, just after his birth, sucks his mother's breast, which cannot be explained otherwise than by supposing the continuity of impressions acquired in a previous birth. Secondly, it is seen that certain persons become evil spirits after death. Thirdly, there are people who can remember their previous lives.2 Fourthly, no sentient being ever originates from the elements. Hence the conclusion that the Self exists, eternal, and conscious of the manifestations of Matter. Further, it is seen that the body, the sense organs, and the intellect exist only so long as the Self exists, and decay as soon as the Self departs. The Self might be supposed to be non-exisent if the body and the sense-organs continued to exist even after death, but as this does not take place, the dependence of the body upon the Self must be accepted as true.4 The fact is that the Self is not composed of the elements; it possesses qualities different from theirs. Besides, if the Self were composed of the elements, the latter would also cease to exist when the former ceased to exist. The Self has characteristic qualities such as knowledge, pleasure and pain; and the elements, too, for example, earth, air and fire have their own distinctive qualities: upholding, impelling and burning respectively.6

Some are of opinion that a bilious constitution produces among other things intelligence and intellect, but the theory cannot be accepted as valid. Increase or decrease of intelligence may be due to a corresponding excess or

मदशक्तिप्रतिशाने किमधें। लोकस्यात्मसपताः प्रयक्षः ।' P. 253. It will be seen that the Carvaka here recognises only four elements: water, air, earth and fire. See also next Chapter (section on the Barhaspatyas).

¹ Sallustius, a Greek writer of the fourth century A. D., employs a similar argument in support of transmigration of Souls. "The transmigration of souls can be proved from the congenital afflictions of persons. For why are some born blind, others paralytic, others with some sickness in the soul itself?" Sallustius: On the Gods and the world, Eng. trans. in Murray: Five Stages of Greek Religion, pp. 241-267.

² Empedocles likewise speaks (fr. 129) of a man of rare knowledge, believed to refer to Pythagoras, who could remember what happened ten or twenty generations before, Burnet (op. cit.), p. 224.

³ तद्रईजस्तनेहातो रक्षोदृष्टेर्भवस्मृतैः । भूतानन्वयनाष्ट्रीवः प्रकृतिहः सनातनः ॥ P. 271.

⁴ पुंसि तिष्ठति तिष्ठन्ति शरीरेन्द्रियसुद्धयः । यान्ति यातेऽन्यथैतासां सस्त्रे सस्त्रं प्रसञ्यताम् ॥ P. 257.

⁵ विरुद्धगुणसंसर्गोदात्मा भूतारमको न हि । भूजलानलवातानामन्त्रथा न व्यवस्थितिः ॥ Ibid.

⁶ विशानसुखदःखदिराणिकृतः पुमानवम् । भारणेरणदाहःदिभर्माभारा भरादवः ॥ Ibid.

deficiency of bile, just as fire waxes and wanes in proportion to the quantity of fuel consumed, but the sharpness of intellect is always seen to be due to study and learning at the feet of a teacher: to deny this is to contradict what is self-evident. In any case, there cannot be any causal relation between bile and intelligence, because there might be plenty of intelligence even when bile is totally absent.

It follows therefore that the mind cannot be a product or attribute of the body nor can it be identical in composition with the body.

PASUPATA DOCTRINES

Somadeva tells us that, according to the Pāsupatas, ritual alone leads to salvation, meaning thereby the system of ritual prescribed in the religious texts of the Pāsupatas. The chief Pāsupata practices mentioned by him are smearing the body with ashes in the morning, at noon and in the evening, adoration (of the idol or the phallus of Siva or Pasupati), ceremonial offering of water-pots (probably before the idol), circumambulation from left to right, self-humiliation and other processes.

Somadeva does not explain any of the practices mentioned above, but we can understand most of them with the help of the commentary on the Gaṇakārikā of Bhāsarvajña, who flourished about the middle of the tenth century, and was probably a contemporary of Somadeva. It may be noted that the Gaṇakārikā is one of the very few works on the Pāśupata system so far published, and both the text and the commentary thereon have been quoted in the brief account of the Pāśupata school in the Sarvadarsana-saṅgraha. Passing references to Pāśupata doctrines are found also in the commentaries and sub-commentaries on the Vedāntasūtras 2. 2. 37 and specially in the Āgamaprāmānya of Yāmunamuni, the teacher of Rāmānuja; but perhaps the best extant account of the system is found in the commentary called Ratnaṭīkā on Bhāsarvajña's Gaṇakārikā.

As regards the religious practices of the Pāsupatas mentioned by Somadeva, the Ratna commentary refers not only to the smearing of the

¹ अब मतस्—पित्तपक्कतिशीमान् मेथानी क्रोधनोऽल्पकामधा । प्रस्तेषकालपिलतो भवति नरी नात्र संदेहः ॥ तत्र प्रवर्हम् । वृद्धिद्वानी यथाधः स्तामेथोत्कवापकर्षतः । पित्ताथिकोनभावाभ्यां बुद्धः संप्राप्तृतस्त्रथा ॥ गुरूपासनमभ्यासो विशेषः शास्त्रानिक्षवे । इति दृष्टस्य द्वानिः स्थात्तथा च तव दर्शने ॥ कुतिश्चित् पित्तनाशेऽपि बुद्धरितशयेश्वणात् । कुतः प्रभवभावोऽत्र स्याद्वीजाङ्करयोतिष ॥ × × × एवं च सतीदं न किंचित् । देहात्मिका देहकार्यो देहस्य च गुणो मतिः । मतत्रयं समाजिस्य नारत्यभ्यासस्य संभवः ॥ P. 258.

^{2 &#}x27;त्रिकाङमसोद्धलनेन्यागद्धकप्रदानप्रदक्षिणीकरणात्मविद्यन्यनादिकियाकाण्डमात्राधिष्ठानादन्द्रधानाद् इति पाश्चपताः' 1.269.

S See also next Chapter.

⁴ The Pāsupata Sūtras with the Bhāsya of Kaupdinya has recently been published in Trivandrum Sanskrit Series.

body with ashes, three times a day, but to the habit of sleeping on such ashes in the shrine of the deity, and mentions also the custom of ceremonial circumambulation in the course of the devotions. Ijyā is 'worship', 'adoration', the word being found in the Ratna commentary in connection with the worship of the phallus of Siva. Atmavidambana or self-humiliation refers to a group of curious and ludicrous practices calculated to bring the devotee into contempt.

The Ratna commentary says that these practices are designed to create a feeling of disrespect (apamāna) in the minds of the people in order that the devotee may suffer humiliation, apamāna being compared to a forest-fire and regarded as something desirable, probably because it destroys one's pride and teaches one to be meek and mild.* This is no doubt a very curious method of inculcating humility; and we are also told that the devotee should indulge in certain antics in full view of a crowd, like an actor on the stage.* The practices in question are krāthana or pretending to be asleep while awake; spandana or shaking the limbs like one suffering from an epileptic fit; mandana or walking like a lame man; srīngāraṇa or indulging in amorous gestures as if at the sight of a beautiful young woman; apitataraṇa or acting in a disgraceful manner like one devoid of commonsense; and apitadbhāṣaṇa or uttering incoherent and meaningless words.

^{2 &#}x27;मृतिश्वास्त्र यहुपहार स्त्रे महादेवेज्यास्थान मृथ्वेलिङ्गादिलसूणं व्याख्यातम्' etc. Ijyā may also be equivalent to Yāga, a technical term of the Pāsupatus. The entire ritualistic process is called Carya which is the means to Dharma. Carya is composed of Dāna, Yāga and Tāpa. Dana is self-surrender to the deity accompanied by salutations. Yāga is the proper execution of the prescribed ritualistic acts such as smearing the body with ashes. Tāpa is patient endurance of suffering in the discharge of one's religious duties. See kutnaṭākā under verse 7. Caryā is called also Vidhi and defined as समझानादिग्दान्तः जिल्लासमूरः with which may be compared the definition of Vidhi cited in next Chapter (section on Pāsupatas). Caryā is described also as twofold: vrata and the dvāras. Smearing the body with ashes, sleeping over them, offerings, japa and pradakṣiṇa constitute vrata. The dvāras are the practices known as krāthana, spandana etc.

^{3 &#}x27;न चैवा क्रमो निवस्थते । किलवपमानादिनिष्पादकस्वं वेल परिभवं गच्छेदित्सुपवेशादवासितुस्थत्वेनापमानादेरिष्टतमत्वा-

रङ्गवरवस्थितेषु जनेषु मध्ये नटबदवस्थितो विवेच्य काथनादीनि कुर्यात् ।

Salvation, according to the Pāsapatas, is not only cessation of pain but the acquisition of unlimited supernatural powers; but as far as can be judged from the scanty literature available on the doctrines of the Pāsapatas, salvation in their view does not solely depend upon ritual, as alleged by Somadeva. Caryā or ritual is no doubt a very important factor in the Pāsapata system, but nevertheless it is only one among the five upāyas or means of attaining perfection in the religious life. It is, however, possible that Somadeva may be referring to contemporary Pāsapatas, who, like all followers of ritualistic systems, may be supposed to have laid particular emphasis on Caryā or Vidhi as a means of emancipation.

Caryā, also called cari and ridhikriyā, is fully explained in Kaundinya's commentary on the Pāsupata Sātras 2, 13; 3, 2; 4, 2 etc. It comprises the entire range of Pāsupata practices which are of two kinds: public and private. The public practices are the peculiar ones mentioned above, and the devotee who undertakes them in the presence of all is called vyaktācāra. The private observances are those which he carries out unseen by others and known as vrata; hence he is called gādhavrata,

The practices of krāthana, maṇḍana⁸ and the rest are designed to hold up the devotee to ridicule and make him accustomed to humiliation, insults and censure. They are calculated to promote indifference to the world; and there is also the curious belief that the devotee thereby acquires the religious merit of the onlookers who insult him, and transfers his own sins to them. The Pāsupata devotee who is totally devoid of worldly ties is enjoined to go about like a man not in his senses, even like a mad man, whose true nature remains unrevealed to the people at large. The indignities which he willingly suffers appear to take the place of the austerities recognised by other schools. The Pāsupata Sūtras 3. 18, 19 declare, for instance, that while undergoing humiliation, the devotee, conversant with the truths, achieves the full measure of austerities. The inculcation of humility is no doubt the main purpose of

^{1 &#}x27;धमस्योपायः चर्या'

² बासभा अपध्यानं सदारहरसृतिस्त्रथा। प्रसादभीव हाभानासुपायाः पञ्च निश्चिताः॥ Ganakārikā (7). The five recognised means of attaining the lābhas or ways of perfection are vāsa or intellectual discipline including the thorough comprehension and realisation of the tenets of the school and the effort to carry them into practice; caryā or ritual; religious meditation; concentration of the mind on Siva as a regular and constant process; and the favour of the deity. See also next Chapter.

³ Kaundinya uses the form mantana.

^{4 3.3-9.}

^{5 4, 6, 8.}

these practices; as we are told that lack of pride born of caste and social standing is superior to all the Yantras, by which are meant sacrificial rites and privations such as prolonged fastings. It may be noted that the Pāśupatas, unlike the later Vīraśaivas, recognise the caste-system. The devotee is invariably referred to as a Brāhmaṇa, and it is forbidden to talk to a Śūdra. But the devotee must discard pride of birth; and the Pāśupata way of life, characterized by a complete disregard for personal and social decorum, is designed to result in eradication of caste rules and intensification of vairāgya.

The private practices known as vrata are resorted to in the ayatana, that is, any place sacred to Siva where the devotee may choose to reside. He must 'bathe' himself with ashes three times a day, sleep over a bed of ashes, and cleanse himself when necessary with the holy ashes (anusnana). He may not wear a wreath of fresh flowers, but take up only such flowers as have been offered to the idol of Siva. As part of his devotions, he does mental obeisance to the deity (namaskāra), meditates on the sacred formulas, and resorts to certain practices which are again peculiar to the Pāsupata sect. In the shrine of the deity, he breaks out into loud laughter, sings songs in Sanskrit or Prākrit in honour of Siva, and indulges in dancing. He makes also a sound called dumdumkāra (called also hudukkāra in later texts) resembling the bellowing of a bull and produced by joining the tip of the tongue to the palate. Singing and dancing as a medium of religious fervour are well-known in the history of religion. We may refer, for instance, to the early Christian sect of the Euchites, or Massalians, who, like the Pāsupatas, were quietists, lived on alms and had no possessions. They spent all their time in prayers, and indulged in frenzied dancing. Sects like the Massalians had no organisation, but appear to have led a loosely knit communal life. The Pāsupata devotions, on the other hand, were individual and carried out in seclusion.

Ijyā, as explained above, is adoration; and the worship of Siva is no doubt the central feature of the Pāśupata cult. But ijyā properly means yāga 'sacrifice'; and the Pāśupatas have their own interpretation of what sacrifice is. Kaundinya says that Yāga is bhāvanā or mental concentration on

l Kaundinya 4. 9.

^{2 1.13}

³ Kaundinya 3. 11 saya: सर्वसंन्यारवर्जितेन भवितन्यम् । अतो वर्णाश्रमन्युच्छेदो वैराग्योत्साहश्च जायते.

^{4 &#}x27;मामे वा यदि वारण्ये पुण्यस्थानं हि श्रूलिनः । आवासो धर्मेतृप्तानां सिद्धिनं हि तत् परम्॥' quoted by Kaundinya 1.7.

⁵ Details in Kaundinya 1. 8.

⁶ The Massalians who have been called 'Christian fakirs' first appeard on the borders of Syria and Armenia in the latter half of the fourth century, and spread to Syria and Asia Minor. Kidd: A History of the Church, Vol. II, p. 373.

Mahesvara to the exclusion of any other deity. Further, we have the doctrine of atiyajana or higher sacrifice in contrast to kuyajana or the inferior sacrificial rites of the Vedic religion, so called on account of himsa and other defects inherent in them. The atiyajana is, however, nothing more than the Pasupata scheme of discipline comprising the religious practices collectively known as Carya.

The Pasupatas had, as a matter of fact, no elaborate ritual like that of the Saivas, who had their Agamas, Tantras and Paddhatis dealing with the subject. They worshipped Siva, the lingam or any other image of the god, Vrsadhvaja, Sülapāni, Nandi or Mahākāla. An important proviso laid down in the Pāsupata Sūtras is that the worshipper should have in view the Dakṣiṇāmūrti of Siva, that is, he should stand on the southern side of the deity, turning his face towards the north. Stress is also laid on the meditation of the Praṇava; and the devotee repeats various holy mantras which invoke the different aspects of Mahesvara. The Sādhaka no doubt worships the image of Siva, but his attention is directed to the incorporeal aspect of the deity. Pāsupata worship is really self-surrender (atidāna) to the Supreme Being, who is without any sense organ, beyond the senses, pervasive and self-existent; who sees without eyes and hears without ears; has no intellect, but has nothing outside the scope of His knowledge; and knows all, but has no knower.

Somadeva criticizes the Päsupatas by pointing out that religious acts are useless without knowledge and faith. He opines that if a man is devoid of knowledge, his action is barren of result: the blind may enjoy the shade of a tree, but not the beauty of its fruits. Further, knowledge is useless in the case of one who is lame, that is, unable to act, and so is action in the case of a blind unbeliever; it is the combination of knowledge, action and faith that leads to the realisation of the summum bonum. Somadeva

¹ Kaundinya 2. 9 says: यष्टव्यो अगवान् महेश्वरः । यजनं भावनाः Cf. गच्छंस्तिष्ठन् श्रयानी वा जाप्रवेद स्वपंस्तथा । शङ्करे भावनां कुर्योद् यदीच्छेद् बोगमात्मनः ॥ quoted by Kaundinya on Pāšupata Sūtras 2, 20: नाम्यभक्तिस्तु शङ्करे.

² Kaundinya 2. 15 says: अतियजनं नाम यदायतने लोके वा । तत्रायतने स्नानहसिताचा छोके च क्राधनस्य-न्द्रनाचा विविक्तियाः

^{3 1.9.}

⁴ For example, 'बानदेशय सभी ज्येष्ठाय तमी रदाय तम ', 'काळाव तम ', 'कळविकरणाव तमः' 'बळप्रमथनाय' तमः', 'मलोऽमनाय तमः'. The last mantra sums up the Pāsupata conception of God.

^{5 2, 15, 27,}

⁶ आमहीने किया पुलि पर सारमते फलम् । तरोम्छावेव कि सम्या फलभीनैष्टवृष्टिभिः ॥ हार्न पद्मी किया जान्ये निःमदे नार्यक्रद्वयम् । रातो वानकियामदाजयं तरपद्वारणस् ॥ २. २७१.

concludes his remarks by quoting a verse, the purport of which is that knowledge without action is useless as is action without knowledge; a blind man, if he runs, comes to grief and so does a lame man, even though he has the power of seeing.

हतं हानं किवासून्यं हता चाजातिनः किया । धावसप्यन्धको नष्टः पश्यद्वपि च पहुकः ॥ Vol.II, p. 271

THE KULĀCĀRYAS AND TRIKAMATA

Somadeva tells us that, according to the Kulācāryas, that is, Tāntrikas, salvation results from unhesitating indulgence in all kinds of food and drink, forbidden or otherwise.¹ It may be noted that another Jaina writer, Yasahpāla, the author of the allegorical drama Moharājaparājaya, written two centuries later, gives about the same definition of the views of the Kaulas or Kulācāryas. The Kaula in this play declares that one should daily take meat and drink heavily, that being the religion taught by him, allowing free scope to one's desires.²

The views of the Kulācāryas are identified by Somadeva with Trikamata. According to this system, one should, after indulging in meat and drink, worship Siva with wine in company with a female partner sitting on one's left during the rites: the worshipper is to play the rôle of Siva united with Pārvatī and exhibit the Yonimudrā.' This is, generally speaking, a more or less accurate picture of Tantric ritual as elaborated in texts like Kulārnavatantra and Kulacudāmanitantra, although many of the details are unintelligible and obscure. The use of wine and meat is a conspicuous feature of the cult. As regards relations with the other sex, the Kulacūdāmanitantra, for instance, gives a colourful description of the worshipper setting about the mystic rites at night in company with his partner. perfumed and dressed in red and richly adorned with gold ornaments; she sits on a cushion to his left and he gracefully clasps her around with his arm.4 It should, however, be remembered that ceremonial worship of women of all castes without any distinction is an important feature of Tantric ritual; but the use of meat and wine for religious purposes and freedom of association with women must have led to abuses, and Somadeva

l 'सर्वेषु पेवापेवसक्ष्यासक्ष्यादिषु निःशक्कृतिसाङ्गात् इति कुलानार्यकाः।' The term बुलानार्य is met with in Tantrik texts, e. g. in Kulannavatantra, chap. II.

² खलाइ मंसं अणुदिण पिछाइ मर्छा च मुक्क्संबर्ध ! अणिबारियमणपसरी एसी धन्मी मए दिही ॥ IV. 22

^{3 &#}x27;तथा च त्रिकमतोक्तिः मदिरामोदमेदुरवदनसारसरसश्रमबृहदयः सञ्यपार्श्वविनिवेश्वितशक्तिः शक्तिमुद्रासनथरः स्वयः मुमामहेश्वरायमाणः कृष्णया शर्वाणाश्वरमाराभयेत् इति । ' म. 269.

⁴ Chap. 3. See also Kularaava, chap. 10 (भूगुवारे कुलेशानि वान्तामारुद्धयोवनाम् etc.).

⁵ Ibid. 3, 16, 17. Cf. Kularnava, chap. 11-या कचिदक्षना लोके सा मात्कलसंभवा।

has no doubt based his definition of Kaula views on what he saw and heard in his own days. The Kularnavatantra (Chap. 9) says, like Somadeva, that the Kaulikas do not observe any restriction with regard to food and drink, and goes on to declare that for them exists no injunction or prohibition, virtue or vice, heaven or hell.3 But the Kaula devotee is described also as a man free from desires, ever content, impartial and chaste, without any striving and desire for heaven, and conversant with the highest truths. The Kaula mystics go about the world in different guises, doing good to men, inscrutable and unperceived by others.4 The Kaula ideal is, it is true, to eat, drink and be merry, but it is also one of concord and amity, for the Kaula is enjoined not to make any distinction between "You" and "I". Salvation, according to the Kaula system, seems to be union with Siva to be attained by knowledge, ignorance being destroyed by the performance of one's religious duties'. Somadeva's definition of Kaula views does not take into consideration this aspect of the cult; and he criticizes the Kaula standpoint by asserting that if salvation was the outcome of reckless living, it would sooner come to the thugs and butchers than to the Kaulas."

Somadeva, as we have seen, treats the Kaula doctrine as identical with Trikamata, to which there is another reference in Book I. We are told that the young prince Māradatta considered his body to be divine like one initiated into the Trika doctrine. The commentary of Śrutasāgara takes Trikamata to mean Śaiva doctrine; and the divine character of the body seems to be a tenet common to the Śaiva and Tāntrika systems. The Kulārnavatantra (Chap. 9) says, for instance, that the body is the abode of the gods, while the Self is Lord Sadāsiva himself. The doctrine is found in a more developed form in the Sūtasamhitā (belonging to the Skandapurāṇa), a comprehensive work of the Advaita school of Śaivism. The Sūtasamhitā says among other things that the various organs are presided

¹ अपेयमाप पेवं सादमस्यं भस्यमेव च । अगन्यमाप गर्न्यं सात् कौलिकानां कुलेश्वरि ॥

व विधिनं निवेषः स्थात्र पुण्यं न च पातकम् । न स्वगां नैव नरकः कौलिकानां कुळेश्वरि ॥ Ibid.

³ निन्स्प्रहो नित्ससंदुष्टः समदर्शी जितेन्द्रियः । स्वर्गहीनोऽप्रयासी च योगी परमतस्ववित् ॥ Ibid.

⁴ नोगिनो विविधेवेशैर्नराणां हितकारिणः । श्रमन्ति पृथिवीमेतामविशातस्वरूपिणः । Ibid. The expression कुल्योगां is frequently used.

विवन् मचं वमन् सादन् सेव्छाचारपरायणः । अहं त्वमनयोरेक्यं भावयेश्विवसेत् मुख्य् ॥ Ibid.

⁶ कमिणोनम्लितेऽकाने कानेन शिवतां बजेदा । शिवनयस्थित मुक्तिः स्वादतः कमें समापथेदा ॥ Ibid.

तिःश्रहास्मप्रकृतिः स्वाबदि मोक्षसमीक्षणम् । उनस्ताकृतां पूर्वे पश्चाद् कीलेष्वसौ अवेत् ॥ P. 271.

^{8 &#}x27;सक्छ्यनसाधारणेऽपि स्वदेहे त्रिकमतदीक्षितस्यैव देवभूयेनाभिनिविशमानस्य'. Vol. I, p. 43.

^{9 &#}x27;देही देवाकमी देवि जीवी देवः सदाशिवः'.

over by various deities; for example, the sun is the deity of the eye, Varuna that of the tongue, Fire that of speech and so on. Moreover, five deities are said to abide in the body composed of the five elements: Brahman in the earthy portion, Isvara in the airy portion, Visquin the watery portion, Rudra in the fiery portion, and Sadasiva himself in the portion of the body composed of ether. For this reason the body, whether one's own or that of another, should be honoured as having a divine character (devatāmaya); no one should de despised even by mistake, and even a fool or a villain should be respected as possessing a body that is divine. Similar views are expressed in the Sivapurāna (Sanatkumārasamhitā, Chap. 39).

A passing reference to Tantric doctrine as Mantravada dealing with the mystic diagrams or Yantras occurs in Book I.²

SAIVA DOCTRINES

Śaiva doctrines are prominently dealt with in the episode of Candakarman in Yasastilaka, Book V (pp. 251, 254 ff,); and the discussion is of particular interest in view of the struggle of Jainism against the rising tide of Saivism in certain parts of India in the age of Somadeva and after. Haraprabodha, one of the companions of Candakarman, is a Saiva and declares that Lord Siva has two ways of doing good to the world: the Dakṣiṇa Mārga or the Righthand Path and the Vāmamārga or the Lefthand Path. Of these the Righthand Path is meant for general usage, and two verses are quoted to illustrate its principles (Vol. II, p. 251):

प्रयक्षरहितं शासं प्रयक्षरहितो गुरुः । प्रयक्षरहितं शानं प्रयक्षरहितः शिवः ॥ शिवं शक्तिविनाशेन ये वाम्छन्ति नराधमाः। ते भूमिरहितादीआत् सन्तु नृतं फलोत्तमाः ॥

To these may be added another verse quoted in VI. 19 (Vol. II, p. 317):

भद्रेताच पर तरवं न देवः शंकरात् परः । शैवशासात् परं नास्ति शुक्तिप्रदं वयः ॥

The first verse declares that the Sastra, the Teacher, the true knowledge, and Siva himself are without any connection with prapañca or worldly phenomena. The second verse emphasizes the organic connection between Siva and Sakti, and declares that to accept Siva without accepting Sakti is like expecting a harvest from seeds without the intermediary of earth.

¹ Yajñavaibhavakhandoparibhāga, Sūtagītā 4. 22 ff. and 7. 3 ff. Of. अतक्ष भोगमोक्षार्था शरीरं देवतामयम् । स्वकीयं परकीयं च पूजपेसु विशेषतः ॥ Ibid. 7. 38 etc.

^{2 &#}x27;इदं शरीरं विपेन्द्र सर्वदेवमयं ऋणु' etc.

^{3 &#}x27;मञ्जबादोक्तिष्वव विविधयत्रकाविनीयु'. Vol. 1, p. 39.

^{4 &#}x27;अगवतो हि भर्गस्य सक्ताबगदमुम्बद्दसर्गो दक्षिणो वामश्च ।' P. 251.

^{5 &#}x27;तत्र कोकसंबरणार्च दक्षिणो मार्गः ।' For the Daksina Marga see also Chap. XIII.

The third verse declares that there is no truth higher than non-duality, no god higher than Siva, and no science more capable of guaranteeing happiness as well as liberation than the Saiva doctrine.

The three verses quoted by Somadeva may be said to sum up the doctrines of the Advaita school of Saivism, as propounded in texts like the Sivapurana, the Satasamhita, and the Isvaragita incorporated in the Kūrmapurāna. The doctrines represent a Saiva adaptation of the views of Advaita Vedanta, whose doctrine of non-duality is mentioned with approval in the Sivapurana (Jnanasamhita).2 The latter text, in one place, uses the significant expression advaita-saiva-veda to denote the Saiva system and its antagonism to the conception of dvaita or duality. The Saiva doctrine. as developed in the aforesaid texts, postulates absolute non-duality and denies the reality of prapanca and samsara, that is, worldly phenomena and the course of mundane life,4 Siva alone exists self-luminous; and the universe being an outcome of his own Power, nothing exists apart from the Supreme Deity. Sakti, the inherent and conscious Power of Siva, creates the universe according to his will; and this Power, without beginning and end, is called Māyā, the cause of the material world. No relationship is possible between the visible phenomena and the Supreme Soul, just as there cannot be any connection between darkness and light;" but the universe is ultimately merged in Siva, just as foam and waves rise from the ocean and are merged in the ocean.8 'Knowledge free from worldly phenomena' (prapañca-rahitam

¹ Published in three Volumes in Anandasrama Series.

² See Chapter 78 (प्रं बेदान्तिनी नित्यमद्वैतप्रतिपादिनः etc.)

^{3 &#}x27;अदित शैववेदोऽयं देतं न सहते कचित्'. Sivepurano (Kailāsasamhitā 10. 166). The expression शिवादित occurs in verse 96.

⁴ Of. एकः स भिष्यते भ्रान्त्या मायया न स्वरूपतः । तस्मादद्वैतमेवास्ति न प्रपञ्चो न संस्तिः ॥ Sütasamhitä (Jñānayogakhaṇḍa 20.4). स्वस्वरूपतया स्वयमेव स प्रस्कुरत्यमकः प्रमेश्वरः । न प्रपञ्चविभासनमस्त्वतः कस्यचिश्व न बन्धनमोचने ॥ Ibid. (Yajñavaibhavakhaṇḍa 15.30).

⁵ अतक्ष संक्षेपमिमं ऋणुप्तं जगत्समस्तं चिदिनित्प्रभिन्नम्। स्वशक्तिन्तां शिवमात्रमेव न देवदेवाए पृथगस्ति किचित्॥ Ibid. 12. 29.

⁶ माया माहेश्वरी द्यक्तिः सस्वादिगुणभेदतः । जीवमाक्रम्य संसारे श्रामयत्यनिशं द्विजाः॥…अतो मायामयः साक्षाद् संसारः सर्वदेदिनाम् etc. Ibid. 2. 42 ff. आधा सेका परा राक्तिश्चन्मयी शिवसंश्रया।…सेका परा च विष्रुपा शक्तिः प्रस्तवभागिषी । विभज्य बहुधा विश्वं विद्याति शिवेच्छया॥ सा मूळप्रकृतिमीया त्रिगुणा त्रिविधा स्मृता। Sivpuraqa (Vāyavīyasamhitā 5. 13 ff.)

⁷ यथा प्रकाशतमसीः संबन्धो नीपपद्मते । तद्भदेव न संबन्धः प्रपञ्चपरमात्मनोः ॥ छायातपी यथा लोके प्रस्परविकक्षणी । तद्भप्रपञ्चप्रकी विभिन्नी प्रमार्थतः ॥ 15varagită 2.10, 11 (Kürmapurāņa, Uparibhāga).

⁸ यथा फेनतरङ्गादि समुद्राद्विषतं पुनः। समुद्रे लीयते तद्वज्ञगन्मय्येव लीयते ॥ Sūtasamhitā (Jñānayoga-khanda 20. 20). It is stated in the same Chapter (verse 5) that just as the ūkaśa is called ghațākāśa and mahūkāśa, similarly the One Soul is called by the ignorant both Jiva and īśvara.

jādnam) seems to refer to the state of samādhi, in which the devotee no longer perceives the objects of the world and becomes united with the Supreme Soul. 'The Teacher free from worldly phenomena' (prapaāca-rahito guruh) is the religious preceptor, who is identified with Siva, and, in the ultimate resort, Siva himself. The indispensable and inseparable connection between Siva and Sakti, set forth by Haraprabodha, is frequently emphasized in Saiva texts and forms a fundamental dogma of the Saiva system.

The Vāmamārga or the Lefthand Path devised by Śiva is described as leading to pleasure as well as salvation, and corresponds to the grosser aspect of the Tantric cult. The following verse is cited by Haraprabodha to illustrate the Vāmamārga (Vol II, p. 251):

अधिवत् सर्वभक्षोऽपि अवभक्तिपरायणः । अकिं जीवनवामोति मुक्तिं तु रूभते सतः ॥
'One who is devoted to Siva obtains pleasure in life and release on death, even though he is omnivorous like Fire.'

The verse quoted from the poet Bhāsa⁵ as a further illustration of the Vāmamārga advocates the pleasures of love and wine, and completes the picture of the Path as one of gross sensualism combined with devotion to Siva. It goes without saying that the Advaita school of Saivism condemns the Vāmamārga as non-Vedic and hindering the rise of true knowledge. The former school glorifies bhakti, and asserts that it is Siva, united with Sakti, that vouchsafes joy as well as liberation, and shines in the hearts of those who are sincerely devoted to him.

Sudatta's reply to Haraprabodha provides a good example of Jaina polemic against Saivism, and enables us to have an idea of the chief points at issue between the two schools of thought. The following verse quoted by

¹ यदा मर्वाणि भूतानि समाथिस्थो न पश्यति । एकीभूतः परेणासी तदा भवति केवलः ॥ Ibid. (verse 25), Also सदा साक्षिस्वरूपत्वाच्छिय एवास्मि केवलः । इति चीर्या मुनिश्रेष्ठ सा समाधिरिहोच्यते ॥ Ibid.

² सिवकारान् राजसादीन् गुणान् रुन्धं व्यपोहति । गुणातीतः परिश्ववी गुरुक्तां समाक्षितः ॥ Śivapurāṇa (Vidyeśvarasamhitā 16. 84). The conception of Śiva as Ācārya occurs in Bhoja's Tattvaprakāša 1. 15 (योजयति परे तत्त्वे स दीश्रयाचार्यमृतिस्थः).

³ Cf. न शिवेन विना शक्तिर्न शक्तिरहितः शिवः। उमार्शकरयोरैक्यं यः प्रयति स प्रयति ॥ Sūtasamhitā Yajñavaibhavakhanda 13.30). एवं प्रस्परापेक्षा शक्तिशक्तिमतोः स्थितिः। न शिवेन विना शक्तिन शक्त्या च विना शिवः॥ Sivapurāņa (Vāyavīyasamhitā 5.12). शक्तिशक्तिमदुर्थं तु शाक्तं शैवमिदं जगत्। 1bid. (verso 37).

^{4 &#}x27;भुक्तिमुक्तिपदस्तु वाममार्गः परमार्थः' (Printed text reads परमार्थतः) P. 251.

⁵ See Chap. XVIII.

⁶ वामपाशुपतादीनामश्रीतानां परित्रहः । पाञ्चरात्राश्रयश्चापि ज्ञानानुत्पत्तिकारणम् ॥ Sūtasanihitā (Muktikhanda 6.12).

⁷ मिक्तियुक्तजनिवित्तपङ्कते भुक्तिमुक्तिकलदः पुराननः । शक्तियुक्तपरिविमहः शिवः सत्यमेव सततं प्रवाहाते ॥ Yajñavaibhacalhanfu 26. 39. The chapter in question deals with Sivabhakti.

Somadeva and put in the mouth of Sudatta (P. 254) records the Jaina objections to the theory of Siva being the source of scriptural knowledge.

क्का के सहासिको विकरणकथात् परो रागवान् द्वेविच्यावपरं वर्तावमिति चेत्रत क्या देवीरस्त । प्रकल चेत् परकीच्या कथमसी तहानसंबन्धतः संबन्धोऽपि न जाधदीति भवतां शाखं निराकन्यमस् ॥

The idea of the verse is that Sadasiva cannot be the expounder of a system, since he is incorporeal nor can this rôle be attributed to the deity in his corporeal aspect, for example, to Siva as the consort of Parvati, because as such he is subject to passion, which makes him unfit for the task. A third alternative is lacking; and it cannot be urged that he expounds the system by means of an extraneous Sakti or Power, because he cannot be supposed to possess any such Power owing to the absence of any integral relationship between the two. It follows therefore that the sastra of the Saivas is without any authoritative foundation. This dismisses another claim of the Saivas that a very rare sastra originated from Siva in the form of Nada or Sound.

Sudatta then points out certain inconsistencies in the Saiva doctrine resulting from the two mutually conflicting conceptions of Siva, the corporeal Rudra and the incorporeal Sadāsiva. The Jaina sage argues that if Rudra is regarded as omniscient, even though he is subject to passion and similar affections, that will contradict Patanjali's definition of Isvara or the Supreme Being as one untouched by passions, acts, consequences and impressions, and hence possessing the highest degree of omniscience. It is a fallacy to associate omniscience and passion with the same person; and a conception of Rudra, worldly yet omniscient, contradicts also the assertion that Siva possesses the qualities of freedom from passion, self-control, and omniscience besides irresistible might, contentment, supreme bliss, and untrammelled energy.

Sudatta continues the argument and shows that the conception of the incorporeal Sadasiva also involves similar contradictions. The poet Grahila, for instance, in his hymn to Sadāsiva, speaks of the phallus of the god and the inability of Brahman and Visnu to find out its limit, and refers also to the burning of the three cities of the demons by Siva when the earth became his chariot, Indra his charioteer, the sun and the moon the chariotwheels and so on. Further, there is another aspect of Sadasiva which is not in keeping with the character of an incorporeal being. Sudatta quotes in this

¹ For another attack on the Saivasastra see Chap. N.

^{2 &#}x27;पर्व च सतीदं न संगच्छते--अर्ड्डविग्रहाच्छान्ताच्छिवात् परमकारणात् । नादरूपं समुत्पन्नं शास्त्रं परमदुर्रुभम् ॥' Vol. II, p. 255.

^{3 &#}x27;(तगादिशिक्पहृतस्मामि कद्रस्थाप्ततायां 'क्लेशकमैविपाकाश्चैरपराष्ट्रटः पुरुवविशेष इंश्वर' इति, 'ऐश्वर्यमप्रतिहतं सहजो बिराम:""इति च बिरुध्यते." For the verse in question see Chap, XVIII.

⁴ See Chap. XVIII.

⁵ For the verse in question see Chap. XVIII.

connection a verse, which says that a living creature is steeped in ignorance and not a master of his own destinies, and goes to heaven or hell, as directed by Isvara or Siva (Vol. II, p. 255):

It may be noted that the verse is cited in many texts as an illustration of the supreme power of God, and incorporated in the Sivapurāna to show that a sentient being is nothing but a plaything in the hand of Siva. What is important is that the Saiva system regards Siva as the preraka or prerayitā, the great moving or directing force of the universe. Bhoktā or the Self, Bhogya or Matter and Prerayitā or the Mover are, as a matter of fact, three fundamental concepts of the Saiva system; and the moving power is known also as the Kriyāśakti of Siva. The Jainas, however, regard this as inconsistent with the conception of Siva as the incorporeal Sadāśiva. Sudatta contends that it is impossible for the ethereal Sadāśiva to move others; and it is also doubtful whether one who is himself never moved by others should be able to move any one else.

The Jainas attack also the conception of Siva as the creator of the universe. Sudatta begins his argument by quoting a verse in which Siva is addressed as the primeval architect of the universe (P. 255):

भोग्यासाहुः प्रकृतिसृषयश्चेतनाशक्तिस्न्यां भोक्ता नैनां परिणमयितुं बन्धवर्ती समर्थः । भोग्येऽप्यक्तिम् भवति सिशुने पुष्कछसत्र हेतुर्नीलग्रीव समस्ति श्चवनस्थापनासृत्रधारः ॥

The sense of the verse is that the Bhoktā or the Soul in bondage cannot evolve any change in unconscious Matter, called Bhogyā or Prakṛti; and the interaction of the two is entirely due to the initiative of Siva, who sets in motion the process of cosmic evolution. The Jainas, however, do not admit the existence of a personal creator of the universe; and Sudatta utters the following verse which sums up the Jaina objection (P. 256):

कर्ता न ताविद्द कोऽपि विवेच्छया वा दशेऽन्यया कटकूताविप स प्रसंगः। कार्यं किमन्न सदनादिषु तक्षकांधराहत्य चेत् त्रिभुवनं पुरुवः करोति ॥

The idea of the verse is that no one who creates the world by intellect or will

¹ See Naisadhacarita, Trans., Appendix I, p. 509.

² Vāyavīyasamhitā, chap. 4.

^{3 &#}x27;लीलासाधनभूतोऽयमीश्वरस्थेति सूरयः' Ibid. (verse 62).

⁴ CE माया प्रकृतिरुद्दिश्च पुरुषो माययावृतः । संबन्धो मझकर्मभ्यां शिवः घरक देश्वरः ॥ Sivapurana (Vayaviyaeanishita, 1. 4. 18). सर्वेषासेव भावानामन्तरा समयस्थितः । प्रेरवामि जगत् कृत्सं कियाशिक्तिरियं मम् ॥ Îsvaraqita 6, 5.

b भोक्ता भोग्यं प्रशिक्ता मन्तव्यं त्रिविषं स्मृतम् । नातः परं विजानक्किवितव्यं हि किंचन ॥ Sivapuraṇa (op. oit vara 73).

⁶ Isvaragită (op. cil.).

⁷ आकाशवरूपस्य सदाशित्रस्य परमित प्रेरकता न युक्ता । स्वयं परामेषित एव शंसुभैनेद परमेरिक्तिति जिन्सम् ॥ P. 255.

⁸ Cf. Sinapurana (Vayaviyasamihita I. 4. 65 ff.).

⁹ Cf. 'श्लोभवामि च सर्गादी प्रधानपुरुपादुशी' Isvaragita 6. 8. प्रकृतिः क्षरमित्युक्तं पुरुषोऽक्षर उच्यते । ताविमी प्ररायस्थः स परः परमेश्वरः ॥ Sivapurana (op. cit, verse 16).

has ever been seen; and if an invisible creator is postulated, even the making of a mat might be attributed to him. Further, if there is really some one who builds up the universe, there will be nothing left for carpenters and others to do!

Further, Sudatta contends that the conception of Iśvara is redundant, because what is called Iśvara is only another name for Karma. He quotes a verse which records the synonyms of Purākṛta or Karma done in previous births, and among these Iśvara is also included (p. 256):

विविविधाता निषतिः सामावः काको प्रहत्वेषरवैवकर्म । पुण्यानि भारवानि तथा कृतान्तः पर्यापनामानि पुराकृतस्य ॥

It cannot be urged that Karma, being insentient, is powerless to serve as an agent for the enjoyment or suffering of others. Insentient objects like jewels, magnet and wind are known to have the power of acting upon other objects, and the same is true of Karma. Sudatta in this connection quotes a verse from a work named Ratnapartheat in order to prove the miraculous efficacy of jewels in counteracting evils even from a distance. However that may be, the rôle of shaping the destinies of creatures is assigned exclusively to Karma; and the position of God, even if he exists, is reduced to that of a servant. The Jaina doctrine of Karma is calculated to exalt the Self and inculcate self-reliance, since each individual has to solve the problem of existence and liberation by his personal effort. The emphasis is always upon Karma; and Sudatta concludes his sermon by quoting a verse, which declares that the Self by itself acts and reaps the consequences of its deeds, by itself it passes through worldly existence, and by itself is liberated from its bonds (p. 256):

स्वयं कर्मे करोत्वारमा स्वयं वत्कलम्भुते । स्वयं अमित संसारे स्वयं तथाद्विमुख्यते ॥

It should be noted that the universe in the Jaina view is uncreated, eternal and endless, hence the objection to the theory of a personal creator, God or Siva. It will also be seen that the controversy between Jainism and Saivism centering round the problem of creation resolved itself into one between the theories of Karma and God; and the identifica-

¹ The verse occurs in Vasastilaka 2. 139. The theory of creation is also ridiculed in a verse in Book VIII, section 37, which says that Siva creates the mountains and the earth as well as pots and huts! थरणीयर्थरणिममृति स्वति, ननु निष्शृहादि गिरेश: करोति, Vol. II, p. 388.

^{2 &#}x27;क्रथमचेतनं क्रमै परोपभोगार्थ प्रवर्तत इति चेत्तन ।' P. 256.

³ रहायरकान्तवातादेरचितोऽपि परं प्रति । यथा क्रियानिमित्तत्वं कर्मणोऽपि तथा भवेत् ॥ P. 256.

⁴ See Chap. XVIII.

⁵ विधिः कर्मकरादीनां चेतनानां सचेतनात् । इद्य चेद्य विश्वेषु जगस्मद्दरि सास्तु वः ॥ P. 256. Ms. A remarks हरे चेद्य अवत को सुव्याकं स्वद्य १ सर्वेषां दास प्रवेति आवः ।

⁶ See Chap. X.

tion of Siva with God was facilitated by the fact that the word Isvara means both Siva and God.

Another point at issue between Saivism and Jainism is the question of omniscience; and it must be said to the credit of Somadeva that he fully reproduces the Saiva point of view in the course of his exposition of Jaina doctrines.2 The Saivas point out, with reference to the Jaina conception of Tirthamkara or Supreme Teacher, that the Jainas regard a human being as apta or omniscient, despite the fact that it is extremely difficult for a human being to attain omniscience. Even supposing that a Tirthakara is omniscient, it will be necessary to find out his teacher, because no man can acquire knowledge by his own effort without the help of a teacher. If it is said that the teacher of a Tirthakara is another Tirthakara, one will have to discover the teacher of the latter as well and so on, until we are faced with the absurdity of an endless series of Tirthakaras and their teachers. The only way to avoid such a situation is to accept either Sadāśiva or Siva, the consort of Parvati, as the teacher of a Tirthakara; and the Saivas in this connection cite the authority of the Yogasūtras 1. 26, which declare Isvara to be the eternal teacher of all primeval teachers.2 The Saivas also assert that a very rare sastra arose from the incorporeal and quiescent Siva in the form of Sound, the implication being that such a Being is fit to be the guru of a Tirthakara. Further, they contend that there should only be one Apta or Omniscient Being. There cannot be a multitude of aptas like that of other creatures; and there is no reason why there should be only twenty-four of them, that being the number of Tirthakaras recognised by the Jainas.4

Somadeva replies that a Tirthakara who possesses three kinds of supernatural knowledge is not the person to require the help of a teacher, and tries to meet the Saiva objections by showing that the Tirthakaras are not the only persons who have attained knowledge of the highest truths by their own initiative. As an illustration of this, Somadeva cites a legend concerning the rise of the Vaiseşika system of philosophy, according to which the knowledge of the six categories spontaneously dawned upon the

I will don't have an animal or to a superior and apply to have the told the time have a fact of the substitute and a told

¹ Yasastilaka, Book VI, section 2.

^{3 &#}x27;अवृद्धविद्यहान्छान्ताच्छित्रात्.......' (see above). The verse is quoted twice, in Books V and VI. 2, pp. 255, 276.

 ^{&#}x27;तथासेनैकेन मिनत्यम् । न श्वासानामितरप्राणिवद्रणः समस्ति । संभवे वा चतुर्विश्वतिरिति नियमः कौतरकृत इति'
 तत्त्वभावनयोद्धतं जन्मान्तरसमुत्थया । दितादितिविकेतय यस शानत्रयं परम् ॥ दृष्टानृष्टमवैत्वर्थं रूपवन्तमथावधेः । श्रदेः श्रविसमान्नेवं कासौ परमवेश्वताम् ॥ P. 277.

sage Kapāda in Benares; and Śiva, in the form of an owl, exhorted him to communicate the divine revelation to the Brāhmaṇas.¹ Somadeva does not mention the source of his story, but it seems to be a variation of the popular legend that Śiva, assuming the shape of an owl (ulūka), communicated the Vaiseṣika philosophy to Kaṇāda, whence the name Aulūkya given to that system.² The crucial point, however, is that even the highest truths can be known by one's own efforts without instruction by a teacher; it is therefore futile to foist an imaginary preceptor upon the Jaina Tīrthakaras. As regards their number, there is nothing abnormal about it, since there are many things in the world of which a considerable number is known to exist, for instance, lunar days, stars, planets, oceans, mountains and the rest.³

It may be noted that the controversy between the Saivas and the Jainas on the subject of omniscience is at the bottom a contest between two rival conceptions, the S'aivas reserving the term sarvajña 'omniscient' for Siva and the Jainas doing the same for each one of their Tirthakuras. The Jaina standpoint will be clear from their definition of Apta. As regards Siva, he is consistently called sarvajña in Saiva texts, and omniscience is one of the five saktus attributed to him.

The Jainas attack also the doctrine of Siva and Sakti, to which prominence is given in Saiva works. Somadeva attempts to prove that the relationship between Siva and Sakti is a fiction, because the supposed connection can be described neither as samyoga 'conjunction' nor as samavāya 'inherence'. He points out that, according to the Yauga doctrine, that is, the Nyāya theory, samyoga or conjunction is possible only between two substances; but sakti or potency is not a substance, hence there can be no samyoga between Siva and Sakti. Nor can samavāya or inherence be supposed to be the basis of relationship between Siva and Sakti. According to the Vaisesika theory, samavāya or inherence is the permanent relation between two things which are not known to exist separately, for example, a quality and the qualified. Sakti is, however, known to exist and operate

^{1 &#}x27;कथमन्यथा स्वत एव संजातषद्पदाधीवसायप्रसरे कणचरे वाराणस्यां महेश्वरस्योत्कतसायुज्यसरस्येदं वचः संगच्छेत— बद्यातुला नामेदं दिवीकसां दिव्यमद्धतं ज्ञानं प्रादुर्भृतमिद्द त्वथि तद्वत्स विधस्तव विष्रेभ्यः ।' Vol. II, p. 277.

² Cf. Naisadhacarita 22. 36 (औलुकमाहु: खलु दर्शनं तत्).

³ नियतं न बहुत्वं चेत् कथमेते तथाविधाः । तिथिताराग्रहाम्भोधिभूमृत्त्रभृतयो मताः ॥ P. 277.

⁴ See Chap. X.

⁵ Cf. Sütasamhitä: Yajñavaibhavakhanda 22.6; Śivamāhātmyakhanda 2.7; Muktikhanda 2.10.

⁶ सर्वेकर्तृत्वरूपा च सर्वेशत्वस्वरूपिणी। पूर्णत्वरूपा नित्यत्वन्यापकत्वस्वरूपिणी॥ शिवस्य शक्तयः पद्म संकुचदूपभानकराः। Sivapurāņa (Kailisasamhitā 10. 158).

⁷ On the use of the expression Yauga in this sense see G.N. Kaviraja in Sarasvati Bhavana Studies, Vol. III, p. 84.

separately from Siva; so there can be no samavaya relationship between the two.

There is no doubt that Somadeva gives a fairly comprehensive account of the philosophical controversies of the Saivas and the Jainas current in his own age. The Saivas attacked the Jaina theory of Arhat or the Perfect Saint and tried to establish the superiority of Siva to any such finite personality, whether called Arhat or Tirthamkara. Somadeva, as we have seen, sums up some of the Saiva objections; and we find a similar attack on the Jaina conception of the Arhat also in the Isana-sivagurudevapaddhati, a comprehensive work on Saiva doctrine and ritual, which records the teachings of a line of Saiva Yogins, who were influential in many parts of India during the ninth, tenth and eleventh centuries. The rivalry of these two important sects covering a considerable period lends more than an academic interest to their controversies in the field of speculative thought.

Somadeva condemns certain fundamental concepts of the Saiva and Tantric systems in Yasastilaka VIII. 39.4 They are chiefly Jyotik, Bindu, Nāda, Kundali, and Nirbijikaraṇa. Jyotik 'Light' is one of the mystic names of Siva. In Sanskrit the word is neuter, and it is usual to designate the Siva-tattva as masculine and feminine and neuter to denote its comprehensive and transcendental character. The Praṇava or Om syllable, which is the expression of Siva, is also called Jyotik. Kalā is one of the thirty-six principles of the Saiva system, and denotes the agency which unfolds the power of human beings to act. In other words, Kalā is the source of the limited power of action possessed by the Pasus or creatures in bondage.

Nāda and Bindu are two concepts always mentioned together, but not specifically recognised by certain texts, as pointed out by Rāghavabhatta in his commentary on Sāradātilaka 1.7. The Sivapurāņa says that, at the beginning of creation, Sakti, the latent energy of Siva, becomes manifest by the will of Siva; and when Sakti is stirred up by the creative urge of Siva,

^{1 &#}x27;संबन्धो हि सदाक्षिवस्य शक्त्या सह न भिक्तस्य संयोगः। शक्तिरद्रव्यत्वात्। द्रव्ययोरेव संयोग इति योगसिद्धान्तः। समवायलक्षणोऽपि न संबन्धः शक्तिः पृथक्तिद्धत्वात्। अयुत्तिद्धानां गुणगुण्यादीनां समवायसंबन्धः इति वैश्वेषिकमैतिद्धान् !' Vol. II, p. 277.

² See Kriyapāda 1. 39 ff. See also next Chapter and Chap. XIII.

³ See Introduction to Part II. (Trivandrum Sanskrit Series).

⁴ क्योतिर्विन्दुः कला नादः कुण्डलीवायुसंचरः 1...See below.

⁵ The comm. on Tattvaprakāša 1. 3 says: एकमेव शिवतत्त्वं पुंलिङ्गक्षीलिङ्गनपुंसकलिङ्गशब्दैव्यविष्यते, and remarks: तदुक्तं ब्रहण्याम् — शिवो देवः शिवा देवी शिवं ज्योतिरिति त्रिथा। अलिङ्गमपि यत्तत्त्वं लिङ्गमेदेन कथ्यते।।

⁶ Raghavabhatta says in his comm. on Éaradatilaka 1. 11: गोरखसंहितायामपि "इच्छा किया तथा धानं गौरी बाक्षी त वैष्णवी । विथा शक्तिः स्थिता यत्र तद परं ज्योतिरोमिति॥"

⁷ Tattvaprakata 3. 6. says 'ज्याबयति कर्तृशक्ति कलेति तेनेइ कथिता सा'-

^{8 &#}x27;किश्वित कर्तुलहेतु:' Sivapurāņa (Kailāsasamhitā 10. 161).

⁹ Ibid. Pāyavīyasamhikā 5, 18 ff.

there arises Nada whence emerges Bindu. From Bindu arises Sadasiva, from the latter Mahesvara, and from the latter again Vidya, the expressive energy of Siva consisting of the letters of the alphabet. The foregoing account adds Nada and Bindu to the five usually accepted Suddhatattvas, viz.—Siva, Sakti, Sadasiva, Isvara or Mahesvara and Vidya. The Tattvaprakasa of Bhoja, for instance, recognises only these five, and remarks that Nada and Bindu are included in the Sivatattva.

It is in some of the Tantras that we find an exhaustive treatment of Nada and Bindu. Roughly speaking, Nada represents the awakening and Bindu the active aspect of Sakti. According to S'aradatilaka 1.7, from Siva emerges Sakti, from Sakti Nāda, and from Nāda Bindu. The Prapañcasaratantra (1. 42, 43), explaining the next stage of evolution, says that the Bindu splits up into three portions: Bindu and Nada (different from the first two) and Bija, of which Bindu represents Isvara or consciousness and Bija unconscious matter, while Näda represents Purusa or the Self, the blend of consciousness with unconscious Matter. While the original Bindu is in the process of disintegration, there arises an indistinct sound, and this is known as Sabdabrahma, the consciousness abiding in the creatures of the universe and the basic foundation of sounds and their meanings. According to Rāghavabhatta, Nāda and Bindu represent the indistinct, undifferentiated and pervasive sound emerging at the beginning of the process of cosmic evolution initiated by Siva, and it is this sound that is known as Sabdabrahma. There are also other interpretations, but there is no doubt that Nada and Bindu were fundamental concepts of the Saiva system in Somadeva's time.

Although Sabdabrahma is universal and all-pervading, it abides in the form of Kundali, better known as Kundalini. Kundalini is the stored up energy inherent in each individual and the force that produces all forms of sound from the primitive inarticulate murmur to organised literary speech.

^{1 &#}x27;नादो बिन्दः सक्छी सदा शिवं तस्वमाश्रिती कथिती' 2. 11

² See, for example, Saradātilaka, Chap. 1 and the citations in Raghavbhatta's commentary thereon.

³ Raghavabhatta (op. oit.) says (1. 7)—तदुक्तं प्रयोगसारे—"नादासना प्रवृद्धा सा निरामयपदीन्मुखी। शिवोन्मुखी यदा शक्तिः पुंद्धण सा तदा स्कृता"……अन्यत्रापि "अभिन्यक्ता परा शक्तिरिवनाभावकक्षणा।……... प्रयाति निन्दुमानं च किवामाथान्यकक्षणम्" इति।

^{4 &}quot;....प्रतिबरात् । आतीश्वाकितातो नादो नादाविन्दुत्तमुद्भवः ॥" 5 Cf. 'नैतन्यं सर्वभृतानां शब्दमक्षेति मे मतिः' Saradatilaka 1. 13.

^{6 &#}x27;सहयुन्मुस्वरमित्रियमोद्यासमाने अस्वव्दोऽन्यको नायविन्दुमय एव व्यापको महास्यकः शुन्दः शब्दमहोत्वर्थः' (1. 12).
7 1bid. 25. 35. Madhavacarya in his comm. on Sütasamhitä (Sivamähätmyakhanda 5. 9) saya 'स एव शुन्दमहामानको रवो जवद्यादानं विन्दुतायास्यम सर्वगतोऽपि प्राणिनां मूलाबारेऽभिन्यज्यत स्त्युक्तम्—स तु सर्वम संस्थुतो मूके व्यक्तस्या पुनः । आविर्भवतिः देहेनु प्राणिनामधैविस्तृतः ॥ (Prapañcaeara 1, 63) देहेन्तित स्त्याराप्रदेशेः……'

The seat of Kundalini is the plexus known as Mülädhära,¹ and it is described also as being enclosed within the Suṣumṇā artery.² Kundalini is visualised as a coiled up (kundalibhūta) serpent; but Rāghavabhatta, commenting on Sāradātilaka, 1.51° points out that there is an artery shaped like a coiled up serpent in the mūlādhāra, and this, propelled by nerve-force (Vāyu), travels to all parts of the body. This movement of Kundalinī under the action of Vāyu is called guṇana,¹ and this is what Somadeva means by kundali-vāyu-samcara in Yasastılaka VIII.39. Lastly, Nirbījīkaraņa is a Yogic process aimed at complete mastery over the body.

In addition to the doctrines outlined above, stray allusions to philosophical topics are occasionally found in Yasastilaka in the course of descriptions, often in artificial comparisons based on word-play. They are of lesser importance and belong to a type common in Kävya literature.

^{1 &#}x27;शुद्रमेद्रमध्योऽपि मूलाधारः।' See Padmapāda's comm. on Prapañcasāre: 2. 43.

^{े &#}x27;मुलाधारे विसरति सुबुन्नावेष्टनी महः' Ibid. 2. 51.

^{3 &#}x27;तत्र मूलाधारे कुण्डलीभूतसर्थवन्नाडी वर्तते । ततुमध्ये वायुवशादस्याः सञ्जरणमेव गुणनम् ।' Śāradātilaka 1. 57 says 'गुणिता सर्वगात्रेषु कुण्डली परदेवता'.

⁴ For the significance of Vayu see Introduction to Prapancasaratantra in Tantrik Texts, ed. Avalon.

⁶ A marginal note in Ms. A on a verse in Vasastilaka, Book VI, section 10, p. 296, explaining the expression विज्ञान ('ज्ञानविज्ञानयोस्तेषां विपश्चित्र च विश्रमेत'), tells us that विज्ञान refers to Nirbijikarana, which is a process of expelling the seminal fluid through the eyes, navel etc. A similar note on the relevant verse in Book VIII, section 32, says the same thing, and adds that the process in question helps one to conquer death by dint of constant practice. Somadeva says: उद्योति विन्दु: कला नाद: कुण्डलीवायुसंचर: । मुद्रामण्डल-चोषानि निर्वोजीकरणादिकम् ॥ नाभी नेत्रे ललाटे च ब्रह्मप्रन्थी च तालुनि । अग्निमध्ये रवी चन्द्रे खतातन्ती हर्द्वुरे ॥ बृत्युंजयं यदन्तेषु तत्त्वत्वं किल मुक्तये । अद्यो मृद्धियामेष नयः स्वप्रवञ्जनः ॥ Yasastilaka Vol. II, p. 393. Ms. A says नेत्रनाभिप्रमुखमार्गेण शुक्रनिष्कासनकर्म मृत्युंजयं भवति साधनाध्यासेन । नासिकायामग्रितत्वं वर्तते । रवी दक्षिणनाख्यां चन्द्रे वामनाख्याम् । खतातन्ती लिङ्गविषये । हृदये छिद्रं विनापि तदा काले भेदसहृज्ञप्रनिधः स्वात् । It will be seen that Somadeva uses symbolic terms of the Tantra, and the process of Nirbijikarana has in view various openings of the body. 'The Jaina author condemns such Yogic practices.

⁷ For example, 'सुगतानामा इवाविकल्पप्रधानाः'; 'सांख्या इव समाश्रितपञ्चतयः'; 'पारिपुद्धः (i. ए. बाद्र) इवानासनीनवृत्तः' Book I, pp. 12, 13, 41; 'समीक्षासिद्धान्तः (Samkhya doctrine) इव क्षिल्कुल-कान्तः'; 'मीमांसेव निरूप्यमाणनियोगभावनादिप्रपश्चा'; 'पिटकल्लयपद्धितिष्व योगाचारगोचरा', 'कारगुरुभारतीव निवारितपरलोकरक्षेना'; 'योगस्थितिरिव विदितवृष्यभेगग्वनारा' Book V, pp. 170, 246. A marginal note in Ms A explains योगस्थिति as referring to the Yaugas or the followers of the Nyāyā-Vaiseṣika school, who were generally Saivas. The Vaiseṣika theory of salvation comes in for further criticism in a verse occurring in Book VIII, section 37, wherein it is stated that he who does not admit the existence of knowledge and other attributes in the emancipated self cannot postulate the existence of the Self at all, because there cannot be a Self without attributes, just as there cannot be fire without heat विज्ञानप्रसाः सन्ति विग्रुचि न गुणाः किल यस नयोऽत्र वाचि । तस्य प्रमानि नेव तत्र दाहादहनः क इहापरोऽत्र । Yaiastilaka, Vol. II, p. 388. Ms. A explains विग्रुचि का गुणानीके त्र तत्र दाहादहनः क इहापरोऽत । Yaiastilaka, Vol. II, p. 388. Ms. A explains विग्रुचि का गुणानीके त्र तत्र वाचारके स्वारुचे का प्रमानि निर्मान विग्रुचि का गुणानिका विग्रुचि का गुणा

CHAPTER IX

SCHOOLS OF THOUGHT

In the preceding chapter we have summarized the doctrines of the various non-Jaina systems recorded or discussed by Somadeva in Yasastilaka; and we may here enumerate the different systems mentioned by the author and bring together some additional information bearing on the character and historical position of certain of these schools of thought. Towards the beginning of Book VI Somadeva mentions the following schools: Saiddhānta Vaisesikas, Tārkika Vaisesikas, Followers of Kaṇāda; Pāsupatas; Kulācāryas; Sārhkhyas, Kāpilas; Buddhists mentioned separately as (1) the Disciples of Dasabala, (2) Tāthāgatāḥ, and (3) those who preach the doctrine of the Void; Jaiminīyas; Bārhaspatyas or the followers of the Lokāyata system; Followers of the Vedānta philosophy mentioned twice as Vedāntavādins and Brahmādvaitavādins.

It will be seen that some of the schools of thought are mentioned more than once by way of demonstrating different aspects of the views held by them. In addition to these, Somadeva records Saiva doctrines and the views of those who believed in the efficacy of Vedic sacrifices. The followers of these schools of thought were obviously contemporaries of Somadeva and so prevailed in the tenth century. It is interesting to compare the non-Jaina systems described in Yasastilaka with the non-Buddhist systems recorded in the ancient Tamil Buddhist Kāvya Manimēkhalai. Chapter XXVII of that work considers ten such systems: (1) the Pramāṇavāda of the Vaidika systems, (2) Saivavāda (3) Brahmavāda (4) Nārāyaṇīya or Vaiṣṇavavāda (5) Vedavāda (6) Ājīvaka (7) Nirgrantha i. e. Jaina (8) Sāmkhya (9) Vaiseṣika and (10) Bhūtavāda or the Lokāyata system. If we compare the two lists, we shall find that Vaiṣṇavavāda is a notable omission in Somadeva's summary, while the latter makes only a passing reference to the Ājīvikas without mentioning anything about their doctrines.

It may also be pointed out that Somadeva makes a general reference to the four systems (Samayas) in Yasastilaka, II. 32 and the four systems and the six schools of philosophy (Darsanas) in Book IV. Srutasāgara in his commentary on II. 32 explains the four Samayas as the Jaina, Saiva, Vaidika,

¹ It is not olear why Somadeva connects Trika-mata with the doctrines of the Kulācd-ryas. The Trika system usually refers to the Kashmir School of S'aivism.

² Aiyangar: Manimekhalai in its historical setting, p. 189 ff.

³ ज्यार धरे सहबाः सनुदा बरीव क्लेके भारकोऽपि वह च । नालार पर्ते समवास्त्रयेव वह दर्शनानीति वदन्ति सन्तः॥ Vol. II, p. 114.

and Bauddha systems; while in his commentary on Book IV he takes them to mean the Jaina, Jaiminiya, Buddhist and Saiva systems, and explains the six Darsanas as these four plus the Sāmkhya and Lokāyata systems. It is difficult to say how far this interpretation is correct; but the six Darsanas referred to by Somadeva seem to be identical with the six Tarkas enumerated by Rajasekhara in Kāvyamīmāmsā (Chap. 2), viz. the Jaina and Buddhist Darsanas and the Lokayata forming one group, and the Samkhya, the Nyaya, and the Vaisesika forming another group. It is probable that this classification of the Darsanas was popular it the tenth century, the age of Rajasekhara and Somadeva. It may be noted in this connection that the Darsanas seem to have been variously classified at different epochs: for example, in Vayupurana 104, 16 the six Darsanas are stated to be Brāhma, Saiva, Vaisnava, Saura, Sakta, and Arhata i. e. Jaina. Similarly, in Jñanarnavatantra 16. 131-134 we find mentioned the Saivadarsana, Sāktadarsana, Brāhmadarsana, Vaispavadarsana, Süryadarsana, and Bauddhadarsana. Certain points relating to some of the systems criticized by Somadeva require further elucidation.

SAIDDHĀNTA VAIŠEŞĪKAS

The mention of two distinct schools of Vaisesika philosophy, Saiddhanta and Tarkika, in Yasastilaka is a noteworthy feature; and, as we have seen, the former school laid stress on the worship of Siva and faith in him, while the latter represented the orthodox school with emphasis on Dharma and the knowledge of the categories. We do not know when the Saiddhanta school came into being, but the followers of this school seem to be the same as the Vrddha Vaisesikas mentioned by Vidyananda (9th century) in his Aptapariksa, wherein he remarks that they propound the existence of Mahesvara or Siva in reality, just as they believe in the reality of Substances, Qualities, Actions, Generality, Particularity, Inherence etc., that is, the categories recognised by the Vaisesika system as such. The same work tells us that a certain section of the Vaisesikas (unlike the orthodox school) postulates the existence of consciousness even in the liberated soul: it is not the pure and infinite consciousness of the Self recognised by the Samkhyas, but jäänasakti or intellectual power; and Mahesvara, like the liberated soul.

^{1 &#}x27;द्रिधा चाम्बीक्षिकी पूर्वोत्तरपक्षाभ्याम्। अईद्भदन्तदर्शने लोकायतं च पूर्वः पक्षः। सांख्यं स्यायदेशिको कोचरः त इमे पह तकाः।'

शक्यं शैवं वैष्णवं च सीरं शासं तयाईतम् । वह दर्शनानि चोक्तानि स्वभावनियतानि च ॥

^{3 &#}x27;बबेब दि महेश्वरस्य स्वरूपतः सस्वं इद्धनेश्वेषिकैरिष्यते तथा प्रयिव्यादिद्वन्याणां रूपादिग्रुणानामुत्सेपणादिक्रमणां सामान्यविशेषसम्वायानां च प्रागमावादीनामगीष्यत एव' (under verses 59-76).

⁴ बानशक्तिस्तु निःकर्मणोऽपि कस्यनिक निरुष्यते चेतनारमवादिभिः कैश्चिद्रश्चेविकसिद्धान्तमन्तुपगण्छन्निर्धुकात्मन्त्रवे चेतनायाः प्रतिकानात्' (under verse 11).

⁵ The orthodox Vaisesika view of salvation is of course far different. See preceding Chapter,

possesses this power, although he is incorporeal and untouched by Karmas.² This school of Vaiseşikas which holds that the soul is ever conscious and has the power of knowledge even in the state of liberation, and seems also to believe in Siva, might be allied to the Saiddhānta Vaiseşikas mentioned by Somadeva.

Gunaratna tells us in his commentary on Saddarsanasamuccaya (Chap. 2) that the Naiyāyikas are Saivas and the Vaiseşikas are Pāsupatas. The Pasupatas were a Saiva sect, but it is impossible to rely on Gunaratna's statement for the purpose of determining the religious affiliation of the Vaiseşika centuries earlier than his time. Somadeva's reference to the Tārkika Vaiseşikas shows that there were adherents of the system who were not worshippers of Siva or Pasupati. Among the medieval exponents of the Vaiseşika system Vyomasiva and Udayana were Saivas; while Sridhara pays homage to Brahma, Visnu and Siva separately or conjointly in the opening verses of the different sections of his Nyāyakandali. Apart from the personal beliefs of individual thinkers, Vyomasiva distinctly says in his commentary on the Prasastapāda Bhāṣya in the section on Viparyaya (P. 543) that the Supreme Siva is different from the worldly souls, and His worship leads to beatitude: this, he says, is the salutary teaching of the Vaisesikas. It would thus appear that the views of Vyomasiva were closely allied to those of the Saiddhanta Vaisesikas. who held that faith in Siva was an essential means to final release. Further, while commenting upon the opening verse of the Prasastapāda Bhāṣya, Vyomasiva, unlike Udayana and Srīdhara, explains the term Isvara in the phrase pranamya hetumisvaram as Mahesvara, that is, Siva. 8 Considering the devotion to Siva evinced by Vyomasiva in his commentary, it will not be wrong to associate him with the Saiddhanta school of Vaisesika philosophers. As regards the term Mahesvara, it occurs even in the Prasastapāda Bhāṣya, but here it means the Supreme Lord or the great God who initiates the process of creation. Udayana, for example, explains Mahesvara as mahān īsvarah in the relevant section of his commentary on the Bhāṣya, and takes it to mean Parameśvara.4

^{1 &#}x27;बेतना च शानशक्तिरेव न पुनस्तद्वधितिरिक्तिःचिच्छक्तिरपरिणामिन्यप्रतिसंक्षमादर्शितविषया शुद्धा चानन्ता च यथा कापिलैक्पवर्ण्यते तस्याः प्रमाणविरोधात्। तथा च महेश्वरस्य कमेमिरस्पृष्टस्यापि शानशक्तिरशरीरस्यापि च मुक्तास्मव इव प्रसिद्धाः।'

^{2 &#}x27;बदि वा संसार्यात्मनां नानात्वं परमिश्चवश्चेतेश्यो भिन्नस्तदाराधनाश्चासदादेः श्रयो भवतीत्यवं हित्रमुपदिशस्त वैश्वेषिकेषु अहितमिति शानं विपर्ययः !'

^{3 &#}x27;ईखरदाब्दश अन्यत्र वर्तमानोऽपि श्वानप्रस्तावात् महेश्वर एव वर्तते । 'शंकरात् श्वानमन्त्रिक्छेय' इति वचनात् ।"

^{4 &#}x27;'अन्ये द्वीयरा जगदेकदेशपलय इन्द्रवरुणयमादयः । स पुनः कृत्कस्यैय जगतः अतो महानीवरः" P. 91. 'परार्थप्रकृतेन परमेवरेगाथिक्षिः वृश्चित्वपितिविक्षमारभ्यते ' P. 97.

BUDDHISTS

The Buddhist doctrines of salvation mentioned by Somadeva have been explained in the preceding chapter with reference to ancient texts, but it is more likely that Somadeva derived his information from later works prevalent in his time. He says, for instance, 'nairatmyādi-nivedita-sambhāvanāto bhāvanātah' which seems to refer to what is called Nairātmya-bhāvanā in later Buddhist texts. Säntaraksita, for example, who belongs to the eighth century, deals fully with the doctrine of Nairatmya in Tattvasamgraha (vv. 3488 ff). He tells us that the notions of 'I' and 'mine', known as sattvadrsti, are the source of endless suffering, and the only antidote against sattvadrsti is the realisation of Nairatmya (non-existence of the Self); suffering ceases when the conception of 'I' and 'mine' ceases to exist, and when suffering ends there is no more birth. Final release is the outcome of absolute freedom from rebirth, and the realisation of Nairatmya is the peerless gateway to the supreme beatitude. Because, liberation takes place only when egoism comes to an end; and egoism never comes to an end so long as one believes in a Self.1

Santarakşita declares that the Buddha is omniscient, because it is he who for the first time propounded the doctrine of Nairātmya, and that is also why he is supreme among the founders of religious systems.2 Mere realisation of Nairatmya, however, does not lead to the desired goal. What is essential is fervent and constant contemplation (Bhāvanā), ranging over a long period, in the course of which the knowledge of all objects flashes across the mind: it is all-comprehensive Nairātmyabhāvanā of this type that is cultivated by the kind-hearted devotee who desires to do good to the world. For we are told that there are two hindrances to the realisation of the truth, klesavarana and nevavarana: the first is nothing but the klesas (lust, hatred and delusion) that obstruct the vision of the truth, and the second consists in the inability to recognise and comprehend the nature of the true and the false. Direct realisation of Nairātmya does away with klesāvarana, but ineyavarana cannot be got rid of without fervent, constant and prolonged cultivation of the principle of Nairātmya. The Bhāvanā is practised by some in anxiety for their own release from the sufferings of the world and

अस्मारमीयवृगाकारसस्ववृद्धिः प्रवर्तते । अहं ममिति माने च क्वेशोऽश्वेषः प्रवर्तते ॥ सस्ववृक् प्रत्यनीकं च तक्करास्त्यनि-वर्शनम् । अभ्यासात् सास्त्यमायाते तस्मिन् सा विनिवर्तते ॥ तन्मूलक्वेशराशिक्ष देत्वमावाद प्रदीयते । तक्षिक्षसति तक्षेतुर्न पुनर्जायते भवः ॥ तदत्वन्तविनिर्मुक्तरपर्याक्ष क्वीस्थेते । अद्वितीयशिषद्वारमतो नैरास्त्यदर्शनम् ॥ सर्वेशमिष् तीथ्यानामहङ्कारनियन्नात् । मुक्तिरिष्टासमस्त्रे च नाहङ्कारो निवर्तते ॥

² राज्य सुगतस्थएमादी रीरात्म्यकानंतात् । सर्वतीर्थकृतां तसात् स्थितो मूर्ति तथागतः ॥ v. 3340.

the cycle of births; while others, philanthropic by nature, are moved to pity by the miseries of the world, and without taking thought of their own interest, consider all worldly beings as their own selves and strive for the salvation of all: kindness is the motive which prompts such men to betake themselves to Nairātmya-bhāvanā. All this will be clear from the following extracts from the commentary of Kamalasīla on Tattvasamgraha:

Among non-Buddhist writers earlier than Somadeva, Jayanta gives a clear and succint account of the theory of Nairātmya as part of the Buddhist argument in Nydyamañjarī (chap. 7), and his remarks may be compared with the statements of Sāntarakṣita reproduced above and the views cited by Somadeva in Yasastilaka, Book V.¹ Jayanta ṣays:

तस्मादुत्स्रज्यतामेष मूर्धाभिषिक्तः प्रथमो मोह आत्मग्रहो नाम । तिष्वश्रत्या चात्मीयग्रहोऽपि विरंखित अहमेव न किं ममेति । तिददमहंकारममकारप्रन्थिप्रहाणेन नैरात्म्यदर्शनमेव निर्वाणद्वारमालम्ब्यताम् । तस्य स मार्गः क्षणिकपदार्य-निश्चयः । क्षणिकेषु हि सर्वभावेषु निराश्चयेषु ज्ञानस्याप्याश्रयविरहात् कृतस्त्यमात्मकल्पनमिति ।

Somadeva, as we have seen, criticizes the Buddhist doctrine of Bhāvanā in Yasastilaka VI. 1. An earlier criticism of the doctrine is that of Vidyānanda in Tattvārtha-sloka-vārtika 1. 71:

भावनाप्रकर्षपर्यन्तस्तत्तिस्त्रुपाय इति चेत् न, भावनाया विकल्पात्मकःवेनातत्त्वविषयायाः प्रकर्षपर्यन्तप्राप्तायास्त-स्वज्ञानवैतृष्ण्यस्वभावोद्यविरोधात् । न हि सा श्रुतमयी तत्त्वविषया श्रुतस्य प्रमाणत्वानुषंगात् । ••• सर्वथा भावनायास्तत्त्व-विषयत्वायोगात् । तत्त्वप्रापकत्वाद्वस्तविषयत्वमिति चेत् , कथमवस्त्वालंबना सा वस्तुनः प्रापिका ।

Somadeva gives the following definition of the Buddhist view of salvation in Yasastilaka VI. 1: nirāsraya-cittotpatti-lakṣaṇo mokṣaḥ and quotes the two verses ending with klesa-kṣayāt kevalam eti sāntim.¹ The medieval interpretation of this is found in Vādideva Sūri's Pramāṇa-nayatattvālokālamkāra 2. 26:

सौगतास्तु भेनिशिराश्रयनित्तसंतानोत्पतिर्मोक्ष इत्यानक्षते । तत्राविद्यातृष्णारहितं नित्तं विराश्रयमित्यमित्रीयते । अविद्या रामद्वेषाभिकाषः । तृष्णा तु भाविभोगाभिकाषः ।

¹ See preceding Chapter.

It will be seen that nirāsraya is explained as free from avidyā (lust and hatred) and trṣnā (craving); and the emergence of a stream of consciousness free from these impurities is described as Mokṣa. This is similar to the ancient teaching that Nirvāṇa is nothing but the end of klesas (lust, hatred and delusion).

In Yasastilaka, Book V, Somadeva gives another definition of the Buddhist view of salvation. Mokṣa is here described as Nirodha consisting in the emergence of a state of mind free from the āsravas following the destruction of the notion of the Self.¹ Āsrava is an irregular Buddhist Sanskrit form of the Pāli Āsava, there being four āsavas: kāmāsava, bhavāsava, diṭṭhāsava and avijjāsava (sensuality, lust for life, speculation and ignorance).¹ Freedom from the Āsravas, which are generally taken to mean the cravings that produce an intoxicating effect on the mind, constitutes the the Buddhist ideal of salvation, known also as Nirodha or cessation. Haribhadra says in Ṣaḍdarsana-samuccaya (verse 7) that nirodha is mokṣa; and Guṇaratna in his commentary thereon explains it as a mental state free from the klesas, that is, lust, hatred and delusion. We thus come back to the old conception of the destruction of the kles'as; and it is obvious that the two definitions of the Buddhist view of salvation given by Somadeva do not materially differ from each other.

In Buddhist literature, Nirvāṇa is defined as Nirodha (cessation) in Milindapañha III. 6. It is said that inasmuch as the wise man neither takes pleasure in the senses and in the objects of sense nor continues cleaving to them. "in him craving ceases, and by the cessation of craving grasping ceases, and by the cessation of grasping becoming ceases, and when becoming has ceased birth ceases, and with its cessation old age and death, grief, lamentation, pain, sorrow and despair cease to exist." In this way the cessation of all that aggregation of pain is brought about. "Thus is it that cessation is Nirvāṇa." The end of cravings, the absence of passion, Nirodha and Nirvāṇa are mentioned together.

^{1 &#}x27;वियलिताप्रहे नातमप्रहे निराश्रवित्तोत्पत्तिलक्षणो निरोधापरनामपश्चो मोक्षः स्वलक्षणिक्षणामभूणः !' Yatastilaka, Vol. II p. 252. This is followed by the verse वथा स्नेहक्षवादीपः प्रशास्यति निरन्वयः। तथा क्षेत्राक्षवा- जन्तुः प्रशास्यति निरन्वयः। See preceding Chapter.

² See Rhys Davids: Pali-English Dictionary under asava.. of. सिक्षी: श्रीणाश्रवस Divyavadana, p. 542.

^{3 &#}x27;तस्स तं अनिभनन्दतो अनिभवदतो अनुज्योसाय तिहतो.तण्हा निरुज्याति, तण्हानिरोधा उपादानिरोधो, उपादानिरोधा अवनिरोधो, अविनिरोधो, जातिनिरोधो जरामरणसोक्तपरिवेददुक्खदोमनस्सुणयासा निरुज्यन्ति । यवमेतस्स केवहस्स दुक्खन्त-धस्स निरोधो होति । यवं खो महाराज निरोधो निज्यानं कि 1' Bee also The Questions of King Milinda, p. 106. Sacred Books of the Bast, Vol. XXXV.

^{4 &#}x27;तण्डवसायो विरागो निरोधो निब्बानंगत ।' Ibid. IV, 8, 90,

There seem to have been at least two conceptions of Buddhist salvation current in the medieval period. The first is the emergence of a stream of consciousness free from all impurities, and corresponds to the view recorded by Somadeva. Kamalasila says in his commentary on Tattavasamgraha (verse 151) that the practice of Yoga brings about the disappearance of Avidyā followed by the rise of an undefiled stream of consciousness leading to Apavarga or final release:

तस्याक्षाविद्याया योगाभ्यासादसमर्थतरतमक्षणोत्पादक्रमेण व्यपगमात् परिशुद्धशानसन्तानोदयादपवर्गप्राप्तिरिस्तती यन्धमोस्रव्यवस्था युक्तिमती ।

This view is recorded also by Vyomasiva in the introduction to his commentary on the *Prasastapāda Bhāsya*, wherein he says that, according to some, the supreme beatitude consists in consciousness, free from the passions, emerging as a result of meditation on Nairātmya and the momentary character of things:

अन्ये तु अस्थिरादिभावनावशात् रागादिवियुक्तज्ञानीत्पत्तिः निःश्रेयसमिति मन्यन्ते । तथाहि सर्वे क्षणिकमिति भावयतो विषयेषु आसक्तिर्न्यावर्तते *** । तथा सर्व निरात्मकमिति भावनायां नाहं परः न ममायमिति परिप्रहृद्वेषामावे भवत्येव विश्वद्धित्तसंतानोत्पत्तिरिति ।

The second view of Buddhist salvation prevalent in the medieval period, although not recorded by Somadeva, is that Mokṣa is nothing but the complete annihilation of the stream of consciousness, comparable to the going out of a lamp. Vādideva Sūri (op. cit.) mentions this view as that of a certain section of Buddhists along with the former doctrine. He says:

अपरे पुनः प्रदीपनिर्वाणवत् सर्वथा चित्तसन्तानीच्छेदो मोक्ष इति संगिरन्ते ।

Vyomasiva (op. cit.) explains it along with the other view:

तथा च पटाद्यर्थस्य प्रतिवेधात् सर्वं श्रूत्यमिति च । एवं हि दुःखस्य समुद्रयनिरोधमार्गेषु विशिष्टशानं निःश्रेयसकारणमिति । तथाहि दुःखं रूपादिस्कन्धपद्यकं तस्य समुद्रयः कारणम् । । । तयोनिरोधो विनाशः तस्योपायो मार्गः इत्येषु विशिष्टशानवद्यात् शुद्धचित्तस्तेतानतदुच्छेदो वा निःश्रेयसमिति युक्तम् ।

The opinion is recorded also by Bhāskara in his Brahmasūtra Bhāsya 2. 2. 18:

भाग्यत्राहकाकारिवमुक्ता संवित्संतितिर्निर्वातस्थ्रदीपवदासंस्कारक्षयात् क्षणपरंपरयाविष्ठते । संस्कारक्षये सा प्रदीपवदेव निर्वाणसृच्छति । सेथमभावप्राप्तिरपवर्ष इति सन्यन्ते । अपरे तु सन्तत्यविच्छेदमिच्छन्ति ।

Siddharşi (*Upamiti-bhava-prapañcā kathā*, Book IV) and Guṇaratna (comm. on Ṣaḍdaraaa-samuccaya, v. 11) attribute the doctrine in question to the Sautrāntika school of Buddhism:

सीमान्तिकमतं पुनरिदम् । रूपवेदनाविशानसंज्ञासंस्काराः सर्वशरीरिणामेते पत्र स्कन्धा विदान्ते । न पुनरात्मा । त एव हि परकोकगामिनः । तथा क्षणिकाः सर्वे संस्काराः स्मन्तानोच्छेदो मोक्ष इति ।

Both the views are mentioned together by Jayanta in Nydyamanjars (chap. 9):

विर्वाणादिपदाक्वेयमपवर्ग सु सौगताः । सन्तत्युक्केदमिन्छन्ति सन्छा वा श्रावसन्दरिख् ॥

VEDĀNTISTS

The school of Vedānta prevalent in Somadeva's time was the Advaita school; and he records the views of the Vedāntavādins or the Brahmādvaitavādins. He refers to Śamkarācārya, asserting that the Buddha's doctrine was imitated by the latter. The allegation that the great philosopher was a Buddhist in disguise seems to have been widely current by the middle of the tenth century. Somadeva appears to have been acquainted with the writings of the school of Śamkara; and his statement of the ultimate goal according to the Brahmādvaitavādins runs thus: 'यथा घटनिघटने घटाकाशमाकाशीभवति तथा देहोच्छेदात सर्वः प्राणी पर वद्याण डोवते ' This reminds one of the following verses of Śamkara's Sarva-vedānta-siddhāntasāra-sathgraha:

घटाभावे घटाकाशो महाकाशो यथा तथा। उपाध्यभावे त्वात्मेष स्वयं अद्भैव केवकस् ॥ 695 यत्र यत्र सृतो ज्ञानी परमाक्षरविद् सदा। परे ब्रह्मणि छीवेत व तत्वोत्क्रान्तिरिष्यते ॥ 1000.

Sureśvaracarya says in his Naiskarmyasiddhi II. 68:

षया विद्युद् भाकारो सहसैवाञ्रमण्डलम् । भूत्वा विलीयते तहदात्मनीहासिछं जगत् ॥

The doctrine of the Vedanta recorded by Somadeva is summed up also in the following verse quoted in the Māṭharavṛtti on Sāṁkhyakārikā (39):

देहे मोहाअये भग्ने युक्तः स परमात्मनि । कुम्भाकाश इवाकाशे कमते वैकरूपताम् ॥

SAIVAS

An interesting feature of Somadeva's treatment of Saiva doctrines is the light thrown by it on the controversy between the Saivas and the Jainas on the subject of omniscience and creation. The first is claimed by the Saivas for Siva or Maheśvara, while it is reserved by the Jainas for their Arhat; and as for creation, attributed by the Saivas to Siva, it is altogether denied by the other sect. The roots of this controversy go to earlier times, and that it is older than the middle of the tenth century is certain. Vidyānanda who belongs to the ninth century tries to refute Sankara-mata, Saiva doctrine, in his Āptaparīkṣā³, and rejects the claim of Maheśvara to be regarded as the creator of the universe and the omniscient author of a system teaching the way to salvation (mokṣa-mārga). It will be out of place to analyse his argument; but in dealing with this topic Vidyānanda and Somadeva supplement each other and record valuble information on the

^{1 &#}x27;देतादेताअवः शायवः शंकराज्यकतागमः' VI. 2. Vol. II, p. 276.

³ See preceding Chapter.

^{3 &#}x27;सामतं शंकरमतमाशंक्य द्वयश्वाह' etc.

subject. Vidyānanda's views are partially referred to by Anantavīrya in his commentary on Mānikyanandi's Parīkṣāmukhasūtra (Pratyakṣaddeśa); and it may be pointed out that most of our knowledge of the Saiva-Jaina controversy is derived from Jaina works.

The background of the controversy between the Saivas and the Jainas mentioned above is provided by Kumarila's well-known attack on the conception of an Omniscient Being; and the questions involved are part of a larger issue which brought several schools of thought into the arena of philosophical controversy. Kumarila regarded omniscience as something impossible; and instead of positing an omniscient author of the Vedas, he maintained that they were eternal and not the composition of a personal author. Kumārila's views may be studied in S'lokavārtika (Codanāsūtra) and specially in the verses quoted from him by Santaraksita in the last section of Tattvasamgraha, many of them being not found in the extant S'lokavārtika. It is important to note that Kumārila in the course of his argument insisted on the absurdity of the Buddhist and Jaina notions that the Buddha and the Arhat respectively were Omniscient Beings. The Buddhist reply to this is elaborately given by Santaraksita in Tattvasamgraha; and the earliest Jaina reply to Kumārila is probably that of Akalamka (8th century) in his Nyāyaviniscaya (chap. 3). Kumārila, for example, had written in S'lokavārtika (2. 141, 142):

> युवं यैः केवकं ज्ञानमिन्द्रियाद्यनपेक्षिणः । स्क्ष्मातीतादिविषयं जीवस्य परिकस्पितम् ॥ मर्वे तदागमात् सिध्येश्व च तेनागमो विना । षद्यान्तोऽपि न तक्यान्यो नृषु कक्षित् प्रवर्तते ॥

Akalamka replied to this as follows in Nyayaviniscaya (vv. 412, 418):

वृतं वत् केवळ्ञानमञ्जमानविज्ञृत्मितम् । नर्ते तदागमात् सिष्येत च तेन विनागमः ॥ सुक्षमध्यकादेव पुरुषातिशयो मतः । प्रभवः पौरुषेयोऽस्य प्रवन्धोऽनादिरिष्वते ॥

Not only Akalamka but other Jaina scholars have tried to refute Kumārila's views, and this explains the large number of quotations from Kumārila found in later Jaina philosophical literature, e. g., in Vidyānanda's Aptaparīkṣā, Aṣṭasahasrī and Tattvārtha-sloka-vārtika (under sātra 1. 29), Abhayadeva's commentary on Sammatitarka, Nyāya-kumuda-candra and other works. The bitterness of the Jainas against the Mīmāmsā school for attacking their fundamental doctrine of the Omniscient Being is shown in Siddharṣi's Upamiti-bhava-prapañoā-kathā (chap. 4), which declares that the Mīmāmsā is not a philosophical system at all; and after enumerating six non-Jaina systems including the Mīmāmsā, Siddharṣi excludes the latter from the field of speculative thought, although he finds room for the materialistic

 ^{&#}x27;वस महेमारक क्षेत्रादिभिरक्रामृहत्वं निर्तिश्वायमैग्वर्ग्यक्षेत्रत्वं तत् सर्वमि सगमाण्यसौरभन्यावर्णमिन निविध्यस्थाः हुवेक्षामदेति !'

Lokayata system. There is no trace of any such bitterness against the Mimamsa in Somadeva's Yas'astilaka, as we find here the Saivas replacing the Mimamsakas as adversaries of Jaina doctrines.

The controversy about omniscience was further complicated by the Naiyāyikas entering the lists in defence of the doctrine. Like the Buddhists and the Jainas, they upheld the theory of omniscience as against the Mimāmsakas; and we find, for instance, Jayanta quoting and criticising Kumārila's views in his Nyāyamañjari (chap. 2) and establishing the theory that the adepts in Yoga possess the unhampered faculty of pure and universal knowledge.2 The Naiyāyikas, however, declare God to be the omniscient creator of the universe and the author of the Vedas. Vātsyāyana, indeed, attributes the authorship of the Vedas to 'trustworthy persons' (Aptāḥ) in his Bhāṣya on Nyāyasūtras 2. 1. 68, and does not say that God is their author. Uddyotakara in his Nyāyavārtika calls the author of the Vedas a superior individual (Purusa-visesa), which might mean God, this being the designation applied to God in Yogasutras 1.24. The later exponents of the Nyāya system, Jayanta, Vācaspati and Udayana, however, maintain that the omniscient God is the creator of the universe as well as the author of the Vedas. Jayanta, for example, says in his Nyāyamanjari (chap. 4):

कर्ता य एव जगतामसिकात्मवृत्तिकर्मप्रपञ्चपरिपाकविचित्रताज्ञः । विश्वासमना सदुपदेशपराः प्रजीतास्त्रेनैव वेदरचना दृति युक्तमेतत् ॥

The Mimāmsā objections to the Nyāya conception of an omniscient Creator are fully stated in Maṇḍana's Vidhiniveka (P. 210 ff.). A later writer Sālikānātha, an authoritative exponent of the Prābhākara school of Mīmāmsā, tries to refute the theory of the omniscience of God in Prakaraṇapañcikā (chap. 7). He argues that it is the cycle of tradition (vrddha-paramparā), without any beginning, that fixes the meaning of words and not the significance supposed to be attached to them by God at the beginning of creation. Further, the idea of personal authorship is not compatible with the authoritative character of the Veda which deals with supernormal things. Sālikānātha probably belongs to the tenth century, but in this century the position of the Nyāya-vaisēsika school was so strong that

¹ अवीकालिकमेतदि मीमांसकपुरं मतम् । तेन दर्शनसंख्यायामेतल्लोकेनं गण्यते ॥

विषे क्षीणदोषाणां ध्यानावहित जैतसाम् । तिमैछ सर्वविषयं ज्ञानं प्रवति वीर्गानाम् ॥

³ Of. Handiqui: Naisadhacarita, Appendix I, p. 505.

 ^{&#}x27;न वेश्वरस सर्वज्ञानमपि सिध्यति द्वानहेत्वभावेन द्वाताभावनिद्ययात् । तेनानादिरेव वृद्धपरम्परा शब्दाभावनमे हेत्तुर्व स्ट्रमादावीश्वरकृतः सङ्केतः । """प्यं पुरुषस धर्मप्रतीति प्रति शब्दमन्तरेणोपावाभावात्त, गौक्षेवत्थे नेदस्मापूर्वोत्पक्के वेदार्थे वामाण्योपपत्तिरस्पपौक्षेवस्वाभवणम् ।'

⁵ G. N. Kaviraja; Introduction to Kusumānjalibodhanī (Sarasvati Bhavana Teats).

Minimal theories were not likely to wield any great influence. Be that as it may having established the existence of an Omniscient Being, the Naiyayikas turn against the Buddhists and the Jainas, and deny that the Buddha or the Arhat can ever be called omniscient; and their attitude. on the whole, is one of hostility towards the non-Brahmanical systems. Vicaspati says in his Nyāyavārtika-tātparya-tikā that Buddha and Rsabha are known to be the authors of the Buddhist and Jaina scriptures respectively; but as they are not the creators of the universe, like God, how can they be regarded as omniscient? In his commentary on the Yogasütra Bhānja also (1.24, 25) he rejects the claims of the founders of systems like the Buddha, the Arhat, Kapila and others to be regarded as omniscient Further, the Naiyāyikas just mentioned give a new turn to the old Nyaya theory that the Vedas are authoritative, because they are the utterances of a trustworthy person (Apta); and argue therefrom that the Vedas are the utterances of a Trustworthy Being, because they are accepted by the majority of the people (mahajana), and what is not declared by a Trustworthy Being is not accepted by the majority of the people. This is exactly what Udayana says in the Kiranāvali commentary on the Prasastapāda Bhāsya.2 Jayanta also says that it is possible to speak of anything as being declared by a Trustworthy Being (Apta) only when it is well-known among and accepted by the majority of the people. He explains Mahājana i. e. the great mass or the majority as those who follow the Varnāśrama order prevalent in the Aryan country, that is, the Vedic order of society founded on the division of castes and the stages of life, and makes a distinction between Mahajana and Vrnda, the group or the minority, such as the Buddhists. The latter might claim their vrnda to be the mahājana in order to establish the authoritative character of their scriptures. but it is not possible to raise a minority (Vrnda) to the status of a majority (Mahājana), and the latter always avoids and never approves any scriptures that are opposed to the Vedas. Jayanta therefore concludes that scriptures other than the Vedas cannot be regarded as being composed by a trustworthy individual, because they lack support among the large mass of the people.

१ 'तत्र झाक्याचागमानां बुद्धवैभादयः प्रणेतार इति स्कुटतरमस्ति स्मरणं न त्सुक्तक्क्षण ईन्यरस्तेषां कर्त्तेति। न नैते श्रीक्रोद्धनिप्रभूतयः तनुभुवनादीनां कर्तारो येन सर्वेद्या इति निश्चीयरन्।'

अप्रोक्त वेदाः महाजनपरिगृहीतस्थात् । यत् पुननीप्तोक्तं न तत् महाजनपरिगृहीतम् ।"

^{3 &#}x27;महाजनप्रसिष्ठधनुष्रहे हि सति सुवचमाप्तीक्तलं भवति नान्यथा' Nyayamañjari, Book IV.

^{4 &#}x27;बीडारची तुडारीनाप्तान् स्वागममामाग्यसिद्धये वदन्ति ते महाजनमधि निर्ज तिस्तद्धये इन्दादिकं वरेषुरेव कसाच प्रतीकारः, त्रच्यते, चातुर्वण्ये चातुराअन्यं च यदेतदार्थदेशप्रसिद्धं स महाजने उच्यतेचातुर्वण्यं चातुराअन्यं कस्त्रीय महाजनो वेदमधममङ्क्षः......अत पत निजो महाजन उत्थापितुं शुक्यते इन्द्रकादिः कि स्वयमेव चातुर्वण्यादिमङ्क्ष्यनः स चैव महाजनो वेदविवद्धमागमं परिष्टरस्त्रेव नातुर्वण्यादिमङ्क्ष्यनः स चैव महाजनो वेदविवद्धमागमं परिष्टरस्त्रेव नातुर्वण्यादिकः

⁵ 'सत प्रदेशियाचा सदाजनप्रसिद्धेरागमान्तरेष्ट्रयसैनाच तेपामाहप्रणीतत्वम् ।'

Similarly, Vācaspati in his Tātparyaṭīkā, makes a sharp distinction between the Vedas and the scriptures of the Buddhists, the Digambara Jainas and others, and observes that no scriptures other than the Vedas are accepted by the majority of the people and mentioned as being composed by God. The Vedas alone, composed by God as they are, maintain the entire social order and provide instruction in the means to attain the good and shun the evil. On the contrary, the teachings of the Buddha and others do not uphold the social order, nor are they accepted by the great mass of the people. Owing to this general reproach, they are followed only by a few barbarians, vilest among men and just like animals, and hence it is impossible to regard such teachings as being propounded by any trustworthy individual.

It will be seen that the arguments of the Naiyāyikas are not only directed against the non-Brahmanical systems as such but against the Jaina conception of the Arhat as the omniscient and trustworthy guide. They seem to put forward a rival Apta theory to discredit that of the Jainas, and thus reinforce the Saivas in their attack on the fundamental Jaina doctrine of the omniscient Arhat. It is difficult to determine the chronological relations of the Saivas and the Naiyāyikas, but they might represent a parallel movement: Vācaspati and Jayanta belong to the ninth century and Udayana to the tenth, and we have seen that the Saiva-Jaina controversy recorded by Vidyānanda and Somadeva falls in the same period. Further, as Haribhadra tells us in Saddarsanasamuccaya, the Naiyāyikas were generally Saivas; and we know, in fact, that the leading Nyāya-Vaisesika philosophers of the period of revival, Bhāsarvajña, Jayanta, Udayana, and Vyomasiva were all It is also noteworthy that the Naiyāyikas often identify God (Isvara) with Siva or Muhesvara. Jayanta does so in Nyayamanjari (chap. 3); while Bhāsarvajna says in Nyāyasāra that salvation is the outcome of the vision of Šiva.3 The verse sarvajnatā tṛptiranādibodhah.....sadāhurangāni Mahesvarasya cited by the Saivas to illustrate the greatness of Siva is quoted by Udayana in Nyāyakusumāūjali (Book V) in support of the argument for the existence of God; and Udayana's own lines at the end of Book I

देवोऽसी विरतप्रपञ्चरचनाकछोलकोलाहकः । साक्षात् साक्षितया मनव्यमिरति बहातु शान्तो सम ॥

१ रामाहबः कथं ते स्त्रुनिखानन्दात्मके शिवे'; 'यस्रैष्छथेव गुवनानि समुद्भवन्ति, तिहन्ति यान्ति च पुनविकथं बुवान्ते। तस्रे समस्तफलभोगनिबन्धनाय, निलप्रवृद्धमुद्दिताय नमः शिवाव॥' etc.

^{3 &#}x27;शिवदर्शनान्मीक्ष इति'.

may be compared with some of the doctrinal verses of the Saiv school quoted in the preceding chapter. The identity of Siva with Isvara seems to have been an accepted fact; and as late as the twelfth century Vadideva Suri freely uses the term Siva and its equivalents in his detailed criticism of the Nyāya conception of God in Pramāņa-naya-tattvālokālamkāra 2.26. But in spite of the affinities between the Saivas and the Nyaya school, the former had an independent system with doctrines of their own; and while the Nyāya school maintained that God was the author of the Vedas, the Saivas attributed their Sastras to the omniscient Siva, and claimed that the Vedas. too, were created by him. It is noteworthy that the Isanasivagurudevapaddhati (Kriyāpāda, chap. I) quotes various Saiva texts to prove that Siva is not only the author of the Saiva Agamas but of the Vedas. The claim was not probably repugnant to the Naiyāyikas who were, generally speaking, Saivas; and the interconnection of the two schools accounts for the fact that the Isanasivagurudevapaddhati (op. cit.) quotes a well-known verse of Jayanta's Nyayamanjari, declaring Paramesvara (God) to be the author of the Vedas, in support of the Saiva claim that Siva was their author.

SÄNKHYAS

We have reproduced Somadeva's criticism of Sāmkhya doctrines in the preceding chapter, and may here cite another criticism of Sāmkhya views by a Jaina writer, Devasena, a senior contemporary of Somadeva, who wrote his Darsanasāra at Dhārā in 933 a. p. He says in his Bhāvasamgraha that according to the Sāmkhya system, Prakrti does everything and the Self is subject to neither virtue nor sin: this is a negation of moral responsibility and leads to vice and corruption. The Sāmkhyas, according to Devasena, are addicted to worldly pleasures, consummate drunkards, and devoid of compassion for living creatures; they are given to stealing, reject virtue, and indulge in evil practices.

जीवो सया अकता भुत्ता य हु होर् पुण्णपायस्त । ह्य पयडिकण छोए गरिया वरिणी सध्या वि ॥ १७९ ॥ पुर विसयासन्ता कम्युत्माना य जीवदयरहिया । परितयभणहरणस्या अगरियभन्मा दुरायारा ॥ १८० ॥

With this view of Samkhya philosophy may be compared that of Asuri in the episode of Candakarman in Yasastilaka towards the end of Book

¹ E. g., अन्न किरणे—"पुंसामनुष्रहार्यं तु परोऽप्यपरतां गतः। कृत्वा मन्नात्मकं देहं विनतं तन्नाण्यनेकथा॥" x x x स्वायम्भुवे—"पुनः स्वच्छावतारेषु तन्नं पाञ्चपतं तथा। बाकुर्छं सोमतनं च जगाद परमेश्वरः॥ x x x तसादस्वन्तमाप्तेन किवेन श्रुत्योऽस्विच्छाः। प्रणीताः सर्वकर्षेति श्रमाणं जायते सत्ताम् ॥" x x x तवथा—"नका भूत्वा जगन् सर्व सदेवासुरमानुषम्। यत् सुजस्वस्तुमध्यस्यं तक्षे नकात्मने नमः॥" इति ! पतस्वादिष वेदानां वक्ता हेयो महेश्वरः॥ ६१ ॥

अस्या च न्याये—"वेदचा पुरुषः कर्ता तदि यादृशसादृशः । वितु त्रैकोक्यनिव्राणिनिपुणः परमेश्वरः ॥" Myāya-mañjarī, Ohap, III.

उ See Introduction to मन्यस्त्राहः p. 12 (Manikavandra Jaina Granthamālā).

V. The evidence of Devasena and Somadeva points to the fact that there may have been some degeneration in the moral tone of the Samkhyas in their time, but the ethical ideal of the Samkhya school is manifest from the conception of the Sattvika from of Buddhi, as propounded in Sankhyakarika (28). As regards the charge that the Samkhyas are devoid of compassion for living creatures, it is belied by their view that animal slaughter in Vedic sacrifices is productive of sin, a point elaborated in the Matharavrtti. It is noteworthy that the Jaina Gunaratna Süri tells us in his commentary on Haribhadra's Saddarsanasamucoaya (chap. 3) that the Samkhyas are spiritualists averse to the Vedic cult involving killing of animals, and he mentions in this connection certain devices adopted by them to avoid injury to living creatures, similar to those used by the Jaina monks. Somadeva, on the other hand, classes the Samkhyas with the Buddhists, the Cārvākas, and the adherents of the Vedic, Saiva, and medical systems, and advises people to abstain from flesh-eating by rejecting the views of all these schools (Yasastilaka VII. 24. Vol. II, p. 331):

तच्छाक्यसांक्यचार्वाकवेदवैधकपर्दिनाम् । मतं विद्वाय हातक्यं मांसं श्रेयोऽर्धिभिः सदा ॥

Obviously the systems mentioned above permitted the eating of animal food; and the Sāmkhya attitude towards flesh-eating may have been similar to that of the Buddhists, in spite of the repugnance to the slaughter of animals, common to both schools. Somadeva, as we shall see, condemns the Buddhists for eating flesh, and this is probably the reason why Devasena likewise describes the Sāmkhyas as devoid of compassion for living creatures.

BĀRHASPATYAS

The Bārhaspatyas, as we have seen, are called Nāstikas by Somadeva; and in Yasastilaka, Book V, Candakarman who expounds their views is described as an exponent of Lokāyata doctrines. Siddharşi says in his Upamiti-bhava-prapaūcā kathā that the Bārhaspatyas are the inhabitants of the Lokāyata City. That the Lokāyata was a prominent system in the tenth century and thereabouts is certain. Siddharşi includes it among the principal non-Jaina systems described by him in his allegorical romance (Book IV); and in the Kudlur Plates of the Ganga king Mārasimha, dated 963 a. D., a famous Jaina teacher is described as Lokāyata-loka-sammata-matik, one whose talents are appreciated by the adherents of the Lokāyata system. The

¹ See Chap, VIII.

² See Chap. XIII. The Pasupata views on the subject are very similar. See below.

^{3 &#}x27;प्रवृक्तकोकायतमतथमी' Vol. II, p. 259.

⁴ लोकायनमिति श्रीकं पुरमत्र तथापरम् । वार्डस्पलाख ने लोका ये वास्तव्याः पुरेऽत्र भोः ॥ Book IV.

views of the Barhaspatya school are discussed by Vimuktatman in Istoriddhi VII. 18, work composed between 850 and 1050 A. D.

In spite of frequent references to the Lokayatikas or the Carvakas, as they are popularly called, in Indian philosophical literature, no systematic work of this school has come down to us, which we can point out as the source of the statements of Somadeva and other scholars who have dealt with Lokayata doctrines. That there was a work called the Bārhaspatya Sūtra seems certain and possibly it was quite brief. Bhāskara in his Brahmasūtra Bhāsya 3. 3. 53 refers, like Śamkara, to the Lokayatikas, and quotes some aphorisms of the Bārhaspatya school. He says:

सथा बाईस्पत्यानि स्त्राणि--पृथिव्यतेजो बायुरिति तत्त्वानि, तत्समुदाये शरीरेन्द्रियविषयसंज्ञा, तेभ्यश्चेतम्बं, किण्वादिभ्यो मदशक्तिबद्धिज्ञानमिति ।

The first three of the aphorisms cited above are reproduced also in Vidyananda's Tattvārtha-sloka-vārtika 1. 104 with slight variations:

पृथिन्यापसेजो वायुरिति तत्त्वानि, तत्त्वमुदायः शरीरेन्द्रियसंज्ञाविषयः, तेभ्यश्रैतन्यम् ।

Bhāskara and Vidyānanda are assigned to the ninth century, and it may be assumed that the Bārhaspatya Sūtras were current in their time. In addition to the Sūtras quoted by them, the following Lokāyata aphorisms and dicta are cited in Kamalasīla's commentary on Sāntarakṣita's Tattvasamgraha (vv. 1858, 1872):

तथाहि तस्येतत् सूत्रम्—'परलोकनोऽभावात् परलोकाभावः' इति । तथाहि—'पृथिव्यापस्तेजो वायुरिति चत्वारि तस्यानि, तेभ्यक्षेतन्यम्' इति । तत्र केचिद्वतिकारा व्याचक्षते—उत्पद्यते तभ्यक्षेतन्यम्, अन्ये अभिव्यज्यत इत्याहुः । (Under v. 1858).

क्थोक्तम्—'एतावानेव पुरुषो यावानिन्द्रियगोचरः' तथा 'पुनरुक्तदेशान्तरं कालान्तरमवस्थान्तरं वा परलोक' इति । (Under v. 1872).

Santaraksita quotes a Lokāyata aphorism in verse 1864 and attributes it to Kambalāsvatara:

काबादेव तती धार्व प्राणापामाचिधिष्टितात् । युक्तं जायत इलेतत् कम्प्रकाश्वतरोदितस् ॥

Kamalasila remarks: तथा च स्त्रम्—कायादेवति। कम्बलाभतरोदितमिति. As pointed out by G. N. Kaviraj, Sāmkara Bhāṣya on the Vedāntasūtras 3. 3. 58 cites the following Lokāyata aphorisms: 'तेम्पर्थतन्त्रम्' 'मदशक्तिविद्यानम्' 'चेतन्यविशिष्टः कायः पुरुषः', and Sadānanda in Advaita-brahma-siddhi cites two more: 'काम एवैकः पुरुषारं' 'मरणमेवापर्याः'. It will be seen that the followers of the Lokāyata school recognise four elements only, but Gunaratna tells us in his commentary on the relevant

अत्राह्म्बंह्स्यसाः—भेदेऽभेदेऽप्ययुक्तत्वान्युक्तः पुंसोऽप्यदर्शनात् । देहाचेवास्ति दृष्टत्वात्रात्मा सुक्तिश्च नेति चेत् ॥

^{. 2} See Introduction to the work in Gackwad's Oriental Series.

³ Sarasvati Bhavana Studies, Vol. III, p. 65.

section of Haribhadra's Saddarsana-sunuccaya that certain among them include Akasa as the fifth element. In Yasastilaka VIII. 37° Somadeva mentions only four elements in connection with the system of Brhaspati, who is described as attributing consciousness to earth, air, water and fire, but not to the Self.

Apart from aphorisms, a collection of verses containing Lokayata doctrines seems to have long existed, and such verses are occasionally met with in Indian philosophical literature. One such verse, namely,

एतावानेव पुरुषी यावानिन्द्रियगोचरः । अद्रे मुक्पवं द्वेतद् बहुदन्ति बहुश्रुताः ॥

is cited by Candrakīrti (6th century) in his commentary on Nāgārjuna's Mūla-madhyamaka-kārikās (Chap. 18); while this as well as an accompanying verse is quoted in Haribhadra's S'addarsanasamuccaya (vv. 81, 82). A Lokāyata verse is quoted also by Somadava in Yasastilaka, Book V, p. 253:

बावजीदेत सुसं जीवेबासि मृत्योरगोचरः । असीभूतस्य शान्तस्य पुनरागमनं कृतः ॥ It is an oft-quoted verse and cited among earlier writers by Jayanta in Nyāyamañjari (Chap. 7).

Among early works the famous Mahāyāna text Saddharma-pundarīka, prior to the third century A. D., alludes to the Lokāyata system at least twice; while the interesting summary of Lokāyata views in Vātsyāyana's Kāmasūtra is reproduced by Somadeva in Yasastīlaka, Book IV, P. 92. Bāṇa in his Kādambarī refers to the teachings of the system as promoting sinful tendencies; while in Harşacarīta, Book VIII, the Lokāyatikas are mentioned among the adherents of diverse schools of thought who studied and taught in the hermitage of Divākaramītra in the Vindhya forest.

Somadeva's discussion of Lokayata views in Yasastilaka, Books V and VI, is an important contribution to our knowledge of the subject.

¹ भूपवनवनानलतस्वकेषु थिषणो निगृणाति विभागमेषु । न पुनर्निदि तक्विपरीतथर्मधासि बवीति तक्क्ष कर्म ॥ Vol. II, p. 388. Ms. A says (विभागं) विभेदनं ज्ञानम् । विदि आत्मिनि । See also preceding Chapter (acction on Carvaka doctrines).

² Ajita Kesakambali, who was a contemporary of Buddha and professed the doctrine of annihilation (ucchedavāda), held that a human being is composed of four elements (earth, water, air and fire). 'बायुक्सहाभृतिको अयं पुरिसो.' See the Sāmañña-phala Sutta in Dighanikāya.

³ पिब खाद च बारुकोचने यदतीतं वरमात्रि तक्ष ते । न हि भीव गतं निवर्तते समुद्रयमाश्रमदे क्रकेवरम् ॥

^{4 &#}x27;अन्येषु सत्रेषु न कदापि चिन्ता लोकायतरम्यतंरश्च शासीः' III. 148; 'म च तेषां क्रोकायते रुचिकविष्यति' Chap. XXV1.

⁵ See Chap. XVIII,

^{6 &#}x27;लोकायतिकविषयेनाधर्मरुचैः'-

Among his literary predecessors Haribhadra gives an interesting exposition of the doctrines of the school in Samardicca-kahd, Book III, in the dialogue between the Jaina teacher Vijayasinha and a Nästikavädin. Similarly, in a story in Vasudevahindi, Book IV, a king named Kurucandra is introduced as a Nästikavädin, whose views are briefly described. Jayanta says in Nydyamanjari (chap. 4) that the Lokäyata is mere casuistry and not an authoritative system, as it does not inculcate any duty; but he takes care to expound the tenets of the school at the beginning of Chap. 7, and towards the end of the same chapter calls the Cārvākas susikaia, well-trained, describing and refuting their views.

NALYAYIKAR

While enumerating the theories of salvation put forward by the different schools of thought, Somadeva does not refer to the Naiyāyikas, obviously because their view of moksa does not differ from that of the Vaisesikas which is defined by him as the complete cessation of the specific attributes of the soul. Somadeva says: 'शानस्वादः वेट्डाइवययवायां मनेवंद्याणां वनवंद्याः वाराणामात्मसुणानामत्यन्तोन्मुकिर्मुकिः' इति काणादाः । With this may be compared the statement of Jayanta defining the Nyāya conception of salvation in Nyāyamanjarī (Chap. 9): ननानामात्मसुणाना द्विद्ययदः वेट्डाइवययव्यवधार्षमंत्रं काराणां निर्मृत्वेट्डाइवययं इत्युक्ष भवति । Jayanta goes on to say:

इष्डादेषप्रवस्ति भोगायतनवम्धनस् । उष्डिक्कभोगायतमो भारमा तैरपि युज्यते ॥

× × × × × × × × ×

मञ्ज तत्त्वामयस्थायां कीरगारमाऽवहिष्यते । सक्तपैकप्रतिष्ठानः परित्यकोऽसिकैर्गुगैः ॥

Somadeva seems to refer to the Nyāya theory of salvation in a verse addressed to the Arhat in Yasastilaka VIII. 39 (Vol. II, p. 396):

बाहुसदीपनिर्वाणे प्राकृते वा स्ववि स्कूटस् । समुप्तदीपनिर्वाणे प्राकृतं साजगवनम् ॥

The verse refers to three types of salvation: kha-nirvāṇa, sky-like salvation, supta-nirvāṇa, sleep-like salvation, and dīpa-nirvāṇa, salvation like the going out of a lamp. According to a marginal note in Ms. A, dīpa-nirvāṇa refers to the Buddhist view; supta-nirvāṇa to the Sāmkhya view (because, according to that system, the emancipated Self, although conscious, perceives nothing); and kha-nirvāṇa to the Nyāya view, because, as we have seen, the Naiyāyikas believe mokṣa to be a

¹ P. 164 ff. 'ध्रवन्त्र्िम नाडियवादिणा अणिवं

की का रावा गाहियवादी, 'इंबियसमागमनेतं पुरिसक्तव्यणा, मक्तंगसमनाद मयसंभव इन, न पत्तो परभवसंक्रमणसरीकी वारिन, न सक्तवहुक्तमकं देवनेरहस्सु कोइ अणुमनदंति नवसिनो:........'

अ माहि कोकावते किविक्त कर्तकामुप्रसिद्धते । वित्रविकासवैवासी स प्रवः कश्चितायमः ॥

⁴ See preceding Chapter, 80.

colourless condition like that of the sky, in which the Self exists bereft of all attributes.1

It may be noted that there is another conception of salvation different from the usual Nyāya view, and associated with the name of Bhāsarvajña, an important writer of the Nyāya school, assigned to about the middle of the tenth century. It is probable that Somadeva, like Śrīharṣa, who also refers to the Nyāya doctrine of salvation in Naiṣadhacarita 17. 75, was either not acquainted with the views of Bhāsarvajña or perhaps ignored them in his treatment of the current theories of salvation.

PĀŚUPATAS

Somadeva describes and criticises the procedure recommended by the Pāsupatas for the attainment of salvation, but he does not tell us anything about the kind of mokṣā contemplated by them. The Pāsupata conception of salvation, according to certain writers, is not different from that of the Nyāya school. This requires some explanation.

Samkara in his Bhāṣya on the Vedāntasūtras 2. 2. 37 criticises the doctrines of the Pāśupatas, but he refers to them simply as Māheśvaras, followers of the system propounded by Śiva. The Pāśupatas were a Śaiva sect, and we know that there were four Śaiva sects in the ninth century, as both Vācaspati and Bhāskara refer to them, the former in his Bhāmatī and the latter in his Brahmasūtra Bhāṣya 2. 2. 37. The four sects are Śaivas, Pāśupatas, Kāpālikas and Kārunika-siddhāntins, the latter being called Kāṭhaka-siddhāntins by Bhāskara. The four-fold division of the Śaiva sects is found also in Yāmunācārya's Agamaprāmāṇya (latter half of the eleventh century), but here the names given are Śaivas, Pāśupatas, Kāpālikas and Kālāmukhas. This classification is followed in Rāmānuja's Srībhāṣya.

¹ Applied to the Arhat, the epithet is explained as रागदेषमोहरहिनत्वात आकाशवत श्र-यं बोग-

² See the English translation of Naisadhacarita of Sriharea, Appendix I, P. 497 ff.

³ According to Samkarn, the Mahesvaras believe in five categories: Kārya, Kārana, Yoga, vidhi, and duḥkhānta, which are all Pasnpata tenets. Cf. Agamaprāmānya: तत्रेवा पाशुपतप्रक्रिया । तत्र पञ्च पदार्थास्तु ज्यास्थानाः कारणादयः । कारणं कार्य विषयोगो दुःखान्तः ॥ Vācaspati in Bhāmati explains Mahesvaras as referring to the four Saiva sects including the Pāsupatas, but his interpretation of yoga and vidhi is based on Pāsupata texts. He says योगोऽत्योकारादिध्यानपारणादिः । विधिस्थिवणकालानादिगृहचर्यावसानः, with which may be compared the following Pāsupata sātras: असना विधवण कार्यातः । 1. 2; गृहजतः गृहमविभवणिः 4. 2. 3; ओकारमञिष्ट्यायित, इदि कुर्वात भारणाय् । 5. 24, 25.

We learn from Bhaskara (op. cit.) that the Pasupatas and the Kāpālikas hold the same view of salvation as the Vaisesikas and the Naiyāyikas, that is, suppose the emancipated Self to be divested of all specific attributes, resembling a block of stone. As for the Saivas, their conception of mokea is said to be identical with that of the Samkhyas, that is, according to them, the emancipated Self subsists in a pristine condition of pure consciousness. Bhaskara says: पाञ्चपत्वेशेविकनैयायिककापालिकानामविशिष्टाः मुक्त्यवस्थायां पाषाणकत्या आत्मानो भवन्तीति । सांस्वधैवयोध विशिष्टा आत्मानश्चेतन्यस्वभावास्तिष्ठन्तीति ।. Yamunacarya, who gives an account of the Saiva sects in his Agamaprāmānya, offers the same interpretation of the Päsupata conception of salvation as Bhaskara. He explains duhkhanta, one of the fundamental tenets of the Pasupata system, as absolute cessation of pain, and states that the Pasupatas regard this as mukti, a condition characterized by the annihilation of the entire range of the specific attributes of the Self: आखन्तिकी दुःखनिवृत्तिर्दुःखान्तराब्देनोक्ता तामेव निःशेष-वैशेषिकात्मगुणोच्छेदलक्षणां मुक्ति मन्यन्ते ।. Duhkhānta is in fact the apex of the Päsupata system. As explained in the Ratna commentary on the Ganakārikā (p. 9), in a negative sense, duhkhānta is absolute cessation of all pain: while, in a positive sense, it corresponds to siddhi or the possession of supernatural power like that of Siva. Siddhi is of two kinds: jñānasakti or the potency of knowledge and Kriyāšakti or the potency of action, which has three aspects: extraordinary speed, the power to assume shapes at will, and boundless might with the body and the organs intact. The attainment of the twofold siddhi is followed by ten other siddhis or miraculous powers: for example, absolute freedom, irresistible knowledge, freedom from risk to life, complete fearlessness, freedom from the effects of old age and from the pangs of death, lack of all hindrances, overlordship etc. The Pasupata system thus lays great stress on the attainment of supernormal powers; but siddhi does not stand alone, as it belongs to a group of five labhas or ways of perfection recognised by the school, the others being jnana, tapas, nityatva and sthiti. Jñāna is knowledge of the truths. Tapas is dharma or discipline produced by the observance of practices such as bhasmasnana. The Ratna commentary declares that the devotee is misled from the right path by the thief Adharma and protected by Dharma acting

¹ For a different view of the Kāpālika ideal of salvation see Chap. XIII. For a discussion of the Nyāya view see Naiṣadhacarita 17. 75 and the English Translation, Appendix I (op. cit.).

^{2 &#}x27;सर्बंदुःखापोहो दुःखान्तः । स द्विविधोऽनात्मकः सात्मकश्चेति । तत्रानात्मकः सर्वंदुःखानामलन्तोच्छेदः, सात्मकत्तु सहैश्वदेश्वयंकक्षणा सिक्तिः । सा दिस्त्पा द्वानशक्तिः क्रियाशक्तिश्चेति । तत्र ज्ञानमेव शक्तिवांनशक्तिः ।कियाहेतः शक्तिः क्षियाशक्तिः सा त्रिविधा मनोजवित्वादिमेदा । तत्र निरतिश्चयं शिक्तारित्वं मनोजवित्वं,......संभृतकावेन्द्रिः वस्यापि निरतिशयेश्वयंसंविध्यतं विकरणवित्यं चिति । वदा चेवं विरूपा सिक्तिः प्राप्यते तदा दश सिक्तिकक्षणान्यवद्ययः त्वाविति पतित्वान्तानि मवन्ति ।'

like a city magistrate (purapāla). The power of Dharma (dharmasaki) is revealed in the devotee's strength of mind which is not perturbed even by the songs and music of a Rambhā. Nityatva is constant devotion to the deity. Sthiti is firm concentration of the mind free from impurities on Rudra. The Pāsupata seems to have been a system of religious discipline rather than a school of speculative thought.

Kaundinya's commentary on the Pāśupata Sūtras gives a fuller picture of the moral discipline of the Pāśupata school at an early stage of its evolution. It is based on the yamas or modes of self-restraint, viz., ahirhad, brahmacarya, satya, asamvyavahāra, sauca, āhāralāghava and apramāda.

Ahimsā is non-injury which is almost as comprehensive as that of the Jainas. Even the lighting of fire is forbidden to avoid hurting sentient beings. Water should be filtered before use through a cloth or a strainer to keep out tiny creatures. Edible vegetable-stalks, growing bulbs and ripe seeds are to be shunned for similar reasons. Ahimsā, in short, is avoidance of injury to all forms of life by any process, mental, vocal or physical. The Bhāṣya of Kauṇḍinya quotes the following verse, which declares ahimsā to be superior to the gift of the golden mount of Meru or the entire earth or the ocean full of jewels:

यो दचात् काञ्चनं मेरं कृत्वा वैव वर्षुघराम्। समुद्रं रत्नपूर्णं वा न तुल्यं स्वादहिंसया ॥

It is interesting to note that Somadeva has a verse on the same subject in Yasastilaka, Book IV (p. 97), which appears to be a variation of the verse cited above:

बो दबात् काळनं मेरं कृत्कां बापि वधुंचरास्। एकस जीवितं दबात् फलेन न समं भवेत् ॥

Brahmacarya or chastity does not require any explanation. Satya is speaking the truth. Kaundinya quotes in this connection a verse which declares that even a falsehood uttered in mercy to all creatures leads to heaven, but not the truth, which being spoken results in the destruction of the good. Asamvyavahāra is keeping aloof from commercial transactions and the royal court. Asteya is abstention from stealing which includes anadhikāra-pratigraha (acquisition of property) and anupālambha (swindling money out of others). Akrodha is forbearance. Gurususrūṣā is serving one's teacher with devotion. Sauca is purity, physical, mental and spiritual. Physical impurities are removed by the use of holy ashes. More important is bhāvasauca or purity of thoughts. Atmasauca or spiritual purity is effected

I Flesh food is permitted provided it does not involve killing, and is lawfully acquired. It is permissible to eat, for instance, the flesh of wild boars and buffalces. See Kaundinya on Pătupata Sütras 5. 16.

² सर्गममृदेन गण्छति दयार्थमुक्तेन सर्वभूतानाम् । सलेनामि न गण्छति सतां विनाशार्थमुक्तेन ॥

by submission to humiliation, insult and opprobrium. Aharalaghava is temperance in eating which follows from the injunction to live on alms. Apramada is constant vigilance in the practice of self-restraint.

The early Pāsupatas appear to have led an austere life without any fixed abode and worldly ties. According to the Pāsupata Sūtras, the devotee lives in a deserted house or a cave or even on a cremation ground (5.9, 80). He wears a single piece of cloth and, if possible, dispenses with clothing altogether as a token of renunciation of all possessions (1.10, 11). It is, however, doubtful if in later times such rules were strictly followed. The Pāsupata mendicant is enjoined to live on alms; and according to Kaundinya, even the holy ashes should be obtained by begging. This appears to be conditioned by the rule of ahimsā which prohibits the kindling of a fire. The Pāsupata contempt for Vedic sacrifices was a natural consequence of the principle of ahimsā.

Yoga is preliminary to emancipation. Kaundinya frequently defines yoga as connection of the individual soul with Isvara; and the Pāsupata system, in fact, inculcates a process of Yogic discipline (yogavidhi), as mentioned in the opening Sutra. The devotee assiduously observes the essential religious practices,8 adores Mahadeva with wholehearted and constant devotion, and cultivates the yamas or the different kinds of self-restraint. He contemplates the sacred formulas and practises meditation: this is kriyāyoga. With his mind diverted from the objects of sense, he is ripe for the real yoga, that feeling of the self as the self, directed towards Mahesvara as the ultimate goal. Supernatural powers of vision, hearing, thought-reading and knowledge come to the Siddha who has attained perfection in Yoga. The Siddha attains supernormal qualities like omniscience, speed like that of the mind etc.; and these are called qunadharma, the possession of supreme faculties like those of Mahesvara obtained through His favour.5 The Siddhis or supreme attainments, which are also gunas, are finally acquired by the devotee. All creatures come under his control, but he is not subject to the control of any; he dominates the minds of all, but he is not subject to similar domination; he has the power of life and death over others, but he is not subject to any such power:

I Kaupdinya 1. 2 says: भेक्यवत् भलार्जनं करैन्यम् अहंसकत्वात्.

² Kaopdinya 2. 15 says: संप्रहप्रतिप्रहाँसादियुक्तेन अवेणाभिनिर्शृतिदर्शनात्.....अनित्यसातिशयसंकीर्ण-प्रकरवात्र कुवजनान्यसिष्टोमादीनिः

³ See Chapter VIII.

⁴ Жашрфіпуа 1. 20 says: अध्ययनप्यानादिकक्षणः कियायोगश्चरतः प्रवर्तत इत्यर्थः ।.....विवरेश्यः प्रत्याद्वतः चित्तस्यः.....वेदरेश्यः प्रत्याद्वतः चित्तस्यः....वेदरेश्यः

⁵ Kaupdinya 1, 21-26.

he is free from fear, and his power suffers no diminution; he conquers old age and death. Endowed with the Siddhis, the aspirant becomes the Mahāgaṇapati or the associate of Mahādeva.

Fervent devotion to Rudra in this life and intimate association with Him in the life beyond is the goal set before the Pāśupata devotee. The highest Yoga is absorbed concentration on the supreme Rudra, discarding shape and form. This aspect of the deity is called vagvisuddha one that transcends the range of speech'. The devotee, in his turn, becomes dharmatman or the embodiment of dharma as revealed in the process of discipline starting with the yamas and culminating in māhātmya or that constancy of soul which enables him to devote himself to the religious life.3 Thus endowed, he attains rudra-sāyujya or direct association with Rudra.4 It is clear that the devotee does not merge his identity in the godhead, as we are told that, 'having gone near Rudra' by the prescribed method, the Brahmana never returns; that is, he is released from the cycle of births." But, before such a consummation is reached, it is essential to destroy the root cause of the dosas or evils. The evils are the various forms of sense experience which lead to lust, wrath, greed, desire, hatred and the rest. Further, the objects of worldly endeavour are also evils on account of the misery caused by their acquisition, preservation and loss, the discontent produced by the insatiabale desire for more and more of them, and injury to others involved in their acquisition and enjoyment. The root cause of the evils is stated to be adharma, which causes mental distraction and makes the devotee incapable of living the full religious life.6

Once the mind, free from the dosas, is established in its pristine purity and concentrated on Rudra, the devotee reaches the next higher stage. Dharma and Adharma have ceased to operate, and actions and the means thereof are practically non-existent; the Sādhaka stands alone (eka) above the physical plane with his mind fixed on Rudra. Adharma, the chief obstacle to Yoga, is no longer active, and the Sādhaka, free from

¹ Kaundinya 1, 27-38.

^{2 5. 27.} Kaundinya quotes the following verse: आकृतिमिष परिद्वत्य ध्यानं नित्नं परे रुद्रे । देन प्राप्तं योगे मुहूर्तमिष तत्परो योगः ॥ The commentary on Ganakārikā says: नित्यानागन्तुकैश्ययेवुक्ते परमकारणे । यत्र बाची निवर्तन्ते वाग्विद्युद्धः स कीर्तितः ॥

^{3 5. 31; 2. 14.}

⁴ Kaundinya 5. 33 says: साक्षादू रुद्रेण सह संयोगः सायुक्यम् ।योगस्य सम्यक्त्वं सायुक्यमिति योगपर्यायोऽवगम्यते.

^{5 4. 19, 20.}

⁶ See Kaundinya on Pasupata Sutras 5. 35.

the dosas, stands like a man who has traversed a forest; he is ksemin with his mind concentrated on Rudra. All actions have ceased, and he is devoid of activity; he is sat with his mind firmly devoted to Rudra. All thoughts relating to pursuits of good and evil have ceased; and the Sādhaka is now ottasoka, free from anxious thoughts.

Having reached this stage, the unerring devotee comes to the end of all forms of pain and misery through the grace of Maheśvara. This is duḥkhānta, but it is not a negative condition, as pointed out by Kaundinya. For emancipation is not merely absolute cessation of all forms of misery but the attainment of gunas or excellences, that is, the supernormal powers which figure so prominently in the Pāśupata system.

It will be seen that the interpretation of the Pāsupata view of salvation as a condition devoid of the specific attributes of the soul, put forward by later writers like Bhāskara and Yāmuna Muni, finds no support in the Pāsupata Sūtras and Kauṇḍinya's commentary. The latter clearly states that, while in the Sāṁkhya-Yoga view the emancipated beings abide in a condition similar to a swoon without the knowledge of self and others, the Mukta in the Pāsupata view is fully endowed with knowledge. The Pāsupatas were, however, a very widely spread sect; and it is possible that there were adherents of the school who held views similar to those recorded by Bhāskara and Yāmuna Muni.

The Pāsupata system is honourably mentioned along with the Vedas, Sāmkhya, Yoga, and Pañcarātra in the following verse cited by Aparāditya (12th century) in his commentary on Yājñavalkya Smṛti 8.7: सांख्यं योगः पाचरात्र वेदाः पाञ्चपतं तथा । अतिप्रमाणान्येतानि हेतुमिने निचारयेत् ॥ इति अवूष्यत्वेन हेत्वविचारणीयत्वेन च देवीपुराणयोगयाज्ञ-वत्क्ययोरक्ष्यत्वेन तस्य स्मरणात.

There is no doubt that the Pāsupatas continued to be an influential sect till as late as the twelfth century. They as well as the Saivas regarded God (i. e. Siva or Pasupati) as the efficient cause of the universe, unlike the Bhāgavatas or Pāncarātras who regarded Vāsudeva, the Supreme Soul, as both the efficient and the material cause of the universe, as pointed out by Samkara in his Bhāṣya on the Vedāntasūtras 2. 2. 37, 42. Aparāditya

¹ Kaundinya 5, 39,

^{2 5, 40,}

³ Kaupļinya says: संहारं प्राप्तस्य निगळमुक्ताधिकारवन्मुक्तावतिशयितगुणप्राप्ययेम् उच्यते-गच्छेद् दुःखानामन्तम् । बुग्यानामस्यन्तं परमापोद्दो गुणावासिक्य परं मवतीति । तदुभयमपि इत एव भवतीति ।

^{4 &#}x27;अब सांस्थयोगमुक्ताः कि न विश्वेषिताः। उच्यते—विश्वेषिताः। अधम्। तद्ज्ञानातिशयात् कथम्। सांख्ययोगमुक्ताः' कैनल्यगताः स्वालपरात्मज्ञानरहिताः संमूर्कितवत् स्विताः। अस्य तु शानमस्ति।' (5. 39) 'एवं बत् सांख्यं योगश्च वर्षेवति असगादिश्वकाः सुक्ताः शान्ति आसा वति, तद्विश्चर्यं तेषां दर्शनम्।' (5. 8).

(op. cit.) gives the following definition of the theistic conception of the Saivas and the Pasupatas, and calls it bhedesvara-vada: तथा च शेवा:- प्रश्नानपुरव-**भोरिबष्ठाता केवलं निमित्तकारणमीश्वर इतरेत्तरिबलक्षणाः प्रधानपुरुवश्वरा इति भेवेश्वरवादिनः ।पाशुपताः पुनः** कर्यकारणयोगविधिदुःखान्ताः यस पदार्थाः पशुपतिनेश्वरेण पशुपाशिवमोचनार्थमुद्दिष्टा इति भेवेश्वरवादमेवान्नीकुर्वन्तिः

The Pāsupatas as a Saiva sect were in conflict with the Jainas. They are, for instance, mentioned along with Saivas, Kāpālikas, Sāmkhyas and Buddhists in the Śravana Belgola epitaph of Mallisena of 1129 A. D. or thereabouts, engraved on a pillar of the temple of Parsvanatha on the Candragiri hill, which describes a Jaina teacher named Vimalacandra as having publicly challenged all of them to controversy (verse 26). Another Jaina reference to the Pasupatas is in Hemacandra's Yogusastra 4. 102 wherein he describes a number of Pasupata practices (vv. 26-22), which can be better understood by referring to the account of the system in the Ratna. commentary on Ganakārikā. The Pāsupatas, it may be noted, indulged in ceremonial singing and dancing and made various kinds of what to them were auspicious sounds. Hemacandra, however, presents them in an unfavourable light, as liars and quacks and of loose morals. His remarks should be taken with a grain of salt as the relations between the Jainas and the Saiva sects were not very cordial.3

It will be seen that we have an almost unbroken record of Pasupata tenets form about the eighth to the twelfth century. The Pasupata school seems to have undergone a sort of revival in the tenth century. The brief but authoritative text Ganakārikā was composed in this century; and not only Somadeva but Udayana, as stated below, refers to the system. A Mysore inscription, dated 943 A. D., states that Lakulisa, the traditional founder of the Pāsupata system, became incarnate as a sage named Cilluka to preserve the continuity of his name and doctrines, which points to a revival of Pāsupata teachings in the Mysore country in the latter half of the tenth century; and the sect, in fact, wielded considerable influence in that region for nearly two centuries after this. An inscription found in the temple of Harşanātha in the Sikar principality of Jaipur State mentions a scholar named Viśvarūpa who was a teacher of the Pañcārtha-Lākulāmnāya, that is, the sacred book of Lakulin, called Pancartha.4 The inscription is dated 957 A. D., and Viśvarūpa was thus a contemporary of Somadeva.

. Y

¹ More about this inscription in Chap. XIII.

² Hemacandra says जटापटलभसाहरागकौषीनधारिणाम् । अर्कथसूरमास्रैदेवपूनाविशायिनाम् ॥ कुर्वेसां गीतनृत्वादि पुतौ बादयतां मुद्दः। मुदुवंदननादेनातोषनादिषधायिनाम् ॥ असत्यभाषापूर्वं च मुनीन् देवान् जनान् श्रताम्। विधाय त्रतभक्षं च दासीदासत्विभिष्कताम् ॥ गृह्यतां मुखतां भूयो भूयः पाशुपतं व्रतम् । भेषजादिपयोगेण यूकालिश्चं प्रणिवताम् ॥

³ See Chap. XIII

For references see Bhandarkar; Vaisnaviem, Saiviem and Minor religious systems, pp. 170-173. Poons edition, 1928.

⁵ For the early history of the Pasupata sect and its expansion see Chap. XIII.

RÉSUMÉ

Roughly speaking, Somadeva in his Yasastilaka considers certain doctrines of the Sāmkhya, Buddhist, Vedānta, Nyāya-Vaiseşika, Lokāyata, Jaiminiya, Saiva, Päsupata and Kaula systems. Early in the tenth century Siddharşi records the doctrines of the Nyāya, Vaiseşika, Sāmkhya, Lokāyata, Buddhist and Mimärisä systems in his Upamiti-bhava-prapañcākathā (Chap. 4), and describes in this connection the views of the four schools of Buddhist thought: Vaibhāşika, Sautrāntika, Yogācāra and Madhyamaka. Late in the century Udayana mentions several schools of thought at the beginning of his Nyāyakusumānjali: the Aupanişadas or the Vedāntins, the Kāpilas or Sāmkhyas, the Pātanjalas, the Mahāpāsupatas, the Saivas, the Vaisnavas, the Paurānikas, the Yājnikas, the Digambara Jainas, the Mīmāmsakas, the Naiyāyikas and the Carvakas. A comparison of this list with the systems described by Somadeva, who seems to have been an elder contemporary of Udayana, shows that the Jaina writer does not mention the opinions of the Paurāņikas as such nor does he refer to Vaisņava doctrines or the Pancaratra As regards the Yājnikas, Somadeva mentions the Yāgajnas, 'Experts in sacrificial lore', along with the Buddhists and the Nastikas in a verse occurring in Yasastilaka VIII. 43.2 That the opinions of the Yājnikas still prevailed in the tenth century is shown by Somadeva's attack on Vedic sacrifices in the dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother in Book IV. The Yājnikas are mentioned also by Vidyānanda in Tattvārthasloka-vārtika 1. 20 (verse 38), but here they appear to be identified with the Mîmāmsakas.

^{🤰 &#}x27;शानगासिकयागवजरिकाजीयकादिकिः' Vol. II, P. 406.

^{3 ्}रक्तुरसरणं हेतुर्गाक्षिकानां यदीव्यते'

In the same work (1. 32 under verse 15) the Yājūika is said to reject Jaina notions such as those concerning Samvara, Nirjarā and Mokṣa.

Statements such as those of Somadeva and Udayana help us to understand the character of the schools of thought current in their age. It may be added that Udayana refers also to the Tautatitas or the adherents of the Bhatta school of Mimamea at the beginning of his commentary on the Pratastapāda Bhāṣya and to the Prābhākaras in Nyāyakusumāñjali (Chap. 3), mentioning in this connection a Gauda Mimamsaka, who is identified with the Pancikākāra in Varadarāja's commentary Kusumānjalibodhani. Pancikākāra is Šālikānātha, the wellknown author of Prakaranapancikā, an important work of the Prabhakara school of Mimamsa; and to judge from Udayana's sarcastic remarks about him, he might be the former's contemporary and, if so, lived in the tenth century.' Udayana refers also to Bhāskara's interpretation of the Vedānta in Nyāyakusumānjali (chap. 2), showing that Bhaskara's Brahmasutra Bhasya, now almost forgotten, was current in his time. Going back a few years earlier than the tenth century, we come across Jayanta's Nyāyamañjarī, which also reveals a world of thought full of variety and covering a comprehensive range of intellectual activity Jayanta refers to contemporary sects like Saivas, Pāsupatas, Kaulas, Pāscaratras, and Samsaramocakas (chap. 4); and throughout his work he records and criticises the views of diverse schools of thought such as Bhātṭas, Prābhākaras, Vedāntists, Buddhists, Sāmkhyas and Cārvākas, and noteworthy doctrines like Jñānakarma-samuccayavāda, Sabda-brahmavāda etc.

Apart from the schools of thought enumerated by Somadeva and Udayana, the tenth century saw also a revival of Nyāya and Vaiseṣika studies, as witnessed by the works of Bhāsarvajña, Vyomasiva, Udayana

Significant Special

^{1 &#}x27;संबरे निर्जरायां मोक्षे च तदसस्ववचनं याशिकस्य विवर्ययः'

² See Introduction to Kusumāñjalibodhanā, ed. G. N. Kaviraja. Varadarāja remarks: गीडो मीमांसक: पश्चिकाकार: । गीडो हि वेदाध्ययनामानाद् वेदरवं न जानातीति गीडमीमांसकसेखुक्तमिति, p. 123. Varadarāja has been assigned to about the eleventh century. Sālikānātha is referred to in a verse of the allegorical play Prabodhacandrodaya of Kṛṣṇamiśra composed towards the end of the eleventh century: नेबाबाबि गुरोमैतं.....तत्त्वज्ञानमहो न शारिकमिरां...... इक्त नामि महोदपेरिवातं......Act 2, verse 3. The author of the Candrikā commentary on the play states that Mahodadhi was a fellow student with Sālikānātha and a writer of the Prabhākara School: महोदिधिनांस शारिकनामा(व)सहब्रह्मचारी गुहमते निबन्धनकर्ता. See N. S. edition.

^{3 &#}x27;मझपरिणतेरिति भास्करगोत्रे गुज्यते'

⁴ See S. C. Vidyabhusan: History of Indian Logic, p. 358.

⁵ Vyomasiva's date is circa 950 A. D. See Hindi Introduction to Pt. Mahendra Kumara's edition of न्यावक्रम्बन्द, P. 120.

and Sridhers; and we may include in the list even Jayanta who wrote towards the end of the preceding century. Bhasarvajna wrote Nyayasara on which there are numerous commentaries. Vyomasiva wrote the Vyomavati commentary on the Praeastapada Bhasya. Udayana, who tried to fuse together Nyāya and Vaisesika tenets.* wrote Nydyakusumānjali, Ātmatattva-viveka, Nydya-vārtika-tātparya-parisuddhi (commentary on Vācaspati's Tātparyaṭikā), Kiranāvalī (commentary on the Prasastapāda Bhāsya), and Laksanāvalī (984 A. D.), a manual of Vaiseșika definitions. Šrīdhara wrote Nydyakandalī (991 A. D.), a commentary on the Prasastapada Bhasya. It should also be noted that the expansion of Nyāya and Vaiseşika studies not only led to an intensive study of these systems but to the interpretation, criticism and refutation of the doctrines of the other schools, especially those of the Mīmāmsā, Buddhist and Samkhya systems. It is not rare to find in the writings of the period diverse doctrines of other schools fully and clearly stated before they are subjected to criticism or any attempt is made to refute them. This is particularly true of Jayanta's Nyāyamañjarī, and we have already referred in this connection to Udayana's Nyāyakusumāñjali. For other examples we need refer only to the accounts of the Buddhist theory of Ideas (with illustrative quotations), the Mīmāmsā theory of the self-validity of cognitions (with citations from Kumārila), and the Sāmkhya theory of cognition in Vyomavatt (pp. 524 ff., 579 ff., 521 ff.); the summary of Sāmkhya doctrines in Nyāyakusumāñjali (chap. 1); and the discussion of the Prābhākara theory of error. and the clear presentation of Sphotavada and other tenets in Sridhara's Nyāyakandalī (Pp. 180 ff., 268 ff.). The latter work quotes, besides, various authors and works, e. g. Tantravārtika and Slokavārtika (P. 257), Mandana's Sphotasiddhi (P. 270) and Vidhiviveka (P. 274), the Buddhist logician Dharmottara (P. 76) etc. The interrelation of the various schools is outside the scope of our discussion; but it is evident that there was considerable activity in the sphere of speculative thought, and the doctrines put forward by the different systems formed the basis of the intellectual movement of the century. It is perhaps the mutual conflict of so many schools of thought that led certain thinkers of the age to suggest the way to a synthesis, or proclaim the common ultimate goal of all systems and schools. In a remarkable passage of Atmatattvaviveka, Udayana "attempts to show that in its gradual ascent

¹ See G. N. Kaviraja in Sarasvati Bhavana Studies, Vol. III, p. 104.

² Ibid. p. 110.

³ Udayana wrote also a commentary on Gotama's Sūtras (न्यायपरिश्रेष्ट) and प्रवेशसिद्ध an original treatise on Nyāya. See G. N. Kaviraja in Sarasvati Bhavana Studies, Vol. III, p. 112 ff.

⁴ Cf. Jha's Translation, p. 549,

along the path of Mokşa the soul is confronted with views which broaden out more and more. The different schools of philosophy representing the varied views thus obtained in passing are conceived to form a graduated series. arranged according to an ascending scale of spiritual realisation, and in such a scheme the lower is always supposed to be a stepping stone to the higher and is to be superseded by it." Even more explicitly and with greater catholicity does the Jaina writer Siddharsi declare the essential unity underlying all faiths and systems of philosophy in his Upamiti-bhava-prapañed katha Book VIII (Pp. 1220-1227). The sum and substance of what he says is that the Supreme Soul is one. He is endowed with pure intelligence, and delivers the world with His infinite power. The true religion (Dharma) is also one and consists of such qualities as forbearance, kindness, purity, self-control, truth, chastity, honesty, sacrifice etc. Further, the Ultimate Truth, which is the way to final deliverance, is in reality one, although it may be expressed in different ways; and it is for the realisation of this Truth concerning tho Supreme Lord that people undertake austerities, meditation and vows. Moksa is the subsistence of the soul in its pristine condition characterized by infinite intelligence, conation, joy and power, and known by such names as Supreme Attainment, Bliss, Peace, the endless Good, the Deathless State, Brahma, Nirvana etc. The Doctrine that reveals the One God, the One Dharma and the One Truth, and propounds such a state of final deliverance is alone authoritative and commands universal jurisdiction. It is the purport of this Doctrine that men learn with special care and enunciate in varieties of expression. Call it Vaisnava, Brahmanical, Saiva, Buddhist or Jaina, it is all the same: the difference in name does not count so long as the meaning remains the same. Such assertions as 'I am right and you are wrong', 'my system is right and yours is wrong' are only a manifestation of jealousy and nothing more. Siddharsi's sublime conception of the spiritual unity of man is no doubt much in advance of his age.

Somadeva's review of the tenets of the different schools of thought is important as illustrating the Jaina standpoint, and much of what he says is no doubt cogent and valuable even as an independent effort in philosophical criticism. As a matter of fact, he belongs in this respect to a line of Jaina scholars who have discussed, criticised and attempted to refute the doctrines of other schools of thought both before and after the tenth century; for example, Haribhadra, Akalanka, Vidyānanda, Anantavīrya, Prabhācandra, Hemacandra, Vādideva, Malliṣeṇa and others. It should, however, be pointed out that, so far as the Brahmanical schools are concerned, the reaction to the impact of

¹ G. N. Kaviraja in Sarasvati Bhavana Studies, Vol. III, p. 112.

Jaina thought and criticism is surprisingly small; and except for isolated comments and criticism, the vast literature of the Brahmanical systems hardly takes any adequate notice of Jaina tenets and objections. Among noteworthy criticisms of Jaina doctrines may be mentioned those of Sankara in his Bhāsya on Vedānta Sūtras 2. 2. 33-36 and of Kumārila in S'lokavārtika (Codanāsūtra, v. 141 ff; S'abdanityatādhikarana, v. 106 ff. etc.); but, generally speaking, it may be said that Brahmanical writers from Sankara to Udayana have directed their polemical energies against Buddhist rather than Jaina doctrines. To confine ourselves to the Jaina conception of Mokṣa, which it is the intention of Somadeva to contrast with the non-Jaina theories on the subject, it is recorded and criticized as follows by Vyomasiva in the introductory portion of his commentary on the Prasastapāda Bhāsya:

अन्ये त्वेकान्तभावनातो विशिष्टप्रदेशेऽक्षयशरीरादिलामो निःश्रेयसमिति मन्यन्ते। तथा घटादिः मृदादि-हमतया नित्यः सर्वावस्थास्पलम्भात्। घटादिरूपतया चानित्यस्वत्यायात्। एवमात्माप्यात्मरूपतया नित्यः सर्वदा सङ्गावात्। सुस्रदुःखादिपर्यायरूपतया चानित्यः तदिनाशात्।तदेतदसांप्रतम्, मिध्याशानस्य निःश्रेयसकारणत्वेन प्रतिषेधात्। अनेकान्तशानं च मिध्येव बाधकोपपत्तः। तथाहि नित्यानित्ययोः विधिप्रतिषेधरूपतात् अभिने धर्मिण्यभावः, एवं सदसत्त्वा-देरपीति। यचेदं घटादिर्मृदादिरूपतया नित्य इति, असदेतत् मृद्भुपतायास्ततोऽर्थान्तरत्वात्। तथाहि घटादर्थान्तरं मृद्भुपता मृत्यं सामान्यम्। तस्य तु नित्यत्वेन घटस्य तथाभावः ततोऽन्यत्वात्। घटस्य तु कारणाद्विल्यणतयोपलम्भः अनित्यत्वमेव।न चानेकान्तभावनातो विशिष्टशरीरादिलामेऽत्यि प्रतिवन्धः। न चोत्पत्तिधर्माणां शरीरादीनामक्षयत्वं न्याय्यम्। तथा मुक्तावप्यनेकान्तो न व्यावर्तते इति मुक्तो न मुक्तश्रेति स्यात्। एवं च सति स एव मुक्तः संसारी चेति प्रसक्तेः॥ एवमनेकान्तेऽप्यनेकान्ताभ्युपगमे वृष्णम्।

Jayanta who devotes so much space to the doctrines of other systems, makes only a passing reference to the Jaina conception of the Self, and summarily rejects certain Jaina tenets as absurd in his Nyāyamañjarī (Chap. 9);¹ and it is noteworthy that although he discusses in that chapter the theories of salvation of diverse schools of thought, he has only a fling at certain practices of the Digambara Jaina monks and the absurdity of the notion that such practices lead to salvation. He declares that these monks expect to attain final release by plucking out their hair and going about naked: if they were right, bald persons would attain mokṣa straightway, and so would the animals, because they, too, are unclad! Such criticism, of course, does not rise above the level of comic satire of the type found in plays like Mattavilāsaprahasana and Prabodhacandrodaya.

श्रम्भिक्षसमिष्णयमारणिक्षितपराक्षमणकमपूर्वकम् । क्षपणकास्त्वपवर्गसुशन्त्वमी अतितरां परमार्थविदस्तु ते ॥ लोकां निल्नमसंभवात् सक्तवो मोश्रं क्षणात् प्राप्तुवस्तंसारोपरमो दिगम्बरतया सबस्तिरश्चां मवेत् । मुक्ताः स्वुगिरिश्चक्रयाविन क्ष्में श्रम्बन्दरोहणाक्षान्तनामपवर्गवस्य निकृष्टं केनेदृशं दार्थितम् ॥

CHAPTER X

JAINA DOGMATICS AND MORAL AND SPIRITUAL DISCIPLINE

Apart from the fact that one of the aims of Yasastilaka is to illustrate the doctrine of ahimsā, the work is designed to be a comprehensive manual of Jaina doctrines, and the object of Somadeva is to provide entertainment as well as religious instruction, and help forward the propagation of the Jaina faith. Books VI, VII and VIII of Yasastilaka, divided into fortysix kalpas or sections, are styled Upāsakādhyayana or Readings for laymen, and deal elaborately with samyaktva or right faith and its various aspects as well as the different vows, which are viewed as the mainstay (upabrihaka) of right faith. Somadeva's treatment of these topics is wider than that of many professed manuals on the subject, and what is more remarkable, illustrated in some cases by elaborate stories, which, although not original. are narrated in a manner peculiarly his own. In addition to the contents of Books VI-VIII, there are brief expositions of Jaina doctrines in Books IV and V, while the Anupreksas are treated in an elaborate body of verse in Book II. It is true that the Jaina canon and its doctrines had been fixed long before the tenth century, but the age of Somadeva was an era of systematization and interpretation; and judged from this standpoint, his comprehensive review of the salient topics of Jaina dogmatics has a definite place in the literature of Jainism in Sanskrit.

I) Only a brief outline of Somadeva's exposition of Jaina dogma can be attempted in this chapter, and we may start with an interesting summary of the teachings of Jainism contained in a group of verses occurring in the dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother in Book IV. The summary is in the form of question and answer, and purports to report a conversation between Yasodhara and a Digambara saint on the tenets of the Jaina religion. It is somewhat as follows: "What is Dharma? That which inculcates kindness to all creatures. Who is Apta? He who is free from worldly blemishes. What is the means to know him? A sastra free from contradictory statements. What constitutes religious austerities? Extermination of all desire for worldly objects. What is jiva? That which possesses self-experienced attributes such as the intellectual faculty. How does the incorporeal jiva come into contact with the body? It is bound to the body by its own actions, just as the sky comes into contact with the dust raised by wind; and by its own actions it is subjected to birth in order that it may suffer their consequences, just as a learned Brahmin is made to tumble over an unclean substance by intoxicating drugs. How can Dharma be acquired? By observing the vows to the best of one's

ability. What is a vow? The extermination of desire preceded by the acquisition of faith. What is faith? Reasoned belief in the categories (recognised by Jainism). What are the categories? They are the agencies which uphold the universe".

The great discourse on Samyaktva or Right faith in Book VI opens with a further definition of Dharma, which is described as the factor causing human advancement resulting in supreme beatitude. It consists of pracrtti and nivrtti, and applies to householders as well as monks. Prayrtti is endeavour to attain salvation, while Nivrtti is abstention from all that leads to worldly bondage.2 Faith, knowledge and conduct are the causes of salvation; while worldly bondage is caused by false doctrine. non-abstention (from injury, theft etc.), passions (anger, pride, greed and deceit), and yoga or the activity of body, mind and speech. Samyaktva or faith is concentration on things that conform to reason: knowledge is that which is free from ignorance, doubt and error; and right conduct is complete cessation of all activity that leads to the acquisition of Karma. We are also told at the end of Book VI that Samyaktva is faith in the fundamental principles; knowledge is determining the nature of the fundamental principles; and conduct is complete detachment, devoid of all action whatsoever.4 Right faith, right knowledge and right conduct are the most important factors in the Jaina view of liberation. As Kundakunda says in Samayasāra (verse 162), Samyaktva is faith in the soul and the other categories: the comprehension of their nature is (right) knowledge; and the renunciation of desire, passions etc. is (right) conduct, the three constituting the path to salvation. It may be noted that Somadeva does not discourse on knowledge and conduct, but expounds Samyaktva in detail.

को भगविष्ठ धर्मो यत दया भूप सर्वसस्त्रानाम्। को नामासो यत्र हि न सन्ति सांसारिका दोषाः॥ तज्ज्ञाने क उपायः शास्त्रं बधिकनाक्यतायातम्। तिर्धे तपः कि विषयक्यासंगविनिग्रहो यत्र ॥ जीवः को यत्रैते भवन्ति बुद्ध्यादयः स्वसंवेद्याः। तस्त्रां मृतिस्य सतः शरीरकन्धः कथं भविति ॥ स्वक्वतैः कर्मभिरेष प्रयाति जीवः शरीरवन्धं वा। वातेरितैः परागैर्भवित यथा संगमी नभसः॥ तैरेद गर्भवासे स नीयते निजफलोपभोगार्थम्। अशुचिनि मदनद्रव्यैनिपालते श्रीत्रियो यद्वत् ॥ असान्द्रशां स धर्मः कथं तु निजशक्तितो त्रतप्रद्वणात्। कि त्रतिह वान्छाया यो दर्शनपूर्वको निदमः ॥ कि द्शैनमिदमादुर्था अद्या श्रुक्तिः पदार्थेषु । के पुनरमी पदार्था यैरेतद्वर्तते जगव्यक्रम् ॥ P. 103.

वस्मादभ्युदयः पुंसां निःश्रेयसफलाश्रयः । वदन्ति विदितास्नायास्तं धर्मे धर्मसर्यः ॥ स प्रवृत्तिनिवृत्त्यात्मा गृहस्थेतर -गोचरः । प्रवृत्तिभृतिकहेती स्नानिवृत्तिभैवकारणात् ॥ P. 268.

असम्बद्धानचित्रवर्थ मोक्षस्य कारणम् । संसारस्य च मीमांस्यं मिथ्यात्वादिचतुष्ट्यम् ॥ सम्यक्त्यं भावनामाहुर्युक्तियुक्तेषु बस्तुषु । मोइसंदेइविद्धान्तिवर्जितं शानमुख्यते ॥ कर्भादाननिमित्तायाः क्रिय ।याः परमं शमम् । चारित्रोजितचातुर्याक्षा- स्वात्रव्यक्षिये ॥

⁴ इचितासेषु सम्यक्तं झानं तक्कनिक्षणम् । औदासीन्वं परं प्राहुर्द्शं सर्वेकियोज्जातम् ॥ P. 326.

अवादीसद्दर्ग सम्मत्तं तैसिम्बिगमो णागं । रागादीपदिवरणं करणं पतो द मोक्खपदो ॥

II) The whole of Book VI, after a preliminary discourse on the doctrines of other schools of thought, is devoted to the interpretation of Samyaktva, 'the great tutelary deity of man' (narānāni mahati purusadevatā). Samyaktva or Right Faith depends upon a certain attitude of mind produced by the realisation of the nature of the categories, just as gold is said to be produced by the mere contact of fire with specially treated mercury. It is not necessary to master the scriptures or subject the body to austerities or journey elsewhere or wait for the lapse of time for acquiring Samyaktva. It is the prime cause of salvation; just as the foundation is the mainstay of a palace, good luck that of beauty, life that of bodily enjoyment, royal power that of victory, culture that of nobility, and policy that of government.

Samyaktva is primarily faith in Apta, Agama and the Padarthas or Categories. It may be produced in two ways, has eight components, and is characterised by prasama and other qualities, and free from erroneous beliefs (mūdhatva). Before considering the various aspects of Samyaktva, Somadeva explains in detail the nature of Apta, Agama and the Padarthas (VI. 2, 3.).

III) The Apta, as the Jaina Tirthankaras are generically called, is defined as omniscient and free from all blemishes; he is the lord of the universe and the benefactor of all creatures. He extricates the world from the ocean of suffering by teaching the fundamental truths, and is therefore to be regarded as the lord of the universe, whom the three worlds obey. He is pure and free from the eighteen defects common to all living creatures such as hunger, thirst, fear, passion, birth, old age, disease, death, anger, sorrow, sleep etc., and is endowed with infinite knowledge, and is the only source of words of wisdom. Passion, hatred and ignorance are the cause of telling a lie, but as the Apta is free from these, he has no occasion for uttering a falsehood. He resembles in shape the heterogeneous creatures (i. e. men), is a mirror unto the universe, and the master of the worlds.

^{1 &#}x27;तस्रादिषष्ठानिमव प्रासादस्य, सोआन्यमिव रूपसंपदः, प्राणितिमिव भोगायतनोपचारस्य, मूलवलिमव विजयप्राप्तः, विनीतः त्वांभवाभिजात्वस्य, नयानुष्ठानिमव राज्यस्थितेरखिलस्यापि परलोकोदाहरणस्य सम्यक्त्वमेव ननु प्रथमं कारणं गृणन्ति गरीयासः।' P. 274.

² सर्वद्यं सर्वलोकेशं सर्वदोषविविजतम् । सर्वसत्त्वहितं प्राहुराप्तमाप्तमतोचिताः ॥

³ बसास्वदेशनाहुःखवार्धेरुक्रत्ते जगत् । मधं न सर्वलोकेशः प्रद्वीभूतजगत्त्रयः ॥ P. 274.

⁴ श्रुष पिपासा भयं देपश्चिन्तनं मूदतागमः। रागो जरा रुना मृत्युः क्रोधः खेदो मदो रतिः॥ विश्वयो जमनं निद्रा विषादोऽद्यादश श्रुवाः। त्रिजगत्सवैभूतानां दोषाः साधारणा इसे॥ एभिदीवैर्विनिर्मुक्तः सोऽवसाहो निर्जनः। स एव हेन्द्रः स्कीनां केवल्हानकोचनः॥

⁵ रागादा देशहा मोहादा वाक्यमुख्यते हानृतम् । यस्य तु नैते दोषास्तस्यानृतकारणं नास्ति ॥ P. 274.

⁶ उचानचप्रस्तीनां सस्वानां सङ्गाङ्कृतिः । य आदशं इवामाति स वव जगतां पतिः ॥

For various reasons such as subjection to passion, Brahma, Visnu, Siva, the Sun-god, or the Buddha cannot claim to be the Apta. Brahma is attached to the nymph Tilottama, and Visnu devoted to Laksmi, while Siva has Parvati forming half his body, and yet they are called Aptas! Vasudeva was the father of Krsna, and Devaki was his mother, and he himself fulfilled the duties of a king; yet, strangely enough, he is called a god! Further, if the universe exists in his stomach, and he himself is omnipresent, how can he be subject to birth and death? As regards Siva, being subject to worldly blemishes like passion in his corporeal form, he lacks the authority to propound a religious code; while, in his incorporeal form, Sadāsiva, he lacks the capacity to do so. Besides, with his five mouths, he teaches mutually contradictory doctrines, of which it would be impossible to ascertain the meaning. It is said that a portion of Sadāsiva becomes incarnate in Rudra in every age; but in that case there would be no difference between the two forms of the deity (the one incorporeal and the other endowed with physical form), just as there cannot be any difference between gold and a fragment of it. It is also strange that the deity should indulge in such freaks as begging, dancing, nudity, the destruction of the Three Cities, the killing of Brahmā and the carrying of a human skull in his hands.* The Saiva system, its principles and the nature of its Omniscient deity constitute, therefore, a strange medley of contradictions in respect of the basic doctrine, methods of proof, poetical representation and actual practice.

With regard to Sun-worship, it is strange that the sun alone should be worshipped and not the moon, although the latter also is a planet: the cult surely represents the thoughtless activity of people who have never examined the Truth.⁴ As for the Buddhist, he favours indulgence and continence as well as unrestrained indulgence, and is addicted to wine and flesh: how can he be respected by the wise?⁵ It seems to be the object of

वृद्धिणाधोक्षजेशानशाक्यस्रपुरासराः । यदि रागाविषद्वानं कथं तत्राप्तता भवेत् ॥ अवस्तिकोत्तमाचित्तः श्रीरतः श्रीपतिः स्युतः । अवेनारीयरः शम्युस्तथाच्येषां किकाप्तता ॥ वसुदेवः पिता यस्य सवित्री देवकी हरेः । स्वयं च राजधमैस्यक्षित्रं देवस्ववापि सः ॥ त्रैकोवयं जठरे यस्य सव्य सवत्र विवते । विमुत्यत्तिविपत्ती स्तां कचित्तस्वेति चिन्यताम् ॥

² कपदी दोनवानेव निःशरीरः सदाशिवः। अप्रामाण्यादशक्तश्च कथं तत्रागमागमः॥ परस्परविश्वाधैमीश्वरः पञ्चशिर्मुखैः। शास्त्र शास्त्र अवेत्तत्र कतमार्थविनिश्चयः ॥ सदाशिवकला वृद्दे यथायाति युगे युगे। कथं स्वरूपमेदः स्वात् काञ्चनस्य कलास्त्रवः॥ मैक्षनतंननञ्चस्यं पुरत्रयविकोपनम् । अक्षाहलाकपालित्वमेताः कीवाः निलेश्वरे ॥ P. 275.

³ सिद्धान्तेऽन्यत् प्रमाणेऽन्यदन्यत् काम्येऽन्यदीशिते । तत्त्वमासस्वरूपं च विन्तित्रं शैवदर्शनम् ॥ P. 276.

[🛓] प्रह्मोत्रगतोऽप्येष पृथा पृथ्यो न चन्द्रमाः । अविचारिततस्यस्य जन्तोर्वृत्तिनिरङ्कशा ॥

[ं] देताहैताथयः शाक्यः शंकराजुक्कतागमः । कथं मर्नामिनिर्मान्यस्तरसासवशक्तभीः॥ P. 276. Ms. A says गम्याग-म्यपोः प्रकृषिपरिदारशुद्धिः देतन् । सर्वत्र प्रकृषितिरङ्कृत्रस्यमदैतम् । Cf. Nyāyakusumāfijali, chap. II: नापि भक्षपेवाकदैतरागः "Veradarāje says in his commentary-भक्ष्यस्य वेवस्य वा भक्षण वेरेन च सह देतं नास्ति विद्ध सर्व अध्य च पेयं चैकस्यनेवित कामधमाना हति।

Somadeva to show that lack of passion or renunciation is an essential condition of Apta-hood, and no faith which does not inculcate absolute renunciation can be said to have an Apta or trustworthy spiritual overlord. It may also be noted that the question of Apta was an important controversial issue between the Saivas and the Jainas, and their respective arguments, which Somadeva here analyses, have been summarized in Chap. VIII.

IV) Agama or a religious code can be pure only if the Apta is pure, just as children are pure when their parents are of pure descent. An Agama, which does not conform to reason owing to contradictory statements, is like the raving of a mad man, and can have no authority. The true Agama is so called because it makes us comprehend (gamayan) things relating to the present, past and future by occupying itself with the problems of religious virtue, material prosperity, desires and liberation, and pointing out what is to be shunned and what accepted.

The Jaina Agama deals with five categories: the self, the non-self, the constitution of the universe, and bondage and liberation with their causes. The Self is the knower and the seer, great and subtle, and acts and suffers. It is coextensive with the body, and ascendant by nature. It is endowed with knowledge and discernment, without which it would be in no way different from a lifeless object. On the other hand, if it be regarded as pure knowledge, it would not have any definite idea, like the painted figure of a man that is neither friend nor foe. Karma is directed by the Self and the Self is directed by Karma; they are like the boat and the boatman and have no one else to direct them. The Self is, by nature, endowed with unlimited power, although it is confined within the body, like a mystic formula circumscribed by the letters of which it is composed.

Ajiva or the non-self comprises Dharma, Adharma, Space, Time and Pudgala or Matter. Dharma is the underlying principle of motion, Adharma that of rest, Space that of non-resistance, and Time that of

पित्रोः शुद्धी यथापत्य विशुद्धिरिष्ट इच्यते । तथाप्तस्य विशुद्धत्वे भवेदागमशुद्धता ॥ P. 278.

पूर्वापरविरोधेन यस्तु युत्तया च बाध्यते । मत्तोनमत्तवचःप्रस्यः स प्रमाणं किमागमः ॥ P. 279.

³ हेयोपादेवरूपेण चनुवंगसमाभयात् । कालत्रवगतानर्थान् गमयन्नागमः स्मृतः ॥

आत्मानात्प्रस्थितिकोको बन्धमोश्लो सहेतुकौ । आगमस्य निगद्यन्ते पदार्थास्तस्ववेदिभिः ॥

हाता इद्या महान् स्थमः क्रतिभुक्तवोः स्वयं प्रभुः । भोगायतनमात्रोऽयं स्वभावाद्ध्वंतः प्रमान् ॥

⁶ बानदर्शनद्यस्य न भेदः सादचेतनात् । बानमात्रस्य जीवस्य नैकवीश्वित्रमित्रवद् ॥

⁷ प्रेर्धते कर्म जीवेन जीवः प्रेयेत कर्मणा । एतयोः प्रेरको नान्यो नीनाविकसमानयोः ॥

⁸ See also Chapter VIII.

⁹ मत्रविधतोऽनेषोऽचिन्सशक्तिः सभावतः।

change; while Pudgala or Matter is endowed with form, touch, taste, smell and colour.

Bandha or bondage is due to the mutual infiltration of Karma and the Self, and their relation is like that of gold and rust. Bondage has no beginning, but has an end. There are four different aspects of bondage according to prakrti or the nature of karma, of which there are eight varieties, sthiti or the duration of each kind of karma in contact with the soul; anubhāga or the consequences of karma; and pradesa or the number of karmic molecules that enter the soul. It may be noted that Somadeva merely enumerates the different aspects of Bandha which is treated in detail in the Tattvārthādhigamasūtra, chap. VIII.

Moksa or liberation is the coming of the Self into its own after shedding all inner impurities. Liberation is not non-existence nor lack of consciousness. Somadeva gives two other definitions of the Jaina view of liberation. In VI. 1 he defines moksa as a state characterised by supreme joy, knowledge, power, potency and subtleness in the highest degree. In VIII. 39 moksa is defined as the state in which the Self acquires its own characteristics.

The Three jewels (Right faith, Right knowledge and Right conduct) are the causes of salvation, while bondage is caused by false views, lack of self-restraint and other factors such as passions and yogo or the vibrations produced in the soul by the activities of mind, body and speech. Lack of faith in Apta, Agama and the Padarthas, error and doubt constitute Mithyatva or false views. Taking only one aspect of a matter into consideration, doubt, lack of discrimination, erroneous notions, equal respect for all deities and faiths: these five also constitute Mithyatva, as they contribute towards worldly bondage. It may be noted that Somadeva seems here to

¹ भगीभमी भगः काळः पुत्रकश्चेति पश्चमः। अजीवशस्त्रवाच्याः स्युरेते विविभववैयाः ॥ गतिस्थित्वप्रतीवातपरिणामः निवन्धनम् । चस्वारः सर्ववस्तुनां रूपाधात्मा च पुत्रकः ॥ P. 280.

² अन्योन्यातप्रवेशेन बन्धः कर्मात्मनोर्मतः । अनादिः सावसानम् कालिकास्वर्णयोरिय ॥

³ श्वानावरणीय Knowledge-obscuring, दर्शनावरणीय Conation-obscuring, मोशनीय Deluding, अन्तराय Obstructive, नाम Body-forming, तोत्र Family-determining, वेदनीय Feeling, आयुः Age. See Tattourthadhigamasutra, chap. VIII.

⁴ प्रकृतिसिखनुमागप्रदेशप्रविभागतः। चतुर्वा निष्ठते बन्धः सर्वेवामेव देहिनाम् ॥

⁵ आलकामं विद्यों हो जीवस्थान्तमैकश्ववाद । नामादो नाप्यवेतन्यं न वेतन्यमनवैद्यम् ॥ P 380.

⁶ आनन्दो शानमेश्वर्य वीर्य परमसुक्षमता । यतदात्यन्तिकं यत्र स मोक्षः परिकीर्तितः ॥ P. 275.

⁷ See Section XII (d).

⁸ वन्यस्य कारणं प्रोक्तं निथ्यात्वासंयमाविकम् । रक्षत्रयं तु मोक्षस्य कारणं संप्रकीतितम् ॥

अञ्चातानमनवार्थानाममदानं विपर्ययः । सञ्चाय त्रिना प्रोक्तं मिश्यात्वं मिश्रनारमनाम् ॥

¹⁰ एकान्तसंश्वनाषानं म्यत्वासविनवामयस् । भवपक्षाविपक्षत्वान्तिमध्यात्वं पन्नथा स्मृतम् ॥

follow Pujyapāda's commentary on Tattvārthasūtra VIII. 1. Absence of yows, carelessness, cruelty, lack of contentment, and subservience to the dictates of the senses are collectively called Asamyama or lack of self-restraint.1 four passions, anger, pride, deceit and greed, with their four varieties, plunge the oreatures into the sea of transmigration; and activities connected with the mind, speech and the body, according as they are good and bad, are the factors which attach virtues and vices to the Self,3

The next Padartha is the universe, which is, in the Jaina view, without foundation or support, uncreated and free from destruction: it is situated in the midst of space, and rests on air (that is, on the three atmospheres of dense liquid, gross air and thin air). Somadeva records the criticism of this theory by other schools of thought. The Jainas, they say, do not regard the universe with the earth, mountains and oceans as being fixed anywhere nor do they believe that it is upheld by a fish or a tortoise or a serpent or a boar (as in Brahmanical mythology): so they fancy air as upholding the unsupported universe, an absolutely foolhardy proposition. How can air that cannot uphold even clods of earth, wood and similar substances uphold the universe? Somadeva tries to refute this objection by pointing out that the clouds which inundate the universe with rainwater are kept aloft in the sky solely by the force of air.4

V) Somadeva goes on to say that those who hold false opinions, not being able to find fault with the conception of Apta, Agama and the Padarthas, censure Jaina (Digambara) ascetics for abstaining from bath and ablutions and going about naked and eating their food standing. He tries to defend each of these practices, and says with regard to bathing that it is useless for ascetics, who observe chastity, and are bent on a spiritual way of life. Besides, they do take a bath to avoid pollution caused by contact with Kapalikas, women in their periods, Candalas and Sabaras. Similarly, wholesale ablutions are superfluous on the ground that it is necessary to wash only the limb which

अन्नतिस्वं प्रमादित्वं निर्देयत्वमतृप्तता । इन्द्रियेच्छातुवतित्वं सन्तः प्राद्वरसंयमम् ॥

² इतायाः कोशमानावास्त चत्वारश्चतुर्विशाः । संसारसिन्धुसंपातहेतवः प्राणिनां मनाः॥ मनीवाकायवःमाणि शुभाश्चभ-विभेदतः। भवन्ति पुण्यपापानां बन्धकारणमात्मनि ॥

³ निराधारो निराक्रम्यः पवमानसमाश्रयः । नभोमध्यस्थितो लोकः सृष्टिसंहारवर्जितः ॥

⁴ निराधारो निराकन्यः पवमानसमात्रयः। नभोमध्यस्थितो कोकः सृष्टिसंहारवर्जितः॥ अथ मतम् नैव छप्नं जगत् काम भूभूभाग्गोषिनिर्भतम् । धातारश्च न युज्यन्ते मत्त्र्यकुर्मोहिपोत्रिणः ॥ एवमालोच्य लोकस्य निराखन्तस्य धारणे । करण्यते पवनी जैनैरित्वेतत् साइसं महत् ॥ यो हि नायुर्न राक्तोऽन लोडकाडाविधारणे । त्रेलोनयस्म कथं स स्याद्धारणावसरक्षमः ॥ तदसद । वे ब्रावयन्ति पानीवैर्विष्टपं सचराचरम् । मेघास्ते वातसामध्याद कि न न्योक्ति समासते ॥ P. 281.

ठ अमञ्जनमनाचामो नद्रस्वं स्थितिभोजिता । मिध्यादृशो वदन्त्येतन्मुनेदाँयचतुष्टयम् ॥

⁶ ब्रह्मचर्योपपचानामण्यात्माचारचेत्रसाम् । सुनीनां कानग्रमाप्तं दोषे त्वस्य विधिनैतः ॥ संगे कापालिकात्रेवीचाण्डासः शक्राविभिः । आधुस्य दण्डवत् सन्यग्जपेनमञ्जायोवितः ॥

gets actually soiled; no one, for instance, cuts off the nose when a finger is bitten by a serpent.1

As regards nakedness, it is urged that the wise hate what is artificial, but not the observance of what is natural. Nakedness is natural: where is the ground to hate it? Further, it would be impossible for the ascetics to observe the vows of non-possession and non-injury if they desired to wear robes made of barks or decr-skins. As for the custom of taking food standing, Somadeva says that its observance does not lead to heaven nor does the failure to observe it lead to hell. The custom merely marks a certain resolution of an ascetic: I will take food only so long as it comes to the hollow of my palm and I am able to take it standing; otherwise I will abstain from food altogether.'

VI) After dealing with the essential Jaina doctrines and the custom of the Digambara munis, Somadeva enumerates a large number of religious practices, repugnant to Jainism, and collectively called Mūḍha or Stupid customs and beliefs, from which Samyaktva or Right faith must be absolutely free. They are sun-worship; bath during eclipses; giving away money on sankrānti days; the morning and evening ablutions; fire-worship; the worship of edifices; ceremonial bathing in rivers and the ocean; adoration of trees, stūpas, and sacred offerings of boiled rice; religious suicide by falling from a precipice; bowing at the tail of a cow and taking cow's urine; and the worship of jewels, conveyances, weapons, the earth, Yakşas and mountains. Association with heretics of other faiths and the adherents of the Vedas also comes within the scope of Mūḍha of which there are many varieties. To attribute divinity to what is not divine, to adopt as a vow what is not really a vow,

¹ बदेवाइमशुद्धं स्वादद्भिः शोध्यं तदेव हि । अङ्गुलै सर्पद्धायां न हि नासा निकृत्वते ॥

² विकारे विदुषां देशो नाविकारानुवर्तने । तन्नास्त्वे निसर्गोत्थे को नाम देवकल्मवः ॥

त निर्दित्यन्यमहिंसा च कुतः संयमिनां भवेत् । ते संगाय यदीइन्ते बल्कछाजिनवाससाम् ॥

⁴ न लगीय स्थितेर्वेक्तिनी सम्रायास्थिते: पुनः । कि तु संयमिकोकेऽसिन् सा प्रतिशार्थमिष्यते ॥ पाणिपात्रं मिकत्यतच्छक्तिश्च स्थितिभोजने । यानकांबददं मुक्के रहाम्याहारमन्यथा ॥ P. 282.

⁵ सूर्याची प्रइणकानं संकान्ती द्रविणव्ययः । संध्यासेवाभिसत्कारो गेहदेहार्घनो विधिः ॥ नदीनदसमुद्रेषु मज्जर्न धर्मचेतसाम् । तहस्तूषाप्रमक्तानां वन्दनं भृगुसंश्रयः ॥ गोपृष्ठान्तनमस्कारस्तन्मूत्रस्य निषेवणम् । रक्षवाहनभूयसृशस्यरीका-दिसेवनम् ॥ P. 282.

⁶ समयान्तरपादण्डवेदकोकसमाजवम् । यनमादि विगृहानां हेथं मुदानेन्छा ॥ Mudha or Mudhatā corresponds to what is called 'alien cultus' in Judaism, covering heathenism and all that it implies, the worship or acknowledgement of any deity except the true God, veneration of images, and all the customs associated with heathen religions. 'Alien cultus' or heathenism is one of the three deadly sins in Judaism, the others being unchastity in all its forms and homicide. Moore: Judaism, vol. I, p. 466; vol. II, p.267. Jainism, on the other hand, appears to treat heathenism as an error of judgment, and keeps it distinct from the cardinal sins envisaged by the Apuvratas,

and to accept as the truth what is not a truth at all constitute Mithystva or falsehood, which has to be renounced.¹ Somadeva defines in this connection the attitude of Jainism towards novices. If a convert to Jainism cannot altogether renounce all his false beliefs, he ought to be given a mixed reception, but it is not advisable to discard him altogether.² On the other hand, persons who are wicked by nature should not be induced to accept the Jaina doctrine, but proper consideration should be shown to those who voluntarily adopt the faith² (VI. 4).

VII) Somadeva next deals with the aigas or auxiliaries of Samyaktva, but it will be convenient to summarize first the different aspects of the doctrine enumerated in the concluding section of Book VI.

First, Samyaktva or Right faith may be realised naturally i. e. by intuition with but little effort or acquired from external sources with great effort. Samyaktva is said to be naturally produced when faith in the fundamental principles of Jainism comes into being 'without the suffering attendant on mental wanderings in the wilderness of deliberation', as a result, for instance, of remembering previous births, or hearing religious discourses, or meditating on the image of a Jaina Tirthamkara, or witnessing great religious festivals, or any other similar cause. Samyaktva is said to be acquired when faith in the tattvas comes into being after a long time, as a result of great efforts made in thoroughly investigating all the scriptural texts, which can only be understood with the help of methodical instruction by a wise teacher.

Secondly, Samyaktva may be of two, three and ten kinds according to the standpoint from which it is viewed, but faith in the fundamental principles is the common feature of all its phases.⁸

a) Samyaktva may be of two kinds, sarāga or accompanied by desire and vītarāga or free from desire. It is sarāga up to the eleventh guṇasthāna or stage of spiritual development; it is vītarāga in the last three stages. Sarāga Samyaktva has certain fundamental characteristics such as prasama etc., while Vītarāga Samyaktva is characterised by the absolute purity of the soul.

¹ अदेवे देवताबुद्धिमन्नते न्नतभावनाम् । अतत्त्वे तत्त्वविशानमतो मिथ्यात्वमुत्सुजेत् ॥

² तथापि यदि मृहत्वं न लजेत् कोऽपि मर्वथा । मिश्रत्वेनानुमान्योऽसी सर्वनाहो न सुन्दरः॥

³ न स्वतो जन्तवः प्रेयो दुराहाः स्युर्जिनागमे । स्वत एव प्रवृत्तानां तथोग्यानुप्रहो मतः ॥

⁴ निसर्गोऽधिगमो वाषि तदाप्ती कारणदयम् । सम्यव्यवभाक पुमान् समादल्यानल्यप्रयासतः ॥ P. 322.

^{5 &#}x27;निसर्गाद संजातमित्युच्यते'.

^{6 &#}x27;अधिगमादाविभ्ंतमित्युच्यते'.

^{7 &#}x27;यदासमसोन्नेतिक्षेषु परीक्षीपदेतादतिक्रिय्यविरेण तस्तेषु रुचिः संजायते'-

⁸ द्विविषं त्रिविषं दशविषमाद्वः सन्यक्त्वमात्महितमतयः। तत्त्वश्रद्धानिविषः सर्वत्र च तत्र समवृत्तिः॥ P. 322.

⁹ सरागबीतरागास्मविषयत्वाद् द्विषा स्युतम् । प्रश्नमित्युणं पूर्वे परं चात्मिविद्युक्तिमाकः ॥ Ms. A remarks. प्रकादशगुणस्थानवर्षन्तं सरागं द्वादशादि वीतरागम्.

Somadeva observes that just as the virility of a man, which cannot be perceived with the senses, can be ascertained from his relations with women, or the generation of children, or his fortitude in danger, or the execution of his designs; similarly, the existence of the Jewel of Right Faith, although extremely subtle owing to its being a condition of the soul, may be inferred from the qualities of Prasama, Samvega, Anukampā and Āstikya.

Prasama is restraining the mind from passions and other evil tendencies. Samvega is fear caused by worldly existence, the source of all kinds of sufferings, and fleeting like a dream or a magic show. As Hemacandra observes in his Yogasāstra 2. 15, Samvega is man's longing for liberation. Anukampā is kindness to all creatures; it is the fundamental basis of dharma. Astikya is a believing attitude of the mind towards the Apta, the scriptures, the vows and the fundamental principles. Somadeva concludes by saying that long is the worldly bondage of the man who is subject to the fury of the passions, devoid of vows, cruel and unbelieving. It may be added that some writers mention another characteristic of Samyaktva, nirveda or indifference to the world.

- b) Samyaktva may be of three kinds according as it is accompanied by the destruction of the karmas or their abatement or the process of partial abatement and destruction. Somadeva does not treat the topic in detail, but it may be noted that Samyaktva, viewed from this standpoint, is classified into kṣāyika, aupaśamika and kṣayopaśamika, the characteristics of which are explained in detail in Pūjyapāda's commentary on Tattvārthasūtra II. 3-5. Briefly speaking, Kṣāyika Samyaktva is caused by the destruction of seven particular kinds of karma; Aupaśamika Samyaktva is caused by the mitigation of the four passions and the three kinds of darśanamoha; and Kṣayopaśamika Samyaktva is caused by the mitigation of the existing four passions and mithyātva and samyaktvamithyātva and the destruction of their germs.
- c) Samyaktva may also be of ten kinds according to the sources from which it is derived. These sources are $dj\bar{n}d$, the command of the scriptures

अवा दि पुरुषस्य पुरुषशक्तिरियमतीन्द्रियाप्यङ्गनाजनाङ्गसंभोगेनापत्योत्पादनेन च विषित् वैर्यादश्यनेन वा प्रारब्ध-यस्त्रनिवृद्दणेन वा निश्चेतुं शक्यते, तथात्मस्वभावतयातिस्क्ष्मयत्नमि सम्यक्त्यरःनं प्रशामसंवेगानुकम्पास्तिक्येरेकवाक्येरा-कश्चेतुं शक्यम् ।"

यद्रागादिषु दोषेषु चित्रवृतिनिवर्षणम् । तं प्राष्टुः प्रश्नमं प्राशाः समस्तवतभूषणम् ॥

³ शारीरमानसागन्द्वनेदनाप्रभवाद् भवात् । स्वत्नेन्द्रजालसंकल्पाद् भीतिः संनेग उच्यते ॥ P. 323.

⁴ सस्वे सबैन विश्वस्य दयार्दलं दयालवः । धर्मस्य परमं मूलमनुकम्पां प्रचक्षते ॥

[💆] भारे अते वरे विचमस्तित्वसंस्तुतम् । आस्तिन्यमास्तिकेरकं मुक्तियुन्तिवरे नरे ॥

⁶ रागरोवधरे नित्यं निर्मते निर्वयासनि । संसारी दीर्वसारः खावरे नास्तिकनीतिके ॥

⁷ Hemacandra (op. cit.) says: मिवेंदो सबवैरान्यम्.

⁸ करीणां क्षयतः शान्तेः क्षयोपशमतस्त्रमा । अग्रानं त्रिविधं गोध्यं गती सर्वेत्र जन्तुन् ॥ P. 323.

composed by the exalted and omniscient Arhat or Tirthamkara; marga, the investigation of the Three Jewels of Right Faith, Right knowledge and Right conduct; upadesa, listening to the life-stories of the great men of old such as the Tirthamkaras, the Cakravartins etc.; sūtra, the code regulating the conduct and behaviour of the ascetics; blia, a clue to the understanding of all sections of the doctrine; samksepa, summary knowledge of the main topics of the system, Apta, sūtra or the sacred canon, the vows and the categories; vistara, comprehensive knowledge of the vast canonical literature consisting of the twelve Angas, the fourteen Purvas and the Prakirnas; artha, personal conviction in matters connected with the sacred lore; avagadha, profound knowledge of some part of the threefold Canon; and paramāvagādha, the conviction of a man possessing the three kinds of supernatural knowledge, viz., avadhi, manahparyaya and kevala.1 Samyaktva is thus tenfold according to the source from which it is derived, and we have therefore ten types of Samyaktva: mārgasamyaktva, sūtrasamyaktva, arthasamyaktva and so on.

In early literature the above ten aspects of Samyaktva are dealt with in Uttarādhyayanasātra XXVIII. 16 ff., although some of the sources are differently given: 'Faith is produced by 1. nisarga, nature; 2. upadeśa, instruction; 3. ājñā, command; 4. sūtra, study of the sūtras; 5. bīja, suggestion; 6. abhigama, comprehension of the meaning of the sacred lore; 7. vistara, complete course of 'study; 8. kriyā, religious exercise; 9. samkṣepa, brief exposition; 10. dharma, the Law'. The Sūtra defines each of these factors in detail, and later writers explain them in their own way. The following verse, quoted by Somadeva in Yasastilaka VI. 21, being enumeration of the ten kinds of Samyaktva, occurs in Guṇabhadra's Ātmānusāsana.

आज्ञामार्गसमुद्रवसुपदेशात्स्त्रवीजसंस्रेपात् । विद्धारार्थाभ्यां भवमवपरमावादिगाढं च ॥ Guṇabhadra clearly explains the ten kinds of Samyaktva in the following verses (ibid. 12-14):

आज्ञासम्यवस्त्रयुक्तं यदुत विरुचितं वीतरागाज्ञयेव स्वन्तप्रम्थप्रपञ्चं शिवससृतपर्यं श्रद्दधम्मोद्द्यान्तेः । मार्गभद्धानमाद्धः पुरुषवरपुराणोपदेशोपजाता या संज्ञानागमान्धिप्रस्तिभिरूपदेशादिरावेशि दृष्टिः ॥ आकर्ण्याचारसूत्रं मुनिचरणविधेः सूचनं श्रद्धानः स्कासी सूत्रदृष्टिदुरिधगमगतेरर्थसार्थस्य बीजैः । कैक्षिज्ञातोपक्रव्येरसमदामवशाद् बीजदृष्टिः पदार्थान् संझेपेणेव बुद्ध्या रुचिमुपगतवान् साधु संझेपदृष्टिः ॥ यः श्रुखा द्वादशाङ्गीं कृतम्बिर्थ तं विद्वि विस्तारदृष्टिं संजातार्थात् कृतश्चित् प्रवचनवचनान्यन्तरेणार्थदृष्टिः । दृष्टिः साङ्गाङ्गवाद्यप्रवचनमवगाद्योश्यिता यावगादा केषस्याकोकिवार्थे स्विदिह परमावादिगादेति स्वदा ॥

Somadeva says: सगबदर्शत्सवंश्वप्रणीतागमानुष्ठासंश्वा आशा, रत्त्रप्रविचारसगी मार्गः, पुराणपुरुवचरितअवणा-भिनिवेश उपदेशः, यतिजनाचरणनिरूपणपात्रं सूत्रम्, सकलसमयदलस्वनान्याणं बौजम्, आसश्चतव्रतपदार्थसमा-सालापासेषः संक्षेपः, द्वावशाक्रचतुर्वेशपूर्वेभकीणीविस्तीणेश्वतार्थसमयेनप्रस्तारो विस्तारः, प्रवचनविषये स्वप्रत्ययसमयोऽधः, त्रिविषस्त्रागमस्य निःश्वेषतीऽन्यतयदेशावणाहालीदमवगादम्, अविधमनःपर्वयकेवलाधिकपुरुवप्रत्यसम्बद्धं प्रमावगादम्। P. 323.

² Jacobi: Jaina Sutras, Part II, p. 154.

VIII) Somadeva enumerates twentyfive hindrances to Samyaktva, known as drodosah: the three kinds of mudha or mudhata, the eight madas, the six anayatanas, and the eight defects, samkā etc. He does not explain any of these except the group of eight defects. The three kinds of mudha are lokamudha consisting of stupid popular customs such as dips in sacred water; devamudha or the worship of false deities, ghosts, spirits and the like; and samayamūdha or following the lead of those who profess false doctrines such as astrology, magic lore etc. The eight kinds of mada or pride are pride of birth, family, beauty, wealth, intellect, knowledge of the scripture, honour and power respectively, as explained in the Bhasya on Tattvarthasūtra IX. 6. What is not ayatana or abode (of right faith) is anayatana. The six anayatanas are a false deity subject to passion and hate; the followers of such a deity; false austerities; those who practise such austerities; false scriptures; and the followers of such scriptures.2 The group of eight defects consists of samkā, kāmkṣā, vicikitsā, mūdhadṛṣṭi, lack of upagūhana, lack of sthitikāra, lack of vātsalya and lack of prabhāvana. These will be explained later.

After enumerating the twentyfive hindrances to Samyaktva, Somadeva points out that a man of right conduct, who possesses right faith, and is conversant with the fundamental truths, attains salvation, even though he is devoid of vows; while a man without faith can never attain salvation, even though he observes the vows.8 External functions and external karma are mere agencies: when the three Jewels (Right Faith, Right Knowledge and Right Conduct) are mature, the soul becomes impregnated with them. Right knowledge is not derived from the senses nor right faith from delusion nor right conduct from the body: when the soul attains the supreme bliss of liberation, it simply becomes one with those three. It will be seen that right faith, right knowledge and right conduct are regarded as conditions of the soul, which is, in the ultimate resort, identified with them. Nemicandra puts the idea clearly in his Dravyasamgraha (verse 39) when he says that, from the ordinary point of view, right faith, knowledge and conduct are the causes of salvation, but in reality one's own soul is the cause of liberation, consisting as it does of those three.8 Among early writers Kundakunda says in

¹ मृद्ययं मदाशाही तथानावतनानि वट्ट । अही शृहादयक्षेति दृग्दोशः पञ्चविञ्चतिः ॥ P. 324.

² See Brahmadeva's commentary on Dravyasamgraha, verse 41.

⁵ निश्वयोगितचारित्रः सुदृष्टिस्तरवकोविदः । अन्नतस्रोऽपि मुक्तिस्रो न नतस्रोऽप्यदर्शनः ॥ P. 324.

[🚣] वहिष्किया वहिष्कर्मे कारणं केवलं भवेत् । रक्षत्रयसमृद्धः खाद्वारमा रास्त्रत्रवारमकः ॥

अक्षान्द्यानं क्विमोद्दादेद्वादृष्ट्तं च नास्ति यत् । आत्मन्यसिन्द्विवीसृते तसादात्मैक तत् त्रवम् ॥

⁶ सम्मदंसण णाणं वरणं मोक्खरस कारणं आणे। ववहारा जिखयदो तस्तियमहको जिओ अप्या।। 38

Samayasara (verse 19) that a saint should always resort to (right) belief, knowledge and conduct; these three are in reality nothing but the soul itself. The same author says in Niyamasara (verse 96) that the soul is to be meditated upon as consisting of pure knowledge, pure faith, joy and pure consciousness.

As has already been said, Somadeva deals only with Samyaktva or Samyagdarsana, Right faith, and regards it as the corner-stone of the entire system of Jaina religion and ethics. After dealing with the various aspects of Samyaktva, he sums them up in the following verse occurring in Yasastilaka VIII. 35.

तस्वेषु प्रणयः परोऽस्य मनसः श्रद्धानसुक्तं जिनेरेतद्वित्रिदशप्रभेदविषयं व्यक्तं चतुर्भिगुंणैः । भष्टाङ्गं सुवनत्रपार्चितमिदं मृदैरपोढं त्रिभिक्षत्ते देव दघामि संस्तिकतोष्ठासावसानोत्सवस् ॥

The different kinds of Samyaktva, two, three and ten, as the case may be, and its four characteristics, referred to in the verse, have already been explained. Samyaktva should also be free from the three types of mūdha, that is lokamūdha, devamūdha, and samayamūdha (see above). Besides, it has eight angas which are elaborately explained and illustrated by Somadeva in Yasastilaka VI. 5-20.

IX) The eight angas or auxiliaries of Samyaktva are freedom from samkā, freedom from kāmkṣā or ākāmkṣā, freedom from vicikitsā or vinindā, freedom from anyaslāghā or mūḍhatā, upagūha, sthitkāra, prabhāvana and vātsalya. Conversely, samkā, kāmkṣā, vicikitsā, mūḍhatā and lack of upagūha, lack of sthitkāra, lack of prabhāvana and lack of vātsalya form a group of defects which hamper the growth of Samyaktva, and are included among the twentyfive dṛgdoṣāḥ. Somadeva's account of the aṅgas of Samyaktva are summarised below.

S'amkā, ākāmkṣā, vinindā and anyaślāghā are the four obstacles to the growth of Samyaktva or Right Faith. S'amkā is fear or hesitation, which causes lack of resolution and the consequent inability to follow in a steadfast manner the chosen doctrine or vow. The story of the fickle sage Jamadagni is meant to illustrate this failing, while Jinadatta and Padmaratha represent the type of devotees who do not flinch from their vows even in the face of death.* Freedom from samkā enabled even a criminal like Lalita to attain success in the difficult mystic rites, which the pious Dharasena had commenced but failed to accomplish owing to his fear and indecision.

¹ वैसणणाण-वरित्ताणि सेविदव्याणि साहुणा णिखं। ताणि पुण जाण तिव्विति अप्पाणं चेव णिष्ड्यदो ॥

केनकणाणसहावो केनसदंसणसहावद्धहमदओ । केनलसत्तिसहावो सोइं इदि चित्तप णाणी ॥

³ See Chap, XVI for the stories,

Sankā is, properly speaking, the fear of a man that he is alone and has no protector in the three worlds; it is the fear born of the ills of world and death. Samkā is also lack of decision, that is, inability to choose between one doctrine and another, one vow and another, and one divinity and another.

Akānkṣā is the desire for worldly happiness, which hampers constancy of faith and the practice of vows. To preserve the purity of one's faith one must renounce the desires and aspirations born of this and the other world, and those encouraged by other faiths based on erroneous doctrines. One must not, for instance, aspire to become a god or a Yakṣa or a king as a result of one's devotion to Right Faith. To exchange Samyaktva or Right Faith for the joys of the world is like exchanging a ruby for butter-milk, and nothing but self-deception. Equanimity of mind (anākulā cittarṛttih) in matters of religion is the sure basis of all prosperity and bliss. To develop right faith one must, therefore, be free from attachment to the world; and the chaste maiden Anantamati is praised for rejecting her chance of worldly happiness for the sake of her vow.

Vinindā or Vicikitsā is doubt or hesitation in the exercise of piety as inculcated by one's faith. One must, for instance, have no hesitation in succouring the poor and the sick, however loathsome the object of one's pity may be, because one is required to do so by one's religion. King Auddāyana was praised by Indra, because he personally tended helpless, old and diseased ascetics without scruple or hesitation. It is one's own fault if one is unable to follow the course of conduct prescribed by one's religion or grasp its meaning. It is the fault of the eye if the clear and luminous sky appears to be dark. He who neglects the teachings of one's religion at the sight of physical defects is like a man who rejects gold at the sight of rust on iron. The good who view the teachings of the scriptures and the nature of the body in their proper perspective feel no scruples of any kind in carrying out tenets of their faith.

महनेको न मे कश्चिदस्ति नाता जगत्त्रये । इति न्याधिवजोत्कान्तिमीति शङ्कां प्रचक्षते ॥ P. 283.

पतचलमिदं तस्यमेतद्वतमिदं जतम् । एव देवश्व देवोऽयमिति शक्कां विदः पराम् ॥

³ तत् क्रुव्टयम्तरोज्ज्ताभिहासुत्र च संभवाम् । सम्यन्दर्शनशुक्यथेमाकाङ्कां त्रिविषां त्यजेत् ॥ P. 291.

⁴ स्यां देवः स्यामदं यक्षः स्यां वा बद्धमतीपतिः । यदि सम्यक्तमाहारम्यमस्तीतीच्छां परित्यजेत् ॥

उद्यक्तिवेव माणिक्यं सम्बन्त्वं भवजैः सुद्धैः । विक्रीणानः पुमान् स्वस्य वस्त्रकः केवृतं भवेतः ॥

⁶ बाज्यसगदन्कानान् सुनीनौदायनः स्वयम् । मबन् निर्वितिस्तात्मा स्तुति प्रापत् पुरंदरात् ॥ P. 296.

⁷ स्वसीन हि स दोपोऽयं यक शक्तः सतामयम् । जीकमाश्रपितुं अन्तुक्तदर्थं वा नियोधितुम् ॥ P. 294.

⁸ दर्शनादेहदीवस यसस्वाव अगुप्सते । स छोडे कालिकालोकावृनं मुझति काश्चनम् ॥

⁹ तत्रैतिको च देहे च बाधास्त्यं परवतां सत्ताम् । उद्देशाय कथं नाम चित्तकृतिः प्रवर्तताम् ॥

Anyaslagha or Mudhata is attachment to the dogmas of other faiths, which a devout Jaina must avoid. The Vedic, Buddhist, and Saiva scriptures are dismissed by the author as favouring the use of honey, meat and wine, as also the Vedic religion which prescribes sacrifices as a means to salvation. Such practices as purification with earth etc., ceremonial bathing, sprinkling of water, ablutions, and the worship of the Manes and the Fire; the use of deceitful speech, holy ashes, cowdung plasters and Kusa seats; the wearing of matted hair, ceremonial cloth and girdle; the carrying of clubs and bamboo baskets, and mystic poses of the fingers: all these are described as the stock-in-trade of those who are ignorant of the inner The erroneous dogmas of other systems are attractive outward appearance but dangerous in their inner significance; they are like the kimpāka fruit, and should never be relied upon.2 A follower of the Jaina faith should neither praise nor become acquainted with such doctrines nor be misled by the knowledge and science of other schools of thought.* The devout lady Revati is accordingly praised for the utter indifference to divinities not recognised by the Jaina scriptures.

Somadeva then describes the four attributes which contribute to the growth of Samyaktva. They are upagūha, sthitikāra, prabhāvana and vātsalya. Upagūha or Dharmopabṛmhana is guarding the reputation of one's religion by suppressing or concealing such faults of one's coreligionists as may lower it in the estimation of others. It is, of course, one's duty to enhance the prestige of one's faith by the cultivation of such virtues as forbearance, truthfulness, purity, mercy and honesty, and by the practice of austerities, self-control and charity.4 At the same time, if any of one's co-religionists commits an offence by chance or error, 'one should conceal it with the wealth of one's own virtues' as does a mother that of her children.' This seems to mean that one should establish the innocence of the culprit, even if the attempt should entail personal loss or damage. When, for instace, the bogus Jaina ascetic was arrested by the police for stealing the merchant's Jewel, the latter, being a good Jaina, declared that he had himself given it to the thief, because the conviction of a Jaina ascetic for theft would have lowered the prestige of Jainism among the populace

अभिमस्तजटाबोटयोगपट्टकटासनम् । मेखलाप्रोक्षणं मुद्रा वृत्तीद्ण्डः करण्डकः ॥ शीचं मञ्जनमाचामः पितृपूजानलाचैनम् । अन्तास्तविद्दीनानां प्रक्रितेयं विराजते ॥ P. 296.

² अन्तर्दुरन्तसंचारं बहिराकारसन्दरम्। न श्रद्ध्यात् जुदृष्टीनां मतं किंपाकसंनिभम् ॥

³ तस्तंस्तं प्रशंसां वा न कुर्वात कुट्टियु । शानविशानयोस्तेषां विपश्चित्र च विश्वमेत् ॥

⁴ क्षान्त्या सत्येन शीचेन मार्दनेनार्जवेन च । तपोमिः संयमैर्दानैः कुर्यात् समयश्रहणम् ॥ P. 303.

⁵ सिनेशीय तन्जानामपराथं सथमैद्धः। दैवममादसंपन्नं निगृहेद्वणसंपदाः॥

who were not acquainted with the real facts.¹ Somadeva goes on to say that a religion cannot be sullied by the misdemeanour of a weakling among its adherents, just as the ocean does not become foul on account of a frog dying in its waters.² This is, of course, true of all religions, but the Jainas seem to be prepared, under certain circumstances, to ignore occasional infractions of the moral code if they can thereby save their religion from any possible opprobium or disgrace.

Sthitikāra is the strengthening of the conviction of those among one's co-religionists who may be faltering in their loyalty to the faith, and show signs of breaking away from the fold. A person initiated into the Jaina religion may be wavering in his allegiance owing to the rigour of the vows and insufficient knowledge of the scriptures, and it is the duty of the faithful to hearten him and strengthen his loyalty to the faith. A novice must not be discarded on account of a single lapse, and the aim of a good Jaina should be ganarurdhama, 'the advancement of the community', to be accomplished by constant efforts to win back waverers and sceptics and restore their allegiance. The ends of religion can be served only by the efforts of various types of men, and each one should be assigned the rôle for which he is fit. Any negligence of this principle deprives men of access to the truth, prolongs the cycle of births, and contributes to the decay of the faith. The story of Vāriṣeṇa illustrates this aspect of one's obligations to one's religion.

Prabhāvana is propagation of the faith by the installation of images and the establishment of temples and the institution of worship and festivals as well as by the diffusion of knowledge and the practice of manifold austerities. We are also told that it is one's duty to enhance the prestige of the faith, without any motives of worldly gain, by means of charity and diverse forms of knowledge and science and the celebration of grand festivals and ceremonies. The story of Vajrakumāra shows that a good Jaina must be prepared to defend his religion against the encroachments of rival sects.

Vātsalya iš helping one's co-religionists in distress, as illustrated in the story of the sage Viṣṇu. Connected with vātsalya are certain kindred virtues

¹ See Chap. XVI.

अञ्चल्लायराधेन कि अमी मिलनी मनेत्। न हि मेके मृते याति पयोधिः पृतिगम्धताम् ॥

³ परीषद्वतोद्वित्रमजातागमसंगमम् । स्थापयेद् भ्रस्यदात्मानं समग्री समग्रस्थितम् ॥ P. 304.

[🕹] नदैः संदिग्धनिर्वाहै विद्ध्याद्रणवर्धनम् । एकदोषकृते त्याज्यः प्राप्ततस्यः कथं नरः ॥

उतः समयकार्यार्थां नानापञ्चलनाश्रयः । अतः संबोध्य यो यत्र योग्यक्तं तत्र योजयेत् ॥

⁶ उपेक्षायां तु जानेत तत्त्वाहरतरो नरः । ततस्त्रस्य सबी दीर्षः समयोऽपि च डीयते ॥

⁷ नैत्येश्रेलाज्येकानिसापोभिविवारमकः। पूजामहाव्यजाकेश कुर्वान्मार्गप्रमावनम्॥ P. 309.

⁸ तदानश्चनविद्यानमहामहमहोत्सवैः। दर्शनयोतनं कुर्वादैहिकापेश्वयोज्यितः॥

which a Jaina layman ought to cultivate. They are vinaya, vaiyāvṛtya, and bhakti. Vinaya is respect for one's teacher, fellow-student, and the community, and for the study of the scriptures and ideal of self-control. Vaiyāvṛtya is efficient service rendered to the miserable and the sick. Bhakti is pure and sincere devotion to Jina, the Jaina scriptures, and Jaina religious teachers distinguished for their austerities and learning.

X) Somadeva next deals with the salient features of Jaina religious and moral discipline in detail. In Book VII he defines Vrata as the mainstay of Samyaktva, and it is of two kinds according as it is based on the Mülagunas and Uttaragunas. The Mülagunas are eight, consisting as they do of abstention from wine, meat, honey and five kinds of fruits such as Udumbara, Asvattha, Plakṣa and Nyagrodha, which are the breeding ground of various living organisms, visible and invisible. A number of stories is told to illustrate some of the mülagunas, and it is asserted that flesh-eaters have no kindness, drunkards never speak the truth, and people who take honey and the Udumbara fruit feel no pity.

Drinking is condemned by saying that it is the root of all evils, since it completely deludes the mind, and is therefore the greatest of all sins. Owing to the loss of the power of discrimination men commit all kinds of sins, which lead to their wandering in the wilderness of worldly existence. It is well-known that wine was the cause of the ruin of the Yādavas, just as gambling was the cause of that of the Pāṇḍavas. Somadeva records also a curious belief that some persons, after a long succession of births and rebirths, are in the course of time transformed into wine to delude the minds of men. The number of sentient beings transformed into a single drop of wine is large enough to fill the universe!

With regard to the prohibition of meat-eating, Somadeva wonders how people who seek their own welfare hope to increase their own flesh

¹ स्वाध्याये संयमे संघे गुरौ सबद्धाचारिणि । यथौनिखं कृतात्मानी विनयं प्राहुरादरम् ॥ P. 316.

² अधिव्याधिनिरुद्धस्य निरवधेन कर्मणा ! सौचित्यकरणं प्रोक्तं वैयावृत्यं विमक्तये ॥

³ जिने जिनागमे सूरी तपः ब्रुतपरायणे । सङ्कावश्चादिसंपन्नोऽनुरागो मक्तिरुच्यते ॥

⁴ मधमांसमधुत्यागाः सहोदुम्बरपञ्चकाः । अष्टावेते गृहस्थानामुका मूलगुणाः श्रुते ॥ P. 327.x x x x x x अवस्थी॰ दुम्बरप्रक्षन्यप्रोभादिफलेष्वपि । प्रत्यक्षाः प्राणिनः स्यूलाः सङ्गाश्चागमगोचराः ॥ P. 330.

⁵ मांसादिषु दया नास्ति न सत्यं मधपायिषु । आनृशंस्यं न मत्येषु मधुद्रम्बरसेविषु ॥

⁶ सर्वदोपोदयो मचान्महामोहकृतेर्मतेः। सर्वेषां पातकानां च पुरःसरतया स्थितम् ॥ हिताहितविमोहेन देहिनः कि न पानवस् । कुर्श्वः संसारकान्तारपरिअमणकारणम् ॥ P. 327.

⁷ भचेन यादवा नष्टा नष्टा यतेन पाण्डवाः । इति सर्वत्र लोकेऽसिन् सुप्रसिद्धं कथानकम् ॥

मगुरवय विषयेह देहिनोऽनेकशः किछ । मधीभवन्ति काळेन मनोमोहाय देहिनाम् ॥ मधैकविन्दुसंवक्ताः प्राणिनः प्रचरन्ति
चेत् । पूरयेयुर्न संदेहं समस्त्रमपि विष्टवम् ॥

with the flesh of others. Just as one's own life is dear to one, similarly the life of another is dear to him; and one should therefore refrain from destroying animal life. The objection to honey is based on the ground that it is pressed out of 'the young eggs in the womb of bees', and resembles the embryo in the first stage of its growth. It is forbidden to eat or drink in the house of those who take wine, meat and honey, and even to use any utensils belonging to them. Further, one who observes the mūlagunas should always avoid water brought in waterskins, oil kept in leather flasks, and women who are not in a fit state for vows.

In connection with the taboo on meat, we are told that some object even to the eating of beans and pulses, as these, too, according to them, are flesh, being endowed with life like the bodies of camels, sheep and other animals. Somadeva, however, rejects this view, and cites a verse to the effect that flesh may constitute the body of an animate object, but the body of any animate object is not necessarily composed of flesh; just as the Neem is a tree, but any tree is not Neem.

The Uttaragunas are twelve in number, and comprise the five Anuvratas, the three Gunavratas and the four Siksavratas. The Anuvratas are treated in detail and fully illustrated with elaborate stories in VII.26-32. They consist of limited vows to renounce severally injury, stealing, falsehood, lust and greed.

¹ तत् स्वस्य हितमिच्छन्तो मुञ्जन्तश्चाहितं मुद्धः । अन्यमांसैः स्वमांसस्य कथं वृद्धिविधायिनः ॥

² सकीयं जीवितं यहत् सर्वस्य प्राणिनः प्रियम् । तह्रदेतत्परस्मापि ततो हिंसां परित्यजेत् ॥ P. 330.

³ मिस्सागर्भसंभृतनालाण्डविनिपीडनात् । जातं मधु कथं सन्तः सेवन्ते कललाकृति ॥

⁴ मधादिखादिगेहेषु पानमन्नं च नाचरेत्। तदमत्रादिसंपर्के न कुर्वात कदाचन ॥

⁵ द्रतिप्रायेषु पानीयं सेहं च कुतपादिषु । इतस्यो वर्जयेक्रित्यं योषितश्चाव्रतोचिताः ॥

⁶ तदाइ—मांसं जीवशरीरं भवेच वा मांसम्। यद्वक्रिम्बो वृक्षो वृक्षातु भवेच वा निम्बः ॥ P. 331.

Dealing with the question of injury, Somadevs enunciates a positive view of ahimsā and defines himsā as destruction of living creatures through error of judgement, and ahimsā as the protection afforded to them. It follows, therefore, that animals must not be killed for the purpose of worshipping the gods or the Manes, or entertaining one's guests, or in any mystic rites, or for medicinal purposes or out of fear: this constitutes the the vow of ahimsā.

All liquids should be strained through a cloth before use to avoid any possible injury to living creatures, and one should for the same reason give up also eating at night.³ Rice-gruel (or pickles), syrups, unhusked paddy, flowers, fruits, roots and leaves, being the breeding ground of living organisms, should not be acquired for use nor anything that is frequented by the latter.⁴ Hollow stalks and reeds should be avoided as well as creepers and bulbs resorted to by diverse creatures.⁵ Horbs or vegetables should be taken when no longer raw, after splitting them into two sections, and all kinds of pulses and beans which are cooked entire should also be avoided.⁶

Somadeva then enumerates certain qualities which should be cultivated to realise the ideal of ahimsā. They are maitrī, pramoda, kāruņya and mādhyasthya. Maitrī is the disposition not to cause suffering to any one by any physical act or thoughts or words. Pramoda is affection coupled with respect for men eminent for their virtues and religious austerities. Kāruṇya is the will to help the poor, while Mādhyasthya is an equitable attitude, free from pleasure and displeasure, towards those who are devoid of any merit. The supreme quality of kindness is emphasized by declaring that virtue is light and sin darkness, and sin cannot abide in a person crowned with the rays of kindness. Ahimsā is thus a positive virtue, and resolves into jīvadayā or compassion for living creatures, which by itself is as efficacious as all good words combined. The effect of the latter is like the result of agricultural labour, while that of the former is like the miraculous results produced by the Cintāmani gem.

¹ वत् स्वात् प्रमादयोगेन प्राणिषु प्राणहापनम् । सा हिंसा रक्षणं तेषामहिना तु सता मता ॥ P. 33

देवतातिथिपित्रथं मन्नीपथभयाय वा । न हिस्सात् प्राणिनां सर्वानहिसा नाम तद्वतम् ॥

³ देवद्रन्याणि सर्वाणि पटपूरानि थोजयेत् । x x x x x x x x निशायां वर्जयेद्वक्तिमिहासुत्र स दःखदास ॥

⁴ सथानं पानकं थान्यं पुष्पं मूलं फलं दलम् । जीवयोनि न संग्राह्मं यस जीवैरुपद्रुतम् ॥
5 यदन्तः शुविरमायं हेयं नालीनलादि तत् । अनन्तकायिकमायं वलीकन्दादिकं त्यजेत् ॥

⁶ दिदछं दिदछं प्राक्यं प्रायेणानवतां गतम् । सिद्धयः सक्तारस्याज्याः साधिताः सक्ताक्ष याः।।

⁷ कार्येन मनसा वाला परे सर्वत्र देहिनि । अदःखजननी कृत्तिमैत्री मैत्रीविदां मता ॥ P. 335.

ठ तपोगुणाधिक पुंसि प्रश्रयाश्रयनिर्भरः । जायमानो मनोरागः प्रमोदो विदुपां मतः ॥

⁹ दीनाम्युद्धरणे बुद्धिः कारण्यं करुणात्मनाम् । ध्यामवीद्धिता वृत्तिमोध्यस्थां निर्गुणात्मनि ॥

¹⁰ पुण्यं तेजोमयं प्राष्टुः प्राष्टुः पापं तमोमयम् । तत् पापं पुंसि भिं तिश्रद्वादीभितिमालिनि ॥

¹¹ एका जीवदयेकत्र परत्र सक्तलाः कियाः । परं पालं तु पूर्वत्र कृषेश्विन्तामणेरिव ॥ P. \$37.

Speaking of theft, Somadeva difines it as taking possession of another's property, not being given by the owner, with the exception of such things which may be used by all. It is permissible to take possession of ungiven property only at the death of one's kinsmen; in case of the living, specific permission for the purpose is necessary. Those who take the vow of non-stealing must not take anything that is the property of others 'whether in a house or in the street or on water or in the woods or on the hills'. With regard to objects of unknown ownership, the king alone has the right to acquire them so that the produce of mines, large and small, belongs to none but the king. Falsification of measures, abetment of theft, receiving of stolen property, and the accumulation of wealth in war time are obstacles to the vow of non-stealing.

Somadeva mentions various degrees of truth and falsehood. Firstly, that which is to some extent true, though on the whole false, as when we say in common parlance, 'he cooks food or weaves cloths'.' Secondly, what is to some extent false, though on the whole true, as when a man, after promising to give something at the end of a fortnight, gives it after a month or a year. Thirdly, that which is in all respects true. Fourthly, that which is completely false, as when a man promises to give something which he does not possess.' The fourth item should always be avoided, but the transactions of everyday life are founded on the other three, and sometimes even a false statement ceases to be false if it pleases one's preceptor and others. Divulging's of secrets, slander, backbiting, forgery and perjury are obstacles to truth. One should not also talk about the wives of others nor speak against the king nor indulge in anti-social talks: one should take care

¹ अक्तुस्य प्रस्वस्य ग्रहणं स्तेयमुख्यते । सर्वभोग्यासदन्यत्र भावात्तोयतुणादितः ॥ P. 344.

² शातीनामस्यये वित्तमदत्त्वमपि संमतम् । जीवतां तु निदेश्वेन वतस्रतिरतोऽन्यथा ॥

³ मन्दिरे पिटरे नीरे कान्तारे धरणीधरे । तक्रान्यदीयमादेयं खापतेयं व्रताश्रयैः ॥

⁴ रिक्षं निधिनिधामीत्वं न राष्ट्रोऽन्यस्य युज्यते । यत् स्वस्थास्वामिकस्येह दायादो मेदिनीषतिः ॥ Ms. A remarks
यो व्ययोकृतः क्षयं न याति स निधिः । यद् व्ययोकृतं सत् क्षयं याति तक्षिधानमस्पमित्वर्षः ॥

⁵ पीतवश्याताश्विये स्तेनकमें ततो प्रदः। विप्रहे संप्रहोऽपैस्यास्तेयस्थैते निवर्तकाः॥ The last item appears as विस्कृताश्यातिकम् in Tattourthādhigamasutra VII. 27, which means 'Contraband trade with a hostile or prohibited country'. Siddhasena says in his commentary—अन्यतर. राज्यनिवासिन इतरस्य राज्यं प्रविशान्तीतरराज्यनिवासिनो वाऽन्यतरराज्यमभिगच्छन्तीति विस्कृताज्यातिकमःPüjyapada hints at profiteering from this source—विस्कृताज्येऽतिक्रमः। तत्र हि अल्पमूल्यलम्यानि
प्रदान्यांगि द्रव्याणीति प्रयक्षः।

⁶ Properly speaking, one cooks rice etc. and weaves yarn.

⁷ असर्थं सत्वगं किंचित् सत्वमसत्वगम् । सत्वमत्यं पुनः किंचिदसत्वासत्वमेव च ॥ अस्येदमैदंपर्यमसत्वमि किंचित् सत्वमेव वक्षान्यांसि रन्त्रपति वक्षति वासांसीति etc. P. 349.

[🗗] तुरीवं वर्जवेश्वित्यं कोकवात्रा त्रवे स्थिता । सा मिथ्यापि न गीर्निश्या या गुर्वादेप्रसादिनी ॥

मा मा भेदः परीवादः पैशुन्यं कूटकेसनम् । सुधासास्थिपदोक्तिश्य सत्वस्थेते विवातकाः ॥

not to make any unfounded statement.¹ Exaggeration, fault-finding and indecent speech must be avoided, and one should always speak words that are noble, beneficial and concise'.¹ One should not praise oneself nor calumniate others: one should not be jealous of the merits of others when they really exist and describe those of oneself which do not exist at all.⁵

Somadeva appears in certain cirumstances to attach greater importance to self-preservation and philanthropic considerations than to speaking out the truth. He opines that the truth must not be spoken if it is likely to endanger others and bring inevitable ruin to oneself. This can only mean that the truth need not always by revealed, if by so doing one can stave off one's own ruin and that of others. Somadeva, however, points out that the effective power of truthful speech is based on the inherent validity of truth itself, and what a truthful man says is on all occasions valid.

It is evident that Somadeva lays as much emphasis on doing good to others as on speaking the truth, if not more. Doing good to others seems to him to be the most natural thing to do, and he fails to understand why people are bent on making others unhappy, since to make others happy is to make oneself happy. To harbour evil thoughts against others is to injure oneself, and Somadeva expresses the idea by saying that 'even while the mind spreads darkness over others, it injects streams of darkness into its veins'. One should accordingly be always merciful and always devoted to the good of others, be of pleasant nature and pleasant manners, do what is pleasant and speak what is pleasant. It is also a sin to withhold spiritual knowledge from any one who asks for it.

Somadeva then discusses the question of brahman or chastity, and defines it as regarding all women except one's wife or concubine as one's.

परस्त्रीराजिबिद्विष्टलोकविदिष्टसंश्रयाम् । अनायकसमारम्भां न कथां कथयेद्वथः ॥

अस्युक्तिमन्यदोपोक्तिमसभ्योक्ति च वर्जयेन् । भाषेन वचनं नित्यमभिजातं हितं मितम् ॥

³ न स्तूयादात्मनात्मानं न परं परिवादयेत् । न मृतोऽन्यगुणान् हिस्यान्नासृतः स्वस्य वर्णयेत् ॥ P. 350.

⁴ तत् सत्यमि नो वाच्यं यत् स्यात् परिविषत्तये । जायन्ते येन वा स्वस्य व्यापदश्च दुरास्पद्धः ॥ P. 349. cf. Hemacandra: न सत्यमि भाषेत परपीडाकरं वचः । लोकेऽपि श्वते यसात् कौशिको नरकं गतः ॥ Yogaśāstra 2. 61. The sage Kauśika was famous for speaking the truth, but went to hell because accurate information given by him led to the capture and killing of a band of robbers, who had concealed themselves in a wood close to his hermitage.

⁵ सत्यवाङ्ग सत्यसामर्ब्याद्वचःसिद्धिः समञ्जते । वाणी वास्य अवेन्मान्या यत्र यत्रोपजायते ॥ P. 350.

⁶ बत् परस्य प्रियं क्ववीदात्मनस्तत् प्रियं हि तत् । अतः किमिति लोकोऽयं पराप्रियपरायणः ॥

⁷ वथा यथा परेष्वेतवेतो वितनुते समः। तथा तथारमनावीवु समोपारा निविद्यति ॥

⁸ प्रियशीकः प्रियाचारः प्रियकारी प्रियंबदः । स्वादानृशंसचीनिसं निसं परहिते रतः ॥ P. 349.

⁹ मोक्समार्गं स्वयं जानकथिने यो न मावते । मदापहवमास्सर्वैः स स्थादावरणह्यी ॥

mother, sister or daughter (according to their age).1 It is also defined as something, the maintenance of which leads to the growth of qualities like ahimsa or non-injury. In the Realm of Dharma men are by nature temperate in their sexual desires, and one should therefore remain content with one's married wife, and leave alone the wives of others, female relations. and nuns. Study, meditation and the practice of virtue are out of the question so long as the fire of sexual desire burns in the mind. Worldly pleasures should be enjoyed in moderation, like food, to satisfy only physical needs so as to avoid excessive longing for them. The vow of chastity is spoilt by illicit relation with women, unnatural methods of sexual enjoyment. arrangement of other people's marriages and preoccupation with the art Wine, meat, gambling, music with song and dance, personal decoration, intoxication, libertines and aimless wandering: these ten are concomitants of sexual passion.8 One should be careful not to excite oneself by erotic acts, aphrodisiac potions and erotic literature.9 It should be remembered that men are never satiated with worldly pleasures, just as fire is never satiated with sacrificial oblations or the ocean with the influx of waters.10

Parigraha, the subject of the fifth Anuvrata, is attachment to worldly objects, and it should always be curtailed. The attachment may be to both outer and inner objects, the former being ten and the latter fourteen. The outer objects are arable land, corn, money, habitation, clothing and the like, couch and seat, servants, cattle and household articles. The inner objects are false doctrines; the three kinds of inclinations (feminine inclinations, mesculine inclinations and inclinations common to both the sexes): the six kinds of feelings, viz., the sense of the laughable, pleasure, displeasure, sorrow, fear and disgust; and the four passions: anger, pride,

¹ वष्वित्तिकारी मुख्या सर्वत्रान्यत्र तज्जने । माता स्वसा तन्जेति मतिबंदा गृहाअमे ॥ P. 359,

² रक्ष्ममाणे हि इंहन्ति वन्नाहिंसादयो गुणाः । उदाहरन्ति तद्ग्या नदाविधाविशारदाः ॥

³ Explained in Ms. A as आर्यखण्ड.

⁴ धर्मभूमी स्वभावेन मनुष्यो नियतसारः। यजात्यैव पराजातिर्वन्धुलिक्षियस्त्यजेत् ॥ Ms. A remarks स्वजात्या परिणीतया सह संभोगः कार्यः। परा चासी अजातिः पराजातिः परकीयजातिः स्वी।

⁵ स्वाध्यायध्यानधर्माचाः कियास्तावन्तरे कृतः । इन्चे चित्तेन्थने यावदेष कामाशुरुक्षणिः ॥ P. 360.

⁶ धेदंपर्यमती मुक्त्वा भोगानाहार्यद्भजेत् । देहदाहोपशान्त्यर्थमभिध्यानविहानये ॥

⁷ परकासंगमानक्काडान्योपयमिकयाः । तीवता रतिकेतव्ये इन्युरेतानि तद्वतम्॥

⁸ मर्थ च्त्रमुपद्रव्यं तीर्थत्रिकमरूंकियाः । मदी विटा वृधाद्येति दश्भानक्षजो गणः ॥ Ms. A explains अर्छक्रिया as यन्त्रिकक्रियादिमयोगः

⁹ भवनोद्दीपनेर्क्त्रमेदनोद्दीपने रहैः। मदनोद्दीपनैः शासीमैदमात्मनि नाचरेत्॥

¹⁰ इच्येरिव चुलप्रीतिः पायोभिरिव नीरिकः। तोवमेति प्रमानेव न भोगैर्भवसंभवैः॥

deceit and greed. The transient character of the body and the objects of the world, property, wife and children, ought to convince us of the vanity of our desires. Wretched and miserable is the man who uses his wealth for selfish enjoyment and not for the cultivation of virtue, and supremely wealthy are those who do not desire to acquire what they have not got nor rejoice when they have acquired it. Nothing but sin results from the concentration of the mind on wealth, and acquisition through greed of more than one's legitimate share of wealth or property destroys the vow to renounce parigraha. The man, who is free from desire for both the outer and inner objects of attachment, is fit to obtain the bliss of heaven and salvation.

XI) The three Gunavratas are next described (VII. 33), but they are not illustrated with stories, like the five Anuvratas. The Gunavratas are Digvirati, Desavirati and Anarthadandavirati. The first two consist of vows to restrict one's movements to a fixed point in a particular direction or in a particular place, preparatory to restraining the mind from external objects by renouncing injury, greed, indulgence and similar propensities. These vows constitute a scheme of preliminary self-restraint designed to secure moral purity and establish mental equilibrium with regard to the objects of the world.

The third Gunavrata is abstention from the Anarthadandas, which comprise all accessories of violence and all agencies and means of injury leading to slaughter, capture or confinemet, and are so called because they contribute to the prevalence of strife and discord. The Anartha-dandas include birds and animals like cocks, hawks, peacocks, cats, vicious elephants and ichneumons, and such means of injury as poison, spears, arms, fire whips, nooses and tethers. The person who takes a vow to observe this particular Gunavrata should not therefore keep noxious animals nor use any implement designed to cause injury or loss of life. The Anarthadandas cover a wide field and include also sinful gossip, evil thoughts, sports involving loss of life, futile occupations, doing harm to others, jealousy, and all acts that

श्रेत्रं धान्यं धनं बास्तु कुप्यं शयनमासनम् । द्विपदाः पश्चो आण्डं बाझा दश परिप्रहाः ॥ समिष्यात्वास्तवो वेदा हास्यममृतवोऽपि वद् । चत्वादश्व कवायाः स्पुरन्तर्भन्याश्चतुर्दश ॥ P. 366.

² स श्रीमानिष निःश्रीकः स नरश्च नराधमः। यो न धर्माय भोगाय विनयेत धनागमम्॥ प्राप्तेऽर्थे ये न माधन्ति नाप्राप्ते स्पृद्याक्षवः। कोकद्यश्रितां श्रीणां त एव परमेश्वराः॥ P. 367,

³ क्रतप्रमाणाङ्कोमेन धनादधिकसंबदः । पद्ममाणुक्रतच्यानि करोति गृहमेथिनाम् ॥

यस्य द्वन्द्रद्वेऽध्यस्मिन् निःस्पृष्टं देहिनो मनः । स्वर्गापवर्गळक्ष्मीणां क्षणात् पक्षे स दक्षते ॥

⁵ दिश्व सर्वास्त्रभाभिक्षेत्रेषु निक्षिलेषु च। यसस्यां दिशि देशेऽसिक्षियत्येनं गतिर्मम ॥ दिश्देशनियमादैनं तती शकेषु वस्तुषु। दिसा कोमोपमोगादिनिकृषेश्वित्तयन्त्रणा ॥ P. 370.

cause grief and mourning to one's fellow creatures.¹ It will be seen that the third Gunavrata is designed to supplement the great vow of Ahimss, and Somadeva goes on to say that, by renouncing the Anarthadandas, the devotee earns the friendship of all creatures and becomes their lord.² Deception and violence practised at the instance of others, the overloading of beasts of burden, and causing excessive suffering to them amount to violation of this vow.⁸

XII) The four Sikṣāvratas are treated in detail in Book VIII, which practically brings to an end Somadeva's masterly discourse on the Jaina religion. They are sāmāyika or customary worship, proṣadhopāsana or religious fasting, niyama or limitation of worldly enjoyment, and dāna or charity.

We have in our work a comprehensive treatment of sāmāyika or the Jaina system of worship, which primarily resolves itself into the worship of Arhats, that is, the all-holy and omniscient Tīrthamkaras or Patriarchs. The worship is of two kinds according as it is done with or without the use of idols.

a) The kind of worship in which idols are dispensed with is regulated by what is known as samaya-samācāra-vidhi, which prescribes the adoration of certain entities, concrete and abstract, held sacred by Jainism. They are the Five Paramesthins or Exalted Beings and the Three Jewels (Right Belief, Right Knowledge and Right Conduct). All these should be visualized on a piece of birch bark or cloth or wooden board or a slab of stone or on sand or the earth or in the air or in one's heart. The salutations and hymns accompanying the votive offerings are composed by Somadeva himself in ornate prose and verse, and they rank high in Jaina devotional literature.

The Five Paramesthins are adored first. They are, according to Somadeva's interpretation, the Arhat, that is, one of the Tīrthamkaras or Patriarchs endowed with superhuman qualities; the Siddha or one who has realised within himself the luminous presence of the Infinite Soul; the Ācārya or the teacher who regulates the social order according the principles of Jainism; the Upādhyāya or the learned preceptor who has mastered

शिक्षिककुकुटक्येनविद्यालवञ्चवः । विषकण्टकश्वाधिकषापशिकरज्जवः ॥ पापाख्यानाशुमाध्यानिर्दिसाक्रीडावृथा-क्रियाः । यरोषतापपैशुन्यशोकाकन्दनकारिता ॥ वथवन्यनसंरोधद्वेतवोऽन्येऽपि चेदृशाः । मवन्यनथैदण्डाक्याः संपराय-प्रवर्धनात् ॥ पोषणं कृरसत्त्वानां दिसोपकरणिक याम् । देशवती न कुर्वात स्वकीयाचारचारुषीः ॥

² अन्येदण्डिममॉक्षादवस्यं देशतो यतिः। सुद्वतां सर्वभूतेषु स्वामित्यं च प्रपचते ॥

उ वज्रनारम्बहिसानामुपदेशात् प्रवर्तनम् । भाराधिक्याधिकक्केशौ तृतीयगुणहानवे ॥

⁴ भूजें फलके सिचने शिलातले सेकते श्विती व्योक्षि । इसमे नेते स्थाप्याः समयसमाचारवेदिभिनित्यम् ॥ P. 373.

the sacred lore; and the Sädhu or the devotee bent on salvation and engaged in rigorous austerities in the face of insuperable obstacles. It may be added that the doctrine of the Paramesthins is a cardinal feature of Jainism, which offers to some extent to be a cult of the superman in the sphere of religion, and reserves its highest honours for the best of men who uphold religion and society according to the tenets of the Jaina faith.

The Paramesthins as well as the Three Jelwes are invoked in our work in elaborate, long-winding prose salutations, each followed by a supplementary verse, some of which will be found reproduced in Chapter IV. Somadeva refers in this connection to the eightfold worship of the Paramesthins and the Three Jewels.¹

The prose invocations are followed by a considerable number of verses in praise of Right Faith, Right Knowledge, and Right Conduct, the Arhat, the Siddha, the Ācārya, and Śāntinātha, one of the the Jinas or Tirthamkaras. Most of these eulogies are couched in an elevated style and will be found in the chapter on Somadeva's verse.

b) The worshipper may also use an image of the Arhat, and such worship consists of six items, viz. bathing and adoration of the idol, hymns, silent prayer (japa), meditation, and the adoration of the presiding goddess of the scriptures (Srutadevata). The first two items, ceremonial bathing and adoration of the idol, are closely interconnected, and illustrated by Somadeva with a series of highflown verses in praise of Jina, designed to accompany each successive stage of the ritual. The various stages of the worship proper are prastāvanā (prelude), purākarma (preliminary arrangements), sthāpanā (placing the idol on the altar), samnidhāpana (formal installation of the idol), pūjā (obeisance and offerings), and pūjāphala (declaration of the results accruing from the worship of Jina). The idol is bathed with fragrant water, clarified butter, and the juice of vines, dates. sugarcanes, old myrobalans, areca-nuts, and Piyala fruits as well as coconut-milk,2 and anointed with various pastes and compounds made from sandal, aloe wood, cardamoms, cloves and Kamkola berries.8 Four pitchers filled with water and decorated with flowers and leaves are kept ready for the bathing ceremony.4 The sacred Nandyavarta and Svastika emblems.

¹ For example, 'भगवतोऽहंत्यरमेष्ठिनोऽष्टतयीमिष्टिं करोमीति म्याहा'. 'The eightfold worship is defined as fiollows in Hemacandra's Yogasastra 3. 120: यदाह । गम्भैमील्यैविनिर्वहहलपरिमलैर्झतै- धूपदीपैः सानाय्यैः प्राज्य मेदेश्चमभिक्षवहृतैः पाक्षपृतैः फलैश्च । अम्भःसंपृणंपात्रैरिति हि जिनपतेर्श्वनामष्टभेदां कुर्वाणा वेश्मभाजः परमपदसुखस्तोममाराज्ञमन्ते ॥

² द्राक्षाखर्ज्दचोचेश्चमाचीनामलकोद्भवेः । राजादनाम्रयूगोत्थेः स्वप्यामि जिनं रसैः ॥ P. 383.

³ प्लालनहरूक्षेलमलयागरुमिश्रितैः । पिष्टैः कल्कैः क्षपायैश्च जिनदेहसुपासाहे ॥

पाथःवृणीन् कुम्मान् कोणेषु सुपछवमस्तार्चान् । दुग्थाव्यीनिव विदये प्रवालसुक्तोस्वणांश्वतुरः ॥

fruits, flowers, unbroken rice, water, handfuls of Kusa grass, and earthen vessels are revolved in a circular fashion before the idol: this being the ceremony known as nīrājanā. After a final bath (avabhrthasnāna), the worshipper should present to the idol Kalama rice, havis, lights, incense, flowers and fruits. White parasols, fly-whisks and mirrors are among the articles used in the worship of the idol.

The following are some of the verses composed by Somadeva to mark the different stages in the worship of Jina.

षायःपूर्णान् स्वन्धाम् कोणेषु सुपह्मवमस्नार्थान् । दुरवाद्यीलिव विद्ये प्रवालमुक्तोक्वणांसतुरः ॥ (पुराकर्म) p. 383. तीयोंदकैर्मणिसुवर्णघटोपनीतैः पीठे पवित्रवपुषि प्रतिकत्तिपतार्थे । कैक्स्मीश्चतागमनबीजविद्यभगर्भे संस्थापयामि भुवनाधिपति जिनेन्द्रम् ॥ (स्थापना) सोऽयं जिनः सुरगिरिनैतु पीटमेतदेताति दुर्थजकथेः सक्तिकाति साक्षात् । इन्द्रस्यहं तव सवप्रतिकर्मयोगात् पूर्णा ततः कथमियं न महोस्सवश्रीः ॥ (संनिधापनम्)

The following verses among others are meant to be recited while worshipping the idol with diverse offerings:

थोगेऽसिन् नाकनाथ ज्वलन पितृपते नैगमेय प्रचेतो वायो रैदेशशेषोडुएसपरिजना यूयमेख प्रहामाः । मञ्जेर्भू:स्वःस्वधारिश्वगतवस्यः स्वासु दिश्चपविष्ठाः सेपीयः सेमदक्षाः कुरुत जिनसवोत्साहिनां विद्यशान्तिम् ॥ P.383 अमृतकृतकर्णिकेऽसिन् जिजाङ्गवीसे कस्वदिसे कमसे । संस्थाप्य पूजयेयं त्रिभुवनवरदं जिनं विश्वना ।

The glory of the bathing ceremony is proclaimed in another verse:

कश्मीकस्पर्कते समुद्धस जनानम्दैः परं पहन्नेधैमौरामफ्टैः प्रकामसुभगस्यं भव्यसेव्यो भव । बोधाधीश विमुख संप्रति मुहुर्दुष्कमैघमेक्कमं त्रेलोक्यप्रमदाबहै जिनपतेर्गन्धोदकैः स्नापनात् ॥ P. 385

Owing to the bathing of Lord Jina with perfumed waters that delight the universe, thou Wishing Creeper of Prosperity, blossom forth with leaves, bringing joy to men; thou Garden of Religious Merit, ever beautiful with fruits, be thou the resort of the faithful; and, thou Soul, shake off now the langour caused by the seat of recurring sins?

The benign influence of the worship of Jina is expressed in the following benediction:

धर्मेषु धर्मेनिरतारमञ्जु धर्महेशोधैर्मीदवासमहिमास्तु नृपोऽनुकूलः । है निसं जिनेन्द्रचरणार्चनपुण्वधन्याः कामं प्रजास परमां श्रियमामुबन्तु ॥ P. 386.

तम्बावरं स्वरिक्षफळप्रस्ताक्षताम्बुकुशपूर्वः । अवतारयामि देवं जिनेश्वरं वर्धमानैश्च ॥ P. 384.
 वहेर्मुदावश्वधमानिमस्पास्य देवं etc. As Ms. A says, यहैः means पूजाभिः. P. 386.

³ Refers to the mystic formula औ. Ms. A says पीठलापि पूर्वमधों दीयते । अक्षतैः श्रीकारी लिख्यते न

⁴ Ms. A explains असृत as प्रकृष and says: प्रकारण कार्णका कियते तन्मध्ये स्वकीयं नाम निश्चिष्यते। बोडशहरू अकारादयः स्वरा किस्यन्ते।

⁵ Ms. A reads वसेंहेती for वसेंहेतो and explains it as बैलालवसुनिशास्त्रसंवेषु

- Let a king, having acquired glory by dint of virtue, be for the sake of Virtue, favourable to the practice of the virtues and those who are devoted to Virtue. Let the people, too, obtain at will the highest prosperity, ever blessed with the religious merit accruing from the worship of Lord Jina's feet.'
- c) The ritual of worship is followed by the singing of hymns in praise of Jina. The next item is Japa or the repetition of the famous Pancaparamesthi-mantra consisting of thirtyfive letters:

"णमो बरहेताणं, णमो सिद्धाणं, णमो आयरियाणं, णमो उपण्यायाणं, णमो लोए सब्बसाहूणं।"
This is the sarvākṣara-mantra. Somadeva refers also to the nāmākṣara formula consisting only of the names of the five Parameṣthins,¹ the mukhyākṣara formula consisting of the initial letters of the names of the Parameṣthins,² and formulas consisting of a single letter such as Om² or अ, but he prefers the one consisting of thirtyfive letters as the most authoritative.⁴ The counting of the letters is to be done with a rosary composed of lotus seeds or golden beads or sunstanes or gems, and the counting may also be done with flowers or on the finger-joints.⁵ The formula is to be repeated in full, very clearly, with stress on the final anusvāras.⁶ The repetition of the mantra may be vocal or mental, the latter method being the more efficacious. Great mystic value is attached to this formula which is eloquently praised by our author.

d) Japa is followed by dhyāna or meditation. The thirtyninth section of Book VIII deals with this important subject, and belongs, in fact, to a type of Jaina mystic literature represented by such works as Kundakunda's Mokkhapāhuḍa and Niyamasāra, Samādhisataka, Yogindu's Paramātmaprakāsa, Subhacandra's Jñānārnava and Devasena's Ārādhanāsāra. There are different kinds of dhyāna, but the fundamental basis of the Jaina system of meditation is the contemplation and realisation of the true nature of the Self. It is necessary to remember at the outset that Jaina doctrine recognises three kinds of Self: bahirātmā or the outer

^{1 &}quot;अर्हित्सदाचार्योपाध्यायसर्वेताधुभ्यो नमः।" Ms. A says अर्हत सिद्ध इत्यादिः

^{2 &#}x27;'असिआउसा"

³ See Section (d).

⁴ सर्वाक्षरनामाक्षरमुख्याक्षराध्यक्षणिवन्यासात्। निगिरन्ति जपं केचिदहं तु सिद्धक्रमेरेन।। P. 390. See also Dravyasamgraha, verse 49 and Brahmadeva's comm. thereon. पणतीस सोल छप्पण चदु दुगमेगं च जबह झाएइ। परमेद्विवासवाणं अण्णं च गुरूवएसेण।।

⁵ युष्यैः पर्वमिरम्बुजबीजस्वर्णार्भकान्तरक्षेत्रां । निष्कन्पिताक्षवलयः पर्यक्क्ष्यो जपं कुर्यात् ॥

^{6 &}lt;sup>'</sup>संपूर्णमतिस्पष्टं समादमानम्दस्यस्य जपनः।'

Self, antaratmā or the inner Self, and paramātmā or the higher Self. Kundakunda says in his Mokkhapāhuda that the external Self consists of the sense organs; the inner Self is the consciousness that the soul is distinct from the body; and the higher Self is the emancipated soul free from the impurities of Karma.¹ The paramātmā or the higher Self is the sole object of meditation, and it is essential to note that it is not the universal Soul of Vedānta, but the pure and all-knowing soul in each individual, circumscribed and obscured for the time being by the hindrances of karma. Jaina meditation is not merely contemplation but realisation of the Self, which is the same thing as liberation or the emancipated existence of the individual soul. As Kundakunda says, the Yogin by contemplating the paramātmā diverts himself of sin-producing greed and acquires no new karma: firm in right conduct and right faith, he contemplates the Self and reaches the supreme goal (ibid. verses 48, 49).²

Somadeva begins by saying that the prescribed process of meditation should be sedulously practised by one who desires to contemplate the Supreme Light and attain 'that eternal abode', that is, the abode of the emancipated souls at the top of the universe, as conceived by Jainism. The Supreme Light (paramiyotik) is the higher Self or paramatma, and the expression is often used in this sense in Jaina literature, e. g., in Jādaārņava 31. 8, 41; 432. 35 etc.

Somadeva mentions two broad divisions of meditation, sabijadhyāna and abijadhyāna. In sabijadhyāna the mind is like a lamp, unshaken by the gusts of external and internal delusion, and cheers up at the sight of the Truth. In abijadhyāna the mental current reaches a stage when it becomes devoid of conscious thought, and the Self sparkles within itself. In other words, the mind is at work in sabijadhyāna, but ceases to function in abijadhyāna, leaving the Self to discover itself. The latter is the higher kind of contemplation, of which Somadeva describes the main characteristics. He says that when the five senses sink into themselves and the mind is submerged, the Light dawns on the inner Self. Meditation consists in mental concentration; the Self meditates and reaps the consequences; the

अवस्त्राणि बाहिरच्या अंतरअय्या हु अय्यसंकृष्यो । कम्मकलंकविमुको परमध्या भण्णय देवो ॥

परमप्पय झायंतो जोई मुनेह मलदलोहेण। णादियदि णयं कम्मं णिहिंद्धं जिणवरिंदिष्टिं ॥ होऊण दिढचरित्तो दिढसम्मत्तेण आवियमईओ। झायंतो अप्पाणं परमपर्य पात्रप जोई ॥

^{3 &#}x27;परमात्मा परंच्योतिः'

^{4 &#}x27;परंज्योतिकाता परमप्रकोऽविन्स्यचरितः'

^{5 &#}x27;बो बिहादः प्रतिदाला परंज्योतिः सनातनः'

⁶ बहिरम्तासमोबातैरस्यन्दं वीपवनमनः। यत्तत्त्वाकोकनोष्ठाति तत् स्वाद् ध्वानं सवीजकम् ॥ P. 391.

विविचारावताराद्यं चेतन्कोतःअवृत्तिषु । भारमन्त्रेव स्कृत्वास्मा अवेकानमधीषक्रम् ॥

Light of the Self is the object of meditation; and the subjugation of the senses is the means to accomplish it. Lack of strength does not remove obstacles nor does lack of stamina lead to eternal life: one should therefore contemplate the higher Self (param brahma) without any sense of weakness.1 Two points may be noted in this connection. First, while there cannot be meditation without mental concentration, the destruction of the senses and specially the activity of the mind is the primary condition of self-realisation. Devasena's Ārādhanāsāra, which elaborates the point, remarks, for instance, that the Self ātmā becomes the higher Self (paramātmā) when the activity of the mind is destroyed. Yogindu in his Paramātmaprakāsa (verse 31) describes the higher Self as mindless (amanu), and the devotee is asked to meditate on the infinite and divine Self by suppressing the mind.* Super-mental consciousness is the goal aimed at, and the vision of the Light is said to appear only when the senses are subdued and the mind is at a standstill. Püjyapāda says in his Samādhisataka (verse 30) that what one visualizes for a moment after controlling all the senses, with the inner Self motionless and calm, is the reality underlying the higher Self.4 says in a mystic verse, which, if we understand it correctly, seems to mean that when the swan of the mind (i.e. the inner Self) is bereft of mental activity and the swan of the soul (i. e. the higher Self) is steady in every respect, the swan of knowledge becomes the swan of the lake of visible phenomena in their entirety. There seems to be here a reference to what Yogindu calls hamsācāra (2. 170), which is explained as the passionfree purity of the soul concomitant with infinite knowledge and other attributes.

Secondly, the use of the expression param brahma in the sense of the higher Self is another instance of Jaina adaptation of Vedantic terminology. Somadeva uses the expression several times, and other examples are frequently found in Yogīndu's Paramātmaprakāsa.

Meditation is always difficult. Just as gems, though found in the earth, are not found everywhere; similarly, meditation, though it depends upon

¹ विस्तस्यकामता ध्यानं ध्यातात्मा तत्फलप्रभुः।ध्येयमात्मागमज्योतिस्तद्विधिदृहयातना॥ नाक्षमित्वमविद्याय म हीवस्वममृत्यवे। तस्मादिष्ठस्यमानात्मा परं बद्धेव विन्तयेत्॥ P. 391.

^{2 &#}x27;णिग्गहिए मणपसरे अप्पा परमप्पओ इवह ।'

^{3 &#}x27;चित्तु णिवारिवि झाहि तुहुँ अप्पा देउ अणंतु। 2. 172.

⁴ सर्वेन्द्रियाणि संयम्य स्तिमितेनान्तरात्मना । यत् क्षणं पश्यती भाति तत्तस्त्रं परमात्मनः ॥

⁵ निमैनस्के मनोहंसे पुंहसे सर्वतः खिरे। बोधहंसोऽखिलाकोक्यसरोहंसः प्रजायते ॥ P. 392.

⁶ Brahmadeva says in his commentary: इंसानार संशयिकमाविमोइरहितानन्तकानादितिमैकपूर्णयोगेक इंस इव इंसः परमात्मा तस्य आचारं रागादिरहितं शुद्धात्मपरिणाममिति । 7 See below.

⁸ बंधु मुणेबिणु 1. 109. Comm. says महाशब्दबाच्यनिदीविपरमात्मानं मत्या हात्याः; बंधु पर्वचिह जो रहिष्ट

individual effort, is not possible for everybody. The duration of dhyana is only an antarmuhurta; and it is difficult to attain a state of mind, steadfast in meditation and free from vibration.* But dhydna, though it lasts only for such a short time, firm in the soul, totally destroys one's accumulated karma, just as a stroke of lightning rends asunder a hill in a moment. There are five factors which contribute towards Yoga or meditation: indifference to the world, maturity of knowledge, lack of attachment, a steadfast mind and ability to endure the privations of hunger, thirst, grief, swoon, old age and death. The obstacles to Yoga are mental suffering, disease, error, carelessness, idleness, confusion, lack of success, attachment and fickleness. He who practises meditation should be free from anger and pleasure, and remain indifferent, like a clod of earth, both to one who pierces him with thorns and one who smears him with sandal-paste. He should maintain a uniform attitude towards gain and loss, home and woodland, friend and foe, the pleasant and the unpleasant, happiness and misery. He should be deeply learned in sacred lore regarding the higher Self, endowed with fortitude, goodwill, and maintain constant silence except for words pleasant and true.8

Somadeva then refers to the four kinds of *dhyāna* mentioned in Tattvārthasūtra, ch. IX: ārta, raudra, dharmya and sukla. Artadhyāna is of four kinds: contemplation of the presence of an unpleasant object and the anxiety to get rid of it; contemplation of the loss of a pleasant object and the anxiety to regain it; constant thought of pain or disease and the desire to remove it; and the anxiety to enjoy unattained objects of pleasure. Raudradhyāna is also of four kinds according as it is preoccupied with thoughts of injury, falsehood, theft and the preservation of the means of enjoyment. Artadhyāna and Raudradhyāna should be avoided by all means: they are, as it were, gates barring the entry of the Three Jewels and effective barriers in the path of liberation; steps leading to hell and wings obscuring the vision of the truth. The tree of rebirth prodigiously grows as long as even a vestige of these two dhyānas remains in the mind.

भूमी बन्मेति रहानां यथा सर्वत्र नोद्भवः । तथात्मजमिति ध्यानं सर्वत्राङ्गिनि नोद्भवेत् ॥ P. 392.

व तस्य काळं वदन्त्वन्त्रमुंहृतं मुनयः परम् । अपिरस्यन्दमानं हि तत् परं दुर्घरं मनः ॥

उत्ताकमपि तच्चानं स्कुरदेकाप्रमात्मिति । उद्यैः कर्भोचयं भिन्याद्वजं शैलिय क्षणात् ॥

[🔞] वैराग्यं शानसंपत्तिरसंगः स्वरचित्तता । कर्मिस्मयसहत्वं च पश्च योगस्य हेतवः ॥

अभिश्वाचिववर्गसप्रमादाळस्वविक्रमाः । अलाभः सङ्गितासैथेमेते तस्यान्तरायकाः ॥

⁶ यः कण्टकेरतुद्त्वक्षं यम् क्रिन्यति चन्दनैः । रोषतोषाविषिकात्मा तबोरासीत लोष्टवद् ॥

⁷ कामें इन वासे मित्रेडमित्रे प्रियेडप्रिये । सुखे दुःखे समानारमा मवेशस्थानधीः सदा ॥ P. 393.

⁸ परे महाण्यम्यानो शृतिमेनीदयान्यतः। अन्यत्र स्मृताद्वास्यान्निलं बाचंयमी भवेत्॥

कन्तोरतन्त्रसंसारभ्रमेनोरथवर्त्मंनी । आर्वरीहे सजेबसाने दुरन्तफळदाबिनी ॥ बोध्यागमधपाटे ते मुक्तिमार्गार्गले परे । सोपाने अभ्रकोकस्य तत्त्वेद्वावृतिपश्मणी ॥ केशतोऽपि मनो यावदेते समितिष्ठतः । एव जन्मतरुस्तावदुवैः समितिरोहित ॥
 P. 393.

Somadeva then deals with Dharmyadhyāna and Sukladhyāna. who practises Dharmyadhyāna should ponder over the fundamental principles of Jainism, carefully considering the authoritative means of knowledge, and the various standpoints and aspects. Dharmyadhyāna is of four kinds: ājñāvicaya or discerning the truth on the basis of absolute faith in the scriptures composed by Jina; apāyavicaya or pondering on the means of making people abstain from wrong belief, knowledge and conduct; vipākavicaya or pondering over the consequences of the eight kinds of karma; and samsthanavicaya or pondering over the nature and constitution of the universe. Somadeva gives examples of all the varieties of Dharmyadhyana. For instance, the devotee may reflect on ajña in the following manner: 'Just as there is no mystery in the world about pure gold and its working, similarly it is the desire of the wise that there should be no mystery about authoritative scriptures. But the scriptures (of other faiths) fail to convince even persons of scanty intelligence like myself. How can they help the creatures sinking in the ocean of worldly existence?' Apāya may be contemplated as follows: 'Alas, the darkness of false doctrines makes man's minds blind to the acceptance of the Three Jewels, even though such a step shines with the rays of reason. Let us hope for the day when, casting off sins, men will see the Truth that puts an end to misery.' After reflecting on the constitution of the universe, the devotee should ponder over the consequences of karma: 'There in the universe, upwards, downwards, and athwart, the creatures incessantly wander, like dust, impelled by the gust of their own karma.'4 It is asserted that he who thus practises Dharmyadhyana, with his senses and mind subdued, has his darkness dispelled, as if at the rise of the sun.

The next step is Sukladhyāna which is the highest form of meditation. The four stages of this dhyāna are briefly mentioned by Somadeva (P. 394.):

मेदं विवर्जितामेद्मभेदं भेदवर्जितम् । ध्यायन् सूक्ष्मिक्रयाश्चरो निष्कयं योगमाचरेत् ॥

The first stage, called by Somadeva 'diversity devoid of unity', is prthaktva-vitarka-vicāra, wherein the devotee is absorbed in the meditation of the Self, but unconsciously allows its different attributes to replace one another. The second stage, called by our author 'unity devoid of diversity', is ekatva-vitarka-

¹ प्रमाणनयनिक्षेपैः सानुयोगैविशुद्धधाः । मति तनोति तत्त्वेषु धर्मध्यानपरायणः ॥ P. 394.

अरहस्ये यथा लोके सती काञ्चनकर्मणी। अरहस्यं तथेच्छन्ति सुधियः परमागमम्। यः स्खलस्यन्येथानां विचारेच्याप्यः माङ्गाम्। स संसाराणेवे मञ्जूञ्जन्त्वाकम्यः कयं भवेत् ॥ (इत्याद्यः) P. 394.

³ अहो मिथ्यातमः पुंसां बुक्तिकोतैः स्कुरत्वि । यदन्थयति चेतांसि रक्तत्रयपरिमहे ॥ आशासाहे तदेतेषां दिनं यत्रास्तकस्मवाः । इदमेते प्रपश्यन्ति तस्वं दुःसनिवर्षणम् ॥ (इत्यपायः)

अकृतिमो विचित्रास्मा मध्ये च त्रसराजिमान् । मरुत्त्रयीवृतो लोकः प्रान्ते तद्धामनिष्ठितः ॥ (इति क्षोकः) रेणुवज्जनतवस्त्रव तिर्वगूर्व्यमथोऽपि च । अनारतं अमन्त्वेते निजकमोनिलेरिताः॥ (इति विपाकः)

 इति चिन्तवतो धर्म्य वतात्मेन्द्रियचेततः । तमासि द्रवमायान्ति द्वादशात्मोदयादिव ।

victors, wherein the Yogin concentrates on a particular aspect of the Self without any change or variation. These two stages of Sukladhyana are followed by the destruction of the 'destructive' karmas, and the third stage is known as sūkema-kriyā-pratipāti, wherein the absorption of the soul in itself still leaves room for minute vibratory movements in the soul. The fourth stage is vyuparata-kriyā-nivarti, called by Somadeva niskriya yoga, wherein vibration or activity of any kind is totally absent. Transcending the body, the Yogin now loses all connection with the mind, and his vital breaths come to an end, while he attains the supreme goal and perfect knowledge. This is the stage in which the Self becomes the higher Self, and this is mokea or liberation, characterized by the destruction of both 'destructive' and 'undestructive' karmas," and free from the limitations of birth, a condition in which the Self attains its own qualities.4 As Kundakunda says in his Samayasara (verse 196), one who is full of faith and knowledge, and meditates on the Self with undivided attention, soon attains the higher Self, free from karmas; and liberation is nothing but the attainment or realisation of the higher Self, as pointed out by Yogindu in Paramātmaprakāśa 2. 10.ª

One who practises meditation should also contemplate the path of the Three Jewels, the rules of conduct, the Anuprekṣās, and the seven fundamental principles of Jainism, and the personality of Lord Jina. But the contemplation of the Self is the supreme object of dhyāna, and the devotee should reflect within himself that he knows the truth and devotedly believes in the scriptures, and will renounce all external activity and merge the Self in the (higher) Self. As explained in Jñānārṇava 40.19, the person engaged in meditation should unite the Self with the higher Self, inspired solely by the nature thereof, and impregnating the Self with the qualities of the higher Self.

The realisation of the (higher) Self by the Self by means of the (inner) Self, that is, knowledge, is a mode of expression frequently used in

¹ See Tattvārthādhigamasūtra IX. 39 (ed. Jaini, p. 190).

² विलीनाश्यसंबन्धः शान्तमारुतसंचयः । देहातीतः परं थाम कैवल्यं प्रतिपद्यते ॥ The verse is omitted in the printed text of Yasastilaka, p. 394.

³ The 'destructive' (शांतन्) Karmas are ज्ञानावरणीय, दर्शनावरणीय, मोहनीय and अन्तराय. The 'undestructive' (अशांतन्) Karmas are वेदनीय, नाम, गोत्र and आयु:. See Section IV.

⁴ प्रक्षीणोमवक्तमीणं जन्मदोषैविवजितस् । लन्धात्मगुणमात्मानं मोक्षमाहुमैनीधिणः ॥ P. 394.

अध्याणं झायंतो दंसणणाणमङ्खो अणण्णमणो । लहदि अचिरेण अप्पाणमेव सो कम्मणिम्मुकं ॥

⁵ जीवह सो पर मोबलु सुणि जो परमप्पयलातु । कम्मकलंकिवसुकाई णाणिय बोलिह साहु ॥

⁷ मार्गस्त्रमन्त्रप्रेक्षाः सप्ततस्य जिनेश्वरम् । ध्यायेदागमचञ्चष्मान् प्रसंख्यानपरायणः ॥ P. 395.

अासे तर्षं यथैतिकां अद्ये तदनन्यदीः । मुक्केऽहं सर्वमारम्भमात्मन्यात्मानमादचे ॥

⁹ तद्वणमामसंपूर्णं तत्त्वभाविकभावितः । क्रुत्वात्मानं ततो ध्यानी योजयेत् परमात्मिनि ॥

Jaina mystic literature with peculiar and almost redundant emphasis. Somadeva, for instance, says that when the Self realises the Self within itself by means of the Self as a result of the attainment of the Three Jewels, it attains itself (that is, its own true qualities) along with the higher Self (P. 895):

भारतायं बोधिसंपत्तेराक्षान्यात्मानमात्मना । यदा सूते तदात्मानं क्रमते परमात्मना ॥
The idea is expressed in similar language in several other texts, e. g., Samayasāra 196; Pūjyapāda's Iṣṭopadesa 22; Jñānārṇava 32. 41; Paramātma-prakāsa 2. 174:

अप्याणं झायंतो दंसणणाणमङ्भो अणण्णमणो । लहि सचिरेण अप्याणमेव सो कम्मणिम्युकं ॥ संचम्य करणप्राममेकाप्रत्वेन चेतसः । आत्मानमात्मवान् ध्यायेदात्मनेवात्मनि स्थितम् ॥ भारमन्येवात्मनात्मायं स्वयमेवानुभूयते । अतोऽन्यत्रैव मां ज्ञातुं प्रयासः कार्यनिष्फछः ॥

पुष्ठ अप्या सो परमप्पा कम्मविसेसँ जायउ जप्पा। जामहँ जाणह अप्पे अप्या तामहँ सो जि देउ परमप्पा॥¹ Somadeva declares that the Self meditates, the Self is the object of meditation, the Self is meditation, and the Self composed of the Three Jewels is the result of meditation (P. 395):

ध्यातास्मा ध्येयमास्मैव ध्यानमात्मा फलं तथा। आत्मा रक्षत्रयास्मोक्तो यथा युक्तिपरिमहः ॥
The absolute identity of the Self with the higher Self so that there remains no distinction between the subject and object of meditation and meditation itself is proclaimed also in other texts: Pūjyapāda's Iṣṭopadeśa 25; Devasena's Arādhanāsāra 11; Jñānārṇava 31. 37-3:

कटस्य कर्ताइमिति संबन्धः स्वाद् द्वयोर्द्वयोः । ध्यानं ध्येयं यदास्मैष संबन्धः कीदशस्त्रदा ॥ भाराइणमाराहं भाराह्य तह फर्लं च जं भणियं । तं सब्वं जाणिजो भव्याणं चेव णिच्छयदो ॥ भनन्यशरणीभूय स तिमन् छीयते तथा । ध्यातृध्यानोभयाभावे ध्येयेनैक्यं यथा वजेत् ॥ सोऽषं समरसीभावस्त्रदेकीकरणं स्मृतम् । अपृथकृत्वेन यत्रात्मा छीयते प्रमातम् ॥

Somadeva has a number of verses which contain the reflections of the devotee engaged in meditation (P. 395):

सुसास्तसुषास्तिसहनेकद्रयाचछः। परं मझाहमन्नासे तमःपानवशीकृतः॥
यदा चकास्ति मे चेतसद्ध्यानोद्यगोचरम्। तदाई अगतां चक्षः सामादिस इवातमाः॥
आदौ मध्यमधु मान्ते सर्वमिन्द्रियजं सुसम्। मातःस्वायिषु हेमन्ते तोयसुक्णमिवाङ्गिषु॥
वो दुरामयदुदंशे वद्धमासो यमोऽङ्गिति। स्वभावसुमगे तस्य स्पृहा केन निवायंते॥
जन्मवीवनसंयोगसुस्तानि यदि देहिनास्। निर्विपक्षाणि को नाम सुधीः संसारसुरस्केत्॥
अनुयाचेत नायंषि नापि सृखुसुपाहरेत्। भृतो भृत्य इवासीत कास्नाविध्मस्त्र॥
महाभोगोऽहम्मास्ति यक्तर्वस्तिजसा। सुविद्यदान्तरात्मासे तमःपारे प्रतिष्ठितः॥
तवास्ति वद्दं लोके सुसं दुःसं च नासवान्। स्वमेऽपि न मया प्राप्तो जैनागमसुधारसः॥
सम्यगेतत् सुधारमोधेर्षिन्दुमप्यालिहन् सुदुः। जन्तुनं जातु जावेत जन्मउनसमाजनः॥

'I am the higher Self, the moon of the nectar of bliss, and the mountain

¹ ६व यः भारमा स परमास्मा कमैविक्रेषेण जातः जाप्यः। यदा जानाति आत्मना आत्मानं तदा स एव देवः परमात्मा ॥

अत्यापनमाराध्यं आराधकस्त्रथा फलं च यद् मणितम् । तत् सर्व जानीहि आत्मानं चैव निश्चयतः ॥

whence rises the sun of bliss. Overpowered by the fetters of ignorance, I am confined within the body. But when my mind shines under the influence of the contemplation of the higher Self, I will be the eye of the universe, like the sun free from darkness. All pleasures of the senses are sweet at the outset, but bitter at the end, like water in the winter, which at first proves warm to bathers at dawn. Death is ready to swallow even a creature afflicted with a fell disease: who can check his greed for one that is beautiful by nature? If life, youth, association and the joys of creatures were permanent, what wise man would desire to avoid the cycle of births? One should neither long for life nor invite death, but remain like a servant maintained with wages, not forgetting the limit of one's term. Paramount happiness is mine today, standing as I do on the farther shore of darkness, the inner Self thoroughly purified by the light of faith in the fundamental truths. No pleasure or pain is there in the world which I have not experienced, but never have I tasted the nectar of Jaina lore even in a dream. No living creature ever becomes subject to the flames of rebirth if he takes, rightly and often, even a drop of that ocean of nectar!'

The devotee should also contemplate the nature and glory of Jina, 'who appears to shine in person in the lights of meditation'. Somadeva describes in a series of verses the various aspects of the Exalted One's sacred majesty, as a guide to the devotee's own contemplation of the true character of Jina. Portions of the dhyāna are reproduced below (P. 397):

देवं देवसभासीनं पश्चकस्याणनायकम् । चतुर्विशतुणोपेतं प्रातिहार्योपशोशितम् ॥
निरक्षनं जनाषीशं परमं रमयाश्चितम् । अन्युतं च्युतदोषीधमभवं भवसृष्ठुरुम् ॥
प्रभवं सर्वविद्यानां सर्वछोकपितामहम् । सर्वसम्बहितारम्भं गतसर्वमसर्वगम् ॥
सत्वमानमन्त्रानेवंद्योग्रीश्रंक्षकामिनः । अन्यारमागमवेधोमियोगियुक्यमंहर्विभिः ॥
निरूषं कपिताशेषमञ्जवं शन्दनिष्ठितम् । अन्यारमागमवेधोमियोगियुक्यमंहर्विभिः ॥
सतावकगुणं सर्वं सं सर्वगुणमाजनः । त्वं सृष्टिः सर्वकामानां कामसृष्टिनिमीछनः ॥
प्रवीमागं प्रयोक्षपं प्रयीयुक्तं त्रयीपतिम् । त्रयीग्यासं प्रयीवस्वं त्रयीचृहामणिस्वितम् ॥
प्रवीमागं प्रयीक्षपं प्रवीयुक्तं कामकश्यवनीवहम् । गुणविन्तामणिक्षेत्रं कश्याणागमनाकरम् ॥
प्रविश्वानप्रदिपेषु साक्षादिव चकासतम् । भ्यायेक्यस्त्रयार्वार्हमर्हन्तं सर्वतोयुक्तम् ॥

Somadeva then explains the Jaina view of gods. He tells us that the Jaina scriptures have imagined the existence of various deities for the protection of the faith, but whoever considers them equal in matters

¹ न सर्व गण्छति इति श्रीर्प्रमाणमित्वर्थः-Ms. A.

² यह बस्तु तद सर्वम् अतावकागुणं त्वत्त्वस्यं न-Ms. A.

³ According to Ms. A, त्रवी means successively (1) रक्षत्रव (2) सचा, मुख and चेतन्य (3) राग, द्वेष and मोद (4) the three forms of knowledge (मित हुत and अविषे) (5) अतीत, अनागत and वर्तसानवारु (6) स्त्याद, स्वयं and जीव्य (7) and the three worlds.

of worship to Lord Jina, 'the eye of the three worlds', is bound to go to the lowest hell, although it is permissible to honour them as well by allotting to tham a portion of the ceremonial offerings. These deities including Indra show favour of their own accord to those who are solely devoted to the Jaina creed, possess right faith, and carefully observe the vows.

The contemplation of Lord Jina mentioned above is an attempt to visualize the diverse aspects of the saviour's personality, and is generally known as rupastha dhyāna. The worshipper may contemplate also the mystic formula signifying the five Paramesthins, namely the Arham mantra, the eternal foundation of all branches of knowledge'. While contemplating it the devotee should use the mandara-mudrā and mentally locate the mantra on his forehead. We are told that sages contemplate this mantra after studying all the scriptures and performing the greatest austerities, and that any one who mentally repeats it attains all kinds of prosperity whether he is pure or impure, happy or miserable. It may be noted that this kind of meditation is known as padastha dhyāna, explained in Jūānārṇava (chap. 38), which describes diverse mystic formulas including the Arham mantra.

The above forms of meditation are called by Somadeva transcendental (lokottara), and he makes also certain observations which he calls popular or general (laukika). The devotee, for instance, should contemplate the Omkāra formula, mentally locating it on the tip of the nose and concentrating the mind on space between the eyebrows. He should arouse the mystic lotus inside his navel and move the connected artery, and then direct the four 'winds' or subtle breaths relating to earth, water, fire and air towards the mind. It may be noted that the process referred to here

^{1 &#}x27;ताः शासनाभिरक्षाण कल्पिताः परमागमे' etc.

² See Jnanarnava, chap. 39.

अध्यायेद्वा वास्त्रयं ज्योतिर्गुक्पस्नकवाचकम् । यतिद्वे सर्वविद्यानामधिष्ठानमनश्ररम् ॥ ध्यायन् विन्यस्य देहेऽसिश्विदं मन्दरमुद्रया । सर्वेनामादिवर्णार्डं वर्णायन्तं सवीजकम् ॥ P. 398. MS. A says मस्तकोपिर इस्तद्रयेन शिखराकारः कुस्त्रलः कियते स एव मन्दरः ।

^{4 &#}x27;अधीत्य सर्वशास्त्राणि विभाय च तपः परम्' etc.

⁵ उक्तं च-अकारादि हकारान्तं रेफमध्यं सबिन्दुकम् । तदेव परमं तत्त्वं यो जानाति स तत्त्ववित् ॥ Jñanarnava (op. cit.)

⁶ पश्चमृतिमयं बीजं नासिकामे विचिन्तयत्। निधाय संगमे चेती दिव्यक्षानमनाभुवात्॥ It may be noted that the Jains Om is composed of the first letters of the name of the five Paramesthins. अ (अरहन्त) + अ (अज्ञारीर i. e. सिद्ध) + आ (आचार्य) + उ (उपाध्याय) + स् (मुनि i. e. साधु) = ओम् or ॐ See Brahmadeva's commentary on Dravyasamgraha, verse. 49.

१ पद्ममुस्थापवेत् पूर्व नाडीं संचालवेत्ततः । मरुवातुष्टयं पश्चात् प्रचारयतु चेतितः । Ms. A says साभी स्वधावेन स्वितं क्षमकं चालवेत् पश्चात् नालाकारेण नाडीं, नाड्या क्षस्ता मरुतः इदयं प्रति प्रापवेत् । (मरुवातुष्टयं)पृथ्वी-अप्तेजीवातुमण्डलानि मसिकामच्ये प्रस्थानि स्थितानि तानि चेतिस आस्यविषये प्रचारवतु योजवतु ।

is employed in prantyama, and the devotee has to master the control and regulation of each of the four kinds of vital air, believed to be located, each in its own centre, inside the nostrils. The topic is treated in detail in Jüdnarnava 29. 15 ff.

Somadeva goes on to say that just as a person, holding a lamp in his hand, looks at something and then puts the lamp aside, similarly the devotee, after having seen the object of knowledge with the help of knowledge, should renounce knowledge (that is, mental action) altogether. Concentration in meditation takes place only when the inflow of all sinful karma ceases, and there can be no question of dhyāna for those whose minds are corrupted by sin. Milk turned into curds never becomes milk again: similarly, one whose soul has been purified by the knowledge of the truth is not contaminated by sins again.

In conclusion, no real difference exists between the individual Self and the blissful higher Self: the former is so called when in bondage, and becomes the blissful higher Self again when it is released from bondage. There is, however, a great difference between the body and the Self, the former extremely impure and the latter extremely pure, and the Self should always be meditated upon after isolating it from the body. Just as oil remains separate in water, similarly the Self remains apart within the body. Those who know the truth should by proper means isolate the Self from the body in spite of their long association, just as clarified butter is ingeniously separated from curds. The relation between the body and the Self, the one with form and the other without form, is like that of a flower and its fragrance, a tree and its shade, and a face and its reflection.

Somadeva's elaborate discourse on dhyāna ends with a definition of āsanas or Yogic postures viz. padmāsana, vīrāsana and sukhāsana, and a few hints on the method of acquiring concentration during meditation.

e) The next item of Sāmāyika is the worship of Śrutadevatā or the presiding goddess of the Jaina scriptures, also called Sarasvatī, like her Brahmanical counterpart. Somadeva, following his usual method, gives a

¹ श्रीपदस्ती यथा कश्चिद् किंचिदाकोक्य तं त्यजेत् । हानेन वेयमाकोक्य पश्चात्तं हानमुत्सुजेत् ॥ P. 398.

² सर्वपाषास्त्रवे श्लीणे ध्याने मनति भावना । पापोपहतबुद्धीनां ध्यानवार्तापि दुर्लमा ॥

³ दिश्यानगतं श्वीरं न पुनः श्वीरतां क्रजेत् । तस्वक्षानिवृद्धात्मा पुनः पापैर्न लिप्यते ॥

⁴ जीवः शिवः शिवोर्जः किं भेदोऽस्त्यत्र कश्चन । पाशबद्धो भवेज्जीवः पाशमुक्तः शिवः पुनः ॥ अत्यन्त मलिनो देहः पुमानलन्तिनिर्मेलः । देहादेनं पृथकृत्या तसाक्षित्यं विचिन्तयेत् ॥

[🏮] तोयमध्ये यथा तैकं प्रथम्भावेन तिहति । तथा शरीरमध्येऽस्मिन् प्रमानास्ते प्रथक्तया ॥

विकासिक्षित्रात्मायमुवायेन शरीरतः । प्रथक्कियेत तत्त्वविक्षरं संसर्गवानिष ॥

⁷ पुन्तानोदी तवण्डाचे बहुए सक्कनिष्को । तहत्ती देहदेहस्ती यहा कवनविश्ववृद्ध ॥ P. 399.

series of verses, composed by himself, to accompany the various offerings made to the goddess. The following verses are addressed to the goddess at the time of offering water, lights and incense respectively (P. 401):

यसाः पदह्रवसङ्कृतियुग्नयोग्यं कोकत्रयाग्नुजसरःप्रविद्यारहारि । तो वाग्विकासवस्ति सिल्केन देवी सेवे कविद्युतसम्बद्धनक्ष्म्यक्षीम् ॥ एकं पदं बहुपदापि ददासि तुष्टा वर्णात्मकापि च करोषि न वर्णभाजम् । सेवे सवापि भवतीमथवा जनोऽर्थी दोषं न पश्यति तदस्तु तवैव दीपः ॥ चक्षुः परं करणकन्द्रवृदितेऽर्थे मोहान्यकारविश्वती परमः प्रकाशः । तदामगामिपथवीक्षणस्वदीपस्थं सेव्यसे तदिह देवि जनेन धूमैः ॥

The following verses mark the conclusion of the worship of Srutadevatā (P. 401):

क्रण्योतकमस्प्रमोक्तिकदुकूसमणिकास्यामरप्रायः । भाराष्रयामि देवीं सरस्वतीं सक्तस्यक्षेत्राविः ॥ स्वाह्मदभूषरभवा सुनिमाननीया देवैरनम्बद्यारणैः ससुपासनीया । स्वान्ताश्रितास्वित्रकस्यक्कहरप्रवाहा वागापगास्तु सम बोधगकावगाहा ॥

'I worship Goddess Sarasvatī with all-auspicious objects comprising golden lotuses, silken scarves studded with pearls, bejewelled curtains and fly-whisks. Like an elephant entering the waters of a river, may my intellect plunge into the River of Speech, whose current cleanses the mind of all its impurities, (the River) that rises from the Mount of Syādvāda doctrine, is worthy of the veneration of the sages, and fit to be adored by the gods, having no other refuge!' The adoration of Śrutadevatā brings to a close the Samāyika or customary worship, and Somadeva concludes this section with a devout prayer to Jina (P. 402):

रद्यस्वं जिन सेवितोऽसि नितरां भावैरनम्याश्रयैः किःधस्त्वं न तथापि यसमिविधेनेके विश्केऽपि च । मचेतः पुनरेतदीश भवति प्रेमप्रकृष्टं ततः किं भावे परमत्र यामि भवतो भूयात् पुनर्वर्शनम् ॥

XIII) The second Sikṣāvrata is Proṣadha or religious fasting on four days of the month (the eighth and fourteenth lunar days in each fortnight). He who observes this *vrata* should refrain from all sinful actions and practise self-control; and abstaining from bath, perfumes, bodily decoration, ornaments and women, observe fast and devote himself to religious meditation in a temple or on a hill or at home or in the woods. The inner spirit cannot become pure without the mortification of the body: what else but fire can purify gold? Failure to examine and sweep the ground before use, evil

क्षानगन्थाङ्गसंस्कारभूषायोषाविवक्तवीः । तिवृत्तसर्वसायक्षित्रंयः स्वयमतत्परः ॥ देवागारे गिरी चापि गृष्टे वा गवनेऽपि वा। उपोषितो सवेकित्यं वर्षस्यानपरावणः ॥ P. 402.

विद्युश्वेषान्तरात्मायं कायक्रेशविषि विना । किसक्रेरन्यसीह काञ्चनाश्मविद्युद्ध्ये ॥

actions, evil thoughts and abstention from the Avasyakas or obligatory duties destroy the efficacy of the Prosadha vow.

XIV) The third Sikṣāvrata is the limitation of worldly enjoyment. Enjoyment is of two kinds. Food and other objects which can be used only once are called bhoga, and objects such as ornaments which can be used again and again are known as paribhoga.* Both kinds of enjoyment should be kept within bounds to prevent 'mental obsession', and one should voluntarily practise abnegation when everything needed comes into one's possession. Abnegation may be of two kinds, yama and niyama, the former being for life and the latter for a limited period. Flowers and vegetables containing various living organisms, e. g., onions, Ketakī and Nimba flowers, and Sūraņa roots should be forsaken for life. One should also avoid ill-cooked and prohibited food as well as food that has come in contact with or got mixed up with living organisms, and food that has not been prepared under one's own supervision.

XV) The fourth Sikṣāvrata is dāna or charity. That which contributes towards one's own welfare and promotes the cultivation of the Three Jewels in others and brings relief to one's kinsmen as well as others is called dāna. Some practise charity with a view to attaining bliss in the next world, others to get it in this world, and there are also, those who practise charity because it is proper to do so.

Dana or charity is of four kinds, namely, gift of abhaya or protection, gift of food, gift of medicine and gift of sacred lore. Of these abhaya is the highest gift, and the wise should always give the first place to affording protection to all living creatures. Next comes the gift of food, and it is the duty of a householder to feed Jaina sādhus or holy men

^{1 (1)} Sāmāyika, avoidance of ain leading to equanimity; (2) praise of the twenty-four Arhats; (3) Vandanā, homage to those having the mūla and uttara-guņas; (4) Pratikramaņa, repentance; (5) kāyotsarga, cure of spiritual faults by meditation; (6) pratyākhyāna, determination to avoid sin.

थ अनवेक्षाप्रतिलेखनदुष्कर्मारम्भदुर्मनस्काराः । आवश्यकविरतियुताश्चतुर्थमेते विनिञ्चन्ति ॥

³ यः सक्कत् सेन्यते भावः स भोगो भोजनादिकः । भूषादि परिभोगः स्वात् पौनःपुन्येन सेवनात् ॥

⁴ परिमाणं तयोः क्रवां विचयाप्रिनिवृत्तवे । प्राप्ते योग्ये च सर्वस्मिष्ठिच्छया निवसं भजेत ॥

⁵ यमक्ष नियमविति ही त्याच्ये वस्तुनि स्मृती । यावच्यीवं यमी वेयः साविधनियमः स्मृतः ॥

⁶ प्राण्डुकेतकीनिम्बद्धमनन्त्रणादिकम् । स्रजेदाजन्म तद्यवहुपाणिसमाभयम् ॥ P. 403. Compare Ratnakaranda-क्रिकेटकेर्वाः III. 39: अस्प्रक्रवहुविषातानमूक्कमार्द्राणि द्वन्नवेराणि । नवनीतिनिम्बकुसुमं केतकमित्येवसबद्देयम् ॥

⁷ दुष्पकस्य निषिक्तस्य जन्तुसंबन्धमिश्रयोः । अवीक्षितस्य च प्राश्चस्तःसंख्याक्षतिकारणम् ॥

⁸ यथाविधि यथादेशं यथाद्रव्यं यथागमम् । यथापात्रं यथाकालं दानं देयं गृहाश्रमैः ॥ P. 403.

⁹ आत्मनः श्रेयसेऽन्येषां रक्षत्रयसमृद्धये । स्वपरानुप्रहायेत्यं यत् स्मात्तहानमिष्यते ॥

¹⁰ परलोकिषया कश्चित् कश्चिदैहिकचेतसां । औचित्समनसा कश्चित् सतां निचन्ययकिषा॥

¹¹ अभयाहारमैषज्यस्तमेदाक्तविषम्।

¹² अभयं सर्वेसस्वानामादौ द्वाद सुवीः सदा।

-

according to the nine-fold canon of hospitality consisting of reception, offering of a high seat, washing of the feet, adoration, salutation, affable speech, affable manners and affable temperament, and, lastely, purity of food. Particular attention should be paid to the quality and purity of the food, which should be seasonable and prepared at home and not brought from another locality nor purchased from the bazar. Food that has been touched by wicked people or consecrated to gods and Yaksas as well as offerings brought for mystic rites should not be given to the sādhus to eat.

He who observes the Three Jewels, that is, the cardinal principles of Jainism, is the most deserving of those who are entitled to charity, and anything given to one who is not a follower of the Three Jewels is like seeds sown on barren soil.4 In fact, charity practised in favour of those who are attached to false doctrines and wrong conduct leads to evil consequences, like the feeding of serpents with milk.⁵ If one wishes to be charitable towards such people out of pity or from a sense of propriety, one should give them a residual portion of food to be taken away and not feed them in one's house. Even the sight of them during the reception of Jaina holy men leads to pollution, just as even pure water is contaminated by a vessel containing poison. One must not live or talk with or accord hospitality to Buddhists, Nāstikas, Sacrificers, ascetics wearing matted hair (Saivas), Ajīvaka mendicants and others of similar persuasion. Conversation with people ignorant of the fundamental truths, and corrupted by evil propensities, leads only to a free fight in which the parties belabour each other with sticks and pull each other's hair.

There are five classes of people who are entitled to hospitality: the samayin, the śrāvaka, the sādhu, the sūri and the samayadīpaka. The samayin is an adherent of the Jaina faith and may be a layman or a monk. The śrāvaka is one who has an expert knowledge of astrology, incantations, omens and unseen things in general as well as the art of healing. The time for religious functions such as initiation, festivals and installation of idols cannot be fixed without his help, and Somadeva naively asks how the Jaina

¹ प्रतिब्रहोचासनपातपुनामणाम्बाकायमनः प्रसादाः । विधाविद्युद्धिः नवीपचाराः कार्या मुनीनां गृहसंक्षितेन ॥ P. 404.

यामान्तरात् समानीतं मचानीतसुवायनम् । न देयमापणकीतं विरुद्धं वा यथर्तुकम् ॥
 'न देयं दुर्जनस्पृष्टं देवयक्षादिकिष्टिपतम् ।'

⁴ तदुत्तमं भवेत पात्रं यत्र रक्षत्रयं नरे। ""यत्र रक्षत्रयं नास्ति तदपात्रं विदुर्वेधाः। उसं तत्र वृथा सर्वमूषरायां क्षितावित्र ॥ P. 406.

⁵ मिध्यात्वश्रसाचित्तेषु चारित्रामासामानिषु । दोवायैव भवेदानं पयःपानिमवाहिषु ॥

⁶ कारण्यादथवीचित्यात्तेषां किश्चिद्शक्षि । दिखेतुद्दतमेवाकं गृहे मुक्तिं न कारचेत् ॥

त्यारादिविधावेषां दर्शनं दृषितं भवेत् । यथा विद्युद्धभव्यम् विधमाजनसंगमात् ॥
 श्वाक्यनास्तिकयागक्रविटिणाविकादिभिः । सहावासं सहाकापं तत्सेवां च विवर्जयेत् ॥

⁹ अशातनस्वचेतीसिर्दुराप्रदमलीमसेः। युद्धमेव भवेद्रोष्ठवां दण्डादण्डि कवाकवि॥

faith will progress if one has to ask the followers of other religions for information about these matters.1 The sadhu is one who has accomplished religious austerities combined with the observation of the Mula and Uttaragunas, that is, the entire range of the Jaina religious vows. The suri is the leader of society in the sphere of knowledge as well as the practice of religion, and should be worshipped like a god, since he is like a boat for crossing the ocean of existence.* The samayadipakas are men who advance the cause of the Jaina faith by their knowledge of the world, poetical faculties and other powers as well as eloquence and skill in disputation.4

It is useless to test the purity of monks for the purpose of giving them food: whether they are good or bad, the householder is purified by the mere act of giving. Besides, householders are in the habit of spending mony on so many things that it is not wise to consider the pros and cons of a gift too much. The greater the merits of a monk, the greater should be the respect shown to him; but those who are wealthy should use their money, acquired through luck, to help any follower of the Jaina faith, since a monk, conforming to the standard laid down by the scriptures, may or may not be available for the purpose of charity. The creed established by the great Jaina seers is professed by men of diverse faculties, and does not depend upon any single individual, just as a house does not rest on a single pillar.8

Dāna is of three kinds: rājasa, tāmasa and sāttvika. Charity accompanied by self-glorification, momentary, casual and lacking in conviction is known as rajasa. Charity practised through the agency of servants and slaves without any respect for the recipient or familiarity with him, and without any consideration of merit, is tāmasa.10 Charity is sāttvika when hospitality is spontaneous and the recipient worthy, and when the giver has certain qualities, namely, faith, contentment, reverence, knowledge, liberality. forbearance and ability.11 The sattvika form of charity is the best, the raigsa comes next, and the tamasa is the worst. Somadeva says in this connection

¹ ज्योतिमैचनिमित्तवः सुप्रतः कायकर्मसु । दीक्षायात्राप्रतिष्ठाचाः क्रियास्तदिरहे कुतः। तद्ये परपृच्छायां कथं च समयोक्ततिः ॥ P. 407.

अमुलोत्तरगुणकाध्येत्तपोमिनिष्ठितस्थितिः । साधुः साधु भवेत् पूज्यः पुण्योपाजितपण्डितैः ॥

³ हानकाण्डे किवाकाण्डे चातुर्वण्यपुरःसरः । स्रिदेव द्वाराध्यः संसाराव्धितरण्डकः ॥

कोक्किक्किक्किक्किक्विविविविधिमत्वकीश्रेष्टः। मार्गप्रभावनीश्वक्ताः सन्तः पुच्या विशेषतः॥

मुक्तिमानप्रदाने हि का परीक्षा तपस्त्रिनाम् । ते सन्तः सन्त्यस्तो वा गृही दानेन ग्रुध्यति ॥

⁶ सर्वोरम्मप्रकृतानां गृहस्थानां धनव्ययः। बहुधास्ति ततोऽत्यर्थे न कर्तव्या विचारणा।।

⁷ दैवाहरूवं वनं धन्यैर्वसन्यं समयाश्रिते । एको मुनिर्भवेहरूयो न सम्यो वा यथागमन् ॥

⁸ उचावचननप्रावः समयोऽशं जिनेशिनाम् । नैकसिन् पुरुषे तिष्ठेदेकस्तम्भ इवालयः ॥

⁹ वदात्मवर्णनप्रायं क्षणिकाहार्थविश्रमम् । परप्रत्यवसंभूतं दानं तद्राजसं मतम् ॥ P. 408.

¹⁰ पात्रापात्रसमावेद्यमसन्तारमसंस्तुतम्। दासमूलकृतोषीगं वानं तामसम्बिरे ॥

¹¹ आतिबेयं स्वयं यत्र यत्र पात्रनिरीक्षणम् । गुणाः श्रद्धादयो यत्र दानं तत् सास्विकं विदः॥

that the statement (made by Brahmanical writers) that what is given in this life is recovered by the donor in the next life is entirely false. Cows, for instance, are in the habit of eating water and grass, but they produce milk, something quite different.

It is the duty of householders to remedy the ills of monks and saints, which may be of three kinds: physical ailments, mental worries and external troubles such as the rigours of cold and wind.3 If the laity neglect the sufferings of the monks, the latter cannot maintain their spiritual concentration, and the former will render themselves guilty of impiety.4 monks are engaged in expounding and studying the sacred texts, they should be comforted with lodging, food, books and other amenities. The holy textsthe Angas, Purvas, Prakirnas, Suktas and the utterances of the Kevalinswould entirely become extinct, if those who know the scriptures thoroughly were to perish on account of neglect. One should therefore help the monks to master the scriptures by sheltering and encouraging them and contributing to their joy, and by providing them with materials necessary for their study, It should be remembered that men, capable of physical labour, for instance, the carrying of arms, are easily available, but those who are endowed with real knowledge are rare like heroes. Knowledge alone can control the mind, like a spear controlling an elephant: without knowledge, physical labour is nothing but labour that is lost.8 One who concentrates on knowledge succeeds in external austerities without any effort, but, as a matter of fact, external activities no longer take place when the soul is immersed in knowledge. is doubtful if a man without knowledge can destroy his karma even after ages, but a man who is endowed with knowledge and yoga is sure to destroy his karma in a moment.10 The man whose speech is not refined by the science of words, and whose intellect is not purified by right principles, is like a blind person labouring according to the convictions of others.11

¹ Cf. Nainadhacarita 5. 92—दानपात्रमधमर्णमिहैकमाहि कोटिगुणितं दिवि दावि। साधुरेति सुकृतैथिदि कर्तुं पारलीकिककुसीदमसीदत्॥

यहत्तं तदमुत्र स्थादित्सस्त्यपरं वचः । गावः पयः प्रयच्छन्ति किं न तोयतृणाञ्चानाः ॥ P. 408.
 आरीरमानसागन्तुच्याधिसंवाधसंमवे । साधुसंयमिनां कार्यः प्रतीकारो गृहाश्चितैः ॥ P. 409.

⁴ मुनीनां न्याविश्रुक्तानामुपेक्षायामुपासकैः । असमाधिर्भवेत्तेवां स्वस्य चाधर्मकर्मता ॥ (added from was.)

⁵ सीमनस्यं सदाचर्यं व्याख्यस्य च पठत्स्य च । (added from uss.) आवासपुस्तकाहारसीकर्यादिविधानकैः ॥ अङ्गपूर्वप्रकीर्णोक्तं स्क्तं केवलिमाषितम् । नहयेक्तिर्मृत्वतः सर्वे ब्रुतस्कन्थधरात्यये ॥

⁵ प्रश्रयोत्साहनानन्दस्वाध्यायोचितवस्तुभिः । श्रुतवृद्धान् मुनीन् कुवैज्ञायते श्रुतपारगः ॥

⁷ असभारणवदासे हेरी हि सुलभा नराः। यथार्थशानसंपन्नाः सीडीरा इव दुर्लभाः॥ 8 सृणिवज्ज्ञानमेबास्य वशायासयदन्तिनः। तद्दते च वहिःहेशः हेश एव परं भवेत्॥

⁹ बहिस्तपः स्वतोऽस्येति श्वानं सावयतः सतः। क्षेत्रहे यश्चिमग्नेऽत्र कुतः स्युरपराः क्रियाः॥
10 यत्रहाती युगैः कसं बहुभिः क्षपयेत्र वा। तज्ज्ञानी योगसंपत्रः क्षपयेत् क्षणतो ध्रवम्॥

¹¹ शन्देतिहोनं गीः शुद्धा वस्य शुद्धा न धीनंबैः । स परमत्ययात् क्षित्रयम् भवेदन्धसमः पुमान् ॥

Somadeva mentions at the end of this section the various factors which destroy the value of charity. They are 'instructions to others' to make a gift on one's behalf, that is, lack of personal attention; jealousy at the liberality of others; lack of punctuality (in serving a guest); the practice of depositing food on sentient objects such as lotus leaves or covering it with same.¹

XVI) The custom of sallekhanā or fasting unto death is dealt with in VIII. 45. Somadeva says that the practice is to be followed when the body is about to perish, like a dry leaf or a lamp without oil. The hour of this last act of life comes when everyday the body loses strength and power of enjoyment, and is beyond remedy.8 Self-restraint, study, austerities, worship, and charity all become useless if the mind is not pure at the last hour of life. Just as the training of a king, who has learnt the use of weapons for twelve years, becomes useless if he faints on the battlefield; similarly the past conduct of a saint is of no avail if his mind is impure at the end. One should renounce one's affection for friends, infatuation with riches and illwill towards enemies, and then take proper steps (that is, resort to sallekhanā) after confessing all sins before the head of the congregation. Food should be gradually given up and then beverages, both sweet and pungent; and one should thereafter abstain from everything with one's mind fixed on the five Paramesthins. There are five factors that destroy the efficacy of sallekhana: the desire to live longer, the desire to die to avoid suffering, longing for friends, recollection of past happiness and the desire for happiness in the next life.8

XVII) In Yasastilaka VIII. 44 Somadeva interprets from the Jaina point of view a number of Brahmanical terms, which illustrates certain salient features of the Jaina outlook in religious matters. For instance, a Dikşita is not one who kills goats and other animals in sacrifices, but one whose daily sacrifice consists in friendliness towards all creatures. A Srotriya is not one who has external purity, but one who has nothing to do with wicked deeds and wicked men, and is kindly disposed towards all creatures. A Hotā is not one who kindles the external fire, but one who

¹ सार्थं सचित्तनिक्षितवृतान्यां दानवानये । अन्योपदेशमात्सर्थकाळातिक्रमणिकयाः ॥ P. 410.

विश्वलिम परिपकं सहिबहीनं प्रदीपिम देहस् । स्वयमेव विनाशोनमुखमवनुष्य करोतु विधिमन्त्यम् ॥ P. 413,

³ प्रतिदिवसं विज्ञहद्रलमुज्याद्वाक्तं त्यजल् प्रतीकारम् । वपुरेव नृणां निगिरति चरमचिरवोदयं समयम् ॥

⁴ यमनियमखाध्यायास्त्रपांसि देवार्चनाविधिदानम् । एतत् सर्वे निष्फलमवसाने चेन्मनो मलिनम् ॥

⁵ द्वादश वर्शणि नृपः शिक्षितशस्त्रो रणेषु यदि मुद्देत् । कि स्यात्तस्यास्त्रविषेश्या तथान्ते यतेः पुराचरितम् ॥

⁶ सेहं विहाय वन्धुषु मोहं विभवेषु कलुक्तामहिते। यणिनि च निवेब निखिलं दुरीहितं तदनु भजतु विधिमुचितम् ॥

⁷ अशनं ऋमेण हेवं सिम्भं पानं ततः खरं चैव । तदनु च सर्वनिष्ट्रिंस कुर्याहुरुपञ्चकस्पृतौ निरतः ॥

⁸ जीवितमरणाशंसे मुद्दनुरागः मुखानुबन्धविधः । पते सनिदानाः स्युः सङ्खनदानये पन्न ॥

⁹ अहोहः सर्वसस्तेषु यत्रो यस्य दिने दिने । स पुमान् दीक्षितास्मा स्थात्र स्वनादियमाञ्चयः ॥ P. 421.

¹⁰ दुष्कर्मेंदुर्जनास्पर्शी सर्वसस्बद्धिताशयः। स ओत्रियो भवेत् सखं न तु यो गाह्यशौचवान् ॥

casts the fuel of good deeds in the spiritual fire with the formulas of kindness.1 A Sacrificer is defined as one who adores the deity with the flowers of pure thoughts, the mansion of the body with the flowers of vows, and the fire of the The Veda that pleases the wise is mind with the flowers of forbearance.2 not the one which causes the destruction of all creatures in sacrifices, but the one which clearly makes known the difference between the body and the soul.3 The Threefold Lore (i. e. the Veda) is called Trayi, because it destroys the triad of birth, old age and death, the cause of transmigration. A Brahmana is not one who is blind with the pride of birth, but one who does not destroy life, observes salutary vows, has knowledge, and is The true Pancagnisadhaka (one who without desires and acquisition.5 performs austerities amid five fires) is he who has controlled the five fires: lust, anger, pride, deceit and greed. Knowledge is Brahma, kindness is Brahma, and the destruction of lust is Brahma: when the soul rests securely on these, a man is called Brahmacārin.' A Paramahamsa is not an ascetic who eats anything and everything like fire, but one who can (like a hamsa, swan) distinguish between karma and the soul, intermingled like water and milk.8

XVIII) The 46th section of Book VIII, called Prakirnaka, which deals with certain miscellaneous topics, brings to a close Somadeva's elaborate discourse on the Jaina religion. It describes chiefly the six daily duties of a householder: worship of the deva i. e. Jina, adoration of the teacher, svādhyāya or study of spiritual lore, tapas or physical and mental activities leading to the purification of the soul by removing external and internal impurities, samyama or control of the passions and the senses, and the observance of the vows, and dāna or charity. Kaṣāya (passion) is derived thus (P. 416):

'कषित संतापयन्ति दुर्गसंगतिसंपादनेनात्मानमिति कषायाः क्रोधादयः । अथवा यथा विशुद्धस्य वस्तुनो नैवशोधादयः कषायाः कालुष्यकारिणः, तथा निर्मलस्यात्मनो मलिनश्वहेतुत्वात् कषाया इव कषायाः ।'

XIX) Stray allusions to Jaina tenets occur occasionally in the course of Utprekṣās and similes. For example, मनुष्यक्षेण परिणतं धर्मद्वयस्थि Book I,

¹ अध्यातमाग्री दयामकैः सम्यक्षमें समिक्षयम् । यो जुहोति स होता स्यात्र वाह्याग्रिसमेधकः ॥

² भावपुष्पैर्वजेदेवं अनुपुष्पैर्वपुर्गृहस् । क्षमापुष्पैर्मनोविद्धं यः स यष्टा सतां मतः ॥

³ विवेकं वेदयेदुवीर्यः शरीरशरीरिणोः । स प्रीले विदुषां वेदो नाखिलक्षयकारणम् ॥

⁴ जातिर्जरा मृतिः पुंसां त्रयी संस्तिकारणम् । एवा त्रयी यतस्वय्याः क्षीयते सा त्रयी मता ॥

अहंसः सद्वतो दानी निरीहो निष्परिग्रहः। यः स्मात् स ब्राह्मणः सत्यं न तु जातिमदान्थलः॥

⁶ कामः क्रोधो मदो माया लोमश्रेत्वप्रिपन्नकम् । येनेदं साधितं स स्यात् क्रुती पन्नाप्रिसाधकः ॥ P. 411.

⁷ क्षानं मक्ष दया मक्ष मक्ष कामविनिग्रहः। सम्यगत्र वसन्नात्मा मक्कचारी भवेन्नरः॥

⁸ कर्मात्मनोविवेक्ता वः श्लीरनीरसमानयोः । भवेत् परमहंसोऽसौ नाग्निवत् सर्वमक्षकः ॥ P. 412.

⁹ देवसेवा गुरूपास्ताः स्वाध्यायः संवमस्तवः । दानं चेति गृहस्वानां वट्ट कर्माणि दिने दिने ॥ P. 414.

¹⁰ अनुयोगगुणस्वानमार्गणस्थानकमेश्व । अध्यात्मतस्वविद्यायाः पाठः स्वाध्याय उच्यते ॥

¹¹ अन्तर्वहिमैक्ग्रोशवात्मनः शुक्तिकारणम् । शारीरं मानसं कमै तमः प्राहृस्त्रपोधनाः ॥

¹² क्वायेन्द्रियदण्डानां विश्वयो अतपाडनम् । संयमः संयतैः प्रोत्तः श्रेयः अविद्वामिच्छताम् ॥

p. 136. This is a reference to the Sagaradharms, the Rule of life prescribed for the householders, and the Anagaradharms, the Rule of life prescribed for the monks. प्रदक्षितात्मरूपं प्रमाणहित्यमित Ibid. The two Pramanas recognised by Jaina doctrine, Pratyaksa and Paroksa, are referred to. बहि:प्रकटन्यापारं श्रमध्यान-बुल्लिन Ibid. The two pure Dhyānas, Dharmadhyāna and Śukladhyāna (see above), are meant. नयनविषयतां गतं नययमक्रमिव Ibid. A reference to the two types of Naya, the method of comprehending things from a particular standpoint. While the Pramanas convey the whole truth, the Nayas reveal only partial truths. Broadly speaking, they are of two kinds: dravyārthika, which has in view the substance in its permanent aspect, and paryayarthika, which takes note of the modes of the fluctuating aspect of the substance and its qualities. नवपदितिर स्यादादस्य Book II, p. 223. Naya is also classified into five or rather seven kinds: naigama, the non-analytical; samgraha, the collective; vyavahāra, the practical; rjusūtra, the straight or immediate; and śabda, the verbal or nominal. Sabda is subdivided into samabhirudha, the subtle, and evanibhūta, the actual. According to Mallisena (Syādvādamanjarī under verse 28), the Nyāya and Vaisesika systems follow the Naigama-naya: the Advaita Vedanta and the Samkhya are guided by the Samgraha-naya; the Cārvāka school comes under the Vyavahāra-naya; the Buddhists accept the Rjusūtra-naya; and the Grammarians and others take their stand on the Sabda-naya. The method of comprehending things from such diverse standpoints is what Somadeva calls nayapaddhati, and the doctrine of Syadvada, known as saptabhanginaya, is represented as being based on the Nava method.8 The Syādvāda doctrine itself is set forth as follows: (1) May be, it is; (2) may be, it is not; (3) may be, it is and it is not; (4) may be, it is indescribable; (5) may be, it is and yet indescribable; (6) may be, it is not and it is also indescribable; (7) may be, it is and it is not and it is also indescribable. The doctrine of Syadvada is claimed to be a great synthesis comprehending all the Nayas without any distinction. Mallisena says in his Syadvadamanjari (under verse 30) that, just as disputants cease from their quarrels after resorting to an impartial judge, similarly the other Navas, though mutually hostile, are reconciled in the system of the Arhat, their differences being smoothed over by the use of the expression नयनीतिरिष नवमूमिका Book V, p. 246. This is another refe-Syāt, 'may be'.

¹ For an explanation of these terms see S. C. Vidyabhushana: A History of Indian Logic, p. 170, and specially Dhruva: Syādvādamanjarī of Malliseņa, Notes, p. 279 ft.

^{2 &#}x27;नैगमनयदर्शनानुसारिणो नैयाविकवैशेषिको । संप्रद्यामिप्रायप्रकृताः सर्वेऽन्यद्वेतवादाः सांस्यदर्शनं च । व्यवहारनयानु-पाति प्रायक्षार्वाकदर्शनम् । क्षजुद्यशाकृतप्रकृतप्रकृतप्रकृतप्रकृति। शब्दादिनयावलम्बिनो वैयाकरणादयः ।

³ Sratasagara remarks: यथा नयपद्धतिः स्वाह्यदस्य मूर्ल भवतिः He explains नयपद्धतिः as नैगमादिनयाना मार्गः

⁴ Vidyabhushana (op. cit.), p. 167.

^{5 &#}x27;वया हि समीचीनं मध्यसं न्यायनिर्णेतारमासाथ परस्परं विवदमाना अपि वादिनौ विवादाहिरमन्ति एवं नया अन्योन्यं वैदायमाणा अपि सर्वेद्यसम्बद्धपेत्व स्वान्छन्दप्रयोगोपश्चितविष्ठसिपत्तवः सन्तः परस्परमत्वन्तं सुहज्ञ्यावतिष्ठन्ते।

rence to the Nayas. A marginal note in Ms. A on navabhūmikā says the particular, but the ninefold classification of Naya is rather unusual. Perhaps the two broad divisions of dravyārthika and paryāyārthika and the seven varieties of Naya are meant: of these naigama, samgraha and vyavahāra come under dravyārthika-naya, while rjusūtra, sabda, samabhirūḍha, and evambhūta are subdivisions of paryāyārthika-naya. Somadeva may have in mind also the nine divisions of Naigamanaya mentioned in certain texts.

क्षपक्षत्रिणिरिव तपः प्रत्यवायरहितक्षोणिः Book I, p. 133. The reference is to the 'ladder' known as kşapakasreni mentioned in connection with the fourteen Gunasthanas or stages on the way to emancipation. There are, in fact, two ladders, upasama and ksapaka, for the suppression and destruction of Caritramohaniya-karma or Right-Conduct-Deluding Karma, and they emerge in the eighth Gunasthana. Briefly speaking, the Jiva ascends the ksapakasreni and devotes himself to the destruction of Karma, the last vestige of Caritramohanīya-karma being destroyed in the tenth Gunasthāna, whence he passes to the twelfth Gunasthana. Interesting references to Kşapakasreni occur in Hemacandra's Trişaştisalākāpuruşacaritra, e. g. "She mounted at once the kṣapakaśreni, and, the eight Karmas being destroyed, she attained omniscience at once from the eighth Gunasthana." "As he was reflecting rightly in this way, having ascended the kṣapakasreni and having attained pure meditation, omniscience becomes manifest from the destruction of the destructive Karmas, like the light of the sun from the removal of a mass of A reference of the final stage of religious apprenticeship occurs in the following statement in Book I, p. 135:

'जिनस्प्रहणायोग्यत्वाचरमाचारवशामुपासकदशामाभितवदलं मुनिकुमारकयुगलम्'
Srutasāgara takes this to mean the eleventh Pratimā or stage in the life of a layman, known as uddiṣṭatyāga-pratimā, wherein he begs his food and refuses what is specially prepared for him. Abhayaruci and Abhayamati are described as being in this stage of religious apprenticeship, as they were too young to lead the rigorous life of Digambara monks.

References occur also to the twenty-two Parisahus' and other miscellaneous topics. The three kinds of Karmandins or monks are mentioned in Book I,' and Śrutasāgara explains the term as referring to Ācārya, Upādhyāya and Sarvasādhu.

¹ पर्यायनेगम, द्रव्यनेगम, द्रव्यपर्यायनेगम etc. See Dhruvs (op. cit.), p. 298.

² For a detailed exposition of the Gunasthanas see Johnson's English Trans. of Hemacandra's Trisasticalakapurusacaritra, Vol. I, Appendix III. See also Pravacanasaroddhara I, p. 196 ff. for a discussion of appendix.

³ Johnson's Trans. (op. cit.), pp. 197, 378.

^{4 &#}x27;आयातद्रःसहैमेहापरीषहैरिव' Book I.

^{5 &#}x27;त्रिविषस्तावि कर्मादिशुम्दस्तीत्पादितमीतिः' Ibid.

CHAPTER XI

THE ANUPREKSAS AND JAINA RELIGIOUS POETRY

Jaina religious poetry, as far as it is represented in Yasastilaka, comprises verses on the Anuprekṣās, hymns, and what may be loosely called religio-ethical verses. Of these the Anuprekṣā verses are the most important not only from the standpoint of subject-matter, but on account of the place they occupy in Jaina literature and relgious thought.

In order to appreciate Somadeva's treatment of the Anuprekṣās, it will be convenient to explain their religious background, and enumerate the principal literary attempts to define and interpret them before and after his time. The Anupreksas are, roughly speaking, topics of meditation, twelve in number, and cover a wide range of the cardinal teachings of Jainism. They are in the form of reflections on the transient character of things (anityānuprekṣā), helplessness (asaraṇānuprekṣā), the cycle of rebirth (samsārānuprekṣā), loneliness (ekatvānuprekṣā), the distinction between the self and the not-self (anyatvānuprekṣā), the impurity of the body (asucyanuprekṣā), the inflow of Karmas (āsravānuprekṣā), stoppage of the inflow of Karmas (samvarānuprekṣā), the shedding of Karma (nirjarānuprekṣā), the constitution of the universe (lokānuprekṣā), the difficulty of attaining the Right Path consisting of the Three Jewels (bodhidurlabhanuprekṣā), and the Law expounded by the Arhat (dharmasvākhyātatvānuprekṣā). It may be noted that the Anuprekṣās are known also as Bhāyanās, specially in Švetāmbara texts.

Anuprekṣā means anucintana 'pondering', 'reflection'. Pūjyapāda in his commentary on Tattvārthasūtra 9. 2 explains Anuprekṣā as 'pondering on the nature of the body and other substances'. Svāmikārtikeyānuprekṣā (verse 97) defines it as 'pondering on the right principles' (sutacca-cimtā anuppehā). The word is used also in connection with Svādhyāya or study of sacred lore, of which anuprekṣā, pondering on what one has learnt, is an important factor. Pūjyapāda says in his commentary on Tattvārthasūtra 9. 25: adhigatārthasya manasā abhyāsaḥ anuprekṣā. The word is used in this sense also in Uttarādhyayanasūtra 29. 22, wherein anuppehā, explained as cintanikā in Nemicandra's commentary, is one of the factors of sammatta-parakkama, 'exertion in righteousness', mitigating the power and duration of practically all the Karmas.

The Anupreksas are designed to serve as aids to spiritual progress, produce detachment, and lead the devotee from the realm of desire to

^{1 &#}x27;शरीरादीनां समानासुनिन्तनमसुप्रेक्षा'

the path of renunciation. The Bhāṣya on Tattvārthasūtra 9. 7 says that the devotee, after reflecting on the transient character of worldly objects and relationships, loses all attachment to them: this is the purpose of anityānuprekṣā. He reflects on the fact that there is no escape from death and misery, and the sense of helplessness makes him indifferent to the things of the world and inclines him towards the teachings of the Arhat; this is the purpose of asaranānupreksā and so on. Similarly, Pūjyapāda, in his commentary on the same sūtra, says in connection with asucitvānuprekṣā that the body is impure, and its impurity cannot be removed by bath, perfumes, incense and other means; whereas the contemplation of Right Faith and other principles leads to absolute purity: thinking like this in all earnestness amounts to asucitvānuprekṣā. Thus reflecting, the devotee becomes indifferent to the body and concentrates his mind on 'crossing the ocean of births'. In this way the object of each Anupreksa is minutely explained, but the general purpose of the Anupreksas is to make the aspirant averse to the world and concentrate his mind on the Self and its emancipation. The Maranasamahi, a Jaina canonical text, says that contemplation of the Bhāvanās, that is, the Anupreksās leads to vairāgya or disgust for the world and seems to sum up the Bhāvanās as vairāgya-bhāvanā (verses 636 and 638). and describes them also as producing a strong desire for liberation (verse 571).2 The idea of the Anupreksas being the cause of vairagya is stressed also in Mūlācāra 8. 73; and we are told that he who always edifies himself with their help becomes free from Karmas, and being pure, attains the Abode of Purity. Subhacandra in his Jñānārnava 2, 5, 6 recommends the Bhāvanās for the attainment of the purity of thoughts (bhāvasuddhi) and the growth of samvega (desire for salvation), vairagya, yama (selfcontrol) and prasama (extinction of the passions). Hemacandra says in Yogasastra (4 40, 45, 49, 55) that one should vanquish love and hate for the attainment of mental purity which alone shows the way to nirvana; and love and hate can be conquered by means of samatva or equanimity, which again is produced by nirmamatva or lack of selfish desires; and one should resort to the Bhavanas for the purpose of cultivating nirmamatva. Hemacandra concludes by saying that he who ceaselessly preoccupies the mind with the Bhavanas becomes indifferent to all objects and maintains an even attitude free from love and hate.

¹ जह जह द्रुटप्पहण्णो समणो वेरग्गभावणं कुणह । तह तह असुमं आयवहयं व सीयं ख्यमुवेह ॥ बारस वि भावणाओ एवं संखेबओ समसाओ । भावेमाणो जीवो जाओ समुवेह वेरग्गं ॥

^{2 &#}x27;दर्ज्यनेगकरीओ'

^{3 &#}x27;बुह्जणवेरग्रजणणीओ'

अणुवेक्स्साहि एवं जो अत्ताणं सदा विभावेदि । सो विगदसम्बक्तमो विमलो विमलालयं लहित ॥

⁵ भावनाभिरविधान्तमिति भावितमानसः । निर्ममः सर्वभावेषु समत्वमवलम्बते ॥ 4. 110.

The Anupreksis have other aspects as well. In Tattoarthasütra 9. 2 they are mentioned among the agencies that cause Samvara or stoppage of the influx of Karmas, the others being Gupti, Samiti, Dharma etc. The Anupreksās are also brought into special connection with Dharmya-dhyāna, one of the two forms of spiritual contemplation prescribed by Jaina doctrine, In a number of verses quoted by Siddhasena in his commentary on Tattvärthasūtra 9. 38 the Anuprekṣās are prominently mentioned among the preliminaries to Dharmya-dhyāna. According to Subhacandra (Jñānārnava 41. 3) the Anupreksas are the leading cause of Dharmya-dhyana, and the devotee is asked to determine the nature of the Self by implanting them in his mind." Sivarya in his Bhagavatī Ārādhanā (verse 1714) goes one step further, and definitely recommends the contemplation of the Anupreksas as a sort of supplementary discipline in the stage of Dharmya-dhyana known as Samsthanavicaya, consisting in meditation on the constitution of the universe according to the Jaina conception. A similar yet different standpoint is met with in Cāmuṇḍarāya's Cāritrasāra. It mentions two kinds of Dharmyadhyāna, bāhya and ādhyātmika, and classifies the latter into ten varieties including Samsthanavicaya, which is, however, explained as 'investigation of things as they are' (yathāvasthita-mīmāmsā) and completely identified with the twelve Anupreksäs.

The Anuprekṣās are thus viewed from different standpoints, but their wider aspect can hardly be mistaken. They are in the nature of reflections on the fundamental facts of life, and remind the devotee of the teachings of the Master on the subject of rebirth, Karma and its destruction. equanimity and self-control, the glory of the Law and the final goal. They are no doubt designed to develop the contemplative faculty of the Yogin. and may be called the starting point of dhyana. But they have also a great moral significance inasmuch as they are meant to develop purity of thoughts and sincerity in the practice of religion. Kundakunda, for instance, in his Bhāvaprābhṛta (verse 94) exhorts the devotee to contemplate the Anupreksās and the twentyfive Bhavanas, and asks: what is the use of external symbols without inner conviction? The catholic character of the Anupreksas is shown by the fact that they are recommended to monks and laymen alike for contemplation (Maranasamähi, v. 571). In our work king Yasorgha ponders on them on the eve of his abdication and retirement from the world Somaprabha tells us in his Kumārapālapratibodha that Kumārapāla, after

¹ Vol. II, P. 272.

अनुप्रेक्षास धर्म्यस स्यः सदैव निवन्धनम् । चित्तभृतौ स्थिरीकृत्य स्वस्तक्षं निक्तप्य ॥

अह तिरियउद्वृतीय विनिणादि सपज्जय ससंठाणे । इविव अणुगदाओ अणुपेहाओ वि विनिणादि ॥

[🕯] भावहि अणुवेक्खाओं अवरे पणवीसभावणा भावि। भावरहिएण कि पुण वाहिर्किनेण कायकां॥

receiving instruction in the twelve Bhāvanās, experienced a feeling of indifference to the world and used to reflect on them while looking after the affairs of the kingdom. Amitagati in his S'rāvakācāra 14. 82 says in conformity with earlier texts that he who meditates on the Anuprekṣās constantly with devotion comprehends all the fundamental facts and attains liberation, after getting rid of his Karma.

The human and spiritual appeal of the Anuprekṣās accounts for their popularity, as evinced by the numerous attempts made to describe and interpret them in Prākrit and Sanskrit verse and occasionally also in prose. A more or less extensive literature may be said to have grown up on the subject, as will be seen from the short sketch given below.

The famous Digambara authority Kundakunda, who is assigned to the beginning of the Christian era, is perhaps the earliest writer to deal with the Anuprekṣās as an independent subject, being the author of a treatise called Bārasa Anuvekkhā, 'The twelve Anuprekṣās', containing 91 verses in Prākrit (Jaina Śaurasenī). The treatment here is simple yet authoritative, and has influenced later writers on the subject. Connected with this work is the account of the Anuprekṣās in Mūlācāra (Chap. 8) in 76 verses in Prākrit. The work is attributed to Vaṭṭakera who is sometimes identified with Kundakunda himself. While these may be the earliest metrical efforts to offer a systematic exposition of the Anuprekṣās, there is an exhaustive treatment of them in a canonical text called Maranasamāhi, one of the Paṇṇṇas, which devotes 70 verses (569-639) to the Bhāvanās. While enumerating the Bhāvanās, it mentions Uttama Guṇas instead of Dharma (v. 573), obviously meaning thereby Uttama Kṣamā, Uttama Mārdava etc., being the characteristics of Dharma.

The three ancient works mentioned above are composed in a more or less similar style, and there is also occasional similarity in thought, and the subject of their inter-relationship requires critical investigation (Mülācāra 8.13; Maraņasamāhi, verse 590; Bārasa Aņuvekkhā, verse 22; Maraņasamāhi, verse 588):

मिन्छतेणोच्छण्णो ममां जिणदेसिदं अपेरखंतो । मिन्दि मीमकुष्टिहे जीवो संसारकंतारे ॥ हा जह मोहियमहणा सुग्गहमग्गं अजाणमाणेणं । मीमे भवकंतारे सुन्दिरं भिन्दे भयकरिम ॥ अण्णो अण्णं सोवदि मदो ति मम णाहगो ति मण्णंतो । अप्पाणं ण हु सोवदि संसारमहण्णवे बुद्धं ॥ सोबह अण्णजणं अञ्चमवंतरगवं तु बाङजणो । निव सोवह अप्पाणं किकिस्समाणं मवस्मुहे ॥

श्य बारह भावण द्वणिवि राह, मणमञ्ज्ञ वियंभियभवविदाह । रञ्जु वि कुणंद्व चिंतह इमाउ, परिहरिवि कुणहकारणु पमाउ ॥

² A. N. Upadhye: Pravacanasara, Iniro., p. 26.

The next important treatise to deal with the subject is Svāmikā-ritikeyānuprekṣā of Kārttikeya, who devotes the entire work of 489 verses in Prākrit to the elucidation of the Anuprekṣās. It is a work of considerable merit, but the treatment of the subject is disproportionate inasmuch as more than 350 verses deal only with Lokānuprekṣā (115-283) and Dharmānuprekṣā (302-486). This is due to the fact that Kārttikeya includes in his work many heterogeneous details not usually incorporated in the discussion of the Anuprekṣās. The date of the author is not certain, but he is assigned to the early centuries of the Christian era.

A comprehensive exposition of the Anupreksas occurs also in Sivarya's Bhagavati Ārādhanā,¹ a devotional work in Saurasenī Prākrit containing more than 2000 verses, of which (1715-1872) deal with the Anuprekṣās. Sivārya is an important writer and said to be a disciple of Samantabhadra. He may be identified with Sivakoṭi who is mentioned in Jinasena's Ādipurāṇa 1. 49. That he is considerably earlier than the tenth century is shown by the fact that his Ārādhanā was translated into Sanskrit verse by the wellknown writer Amitagati who flourished towards the end of the tenth century and the beginning of the eleventh. Sivārya's style is simple and lucid, and he uses a large number of interesting similes to illustrate his ideas. For example,

रिलं एकिम दुने सडणाणं पिंडणं व संजोगो । परिवेसो व अणिची इस्सरियाणायणारोगं ॥ 1720 धाविद गिरिणिवृसोदं व आडगं सम्वजीवकोगिमा । सुकुमाकदा वि हायदि छोगे पुग्वण्डछाही व ॥ 1723 जह आइचायुदितं कोई वारंतओ जगे णिया । तह कम्मयुदीरंतं कोई वारंतओ णिया ॥ 1740 ससगो वाहपरद्यो विछं ति णाजण अजगरस्स सुहं । सरणं ति मण्णमाणो मचुस्स सुहं जह अदीदि ॥ 1781 तह अण्णाणी जीवा परिदामाणा सुधादिवाहेहिं । अदिगच्छंति महादुहहेदुं संसारसप्पमुहं ॥ 1782 सरिसीए चंदिगाए काको वेस्सो पिजो जहा जण्हो । सरिसे वि तहा चारे कोई वेस्सो पिजो कोई ॥ 1808 छंडिय स्वणाणि जहा स्वणदीवा हरिज कट्टाणि । माणुसभवे वि छंडिय धम्मं भोगे भिकसदि तहा ॥ 1829 पावपयोगासवदारणिरोघो अध्यमादकिलगेण । कीरइ फिलगेण जहा णावाए जळासवणिरोघो ॥ 1837

Strings of Rupakas are also used occasionally:

पूर्वं पिणद्वसंबरवम्मो सम्मत्तवाहणास्टो । सुदणाणमहाषणुगो झाणादितवोमयसरेहि ॥ 1858 संबमरणस्त्रीए करमारिचम् पराजिणिय सन्वं । पावदि संजयजोहो मणोवमं मोनसरण्यसिरि ॥ 1854

It is not known when Sanskrit verse was first employed to describe the Anuprekṣās, but Somadeva's account of them in Yasastilaka, Book II in 53 verses composed in the Vasantatilakā metre may be regarded as one of the earliest attempt to expound them in Sanskrit instead of Prākrit verse. His treatment of the Anuprekṣās is weighty and compact and full of spiritual fervour; and his verses on the subject are unique in the sense

¹ For a detailed note on the Bhagavati Ārādhanā, see A. N. Upadhye: Brhat-kathākota Intro. pp. 50 ff.

·, `,

that they are composed by a writer who is not only a learned theologian but a religious poet of no mean order.

Far more comprehensive in treatment and simpler in style is the exposition of the Anuprekṣās in Subhacandra's Jñānārṇava, which calls them Bhāvanās and devotes more than 190 verses to the subject. Subhacandra may be assigned to about the eleventh century, and his Jñānārṇava is an authoritative work on Yoga.¹ Although the author disclaims poetical pretensions (1.19), he handles Sanskrit verse with remarkable felicity and sometimes employs elaborate metres. The following verses from the section on Anitya-bhāvanā will illustrate his style:

गीबते यत्र सानन्दं पूर्वाह्ने छिछतं गृहे । तिस्मिनेय हि मध्याह्ने सदुःखिमह रुधते ॥ गगननगरकव्यं संगमं ब्रह्मभानां जळद्पटळतुक्यं यीवनं वा धनं वा । सजनस्तकारीरादीनि विश्वष्टानि क्षणिकमिति समस्तं विद्धि संसारकृत्तम् ॥

Subhacandra concludes his exposition with an eloquent verse in praise of the Bhavanas:

एता द्वादक्षभावनाः खलु सखे राज्योऽपवर्गश्चियसाचाः संगमलाकसैर्घटीतुं मैत्रीं प्रयुक्ता हुवैः । एतासु प्रगुणीकृतासु नियतं सुक्त्यक्षना जायते सानन्दा प्रणयप्रसन्नहृद्या योगीन्वराणां सुदे ॥

Amitagati, whose Subhāṣitaratnasamdoha and Dharmaparikṣā are wellknown works, wrote also a S'rāvakācāra 'Duties of laymen', of which the fourteenth chapter deals with the Anuprekṣās in 84 verses composed in a variety of metres (Rathoddhatā, Dodhaka, Upajāti, Puṣpitāgrā, Vasantatilakā etc.). The following verses may serve as specimens:

यौषनं नगनदीस्यदोपमं शारदाम्बुदविलासजीवितम् । स्वमक्ष्यधनविभ्रमं धनं स्थावरं किमपि नास्ति तस्वतः ॥ 14. 1 पातकमाश्रवति स्थिररूपं संमृतिमारमवतां न यतीनाम् । वर्मधराष्ट्र नरान् रणरङ्गे कापि भिनति शिलीसुखजालम् ॥ 14. 53

Vadabhasimha, who flourished in the early years of the eleventh century and wrote the poem Kṣattracūdāmani and the prose romance Gadyacintāmani, devotes more than fifty verses to the Anuprekṣās in the former work (11. 28-80). The verses are rather pedestrain in style and composed in the Sloka metre. In the last verse the Self is exhorted to have abiding faith in the exquisitely pure Jaina religion:

तवात्मकात्मनीनेऽस्मिन् जैनधर्मेऽतिनिर्मेले । स्ववीयसी दिनः स्वेयादा मुकेर्मुकिदाबिनी ॥

After Vādībhasimha we may mention Hemacandra, who flourished in the twelfth century. He deals with the Anuprekṣās, called by him.

¹ Subhacandra wrote between 959 A.D., the date of composition of *Yatastilaka*, which is quoted by him in *Jūdūdrpava*, and 1227 A.D., the date of one of the manuscripts of his work. See Chap, I and Pt. Nathuram Premi's Jaina Sāhitya aura Itihāsa p. 440.

Blavanis, in his Yogasastra 4. 55-110, besides devoting a large number of supplementary verses (antaraslokāh) to the topic in his own commentary on the work. There are, for instance, only three main verses in the text on Samsara-bhāvanā, while there are 90 supplementary verses in the suopajāa commentary, containing an imaginative description of the grief and despair of the gods at the prospect of their fall from heaven (vv. 69-89). The following striking verse also occurs in the commentary (Verse 53, op. cit.):

साधीयां मानुसुसाराज्ये तस्मीसुसः। दूरभावे सुतस्को सूको नान्तसुसः क्रवित् ॥ Hemacandra eloquently describes the universal discipline and equilibrium maintained by the sustaining power of Dharma in the verses on Dharma-svākhyāta-bhāvanā (4. 96 ff.):

> आह्नावयति नाम्भोधिराश्वासयति चाम्बुदः । यम्महीं स प्रभावोऽयं श्रुवं धर्मस्य केवकः ॥ म ज्वकसम्करितंग् यसूर्थ्वं वाति नामिकः । अधिन्समहिमा तत्र धर्म एव निवन्धनम् ॥ etc.

After Hemacandra comes Siddhasena Süri who wrote his Sanskrit commentary on Nemicandra's Prākrit work *Pravacanasāroddhāra* in 1192 a. p. In this commentary Siddhasena devotes more than 130 verses to the Bhāvanās, some of them being composed in elaborate metres. Siddhasena's exposition is not without literary merit, as will be seen from the following specimens:

सुमितरमितः श्रीमानश्रीः सुन्नी सुन्नवितः सुतनुरततुः स्वाम्यस्वामी प्रियः स्कुटमियः । नृपतिरनृपः स्वर्गी तिर्थेक् नरोऽपि च नारकस्तदिति षहुधा नृत्वस्यस्मिन् भवी भवनाटके ॥ यत् प्रोहाममदान्धसिन्धुरघटं साम्राज्यमासाधते यितःशेषजनप्रमोदजनकं संपद्यते वैभवस् । यत् पूर्णेन्द्रसम्बुतिर्शुणगणः संप्राप्यते यत् परं सौमाग्यं च विज्ञमते तदसिकं धर्मस्य सीकावितस् ॥

Among later accounts of the Anuprekṣās one of the most noteworthy is that of Āśādhara in his Anagāradharmāmṛta 6. 57-82. He wrote this work about the middle of the thirteenth century and added to it a svopajāa commentary after the manner of Hemacandra. He employs elaborate metres, and a good specimen of his style is furnished by the following verse on the rarity of Bodhi or the attainment of the Right Path.

द्वुष्मापं मान्य रत्मन्नयमसिकजगत्सारमुत्सारयेयं नो चेत् प्रज्ञापराधं क्षणमपि तवरं विप्रकर्षोऽक्षपूर्तैः । तत् किंचित् कर्म कुर्या ववनुमवमवत्केशसंक्षेत्रसंविद्वोधेर्विन्देय वार्तमपि न पुनरनुपाणनास्याः क्षुतस्याः ॥

A brief but interesting exposition of the Bhavanas in Apabhramsa verse is found in Somaprabha's Kumārapālapratibodha written in 1184 A. D.² on the conversion of king Kumārapāla of Gujarat to Jainism and his instruction in that religion by Kemacandra. The work is written in Prākrit, but certain

¹ The date is mentioned at the end of the work: कृरिसाग्रविसंख्ये अविवसन्तृपतिवस्तरे चैते।

² Winternitz: History of Indian Literature, Vol. II, p. 571.

portions are in Sanskrit and in Apabhramsa, among them the verses on the Bhāvanās towards the end of the third chapter. The following two verses are cited as specimens:

रावा वि रंड सबणो वि समु जणओ वि तणड जणिण वि कळमु । इह हो इ नह व्य कुकस्मवंतु संसाररंगि बहुक्यु जंतु ॥ एक्सझड पावह जीवु जन्मु एक्सझड भरह विडम्बस्मु । एक्सझड परभवि सहह दुक्खु एक्सझड घर्मिण छहह सुक्खु ॥

We are not concerned with the various comments on the Anuprekṣās in prose, but it may be pointed out that they are elaborately expounded in the Bhāṣya on Tattvārthasūtra 9.7 and Pūjyapāda's commentary thereon. The Anuprekṣās are dealt with in detail also in Cāmuṇḍarāya's Cāritrasāra written in prose in the eleventh century.¹ This account is based on that of Pūjyapāda who is sometimes copied verbatim, but the description of the Asravānuprekṣā is interesting as containing a reference to the story of Yasodhara and Amṛtamati. A detailed interpretation of the Anuprekṣās is found also in Brahmadeva's commentary on Nemicandra Siddhāntacakravartin's Dravyasamgraha (verse 35). Brahmadeva has been assigned to the thirteenth century.²

Despite the extent of the literature on the Anaprekṣās, we do not find in it much originality of thought, as the prevailing characteristics of the various literary attempts to deal with them are rather elaboration and illustration designed to elucidate the cardinal teachings of the Jaina faith. Every writer on the subject seeks to express himself in as striking a manner as possible; and both in point of style and ideas Somadeva's exposition ranks high among the metrical compositions on the twelve Anaprekṣās. Most of his verses on them are translated below.

1. Anityanupreksa

(Thoughts on the Transient Character of Things) उत्सन्य जीविवजकं बहिरन्तरेते रिका विशन्ति महतो जळमञ्जकस्याः । एकोद्यमं जरति यूनि महत्यणी च सर्वेकपः पुनरयं यवते कृतान्तः ॥

These (five) life-breaths draw the water of life, like a water-wheel, and leaving it outside, enter the body empty.⁸ Death, the destroyer of all, acts with equal effort on old and young, great and small (2.105).

¹ Winternitz: History of Indian Literature, p. 387.

² A. N. Upadhye: Paramātmaprakāša, Introduction, p. 72.

³ The process of breathing is represented as a sort of gradual exhaustion of the vital force, bringing death nearer and nearer.

्रेक्षः पर्वे सम्रति कम्युत्तमः प्रमतं कालेप रेणुनिपर्व पपका विभृतिः । आस्थायतीय अवसा पविद्यासुसाव छाः स्तवत् करमता अपि विद्वसम्ते ॥

Unstable Prosperity raises a man high and brings him low again, as a whirl-wind does a heap of dust. People strive hard for the pleasure afforded by lovely women, but the latter slip away, like mercury, even when securely possessed (2. 107).

धूरं विनीत्तमिव सजानवत् कुलीनं विद्यामहान्तमिव धार्मिकमुत्स्जन्ती । चिन्ताज्वरमसवभूमिरियं हि लोकं लक्ष्मीः सलक्षणससी कलुधीकरोति ॥

The goddess of wealth forsakes the valiant and the humble, the good and the highborn, the learned and the pious. A moment's friend, like a villain, she is a source of the fever of anxiety and corrupts the world (2. 108).

वानि भुनोर्दक्षि गतावसकावस्त्रेषु यासां मनः कुटिस्तास्तरिनीतरङ्गाः । अन्तर्न मान्त इव दृष्टिपये प्रवाताः कसाः करोतु सरसासरसावतासीः ॥

Who can render women sincere, women with large and nimble eyes? The crookedness of their minds seems to overflow their hearts, like the waves of a river, and comes into view in their words, eyebrows and eyes, in their gait, and in the curls of the hair (2. 109).

संहारबद्धकवलस्य यमस्य कोके कः पश्यतोहरविधेरवर्षि प्रयातः । यसाजगन्त्रयपुरीपरमेश्वरोऽपि तत्राहितोद्यमगुणे विधुरावधानः ॥

Who in the world hath ever passed beyond the range of Death that acts like a robber, ready to clutch and destroy? Even Jina, the overlord of all the three worlds, is powerless against Death when the latter exerts himself (2. 110).

इत्थं क्षणक्षयहुताशमुखे पतन्ति वस्तूनि वीदय परितः सुकृती यतात्मा । तत् कर्म किंचिद्नुसर्तुमयं यतेत यसिकसौ नयनगोचरतां न याति ॥

Thus seeing on all sides the things falling into the destructive fire of Death, the virtuous self-restrained man should strive to pursue that line of conduct wherein Death appears nevermore (2. 111).

2. Aśarananupreksa

(Thoughts on one's Helplessness)

नृत्तोदयेऽर्थनिषये इत्ये स्वकार्ये सर्वः समाहितमतिः पुरतः समासे । जाते स्वपायसमयेऽम्युपतौ पतत्रेः पोतादिव इतवतः शरणं न तेऽस्ति ॥

When thy wealth is on the increase and thy heart bent on spending,¹ everybody stands to attention before thee. But, like a bird flying from a ship on the sea, thou hast none to save thee at the hour of death (2. 112).

बन्युजनैः सुभटकोदिभिरासवर्गेर्भज्ञास्तत्र्वविधिभः परिरक्षसायः । जन्युर्वकाद्धिवकोऽपि कृतान्तत्त्त्रैरानीयसे यमक्साय बराक एकः ॥

¹ Srulasagura says 'सकार्य धनकार्य हर्य निले वर्तमाने सति'.

Even when a man is stronger than an army, being protected by multitudes of kinsmen, millions of warriors, and trusty followers with all the resources of counsel, arms and troops, he is brought under the sway of Death by the latter's messengers—miserable and all alone (2. 113).

संसीदतस्तव न जात समस्ति शासा त्वतः परः परमवाससमप्रवाधेः।

तकां किते त्विष यदो दुरितोपतापसेनेयमेव सुविधे विश्वशासवा कात् ॥ Good-natured one, once thou hast attained the full measure of Bodhi (right knowledge, conduct and faith), thou hast verily no protector other than thyself in thy ills. The army of Sins and Sufferings is helpless so long as

3. Samsārānuprekļā

(Thoughts on the Cycle of Transmigration)

कर्मापितं क्रमगतिः पुरुषः शरीरमेकं स्वजस्यपरमाभजते भवान्धी । शैरुष्योपितिव संस्कृतिरेनमेवा नाना विवस्त्रयति विज्ञकरैः प्रविद्योः ॥

thou art devoted to Bodhi (2 114).

In the ocean of existence, the transmigrating soul leaves one body, the result of Karma, and resorts to another. The cycle of existence, like an actress, deludes the soul with many a marvellous show (2.115).

दैवाद्दनेष्यविगतेषु पदुर्न कायः काये पटी न पुनरायुरवासवित्तम् । इत्यं परस्परहतास्मिभरास्मधर्मेकोंकं सुदुःस्वयति जन्मकरः प्रवन्धः ॥

When by chance wealth is acquired, health is absent. When there is health, longevity attended by wealth is lacking. Thus the process of birth and rebirth inflicts misery on the world with its mutually contradictory attributes (2. 116).

आसां भवान्तरविधौ सुविषयैयोऽयमत्रैव जन्मनि नृणामघरोश्वभावः । अस्यः पृथुः पृथुरपि क्षणतोऽस्य एव स्वामी भवस्यनुष्यरः स च तत्पदार्हः॥

Let alone the mighty ups and downs in the births to follow; even in this life men are subject to rise and fall. The small becomes great and in a moment the great becomes small. The master becomes servant and the latter attains the former's rank (2. 117).

वैधिम्यमित्यमनुभूव भवाम्बुराहोरातङ्कवाडवविडम्बितज्ञन्तुवारेः । को नाम जन्मविष्याद्यपुष्यकस्पैः स्वं मोहबेन्स्गरकाां क्रुतथीः कटाक्षैः ॥

Thus realising the varied moods of the ocean of existence, whose waters, to wit, the creatures, are oppressed by the submarine fire of deadly disease, what wise man will let himself be bewitched by fair women's glances that seem to be the flowers of the poison tree of life? (2.118)

4. Ekatvānuprekļā

(Thoughts on one's Loneliness)
एकस्वमाविशसि जन्मनि संक्षये च भोकुं स्वयं खकुरकर्मफ्कानुबन्धम्।
अन्मो न जातु सुस्वनुःसविभी सहायः स्वाजीवनाय मिलितं विटपेटकं ते ॥

Thyself thou indergoest birth and death, all alone, to suffer the consequences of thy own deeds. Thou hast no companion in happiness or misery. Only a crowd of parasites gathers round thee to make their living (2.119).

बाह्यः परिमद्दविवस्यवं दूश्मासां देहोऽयमेति न समं सहसंभवोऽपि । किं ताम्यस्य स्वमनिशं क्षणहृष्टवारासमञ्जूषणमण्डिरमोद्दयाशैः ॥

Let alone thy external possessions, even the body, coeval with thee, will not accompany thee at death. Why dost thou suffer evermore from such fetters of delusion as wife and children and wealth and home, appearing and disappearing in a moment? (2. 120).

संशोच्य शोकविवशो दिवसं तमेकमन्येषुरादरपरः खजनसावार्थे । कायोऽपि मसा भवति प्रचयाचिताग्नेः संसारयन्त्रघटिकाघटने स्वमेकः ॥

Whelmed with grief, thy relatives will mourn thy death only a day, but on the morrow they will be eager only for thy wealth, and the body will be reduced to ashes by the flames of the funeral pyre. Alone thou pliest the water-wheel of life! (2. 121).

एव खर्च तमच्छैनंतु कर्मजाकैर्त्तुतेव वेष्टयति नष्टमतिः खर्मेकः । पुण्यात् पुनः प्रश्नमतन्तुकृतावस्म्बस्तद्वाम धावति विधृतसमस्तवाधम् ॥

Alone and erring, verily a sentient being is enmeshed, like a spider, in the rigid network of Karma, of his own free will. But by dint of religious merit he advances to that state of bliss, free from all pain, threading his way with the aid of spiritual calm (2. 122).

5. PRTHAKTVĀNUPREKŞĀ

(Thoughts on the Distinction between the Body and the Self)

देहात्मकोऽहमिति चेतसि मा कृयास्त्वं त्वत्तो यतोऽस्य वपुषः परमो विषेकः । त्वं धर्मशर्मवस्रतः परितोऽवसायः कायः पुनर्जवतया गतथीनिकायः ॥

Never imagine that thou art composed of the body, because the body is utterly different from thee. Thou art all consciousness, an abode of virtue and bliss; whereas the body, because it is inert, is an unconscious mass (2. 123).

जासीदति त्वयि सति प्रतनोति कायः क्रान्ते तिरोभवति भूपवनाविक्पैः । भूताध्मकस्य स्ववना सुसाविभावसस्मात् कृती करणतः पृथगेव जीवः ॥

The body exists and grows so long as thou art in existence. When thou art dead, it disappears in the form of earth, air and the like. Composed of the elements, it is devoid of feelings such as joy, like a corpse. Hence the blissful self is surely different from the body (2. 124).

सानम्बसम्बनमनादिमनम्बन्नकिमुद्धोतिनं निरूपलेपगुणं प्रकृत्या । कुरवा जवाधवसिमं पुरुषं समुद्धाः संतापयम्ति रसवद्दरिताप्रयोऽमी ॥

And the second s

The Self is by nature deathless and without any beginning, endowed with bliss and infinite power, and luminous and pure. The powerful flames of sinful Karma heat it, like mercury, after lodging it in the body (2. 125).

क्यांसवायुभवनात् पुरुषः परोऽपि प्रामोति पातमञ्जभासु सवायमीषु । तस्मात्तवोः परममेव्विदो विवृग्धाः श्रेयस्यदाद्धतु यत्र भ जन्मयोगः ॥

Under the intoxicating power of Karma, even a man of superior merit goes reeling down to unhappy births. Se let the wise, who know the cardinal difference between the body and the Self, strive for the bliss that is free from rebirth (2. 226).

6. A SUCITVANUPREKSA

(Thoughts on the Impurity of the Body)

भाधीयते यदिह वस्तु गुणाय कान्तं काये तदेव सुहुरेखपवित्रभावस् ॥ छायाप्रतारितमनिर्मकरन्ध्रयन्धं किं जीव छाक्यसि भक्करसेतदङ्गम् ॥

Whatever is beautiful becomes unclean in a moment, when applied to the body to lend it charm. Deluded by the complexion, why dost thou, o soul, cherish this perishable frame, beset with cavities of dirt? (2. 127).

बोषिद्भिरादतकरं कृतमण्डनश्रीर्थः कामचामरक्षिकव केशपाद्यः। सोऽयं त्ववि श्रवणगोचरतां प्रवाते प्रेतावनीतु चनवायसयासगोऽभूत्॥

This thy lock of hair, beautiful as the fly-whisk of the god of love, and elegantly dressed by ladies with careful hands, will, on thy death, find itself in the haunt of wild ravens on cremation-grounds! (2. 128).

अन्तर्वहिर्पदि अवेद्वपुषः शरीरं दैवासवानुभवनं ननु वूरमास्तास् । कौत्रहलादपि यदीक्षितुमुत्सहेत कुर्यासदाभिरतिमन्न भवान्वादीरे ॥

If by any chance the interior of the body were visible outside, and thou couldst bring thyself to look at it even out of curiosity, contact being out of the question, then thou wouldst indeed delight in the body! (2. 123).

तसाधिसर्गमिक्षनादपि कञ्जनचाः कीनाशकेलिमनवासवियोऽविराय । कायादतः किमपि तत् फलमर्जयम्तु यसादनम्तसुलसस्यविभूतिरेषा ॥

So let men, having learnt the truth even from the body, unclean by nature, and without taking thought of death, attain before long that inexpressible goal which yields a rich harvest of infinite joy! (2. 130).

7. Asravānupreksā

(Thoughts on the Influx of Karma)

सन्तः कवायकसुषोऽश्चभयोगसंगात् कर्माण्युपात्रंयसि वन्धनिवन्धनाति । रखः करेणुवशगः करटी वयैतास्त्वं जीव शुक्ष तदिमानि तुरीहितानि ॥

With thy heart contaminated by passions, thou dost acquire Karma, the cause of bondage, owing to thy assiduity in evil activities; just as an elephant forgetting himself in the company of his mate, earns for himself the ropes that bind him. Renounce, therefore, o sentient being, all thy misdeeds (2. 131).

संकारकारतासंभाषणात्वदीयं चेतो निमक्तति मनोश्यसागरेऽसिन् । सत्रार्थतास्य चकासिः म किंवनापि पक्षे परं भवति कदमवर्शभावसः ॥

Thy heart is submerged in the ocean of desire, because it clings to the all-giving tree of imagination. Thou dost thereby gain really nothing, but only followest the path to sin (2, 132).

श्रेर्धं विश्वतिषु सनोवितसंश्रयाणां चसुर्भवत्तव निजातिषु मोघवान्छम् । पापागमाय परमेव भवेद्विमृद कामात् कृतः सुकृततृत्वतां हितानि ॥

Thy mind, o fool, absorbed in its hankerings and frustrated in its desires, jealously contemplates the splendour of the objects of desire, but only paves the way to sin. How can those who are remote from virtue acquire joys by merely yearning for them? (2.133).

दौषिध्यदग्धमनसोऽन्तरुपात्तभुक्तेश्चित्तं यथोक्कसति ते स्कुरितोत्तरङ्गम् । धाक्कि स्कुरेखदि तथा परमाश्मसंत्रे कीतस्कुती तब भवेद्विकठा प्रसृतिः ॥

Thy birth would never be futile, if thy heart delighted in the Light called the Supreme Self,¹ in the same way as it cheers up, heaving with the waves of desire, when thou thinkest of imaginary pleasures, thy mind consumed by penury (2. 134).

8. Samvarānuprekļā

(Thoughts on the Stoppage of the Influx of Karma)

भागच्छतोऽभिनवकार्मणरेणुराहोजीवः करोति यदवस्त्तलनं वितन्द्रः । सत्तत्त्वचामरधरैः प्रणिघानहत्तेः सन्तो विदुखमिह संवरमास्मतीनम् ॥

The watchful Soul stops the oncoming dust of ever-new Karmas, with the helping hand of meditation, upholding the banner of right doctrines. The good in the world call this samvara (stoppage) that benefits the soul (2.135).

नीरन्त्रसंधिरवधीरितनीरप्रः पोतः सरित्यतिमपैति यथानपायः । जीवस्था क्षपितपूर्वतमःप्रतानः क्षीणास्तवस्य परमं पदमाश्रयेत ॥

Like as a boat without holes and joints safely traverses the ocean, defying the surge of waters; similarly, the soul, with the expanse of earlier Karma destroyed, and the influx of new ones stopped, reaches the Supreme Goal (2. 137).

9. Lokanuprekşa

(Thoughts on the Universe)

मध्याधरोध्वरचनः पवनज्ञयान्तरतुत्यः स्थितेन जघनस्यकरेण पुंसा । पृक्रस्थितिस्यव निकेशयमेष कोकनास्यशिकीर्णजठरोऽप्रनिष्णमोक्षः ॥

Composed of the upper, the middle and the lower regions, and bounded by

¹ For the Jains idea of the paramatman see Chap. X.

the three atmospheres', and surmounted by the abode of liberated souls, the universe resembles a man in standing posture (with outstretched legs), with the hands resting on the hips. Ever in the same position, it is thy abode, its womb filled with living creatures (2. 138).

र्वं कस्मषानुतमतिर्निरये तिरक्षि पुण्योचितो दिवि नुषु इयकर्मयोगात् । इत्यं निपीदसि जगत्त्रयमन्दिरेऽसिन् स्वैरं प्रचारविषये तव छोक एषः ॥

When thy mind is beset with sin, thou art born in hell, or as some lower Thou art born in heaven, when thou hast religious merit, and among mankind as a result of virtue and sin. Thus thou livest in the three worlds. The universe exists for thy wanderings at will (2. 140).

अन्नास्ति जीव न च किंचिर्मुक्तमुक्तं स्थानं त्वया निस्तिङतः परिशीङनेन । तत् केवलं बिगलिता विरूक्तेजालं स्पृष्टं कृत्हलधियापि न जातु धाम ॥

No place is there in the entire universe, o soul, which thou hast not repeatedly enjoyed and left.* But not even out of curiosity hast thou ever approached the place whence all Karma is banished (2, 141).

10. Nirjaranupreksa

(Thoughts on the Shedding of Karma)

आपातरम्यरचनैर्विरसावसानैर्जनमोझवैः सुलक्षवैः स्वलितान्तरङ्गः । द्रःसानुषङ्गकरमजिववान् यदेनसार्वं सहस्य हतजीव नवप्रयातम् ॥

Suffer, o miserable being, the consequences of the pain-bringing, ever-new sins thou hast committed, led astray by the pleasures of life, charming in the beginning and unpleasant at the end (2. 142).

कालुष्यमेषि यदिष्ट स्वयमात्मकामी जागर्ति तत्र नतु कर्म पुरातनं ते। योऽहिं विवर्षयति कोऽपि विमुग्धबुद्धिः स्वस्रोदयाय स नरः प्रवरः कर्य स्मात् ॥

Verily it is due to thy ancient Karma that thou, with desire inbred in thee. makest thyself impure in this life. How can the fool that nourishes a serpent achieve his own success? (2.143).

भावक्रपावकशिकाः सरसावछेकाः सस्ये मनाकानसि ते छत्र विकारनित । तत्काकजातमतिविस्कुरिवालि पश्चाजीवान्यथा यदि भवन्ति कुतोऽप्रियं ते ॥

Thou sentient being, when thy mind is a little at ease, the keenly felt flames of suffering are quickly forgotten. But if the resolve (to practise virtue), taken at the moment of suffering, is subsequently kept in mind, how can sorrow ever be thine? (2.144).

¹ धनवात (gross air), अम्बुवात (vapour), and तनुवात (thin air). See Tattvārthasūtra 3. 1 and Püjyapāda's comm. thereon. Also Jaini's trans. and notes.

² Subhacandra gives another picture of the universe according to the Jain conception in his Judnarnava (Lokabhavana): वेष्टितः पवनैः प्रान्ते महावेगेमेश्वकैः । त्रिमिकिमुबनाकीणी क्रोक् स्ताकतरुखितिः ॥ x x अशे वेत्रासनाकारो मध्ये साञ्चाछरीनिमः ॥ सुरङ्गसङ्ग्रामामे सावित्यं स त्रवासकः ॥

³ i. e. in the course of transmigration.

11. DHARMANUPREKŞA

(Thoughts on Dharma or Religious Virtue)

श्रद्धामिर्सविरवध्तवहिःसमीहस्तरवावसायस्थिकहितस्कवन्यः । बास्मायमारमनि तनोति फलहवार्था धर्म तमानुरस्तोपमसस्तमाहाः ॥

Intent on faith, and discarding external activities, the Self, desirous of the twin results, heaven and salvation, cultivates within itself Dharma (Religious Virtue), laying its foundation with the water of the knowledge of the fundamental principles. The wise call Dharma a plant with the properties of nectar (2. 145).

मैत्रीद्याद्यश्यामागमनिर्वृतानां वाद्येग्द्रियमसरवर्जितमानसानाम् । विद्याप्रभागहतमोद्दमहाग्रहाणां धर्मः परापरफलः सुरूभो नराणाम् ॥

Religious virtue, productive of salvation as well as worldly joys, can easily be attained by men who delight in the exercise of good will, kindness, self-control and forbearance; whose minds are free from sensual cravings; and who have destroyed the spectre of ignorance with the light of knowledge (2.146).

इच्छाः फलैः कलयति प्ररूपद्धि बाधाः चृष्टेरसाम्यविभुरभ्युदयादिनिर्यः । ज्योतीषि दूतयति चारमसमीहितेषु धर्मः स शर्मनिधिरस्तु सर्ता हिताय ॥

A treasure-house of bliss, may Dharma confer benefit on the good! It crowns one's desires with success and wards off all evils and wields unrivalled power over the creation by reason of its vouchsafing prosperity and other results. It sends forth the Lights of supersensuous knowledge as messengers to evoke the aspirations of the Self! (2. 147).

देहोपहारकुतपैः सापरोपतापैः कृत्वाध्वरेश्वरसिर्ध विव्लम्मनीषाः । धर्मेषिणो य दृष्ट केषन मान्धभाजसे जातजीवित्तवियो विवसापिवन्ति ॥

Unintelligent men, who desire to attain Dharma, by worshipping Siva with vociferous texts, recommending animal sacrifices and causing suffering to their own followers and others, are fools that take poison with the idea of preserving their lives (2. 148).

ये अन्यत्र मञ्जमिक्षणगुग्धवोधाः सर्वेषिणः पुनरतः सिवतौ गुणन्ति । ते नावि तारणदशो दवदोऽषकन्त्र्य सुष्पारमञ्जूषिषकं परिकञ्चयन्ति ॥

Those of other faiths, who are befooled by the sight of the efficacy of mystic formulas, and adore Siva and declare salvation to be his gift, are men who seek to traverse the impassable waters of the ocean by supporting themselves on blocks of stone, fancying that they are crossing by boats (2.149).

l Stutesagers says in his commentary कुत्रपास्तुवाँकि नेत्रवातानि इलवेसी::

सञ्च्य शक्तितसमयंत्रियेनियोधसी चार्डेरियमम् तुर्ती न किंचित्। सन्धाक्तिहरूतमान्धितमानसानां दृष्टा न जातु हितवृत्तिरनन्तरायाः॥

The strength of one who lacks knowledge and the knowledge of one who is unable to act as well as the strength and knowledge of one who has no faith accomplish nothing, because the lack of faith renders knowledge and strength futile. The salutary endeavour of the blind or the lame or those who have lost all hope hath never been seen to be free from obstacles (2.151.).

चाव्यां रूची ततुन्तिताचरणे च चूणां रष्टार्थसिद्धिरगदादिनिषेवणेषु । तस्मात प्रापरफलप्रद्धमैकामाः सन्तस्रवावगमनीतिपरा भवन्तु ॥

Men are seen to attain their object in acts like the taking of medicine for health, when they have right knowledge and faith and observe the right procedure. So let the good, who aspire after Dharma that gives worldly happiness and final release, devote themselves to the way of realising the triad of right knowledge, conduct and faith (2.152).

12. Bodhyanuprekşā

(Thoughts on Bodhi or the Acquisition of Three Jewels)

संसारसागरमिमं भ्रमता नितान्तं जीवेन मानवभवः समवापि देवात् । तत्रापि चद्भवनमान्यकुले प्रसृतिः सत्संगतिश्च त्रदिहान्धकवर्तकीयम् ॥

Unceasingly wandering on the ocean of transmigration, a sentient creature is born as a human being by chance. Even then birth in a family respected by the world and association with the good are as rare as the coming of a quail within a blind man's grasp (2. 153).

क्रुच्छाद्वनस्पतिगतेश्र्युत एष जीवः श्वञ्जेषु कस्मष्वशेन पुनः प्रयाति । तेभ्यः परस्परविरोधिस्रगप्रसृतावस्याः पश्चप्रतिनिभेषु कुमानवेषु ॥

Released from birth in the plant world, after much suffering, a sentient being is again born in the hells on account of his sins, then in the genus of animals, mutually hostile, and then again among uncouth men resembling animals (2.154).

संसारवन्नमुद्यास्तवदीपरीतं सातानतामसगुणं मृतमाधितोवैः । इत्यं चतुर्गतिसारत्परिवर्तमध्यमावाहयेत् सकृतकर्मफळानि मोकुम् ॥

Thus, in order to reap the consequences of one's deeds, one has to ply the water-wheel of transmigration, bounded by the vessels of prosperity and adversity, and overflowing with the waters of suffering, and furnished with the expansive cords of sin, with the hub of the wheel rotating in the river of the four conditions of existence (2.155).

¹ Hellish, sub-human, human and celestial. See Tattvārthasūtra 2, 6,

. भारकृतोकमयभोगकलत्तपुत्रेयंः सेर्वेम्मजुबलमा मयोरथासस् । न्तं स मस्मकृतपीरिद रकराशिमुद्दीपयेदशतुमोहमसीमसारमा ॥

He who wastes his human birth, obtained after cherished desire, with thoughts of disease, sorrows, fear, pleasures, wife and children, might as well consign a heap of jewels to the flames for the sake of the ashes. Verily his soul is blackened by mighty ignorance (2. 156).

बाह्मप्रविश्वस्य शमोन्मुखस्य भूतानुकम्पनरुषः प्रियतःबवाषः । प्रत्यकृतवृत्तहृत्वस्य जितेन्द्रियस्य अन्यस्य बोधिरियमस्तु पदाय तसी ॥

May Bodhi (the acquisition of the Three Jewels¹) lead the man with faith to that exalted state, namely, Liberation, the man that is averse to worldly phenomena and eager for spiritual calm, self-controlled, and kind to all creatures, and fond of the truth, his heart devoted to the Inner Self! (2.157).

THE HYMNS

The next category of Jaina religious poetry, the hymns, as far as they are represented in Yasastilaka, consist mostly of verses in praise of the Arhat, the five Paramesthins (Arhat, Siddha, Acarya, Upadhyaya and Sadhu), and the three Jewels (Right Faith, Right Knowledge and Right Conduct). Most of these verses occur in Book VIII, section 35, while another series of verses accompanying various items in the worship of Jina is found in Section 36. Section 37 of the same Book contains a long hymn in honour of Jina in the lyrical metres described in Chap.VII. Reference may also be made to the dhyana (hymn for mental recitation in the course of meditation) in section 39, extracts from which will be found in Chap. X. Verses in honour of the Jaina Sarasvatī, the presiding goddess of the Scriptures, occur in Section 40, which deals with her worship.² While most of these culogistic and devotional verses occur in connection with Sāmāvika or the customary worship of Jina described in Book VIII, isolated verses in his honour are also found elsewhere in the work usually at the beginning and the end of a chapter.

The verses which we have called hymns are composed in elaborate metres in elevated style, as may be expected in a Kāvya poet like the author of Yasastilaka. They are in the nature of a tribute to moral, intellectual and spiritual grandeur, and glorify the sublime in the sphere of religion, asceticism and spiritual culture. The ideal of omniscient and immaculate sainthood, the utter disregard of the body and worldly aspirations, self-control, altruism and universal good-will, right knowledge and faith and conduct: these form the keynote of the eulogies, the abstract

¹ i. e. Right Faith, Right Knowledge and Right Conduct.

² See Chap. X.

character of which may be contrasted with the concrete descriptions of many Brahmanical hymns. At the same time the sectarian character of some of the verses is all too apparent, as their purpose is to glorify Jaina dogmas and tenets, specially the Syādvāda doctrine. Nevertheless, these little hymns or prayers breathe an atmosphere of saintly purity, and proclaim the triumph of Light over Darkness, of the all-pure Self over the obstacles of matter.

The scheme of arrangement of the devotional verses in Book VIII, sections 35-37, is worthy of notice. Somadeva starts with elaborate and complicated prose invocations of the five Paramesthins and the three Jewels, followed by groups of verses styled Bhaktis in the following order: Darsanabhakti (Addressed to Jina), Praise of Right Faith (three verses); Jñānabhakti, Praise of Right Knowledge (three verses); Cāritrabhakti, Praise of Right Conduct (three verses); Arhadbhakti, Praise of the Arhat (seven verses); Siddhabhakti, Praise of the emancipated saints (three verses); Caityabhakti, Praise of the Shrine (one verse); Pancagurubhakti, Praise of the five teachers, that is, the Paramesthins (one verse); Santibhakti, Praise of the Tirthamkara Santinatha (one verse); and Acaryabhakti, Praise of the Preceptor Saints (ten verses). It may be noted in this connection that the use of the term Bhakti in the sense of 'a devotional prayer or hymn' is much earlier than Somadeva, who was no doubt influenced to some extent by the Bhaktis attributed to Pūjyapāda and Kundakunda. The Bhaktis in our work are followed by a description of the ceremonial worship of Jina, dealt with in Book VIII, Section 36, which contains the devotional verses (including a prose passage) recited in the various stages of the ritual. This is followed by the lyrical hymn in Section 37, which is meant to be sung after the formal Somadeva's arrangement of the verses is designed to worship is over. conform to ceremonial requirements, but their independent value as 1 otable specimens of Jaina religious poetry can be easily recognised. The following specimens are mainly from the verses classified above.

DARŚANABHAKTI

ते कुर्वन्तु तर्पासि बुर्धरिषयो ज्ञानानि संचिन्दर वित्तं वा वितरन्तु देव तद्यि प्रायो न जन्मच्छिदः। एषा येषु न विद्यते तव वचःश्रद्धावधानोद्धरा दुष्कर्मोङ्करकुअवन्नदहनचोतावदाता रुचिः॥

Those who possess no faith, ardent with devotion to thy teachings, O Lord,

¹ See Chap. IV for specimens.

² See दश्मिक्ति: edited by Pt. Jinadāsa Pārsvanātha with the commentary of Prabhācandra. It contains Siddhabhakti, Śrutabhakti, Cāritrabhakti, Yogibhakti, Ācāryabhakti, Pañcagurubhakti etc. in Sanskrit and Prākrit. The work is anonymous, but Prabhācandra remarks at the end of the comm. on Siddhabhakti that the Sanskrit Bhaktis were composed by Pūjyapāda and the Prākrit ones by Kundakunda.

(faith) blazing like lightning fire in consuming the grove of sprouting sins, cannot destroy the cycle of births, even if they, with mighty resolve, perform austerities or acquire knowledge or give away wealth in charity.

संवाराम्बुधिसेतुबन्धमसम्प्रारम्भकद्यीवनप्रोद्धासासृतवारिवाहमसिक्नैकोक्यविन्तामध्यम् । कत्वाणाम्बुक्रसण्डसंभवसरः सम्बद्धस्य इती यो घत्ते हृदि तस्य नाथ युक्रमाः सर्गोपवर्गक्षियः ॥

The splendour of heaven and final release, O Lord, is easily attained by the meritorious man who possesses the Jewel of Right Faith in his heart. It serves as a causeway for traversing the ocean of worldly wanderings, and acts like a cloud, showering nectar for the lusty growth of the garden of presperity, of incomparable extent. It is a wishing gem for the entire universe and a lotus pool of mundane bliss.

यदेवैः शिरसा एतं गणधरेः कर्णावतंतीकृतं न्यसं चेतिस योगिभिर्नृपवरेर। झातसारं पुनः । इस्रे दृष्टिपये मुखे च निहतं विद्याधराधीश्वरेसात् स्वादादसरोरुदं मम मनोइंसस्य मूयान्युदे ॥

May the lotus of Syādvāda doctrine delight the swan of my mind! It is cherished by the ascetics in their hearts, and its rich perfume is inhaled by mighty kings. The Vidyādhara chiefs keep it in their hands and mouths and under their eyes.

मिथ्यातमःपटलमेद्नकारणाय स्वर्गापवर्गपुरमार्गनिबोधनाय । तत्त्त्वभावनमनाः प्रणमामि निर्सं त्रैकोक्यमङ्गळकराय जिनागमाय ॥

Ever do I bow to the Doctrine preached by Jina, applying my mind to the contemplation of its fundamental principles: the Doctrine that dispels the enveloping gloom of false tenets, reveals the way to the cities of Heaven and Salvation, and does good to the universe.

CARITRABHAKTI

ज्ञानं दुर्भगदेश्मण्डनमिव स्थात् स्वस्य खेदावशं धत्ते साधु न तत्फलक्षियमयं सम्यवस्वरताहुरः । कामं देव यदन्तरेण विफलासास्तासपोस्मयसस्यै त्वचरिताय संयमदमध्यानादिधान्ने नमः ॥

Salutation to thy Rule of conduct, the abode of self-control, self-restraint, meditation and other attributes! Without it, O Lord, knowledge is a burden, like the decoration of an ugly body. Without it the Jewel of Right Faith, a germinating plant, doth not bear fruit in plenty, and the fields of austerities remain ever barren.

यिन्तामणिरीप्सितेषु वसतिः सौरूप्यसीमाग्ययोः श्रीवाणिग्रहकोतुकं कुठवछारोग्यागमे संगमः। यद पूर्वेश्वरितं समाधिनिधिभर्मोकाय पञ्चारमकं तथारिश्रमष्टं नमामि विविधं सर्गापवर्गासये॥

For the attainment of heaven and final release, I venerate that fivefold Rule of Conduct, varied in character, which was practised by

¹ The five kinds of Right Conduct (सम्बक्तारित्र) are, roughly speaking, सामायिक (equanimity), केरोबम्बाबन (recovery of equanimity), ब्रह्महिन्द्वि (absolute cessation from injury). सहमसाम्बराव (all but complete freedom from passion), and बहाहबात (passionless conduct). See Jaini: Tattvārthādhigamasūtra 9. 18. For details see the commentaries of Pājyapāda and Siddhasena on the sūtra.

the sages of old for the attainment of salvation. It is a wishing gem in granting one's desires, an abode of beauty and luck, a ceremonial wristlet for the wedding of the goddess of prosperity, and a meeting-place of family glory, might and health.

ARHADBHAKTI

ध्यानायकोकविगरूत्तिसिरप्रताने तां देव केवकमर्यी श्रियमाद्धाने । भासीत् स्वयि त्रिशुवनं सुहुस्सवाय स्थापारमम्थरसिवैकपुरं सद्दाय ॥

When thou wast assuming the glory of infinite knowledge, O Lord, and the expanse of Darkness was receding before the Light of thy meditation, the entire world stood motionless, ready for continuous rejoicings, like a city preparing for a festival.

त्वं सर्वदोषरहितः सुनयं वचके सत्त्वानुकम्पनपरः सकलो विधिश्च । कोकस्त्रधापि यदि तुष्यति न त्वयीश कर्मास्य तसन् रवाविव कौशिकस्य ॥

Thou art free from all blemishes, thy words are well-principled, and all thy acts are inspired by compassion for sentient beings. If the world still doth not delight in thee, O Lord, its action is verily like that of the owl in regard to the sun.

मिध्यामहान्यतमसावृतमश्रवोधमेतत् पुरा जगद्भूद्भवगर्तपति । तदेव इष्टिहृद्याक्षविकासकान्तैः स्याहाव्यक्तिमभरयोद्भतवांस्यमेव ॥

In times of yore the world, without enlightenment, was enveloped in the cimmerian darkness of false belief, and submerged in the ravine of worldly existence. It is thou who saved it, O Lord, with the rays of the Syādvāda doctrine, delightful by reason of their opening the lotus blossoms of men's eyes and hearts.

SIDDHABHAKTI

सम्बग्ज्ञानत्रयेण प्रविदितनिखिळज्ञेयतस्वप्रपञ्चाः प्रोज्जूय ध्यानवातैः सक्छमघरजः प्राप्तकवस्यरूपाः । कृत्वा सरवोपकारं त्रिशुवनपतिमिर्देत्तयात्रोत्सवा ये ते सिद्धाः सम्तु छोकत्रयशिखरपुरीवासिनः सिद्धये यः ॥

May the emancipated saints inhabiting the crest of the three worlds grant ye success! With the three kinds of perfect knowledge, they have comprehended the entire range of the knowable truths, and attained omniscience by sweeping away all dust of sins with the breeze of meditation. The lords of the universe celebrate festivals in their honour on the completion of their mission of doing good to all living creatures.

दानज्ञानचरित्रसंवमनयप्रारम्भगभे मनः कृत्वान्तवंहिरिन्द्रियाणि मरुतः संवस्य पञ्चापि च । पञ्चाद्वीतविकरपञ्चालमस्त्रिलं अश्यत्तमःसंतित ध्यानं तत् प्रविधाय ये च मुमुकुस्तेम्योऽपि वद्योऽआखिः ॥ I fold my hands in obeisance to those who attained final deliverance, after

I अवधि (direct knowledge of matter), मनःपर्वेष (direct knowledge of another's mental activity) and केवल (omniscience).

they had completed the entire range of meditation, free from all doubts, all darkness gone, having controlled the internal and external sense organs and the five vital breaths, and concentrated their minds on the application of the law of charity, knowledge, conduct and control of the self.

श्रथं बेडत्र समुद्रकन्यरसरःखोतस्विनीभूनभोद्वीपादिद्यमकाननादितु श्रतव्यानावधानर्द्धयः। कालेतु त्रिषु मुक्तिसंगमञ्जयः स्तुत्याकित्रिविष्टपेस्रो रसत्रयमङ्गकानि दधतौ भन्येतु रहाकराः ॥

Thus did they attain the height of absorption in meditation, in places like the ocean, mountain caves, pools of water, rivers, the earth and the sky, islands, mountains, groves of trees and the woods. Emancipated in all the three categories of time, they are worthy of praise by all the three worlds. Oceans as it were, may they confer on the faithful the blessings of the Three Jewels!

PAÑCAGURUBHAKTI

समवसरणवासान् मुक्तिलक्ष्मीविलासान् सक्लसमयनाथान् वाक्यविद्यासनाथान् । मवनिगलविनौशोद्योगयोगप्रकाशान् निरुपमगुणभावान् संस्तुवेऽहं क्रियावान् ॥

ŚĀNTIBHAKTI

भवदुःखानखशान्तिर्धर्मामृतवर्षजनितजनशान्तिः । शिवशर्माजवशान्तिः शान्तिकरः साजिनः शान्तिः ॥

ĀCĀRYABHAKTI

द्रारूढे प्रणिधितरणावन्तरात्माम्बरेऽसिकासे येषां इदयक्मकं मोद्निस्पन्दवृत्ति । तत्त्वाकोकावगमगलितध्वान्तवन्धस्थितीनामिष्टि तेषामहसुपनये पादयोश्चन्दनेन ॥

With sandal paste I adore their feet! The hold of Darkness on them vanishes at the sight of the light of the Truth, and their lotus hearts are benumbed with joy, when the sun of meditation climbs high in the firmament of their inner souls.

षेपामक्के महत्वजरसैः संगमः कर्दमैवां श्रीविञ्डोकेः पितृवनचिताभसाभिवां समानः। मित्रे शत्रावपि च विषये निस्तरक्कोऽनुषक्कसेषां पूजाव्यतिकरविधावस्तु मुखे हविवेः॥

May the ceremonial offerings made in the course of their worship make ye thrive! It is all the same to them whether their bodies come into contact with liquid sandal or mud, or the amorous caresses of women or the ashes of funeral pyres on cremation grounds; and their mental attitude is calm in regard to friend and foe.

योगाभोगाचरणघतुरे दीर्णकन्दर्पत्रें स्थान्ते ध्वान्तोद्धरणसविषे उयोतिस्मीवभाजि । संमोदेतासृतसृत इव सेत्रमाथोऽन्तरुवैर्येशं तेषु कमपरिचगात् स्वाध्स्वि वः प्रदीपः ॥

May the votive lamp bring ye prosperity on account of its being presented (before their images) in succession! Their inner souls intensely

¹ The past, the present and the future.

² Lit; abodes of jewels,

rejoice, as if filled with nectar, when their hearts, skilled in the practice of expansive meditation, having destroyed the insolence of the god of love, approach the lifting of the Darkness and experience the dawning of the light.

चेषां ध्येयासयकुवळयानम्य चम्द्रोदयानां बोधान्भोधिः प्रमव्सिळ्कैमीति वास्मावकाशे । खब्ध्वाप्येतामसिकसुवनैकर्वकर्मी निरीहं चेतस्रेवामयसपचिती भ्रयसे वोऽस्तु धृषः ॥

May this incense burnt in the course of their worship bring ye good! They are as moonrise in delighting the lotus hearts of the faithful. The ocean of their consciousness overflows with the waters of joy in the expanse of their souls, and their hearts are serene, even after acquiring the riches of the entire world.

देहारामेऽप्युपरतिषयः सर्वसंकल्पशान्तेर्वेषामूर्मिस्यविरहिता ब्रह्मधामासृतासेः। भाष्मास्मीयानुगमविगमाद्वसयः ग्रुद्धबोधास्तेषां पुष्पैश्चरणकमङान्यर्थवेयं शिवाय ॥

Their actions pay no heed to the pleasures of the body owing to the extinction of all desire, and are free from physical cravings and pride owing to their having attained the deathless state in which the Self abides, and are inspired by pure thoughts owing to the absence of all sense of 'I' and 'mine'. May I worship their lotus feet with flowers for my good!

LYRICAL HYMN TO JINA

नमदमरमोलिमण्डलबिलप्तरबांशुकरगगनेऽस्मिन् । धरुणायतेऽङ्कियुगलं यस्य जीयाजिनो देवः ॥ सुरपतियुवतिश्रवसाममरत्तरसोरमञ्जरीरुचिरम् । चरणनखिकरणजालं यस्य स जयताजिनो जगति ॥ वर्णः ॥ दिविजङ्गञ्जरमोलिमञ्दारमकरन्दस्यन्दकरविसरसारभूसरपदाग्बुज । बैदग्वीपरमपद प्राप्तवादजय विजितमनसिज॥ मात्रा ॥

वस्त्वाममितगुणं जिन कश्चित् सावधिवोधः स्तौति विपश्चित्। नूनमसी ननु काञ्चनशैलं त्रक्यति इस्तेनाचिरकाळम् ॥ ॥ चतुष्पदी श ••• सुरमतिबिरचितसंस्तव दलितालिलभव परमधामकःधोदय । कसाव जन्तुर्गुणमघहरणचरण प्रवित्तुतां हतनतभय ॥ जय निश्चित्रनिष्टिम्पाकापस्थः जगतीस्तुतकीर्तिकलत्रतस्य । जय परमधर्महरूबीवतार कोकत्रितयोद्धरणैकसार ॥ जय लक्ष्मीकरकमळार्चिताङ सारस्वतरसनदनाश्चरकः । जय बोधमध्यसिदाविकार्ध मुक्तिश्रीरमणीरतिकृतार्थ ॥ ॥ पद्धतिका ॥ इति तदस्तनाथ कारशरमाथ त्रिभुवनपतिमतिकेतन । मम दिश जगदीश प्रश्नमनिवेश त्वल्पवृत्तिहरूपं जिन ॥ घता ॥

¹ A marginal note in Ms. A explains with as weaper.

VERSES ADDRESSED TO JINA

मकिर्विसं जिनवरणयोः सर्वसचेत्र मेत्री सर्वातिय्ये मम विभववीर्वविरम्बाधातस्ये । सडिकेषु प्रणयपरता चित्तवृत्तिः परार्थे भूयावेतस्रवृति सगवव् धाम वावत्वदीयस् ॥

Constant devotion to Jina's feet, goodwill towards all living creatures, a sense of ability to accord hospitality to all, realisation of the truth of the Self, love of those who possess the true learning, and the application of the mind to the good of others: may all this be mine, O Exalted One, as long as thy splendour lasts!

अमरतक्षीनेत्रानन्दे महोत्सवयन्त्रमाः सरमदमयध्वान्तव्यंसे मतः परमोऽर्पमाः।

अद्यहृद्यः कर्माराती नते च क्रपारमवानिति विसरशब्दापारस्त्वं तथापि भवाद् महात्॥ Thou art a carnival moon in delighting the eyes of celestial maidens, but known to be a mighty sun in destroying the darkness of frenzied passion. Thou art pitiless in regard to Karma, thy enemy, but merciful to the humble. Thou dost thus indulge in opposites, yet art thou great in thy glory!

> जगनेत्रं पात्रं निश्चकविषयञ्चानमहसां महान्तं स्वां सन्तं सक्कनयनीतिस्मृतगुणम् । महोदारं सारं विनतहृद्यानम्द्विषये ततो याचे नो चेन्नवति भगववर्धिविमुक्तः ॥

If thou art not averse to suppliants, O Exalted One, I beg of thee superabundant wealth of joy for my reverent heart. Thou art the eye of the world and the repository of the light of omniscience; thou art great and good, and thy virtues are declared by all schools and systems.

मनुजदिविजलक्ष्मीकोचनाकोककीकाश्चिरमिड चरिवार्थास्वयासादाद प्रवाताः ।

हृदयमिदमिदानी स्वामिसेवोश्यक्तवात् सहवसतिसनाथं हान्नमित्रे विधेहि ॥ Thanks to thy favour, my longing for the graceful glances of the goddess of human and celestial prosperity hath long been fulfilled. Make now this heart of mine, owing to its eagerness to serve its master dwell with thee, the friend of disciples!

VERSES INVOKING THE BLESSINGS OF JUNA.

ध्यानज्योतिरपासतामसम्बदः स्कारस्करकेवछज्ञानाम्भोधितटैकदेशविकसम्बद्धोक्यवेकाचछः । आनम्रेन्द्रशिक्षण्डमण्डनभवत्पादद्वयाम्भोरुद्दः श्रीनाथ प्रथिताम्बयस्य भवतो भूयाजिनः श्रेयसे ॥

O Lord of prosperity, may Jina bring good to thee, of renowned dynasty! He hath dispelled the expanse of darkness with the light of his meditation. The universe, like a coastal mountain, stands only on a part of the shore of the ocean of his perfect knowledge, extensive and refulgent! lotus feet adorn the crown of Indra, bowing in salutation to him! (1. 219).

Most of the benedictory verses occur at the beginning or end of chapters. For example (4.2, 2.245):

तसानत्विष जातकस्मवसुवि प्रादुर्भवक्योतिवि त्रेकोक्यधुभि दत्तवात्र ककुमि स्वर्गिस्सृतानुष्टुभि । वसिम्बन्यति सर्वेकोकमहति स्रोत्रोन्मुखश्रीकृति श्रेयोभाजनतां जनः परमगात् स साछिवे यो जिनः ॥ विजेश स्वरतां पुरः सुरतस्वानैः समं मातले तुर्गं सज्जव सामजं कुद गुरो पामीचितां वाहिनीम् । भासीदित्वमहोबक्समयुर्वि प्रादुर्भवत्केवले यसिन् सर्गपतेर्महोत्सवविधिः सोऽध्यात त्रिकीकी जिनः ॥

A marginal note in Ms. A explains the phrase नवनीत का समयसिदान्त.
 The verse is addressed to Märadatta.

The inferiority of the principal Brahminical deities to Jina, who is not, strictly speaking, a deity but a world teacher and saint of superhuman status and universal power, is declared in the following verse:

कक्मीपतिव्रमृतिभिः कृतपाव्सेवः पायाक्यगम्ति स अवी जिनचन्द्रदेवः । साम्यं त्रिविष्टपष्टतिस्थितविक्रमस्य दंद्राष्ट्रतावनितकस्य इरेनं यस्य ॥ 3.2

Verses in honour of the Srutadevatā, the presiding goddess of sacred lore, the Jaina Sarasvatī, occur in connection with her worship, which is described immediately after the discourse on meditation (dhyānavidhi). One of these verses is cited below:

चक्कः परं करणकन्द्रवृतिदेशें मोहान्धकारविश्वतौ परमः प्रकाशः । तदामगामिषधवीक्षणरवदीपस्त्वं सेव्यसे तदिह देवि जनेन भूपैः ॥

Thou art our sole eye in the perception of objects made inaccessible by the abyss of the senses. Thou art the supreme light in destroying the darkness of ignorance. Thou art a jewel lamp showing the path to the abode of beatitude. Thou art, therefore, O goddess, worshipped by men on earth with offerings of incense (Vol. II, p. 401).

RELIGIO-ETHICAL VERSES

THE WAY OF SAGES

न दैन्यात् प्राणानां म च इदयहरिणस्य रतये न दर्पादङ्गानां न च करणकरिणोऽस्य मदनात् । विभावृत्तिः किंतु क्षतमदनचरितश्चतिथेः परे हेती मुक्तेरिइ मुनिषु न खलु स्थितिरियम् ॥

The sages take nourishment not owing to the frailty of the vital forces nor for the gaiety of the antelope-like heart nor for the vigour of the limbs nor for the exhilaration of the elephant-like senses; but for the supreme object of salvation as propounded in the canon of Jina, who hath destroyed the sway of passion. Is this not the customary practice of sages on earth? (1. 127)

USELESS STRENGTH

धुताय येषां न सरित्वृद्धिः धुतं चरित्राय च वेषु नैव । तेषां विश्वं नतु पूर्वकर्मेश्यापारभारोद्वहनाय मन्ये ॥ Verily the strength of those whose physical growth does not lead to the study of the Scriptures and whose study of the Scriptures does not lead to right conduct, is meant, I ween, for merely bearing the burden of the Karma done in previous births (1. 128).

WHEN DEATH IS WELCOME

जीवस सहरावरतभाजमारिजयुक्तस्य समाहितस्य । भारतियो सृत्युक्पप्रयातः परं प्रमोदस्य समागमाय ॥
Death when it comes is welcome and certainly a cause of joy to a man who possesses the Jewel of Right Faith, is endowed with Right Conduct, and practises pure meditation (1.140)

THE USE AND ABUSE OF HUMAN LIFE

पर्यातं विरसावसानकदुकेरुवावचैर्णाकिनां सौक्येर्मानसदुःसदावदहनस्यापारदग्धाःसभिः । इत्यं सर्गसुकावचीरणपैराकासते विद्यं वज्रोत्यच मनुष्यकम्मनि मनो मोक्षाय चास्यामहे ॥

¹ See Chap, X.

वस्य क्षक्यापि अन्मेर्द व धर्माय समीहते । तसारमकर्मधूमीयु विजन्मन्तां भवाक्षराः ॥

'No more of celestial joys, uneven and bitter on account of their unpleasant sequel, and spoilt by the conflagration of mental anguish!' Thus do the gods, despising celestial happiness, look forward to the day when, born as men, they will be able to say: 'We will concentrate our minds on final release!' Let the sprouts of future births shoot forth in the field of Karma of the man, who does not strive to cultivate piety, even after attaining this human existence (1.142-3).

KARMA

सुसहु सानुसवार्थ निजकर्मगङ्भहात् सुद्रोऽपि । जालाबकप्रतिमिवजन्तुर्यमसमयमायाति ॥
Like a fish caught in a net, a living being, owing to the stranglehold of his own Karma, comes under the law of Death even from afar, in order to experience pleasure and pain (in another birth'). Book V.

HOW MAN BECOMES LIABLE TO REBIRTH

नवं वयभारतरास्तरुग्यो रम्याणि हम्यांणि शिवाः भियमः । एतानि संसारतरोः फकानि स्वगः परोऽसीति स्वैष वार्ता ॥ दोषस्त्वमीषां पुनरेक एव स्थैयाय यद्यास्ति जगत्युपायः । तत्संभवे तत्त्वविदां परं स्वातं सेदाय देहस्य वपःभवासः ॥ बाकस्य मौग्ध्याच तपोऽधिकारो युवा तपसेचदि तन्न दंण्डः । कुदुम्बभाराधिकृतम्म मध्यो वृद्धः पुनर्वृद्धिसहाय एव ॥ परोपरोधादयमेवमाध्मा मिथ्याम्रहमस्तमनःमतानः । स्वयं विजानद्वपि देवद्तैराकृष्य नीयेत सवस्रमाव ॥

Early youth, charming young women, beautiful mansions and salutary wealth: these are the fruits of the tree of life. That there is heaven other than these is definitely untrue. But they have one defect. No means is there in the world to ensure their permanence. If that were possible, the assiduity in religious austerities of those who are conversant with the truth would merely amount to exhaustion of the body. A child is not fit for austerities owing to his ignorance, and a youth is liable to penalty if he should undertake them; while a middle-aged man is responsible for the support of his family, and an old man just manages to subsist. Under the influence of others, a sentient being, although he knows the truth, has his mind in the grip of the demon of false belief, and is dragged along by the messengers of Fate for undergoing the cycle of births (Book IV, P. 94).

DESIRE FOR RELIGIOUS MERIT

महत्प पापं विक्रवति पुण्यासिमनोरथः सुतुष्कोऽपि। कि नास्पो रविरेष विसुवनसामं तमो हन्ति ॥ Even an insignificant desire for the acquisition of religious merit destroys an enormous quantity of sins. Does not the sun, though limited in extent, destroy the darkness reigning in all the three worlds? (Book V).

CHAPTER XII

A CONTROVERSIAL DIALOGUE

One of the most interesting episodes of Yasastilaka is the animated dialogue in Book IV between Yasadhara and his mother Candramati on the subject of animal sacrifice, the former being a convert to Jainism, and the latter a champion of the Brahmanical faith. Certain tenets of the Vedic religion are attacked by Yasadhara in the course of his argument, and he also attempts to establish the ancient and authoritative character of the Jaina faith by citing various texts from what are apparently non-Jaina sources. A dramatic character is given to the dialogue by the use of parenthetic indications resembling stage directions, and but for the numerous quotations, the dialogue is cast in a form that could easily be adapted to the stage. Another, and perhaps more striking, example of a dramatic dialogue occurs in our work in the story of Padmā in Book VII.

The controversy between Yasodhara and his mother starts from the point where the latter asks him to sacrifice all kinds of animals before the tutelary goddess, and then perform an appropriate rite to counteract the effect of his evil dream. While making the request, she asserts on the authority of Manu that animals may be legitimately killed in sacrifices and the worship of the gods and the Manes, and, as a matter of fact, a Brāhmaṇa, killing animals on such occasions, ensures for himself as well as the victims a state of bliss after death. Further, the killing of animals in sacrifices is not killing at all, since animals have been created by Brahman himself for the purpose of sacrifices, and sacrifices are meant for the good of all.

Yasodhara, closing his ears and heaving a sigh, expresses surprise at the amount of evil that can be done by delusion and its cause ignorance, and entreats his mother to allow him to speak a few words. After a preliminary discussion on Cārvāka views, which mother and son agree in repudiating, Yasodhara admits the desirability of a rite for counteracting the effect of an evil dream, but firmly objects to the expedient of sacrificing animals before the goddess, as suggested by his mother. The protection of living creatures, he argues, is the primary duty of Kṣatriyas, and it would be a repudiation of that duty if he killed harmless animals. A king, in fact, takes up arms only against an armed foe on the battlefield or a malefactor of his kingdom, but never against those who are wretched or lowly or well-meaning. 'How then, mother', asks Yasodhara, 'can I strike living creatures with weapons, myself pure in my conduct in relation to this life and the life beyond?' Further,

¹ See Chap. XVI.

has she forgotten that only the previous day the minister's son Nitibrhaspati had, at her instance, taught him certain significant verses on the subject?

न कुर्वीति स्वयं हिंतां प्रवृत्तां च विवारनेत् । जीवितं वस्तारोग्यं समझान्स्य महीपतिः ॥ यो त्यात् कासनं मेदं कृत्यां चापि वर्तुवराय् । एकसः श्रीवितं द्यात् करेन व समं अवेत् ॥ यथातमंत्रि शरीरस्य प्रःमं नेन्सन्ति सन्तवः । तथा यदि परस्वापि न प्रःमं तेत् सावते ॥

'A king who constantly desires longevity, strength and health must do no injury to living creatures himself nor allow it to take place when planned by others. One may give away the Meru mountain of gold as well as the entire earth. The result will not be equal to that of saving the life of a single sentient being. Suffering will cease to exist among living creatures if they no longer wish to cause physical pain to others, just as they do not wish to cause it to themselves.'

Yasodhara points out that there are many commendable expedients other than the killing of animals for propitiating the Brāhmanas and the gods, and sustaining the body. Why do honest people then commit the sin of killing? Besides, animal flesh is full of impurities: if it pleased the gods, we would rather worship the tigers!

संवर्पणार्थं द्विजवेषतानां पुरुषयेमङ्गसः च सन्त्युपाषाः । अन्येऽपि कोके बहुवः महास्ताः सन्तः कुदः पापमिहाचरन्ति ॥ ग्रक्कोणिवसंस्वमञ्जूषीनां निकेवनम् । मांसं चेव् मीनयेदेवानेवद्वाजानुपासाहे ॥

Yasodhara declares the current opinion that the gods are pleased with animal sacrifices to be false, and says

हताः कुपाणेन बनेऽपि जन्तवो बाढं ज्ञियन्ते गळपीडनास । अदिन्त चैतान् स्वयमेव देव्यो ज्याधाः स्ववार्हाः परमञ्ज सन्तु ॥ कृत्वा मिषं दैवसयं हि छोको मधे च मांसे च रिंव करोति । पुनं न चेहुर्गतिसंगमिः स्वाहुष्कर्मणां कोऽपर एव मार्गः ॥

'Animals surely perish in the woods, killed with swords, or strangled to death, and there are goddesses who of their own accord devour them. But the tigers alone are worthy of praise (for they themselves kill and eat unlike the gods who make others kill). People are addicted to wine and flesh on the pretext that these serve to please the gods. But if the wicked do not go to hell in this way, what other way thitherward is open to them?' Further, if Dharma is really based on the killing of animals, why is hunting called pāparddhi, 'progress in sin'? Why is it customary to bring in flesh under cover? Why is flesh called Rāvaṇasāka, 'Rāvaṇa's herb'? Why is it shunned on sacred days? Yasodhara terminates this part of his argument by quoting a number of authoritative texts in support of abstention from killing.

Law-books.

Hearing these words, Yasodhara's mother says to herself:

आता—(स्वतम्) अहो मदीये छुते सांभ्रतं जैनजनवात इव लगः प्रतिमासते। विषम् बाह्य मदल्यं जनः। ब्यान्तिः समयान्तिःपरिवत्तप्रतीकाराज्यप्यन्त्रेषां मनसि प्रावेण प्रयत्तोहर इव हरलाईतो लोकः। तहासनावासितं हि चेतो न अभ्राज्यि शक्यतेऽन्याकर्तुम्। दुविकित्याव सह करिणां कृटपाकल इव प्राणिनां क्षपणकोपनीतिविक्तत्यामिनिवेसः।

'Ah, now the Jaina wind seems to have taken possession of my son. These Jainas are difficult people to deal with, because like thieves, they beguile the minds of men, though long protected by the doctrines of other schools. Once the mind is imbued with their ideas, Brahman himself cannot divert it to other channels. The cast of mind produced in men by the Jainas is indeed beyond remedy, like the fever of elephants.' Candramati then recollects the priest's son Sivasarman having told her that the king had met a Digambara Jaina ascetic living under a tree, and for a long while discussed religious topics with him. She remarks that her son has since evinced a dislike for wine, meat, hunting and animal sacrifice, and demonstrated his hostility to the injunctions of the Vedas and the

Then addressing herself to the councillors, and calling them monsters and destroyers of her son and the kingdom, and despoilers of the people, she gives vent to her wrath and censures them for allowing her immature son, despite her instructions to the contrary, to come into touch with the Digambara Jainas, who are, like magicians, habitually skilled in bewitching the world. She addresses her son in a menacing tone, and calling him a raw Carvaka, tells him that she has understood him well. and can herself give him a suitable reply. She asks him how he can ever have any leaning towards the religion of the Digambaras, which makes no provision for the gratification of the gods, the Manes and the Brahmanas, and for dips in sacred waters as well as oblations in the fire; a religion outside the jurisdiction of the Vedas and the Law-books. None but him would care to talk with those heretics, who speak ill of the gods and the Brahmanas, eat standing like brutes, and are devoid of all sense of shame and personal hygiene. Besides, their system came into being only in the Kali Age, and not even their name was known in earlier times. They hold quite unreasonably that men become gods and the gods are many.1 To speak the truth, the Veda is the sole authority in the matter of religion, and there is no god other than the Veda. He who does not know the Veda well does not know the regulations of the four castes and the four stages of life. Then if Yasodhara has any devotion

¹ The reference is to the twenty four Tirthankaras' or Apostles worshipped as divine beings.

to the gods, let him worship Siva or Visnu or the Sun. When they are pleased, they transport their worshippers in a moment to their respective cities, and when gratified, vouchsafe even a kingdom.

न तर्पणं देनपितृहिबानां स्थानस होमस्य व चासि वार्ता ।
स्रुतेः स्मृतेवांद्वातरे च धीसे धर्मे क्यं द्वम दिगम्बराणाम् ॥
दक्षाः पद्यां सदकं प्रसन्ते ये स्वावा शांचगुणेन हीनाः ।
स्वतः परसीः सद्य को हि गोहीं करोतु देवद्विजनिन्द्केश्व ॥
नामापि पूर्व व समस्त्रमीवामभूत् कको वर्षनमेतदीवस् ।
देवो मनुष्यः किल सोऽप्यनेकस्त एवमिच्छन्ति च निर्विचारम् ॥
धर्मे प्रमाणं सल्ल वेद एव वेदात् परं देवतमत्र नास्ति ।
थो वेद सम्यङ् न हि वेदमेनं वर्णाश्रमाधारमसी न वेद ॥
भयास्ति मक्तिस्तव देवतेषु हरं हरि वार्षय मास्करं वा ।
नयन्ति हृद्याः स्वपुरीं क्षणेन तुद्याः प्रवच्छन्ति च राज्यमेते ॥

Hearing these words, Yasodhara reflects within himself that even washing with nectar cannot cleanse a heart that is by nature black as charcoal. Yet he recognises that his opponent in the debate is his own mother, 'the presiding deity of the kingdom', and she is at liberty to speak as she likes to him. Then addressing his mother and entreating her to pardon him for what he is going to say, he replies to the charges brought by her against the Jaina religion, and in so doing severely criticizes certain aspects of the Brahmanical faith.

Speaking of Śrāddha, Yasodhara argues that if it is true that the Pitrs have betaken themselves to earthly existences or celestial abodes as a result of their good deeds, they cannot have any need for annual offerings of rice-balls, which are actually taken by Brāhmanas and crows:

मर्तेषु चेत् सम्रसु नाकिनां वा विभाय पुण्यं पितरः प्रयाताः । तेवामपेक्षा द्विजकाकमुक्तैः भिण्डेभेषेद्वर्षकृतेनं कापि ॥

Further, the ancients prescribed Śrāddha and other rites by way of religious observances, because religion has to be practised by all decent people, desirous of their own good, in one form or another; and no one, as a rule, practises religion unless there is an occasion for it:

चेनापि केनापि भिषेण मान्यैक्षेमों विषेषः स्वहितैकतान्यैः। जनेन कामेन कृतः पुराणेमीमीऽयमात्मास्युद्यप्रवीणैः॥

तिनितिसं व कोऽपीह जनः प्रायेण धर्मचीः । अतः आखादिकाः प्रोक्ताः कियाः कुशकश्रुद्धिभाः ॥

Similarly, the observance of Amāvāsyā, pilgrimage, reception of guests, Srāddha rites, and observances connected with particular days, occasions and stars have been declared by the ancients to be conducive to the religious merit of those who are unable to practise constant charity:

वर्षतीर्थातिविश्वास्त्वास्त्वास्तारकाः । निश्चं दातुमशकानां प्रण्यायोकाः प्ररातनैः ॥

It is not true to say that the Jainas do not gratify the Twice-born. They do worship the Munis (Jaina ascetics), who are 'Twice-born', being once actually born, and born a second time at the time of initiation into the religious vows. Nor is it true to say that Jainism does not recognise ceremonial baths, because the Jaina householder is enjoined to take a bath before worshipping the Arhat or studying the Sacred Books or devoting himself to meditation. One must not, however, think that a mere dip in a river or a pool of water or a tank or the ocean leads to any religious merit, for in that case it is the aquatic animals that would go to heaven first. It has been rightly said that those who are maddened by passion, hate and pride, and addicted to women, are never purified even by bathing at hundreds of sacred sites:

जम्मैकमात्माधिगमो द्वितीयं भवेग्युनीनां व्रतकर्मणा च । भमी द्विजाः साधु भवन्ति तेषां संतर्पणं जैनजनः करोति ॥ स्नात्वा वजेतासमध्यागमं चा पठेचादि ध्यानग्रुपाचरेद्वा । स्नानं भवेदेव गृहाभितामां स्वर्गापवर्गागमसंगमाय ॥ सरित्सरोवारिधिवापिकासु निमजनोन्मजनमात्रमेव । पुण्याय चेकहिं जलेवराणां स्वर्गः पुरा स्वादितरेतु पश्चात्॥

तदाह-रागद्वेषमदोस्मत्ताः स्त्रीणां ये बशवर्तिनः । न ते कालेन ग्रुस्थन्ति स्नानात्तीर्थशतैरपि ॥

As regards Homa, it is impossible that the gods who feed on nectur and live in luxury in heaven should ever partake of oblations made in the fire. The expression againukhāh, as applied to the gods, means those who have faces bright as fire', just as candramukhā kanyā means a moon-faced girl'; but it does not mean, as is usually supposed, 'those who have Fire for mouth'.

Yasodhara then refutes the charge that Jainism is a modern creed unknown to the Vedas and the Law Books, by citing a number of texts supposed to contain references to Jaina ascetics, images and doctrines. It is, accordingly, concluded that just as there are four oceans and six seasons, similarly there are four schools of thought and six systems of philosophy including, of course, the system of the Jainas.

चःवार एते सहजाः समुद्रा यथैष कोके ऋतबोऽपि वट्ट च । चःवार एते समयासभैव वहु दर्शनानीति बदन्ति सन्तः ॥

The speaker next defends the custom of Jaina ascetics who eat standing and that of the Digambara ascetics, who wear no clothing owing to their determination not to possess anything. As for personal hygiene,

¹ See Chapters XIII and XVIII.

² See Chapter IX.

they carry a water-pot with them, and cleanse any particular limb that might be soiled. Surely, when a man is bitten by a snake on one of the fingers, he cuts off the finger and not the nose:

वावत् समयं वयुक्तवार्षौ वावत्व पानिह्नवमेति वन्त्रम् । वावन्युनीनामको प्रवृत्तिरकाश्येग स्थितभोजनाको ॥ वाकाग्रकोदावपि वत्र संगे निर्विक्षवन्त्वं परमं न तिहेत् । सुसुक्षवन्त्रम् कर्षं तु कुर्युमैति तुक्काजिनवष्टकछेतु ॥ शौर्षं निकामं सुनितुंगवार्षां कमण्डकोः संभवनात् समस्ति । म वाक्रको सर्पविक्षितायां किमति भासो सञ्ज कमिद्दम् ॥

Yasodhara emphasizes the purity of the Jaina religion:

, ,

बर्मित जैनासमिहासमेते रागादयो यत्र न सन्ति दोषाः। सद्यादिश्वन्दोऽपि च वत्र दुष्टः शिष्टैः स निम्चेत कयं सु धर्मः॥

'The Jainas call him an Apta who is free from passion and such other blemishes. How can the cultured censure a religion wherein even terms like wine are condemned'? The Arhat, free from passion, is contrasted with a Brahmanical god. The latter is blind with rage in dealing with enemies, and loves his wives: if such an individual is a god, the universe is full of gods:

परेषु योगेषु मनीषयान्यः त्रीतिं दघासासम्परित्रहेषु । तथापि देवः स वदि प्रसक्तमेरजनदेवमयं समस्तम् ॥

Yasodhara then condemns the use of wine, flesh and honey; and recounts the evil consequences of drinking.

कजा न सजा डुशकं न शीलं शुतं न पूरं न वरः प्रचारः । मधेन मन्दीकृतमानसानां विवेकनाशाच विशावकाः ॥

Those who are stupefied with drink have no sense of shame nor propriety of conduct nor knowledge of sacred lore nor commendable activities; they are as ghosts owing to the loss of the power of discernment.'

The authority of the Veda is next attacked. The speaker contends that the injunctions of the Veda are guided by the instincts of the crowd, and often self-contradictory. No sure clue is to be found in the Veda as to what is to be done and what avoided, and the Veda can in no sense be an authority for the world.

वयाचनाक्तमयं प्रवृत्तः परस्परार्थमतिकूलवृत्तः । विभी निवेधे च न विश्वयोऽसि क्यं स वेदो जगतः प्रमाणम् ॥

An instance of how the Veda follows the instincts of the crowd is found in the fact that the common people desire to take meat, and the Veda sanctions meat-eating by prescribing certain rules for it, e. g., meat is to be taken after ceremonially sprinkling it with water in accordance with the desire of the Brāhmaṇas, and it is also permissible to take it after worshipping the Manes and the gods. Similarly, the Veda legitimizes the lewd desires of certain people by sanctioning incest in the Gosava

तथा हि मांसं चेदाचरित्तमिच्छित आचर । कि तु विधिमूर्वकमाचितस्यम् । तदाइ—प्रोक्षितं मक्ष्वेत्यांसं माक्षणानां तु काम्बया । "अर्थविक्सा मिष्टम् देवात् सादन् मांसं न दुष्पति ॥

C

'It is very wellknown that poison is the remedy for poison, and fire for fire. On similar grounds, even destruction of life may lead to religious merit. The number of ploughs is not six on every plot, my child.'

Further, a person in the habit of probing a matter too much with minute investigation gains thereby nothing, and it is advisable to do as the world does, since the path followed by the many is the true path.

न कापि पुंसः पुरुषाधैसिदिः स्क्मेक्षवातीवपरीक्षकस्य ।
जगध्यवाहेण तु वर्तिसम्यं महाजनो बेन गतः स पन्धाः ॥
Candramati goes on to praise the joys of wine and flesh-eating.
विकासिनीविक्षमदर्पणानि कन्य्पेसंतर्पणकारणानि ।
कियाश्रमण्डेदकराणि हातुं मधूनि को नाम सुधीर्यतेत ॥
मताः समा मन्मथतत्त्वविद्विर्मृताः कियो मध्विवर्जिताश्च ।
ये सुक्षते मांसरसेन हीनं ते सुक्षते कि तु न गोमयेन ॥

'What wise man would attempt to give up wine, the mirror of the graceful gestures of fair women, wine that excites love and removes fatigue? Women who abstain from wine are as good as dead in the opinion of adepts in erotic lore. And those who eat without the flavour of meat verily eat with that of dung.'

Yasodhara's mother concludes her appeal by citing a number of Smrti verses on the subject of meat-offerings to the Manes. But the son remains unconvinced, and after a brief soliloquy makes his final declaration on the points at issue.

रजक्रमोभ्यां बहुक्क्स पुंसः पापं सतां नैच निद्दर्शनाय । नाप्येनसामसजतामपेक्षा जातो कुछे चा रजसामिवास्ति ॥ जातिर्जरा मृत्युरयामयाचा मृत्येषु चान्येषु समं मवन्ति । पुण्येजेनेम्योऽम्यचिकाः कितीशा मनुष्यभावे त्वविशेष एव ॥ यथा मम प्राणवचे भवत्या महान्ति दुःस्तानि भवन्ति मातः । तथा परेषामपि जीवहानौ भवन्ति दुःस्तानि तद्गिक्कानाम् ॥

'The sin committed by a man overpowered by evil propensities and delusion is no example for the good nor does supervening sin, like dust, make any distinction of family or caste. Birth, old age and death and disease and the like are common to kings and others. But kings are superior to other men in religious merit; no difference exists so far as the human aspect is concerned. Mother, just as thou wouldst feel profound sorrow if I were killed, similarly the mothers of others would be aggrieved if their sons were to lose their lives.'

¹ The speaker means to say that there is no hard and fast rule that himsa in every case will lead to sin.

The reference is to the sin of men like Gautama and Visvāmitra who are said to have killed living creatures for preserving their own lives.

³ Yasodhara refers to the religious responsibility of kings, which makes them uphold the principle of ahimsā.

Further, if it had been possible to save one's own life by taking the life of another, the kings of old would never have died; and if the sastric injunction sanctioning flesh-eating were to be applied universally, one would have to eat even the flesh of dogs and crows!

परका जीवेन वदि सारका पूर्वे कितीसाः कुत एव सञ्जः । सास्तं तु सर्वेश्च यदि प्रमाणं बकाकमसिऽपि अवेस् प्रवृत्तिः ॥

Yasodhara then attacks the belief that the sacrifice of animals leads to heaven:

कोलेन्द्रवैकींकमनोऽनुकूकैः स्वाधीवनाथागम एव सृष्टः। सर्गो पदि स्वात् पद्धहिंसकानां स्वाकृतां तर्हि भवेत् स कामम्॥ मन्नेण धार्वेगैकपीवनाद्वा वेचां वहिस्वापि वधः समानः। सर्गो यदि स्वान्मसहिंसितानां स्ववान्धवेवेज्ञविधिनं किंत् ॥

'This Vedic lore was created by sensual persons, favourable to the inclinations of the populace, in order to make their own living; and it is the butchers who would mostly go to heaven if that was the destination of those who killed animals in sacrificial rites. Killing is the same whether it is done at the altar or outside, whether it is accompanied by Vedic formulas or brought about by strangulation or weapons. If the animals killed in sacrifices go to heaven, why is it that one's kinsfolk are not sacrificed?'

Yasodhara illustrates his point further by a story in which a goat, about to be sacrificed, is represented as saying to the priest:

नाहं स्वर्गफलोपभोगतृषितो नाम्यर्थितस्यं मया संतुष्टस्तृणभक्षणेन सत्ततं हन्तुं न युक्तं तव ।

सार्ग वान्ति यह लवा विभिद्धा यह भूवं प्राणिनो यहं कि न करोबि मात्वितृक्षिः पुत्रैक्षया बान्ववैः ॥
'I am not eager to enjoy the bliss of heaven nor have I asked thee for it.
I am ever content with the eating of grass; it is not right for thee to kill me. Verily, if the animals slain by thee in sacrifices go to heaven, why dost thou not sacrifice thy parents, children and friends?'

Yasodhara's mother makes no further attempt to argue with her son, and falling at his feet, in spite of his remonstrances, entreats him to accept a compromise in the matter of sacrificing a cock at the altar of Candikā and partaking of its flesh. Yasodhara agrees most unwillingly, and the debate comes to an end.

The discussion between Yasodhara and his mother belongs to a long series of texts wherein Jaina writers have attacked various tenets and practices of the Brahmanical religion, especially those connected with the sacrifice of animals in Vedic rites. There is in fact a whole literature of such attacks interspersed in Jaina writings in Sanskrit and Prākrit, but there are few as systematic and comprehensive as that in our work, not to speak of the dramatic realism which characterizes the dialogue.

CHAPTER XIII

Jainism and Other Faites

POPULAR JAINISM

The period of Rāṣṭrakūṭa hegemony in the Deccan was perhaps the most flourishing epoch of Jainism. Not only in the Rāṣṭrakūṭa empire but in the feudatory Ganga kingdom (Mysore), the religion of the Arhat enjoyed royal patronage and esteem and the support of the people, especially of the commercial classes. The ninth and tenth centuries mark, in fact, an era of great expansion of Jaina culture in that part of India in the sphere of religion, philosophy and literature. Somadeva's Yasastilaka, a contemporary record of documentary value, throws considerable light on the position of Jainism and its relation to other religions during an important period of Indian history.

In the Deccan of the tenth century Jainism was, as it has always been, the religion of an influential and ambitious minority, and nowhere in Jaina literature is the propagandist note more clearly heard than in Somadeva's Yasastilaka. It would appear that, as late as the tenth century, the charge of being an upstart religion lacking in the prestige of antiquity was levelled against Jainism; and Somadeva tries to prove the ancient origin of the faith by citing the authority of a number of texts and authors, supposed to contain, according to him, appreciative references to the Jaina creed and Jaina monks. Unfortunately some of the authorities cited by him do not seem to be very old, while there are others of a dubious character, if not altogether spurious; and they do not, on the whole, carry him very far in defending his thesis. For example, Somadeva claims to find a reference to the expedient of worshipping a Jaina monk for the cure of illness caused by his wrath in a verse of the Jyotisa Vedanga,* but the verse in question is not found in the latter work and seems to be a quotation from a later astrological text. Similarly, in another text, cited anonymously, Brhaspati is represented as expounding before Indra the Syadvada doctrine.8 The quotations from Citrokarman and Adityamata relating to portraits and idols respectively of Jaina Tirthamkaras are no doubt authentic and genuine, but the two works cited by Somadeva cannot be very old, although earlier than the tenth century.

¹ Yasastilaka, Book IV.

² कथं नाम क्योतियाहे वयनमिदमुक्तम्-समभं शनिना दृष्टः क्षपणः कोषितः पुनः। तक्क्कास्तस्य पीढावां तावेद परिपूजवेद ॥ Thid.

^{3 &#}x27;सांख्यं योगो लोकायतं चान्नीक्षिकी। तस्यां च स्वादित्त स्वाक्षास्तीति नग्नम्रमणक इति इहस्पतिराखण्डलस्य पुरस्त समर्थं कथं प्रस्ववतस्य ।' Ibid.

⁴ See Chap. XVIII.

while Varahamihira, from whom Somadeva quotes a verse containing a reference to the cult of the Jinas practised by the naked ascetics, fourished only about four centuries earlier than the composition of Yasastilaka.1 Somadeva then quotes a verse from a work called Nimittadhyaya, which describes the visit of 'ascetics free from worldly ties' as auguring prosperity to a place, and he obviously takes the expression nirgranthasca tapodhanah to mean Jaina monks. The date of this work is unknown, but it seems to be referred to by Śāntarakṣita in Tattvasamgraha (vv. 3511 ff.). He says that if Vedic authority is required as proof of the omniscience of the Buddha, reference should be made to the Nimitta Sākhā of the Veda wherein the Exalted Buddha, the Omniscient sage, is clearly mentioned by the learned Brāhmanas. The Nimitta Śākhā of the Veda seems to be a fiction and is probably the same as the Nimittadhyaya cited by Somadeva, which might be supposed to contain a reference to the Buddha. That the Nimitta Sākhā was not a Vedic school is shown by the statement of Santaraksita that the hostility of the Brāhmaņas to the Buddha was responsible for the fact that this particular Šākhā was not included among the Vedic schools, although the text concerned, obviously the same as the Nimittadhyaya, could be converted into a Vedic text at will by adding the necessary accents.4 However that might be, the citation from the Nimittādhyāya does not further to any great extent Somadeva's argument in support of the antiquity of the Jaina religion. Similarly, after citing the texts mentioned above, he goes on to enumerate a large number of poets including Bhāravi, Bhavabhūti, Kālidāsa and Māgha, and claims that the Jaina faith enjoys a great reputation in their works.

The anxiety of Somadeva to establish the antiquity of Jainism illustrates the desire of a member of a minority community to enhance the prestige of his faith, so essential for its propagation. The duty of a pious Jaina to his religion is clearly formulated in connection with the angas or components of Samyaktva; and one of his sacred obligations seems to be ganavardhana, expansion of the community, to be carried out by appropriate methods explained by Somadeva in detail. The evidence of Somadeva

¹ See Chap. XVIII.

विविद्यार्थावे च--पश्चिमी राजहंसाथ निर्मेन्याय तपोधनाः । यं देशस्पर्यन्ति स्निक्षं तत्र निर्दिशेत् ॥

अतित नेद्रप्रमाणस्यं गदि शुष्पाभिरिष्यते । तत् किं मगवतो मूदैः सर्वज्ञस्यं न गम्यते ॥ तिमित्ततान्नि सर्वज्ञो मगवान् मुनिसत्तमः । शाखान्तरे हि विस्पष्टं पद्भवते बाह्यणैर्वुषेः ॥

⁴ अब शास्त्रान्तरं नेदं वेदान्तर्गतमिष्यते । तदत्र न निर्मित्तं यो द्वेषं मुक्त्वाडवधार्यते ॥ स्वरादयश्च ते धर्माः प्रसिद्धाः मृतिमाविनः । कर्तुमत्रापि शक्यास्ते नरेच्छामात्रसंभवात् ॥

^{5 &#}x27;....राजकेसरादिमहाकविकान्त्रेषु """कर्ष तदिश्या महत्ती प्रतिदिः' Book IV. For poets in question see Chap. XVIII

⁶ Book VI.

⁷ See Chap. X.

clearly shows that while the Jainas were eager to propagate their religion, they were definitely opposed to the admission of undesirable elements to the fold of Jainism, and preferred to welcome those who had voluntarily adopted the faith. At the same time there was a tendency to show considerable latitude to those converts who were unable to forgo completely their former customs and beliefs. As Somadeva says, a person of this category should be given a mixed reception, since the total loss of a new adherent is by no means desirable.¹

As we have already pointed out, Somadeva defends certain Jaina practices which seem to have provoked adverse comment in non-Jaina circles.3 The nudity of the Digambara monks was one such example, and there is an interesting episode in Yasastilaka Book V, wherein another attempt is made to justify it. Prince Yasomati is disgusted at the sight of the Jaina monk Sudatta in the garden of the Sahasrakūṭa temple, and the companion of the prince explains to the merchant Kalyanamitra that the king's displeasure is due to his having seen the inauspicious nude fellow before him. Kalyanamitra who is a good Jaina makes a spirited reply, in the course of which he asserts that nudity is natural and it is clothing that is artificial: one is nude at birth and during childhood and at the time of enjoying physical comforts; Siva is nude and so is the recluse who cuts off the tuft of hair on his head. If nudity is objectionable, why is the cow honoured every day? It is sinful and harmful objects that are inauspicious; if sages, devoted to the good of all sentient beings, and purified by knowledge, meditation and austerities are an inauspicious sight, what else can be auspicious in the world? The frequency with which Somadeva defends the nudity and other practices of the Digambara monks seems to suggest that these were considered to be unattractive features of the Jaina creed in the eyes of non-Jainas, and it was accordingly deemed necessary to remove all misconceptions in regard to them in order that there might not be any obstacles in the path of the propagation of Jainism among the masses.

Jaina propaganda seems to have been subtle and effective. In Yasastilaka, Book IV, Yasodhara's mother describes the Jainas as weaning away the people from other systems, like thieves, and calls the Digambaras magicians expert in fascinating the world. It was also part of Jaina

¹ For the relevent verses see Chap. X, section VI.

² See Chap. X.

³ सुखानुभवने नम्नो नम्नो जन्मसमागमे। बास्यै नम्नः शिको नम्नो नम्नरिष्ठकशिखो यतिः॥ नम्नत्वं सहजं लोके विकारो वक्कवेष्टनम्। नम्ना चेयं कथं बन्धा सौरभेयी दिने दिने ॥...ग्रानध्यानतपःपूताः सर्वेसस्विते रताः। किमन्यन्यम्भूकं लोके मुनयो वष्मभूकम्॥

⁴ See Chap. XII.

propaganda to hold up the tenets of other faiths to ridicule and dilate on the excellence of Jainism. Somadeva, for instance, indulges in unbridled criticism of contemporary non-Jaina faiths and lays emphasis on the essential purity of the Jaina religion. He says among other things that the Omniscient and trustworthy Guide postulated by the Jainas is free from all blemishes such as the passions, and Jainism condemns even the use of words signifying wine and similar objects: how can the wise ever decry a religion like this?1 Further, it may be noted that Jaina writers often indulge in what is called dharmapariksā or the examination of the relative merits of different religions with a view to demonstrating the superiority of Jainism to the others. Somadeva, for instance, examines in his romance various religions and systems, and enumerates the different theories of salvation held by the non-Jaina schools of thought by way of contrasting them with the Jaina view of Moksa, which by implication is claimed to be superior to the rest. Amitagati. who is somewhat later than Somadeva, wrote in 1014 A.D. a treatise called Dharmapariksā to establish the superiority of Jainism to the Brahmanical religion by pointing out the fallacies and weak points of the Puranic mythology. It is noteworthy that Dharmaparikṣās were composed also in Prākrit, Apabhramsa and Kanarese. Vrttavilāsa (c. 1160 a. d.) produced a Kanarese version of Amitagati's Sanskrit work: "it tells how two Ksatriya princes went to Benares, and in successive meetings with the Brahmans there, exposed the vices of the gods as related in the sacred books." Harisena wrote a Dharmaparikea in Apabhramsa in 988 A.D., and this again is based on a Dharmaparikṣā composed by Jayarāma in Prākrit.3 Lesser in scope but earlier than these is the episode of Dharmapariksā in Uddyotana's Prākrit romance Kuvalayamālā Book IV. Here we find that the tutelary goddess Srī presents king Drdhavarman with a mysterious document. inscribed on a gold plate in Brāhmī characters, and containing what turns out to be the fundamental tenets of the Jaina religion. The next day the king summons an assembly of learned men of different communities and asks them to expound their religious tenets to see whether they correspond to those recorded in the inscription. The Jaina Sadhus alone declare that the doctrines set forth in the document constitute the true faith. Jainism is

¹ For the verse in question see Chap. XII.

² Rice: Kanarese Literature, p. 37. Brahma Siva (c. 1125) is another Kanarese writer, who in his controversial work Samaya-parikee "points out the defects of rival creeds, and justifies the Jaina position."

³ See Dr. Upadhye's article in The Jaina Antiquary, Vol. IX, p. 21.

⁴ See Ratnaprabha's Sanskrit version, p. 202: तदाक्रण्यं राजा धर्मपरीक्षार्थं देवतागृहे कुछदेवतां श्रियमाराथवामास etc.

accordingly declared to be the best of religions, and the king decides to adopt the Jaina faith and enter the monastic order. The shortcomings of other religions and the excellence of Jainism form the sum and substance of Jaina propaganda, reminding one of a certain type of Missionary literature of modern times. Dogmatic assertions declaring the supremacy of the Jaina religion are, as is to be expected, frequent in medieval Jaina literature. Vādibhasimha, for instance, invites the ambitious man, desirous of the supreme beatitude, to betake himself to the sacred Jaina faith, 'a lion in destroying the elephants, the wrong creeds:' (Kṣatracūdāmaṇi 11. 105):

एवं निर्मेलधर्मनिर्मितिमिदं शर्म स्वकर्मक्षयप्राप्तं प्राप्तमतुच्छनिच्छतितरां यो वा महेच्छो जनः । सोऽयं तुर्मेतकुश्वरप्रहरणे पञ्चाननं पावनं जैनं धर्मसुपाश्रयेत मतिमाक्तिःश्रेयसः प्राप्तये ॥

Nothing could be more incorrect than to assume that the success of medieval Jainism was due solely to subtle and successful propaganda. The latter was no doubt an important factor, but the progress made by the faith and the royal patronage enjoyed by it under the Cālukyas, the Rāṣṭrakūṭas, the Gangas, and the Hoysalas as well as under certain feudatory rulers should be attributed rather to the zeal of a succession of saintly Jaina teachers and the confidence inspired by the intellectual eminence of scholars and writers like Akalamka, Vīrasena, Jinasena, Gunabhadra, Pampa, Ponna, Puṣpadanta, Vādirāja, Prabhācandra and others. Such men could be expected to hold their own and maintain the prestige of their faith in a world of thought dominated by the leaders of the Brahmanical revival from Śamkara and Kumārila to Udayana and Rāmānuja.

The old philanthropic ideals of Jainism seem to have been upheld in the tenth century. In a remarkable verse of Yasastilaka Somadeva gives expression to the religious ideal of the true Jaina: devotion to Jina, good will

The recently published Dhūrtākhyāna of Haribhadra throws further light on the character and composition of the Dharmaparīkṣā texts. Haribhadra's Dhūrtākhyāna, composed in Prākrit verses in the eighth century, is a lively satire on the Puranic legends, and as such allied to the Dharmaparīkṣās of Amitagati and others, but it is free from the theological bias and the propagandist tone of the latter. Jayarāma's Dharmaparīkṣā is no longer extant, but that of Hariṣeṇa is available in manuscripts. Hariṣeṇa says that the Dharmaparīkṣā which was formerly composed by Jayarāma in Gāthā metre is now composed by him in Paddhadiyā metre. A comparison of the Dhūrtākhyāna and the Dharmaparīkṣā of Amitagati reveals considerable similarities; and it is probable that Jayarāma, who is so far the earliest known among the Dharmaparīkṣā writers, utilized Haribhadra's work for sectarian purposes. See Dr. A. N. Upadhye's Introduction to Haribhadra's Dhūrtākhyāna edited by Ācārya Jinavijayaji in the Siughi Jain Series No. 19. See also Dr. Upadhye's paper 'Hariṣeṇa's Dharmaparīkṣā in Apabhramśa' in the Silver Jubilee Number of the Annals of the B. O. R. I., vol. XXIII, pp. 592-608.

towards all creatures, hospitality to all and an altruistic disposition.1 The four gifts of protection, food, medicine and religious instruction, which constitute the groundwork of Jaina charity, are duly emphasized by Somadeva in connection with the customary vows of a Jaina householder. It is, however, necessary to point out a certain parochial attitude noticeable in the matter of according hospitality and rendering charitable assistance to persons who were not adherents of the Jaina faith. Somadeva distinctly says that those who do not possess the Three Jewels, that is, do not believe in the fundamental Jaina dogmas of Right Faith, Right Knowledge and Right Conduct, are not eligible for gifts; and that charity practised in favour of those who hold wrong views and indulge in wrong conduct, that is, all who are outside the pale of Jainism, leads only to evil consequences, like the feeding of serpents with milk. Hospitality towards such people should be limited to a residual portion of food to be taken away, as a Jaina layman is forbidden to entertain them in his house. He is also asked to keep at arm's length members of other communities such as the Buddhists, the Saivas and the Brahmanas who perform sacrifices lest familiarity with them should lead to friction and disputes ending in violence. Isolation rather than amity based on free social intercourse was the object aimed at in inter-communal relations.

Jaina writers often attack the Hindu caste system, and we need refer only to the strictures of Jaṭāsimhanandi on the theory of castes in his Varāngacarita 25. 2 ff. It is, however, well-known that the caste system is prevalent among the present-day Jainas almost to the same extent as among the Hindus; and it has been said that 'in practice the modern Jaina is as fast bound as his Hindu brother in the iron fetters of caste. 'Evidence recorded by Somadeva in Yasastilaka goes to show that conditions were not far different in the tenth century. He says, for instance, that the three higher castes are alone eligible for religious initiation, while all the four including the Sūdras are fit to receive gifts of food.' The status of

¹ See Chap. X1.

² See Chap. X, section XV.

⁸ For the relevant verses see Chap. X, section XV.

⁴ In Dharwar, for example, Jain Kshatriyas have disappeared, but Jain Brahmans, Vaisyas and Südras remain. Jain Südras are also called Jain Caturthas [?]. There are various restrictions on interdining. 'If Karnatak Jains go to Gujarat they do not dine with Gujarat Jains, nor, when they come to Dharwar, do Gujarat Jains dine with local Jains'. Bombay Gazetteer, Vol. XXII, p. 117.

^{5 &#}x27;दीक्षायोज्याखायो वर्णाक्षरवादक्ष विभोचिताः' Yasastiiaka, Book VIII, section 43. A marginal note in Ms. A says: शहरूजैनानामपि विभा आहार उन्तितो दीयते इसकें:.

the Jaina Sudras does not appear to have been more favourable than that of their brethern in Hindu society.

It was impossible for Jainism to remain unaffected by the influences of local customs, beliefs and cults. Ample testimony is borne to this by diverse religious customs such as the burning of the dead and the offering of rice-balls to the crows on the tenth day, the belief in ghosts and spirits, and the worship of various deities, like Padmāvatī.¹ Cakreśvarī, Padmāvatī, Ambikā and Siddhāyikā are, in fact, well-known goddesses of popular Jainism.² Of these Somadeva mentions Siddhāyikā as 'a city goddess who has inspired faith in many people' and refers to the pleasance dedicated to her as a refuge for all creatures, in Yasastilaka, Book I.³

Medieval Jaina religious Canon seems to have sanctioned, or at least not to have opposed, the observance of local customs and practices. Somadeva says, for instance, that the religion of Jaina householders is of two varieties, laukika and pāralaukika: the former is based on popular usage and the latter on the scriptures. The castes, he continues, are without a beginning and so are their observances; it is immaterial whether the Jaina Scriptures or any other S'āstras are accepted as an authoritative guide with regard to them. Somadeva sums up by observing that it is legitimate for Jainas to follow any custom or practice sanctioned by popular usage so long as it does not come into conflict with the fundamental principles of the Jaina faith or the moral and disciplinary vows. Such views no doubt

¹ Sharma: Jainism and Karnataka Culture, p. 145 ff. The Dharwar Jains are said to have as their family gods Cakreśvara, Dharanendra and his wife Padmāvatī, Lakṣmī-Nārāyaṇa [?], and Kṣetrapāla, whom the head of the family daily worships. They go on pilgrimage to the shrine of Padmāvatī at Hombas in Mysore. Bombay Gazetteer (op. cit.), p. 118.

² For Ambikā see Journal of the University of Bombay, Vol. IX. Part 2, p. 147 ff. She is represented in sculpture, painting and religious texts with two children and a lion as her vehicle. The latter feature makes her akin to the Brāhmanical goddess Ambikā or Durgā, although the mythological background is altogether different. Nevertheless she too has a grim aspect, and is invoked in Jaina Tantric texts as Sivā, Candikā, Aghorā etc. Ambikā is still worshipped in Jaina temples in various parts of the country. There was a temple of Ambikā famous as a place of Jaina pilgrimage at Kodinār in South Kāthiāvāda. Bombay Gazetteer, Vol. I, part I, p. 182.

^{3 &#}x27;तदेवमनेकलोकोत्पादितप्रत्ययायाः पुरदेव्याः सिद्धायिकायाः सर्वसत्त्वामयप्रदावासरसं सरसौमनसं नामोधानम्'. P.107.

⁴ दी हि धर्मी गृह-पानां छोकिकः पारलीकिकः । लोकाश्रयो भनेदाधः परः स्यादागमाश्रयः ॥ Book VIII, Section 34.

⁵ जातयोऽनादयः सर्वास्त्रक्तियापि तथाविथा । श्रुतिः शास्त्रान्तरं वास्तु प्रमाणं कात्र नः क्षतिः ॥ Ibid.

⁶ सर्व एव हि जैनानां प्रमाणं लौकिको विधि:। यत्र सम्यन्त्वहानिर्न यत्र न व्रतद्वणम् ॥ Ibid.

imply a recognition of well-established facts and the unescapable influence of social environment rather than any liberalism in the Jaina religious outlook. Further, as we have already pointed out, Jaina religious opinion was lenient in regard to those adherents of the faith who could not wholly discard their former beliefs and practices, and this, too, seems to have facilitated the infiltration of non-Jaina elements into Jaina social and religious Moreover, co-existence with Brahmanism for centuries appears to have influenced Jaina religious practice in various other directions. Somadeva describes, for instance, the five Yajñas for the Jaina householder, and these are clearly modelled on the five Brahmanical Mahāyajñas, although by Yajña he seems to mean dana, as explained in a marginal note in Manuscript A.1 It has also been pointed out that certain Rastrakūta documents, viz. the Konnur inscription of Amoghavarşa I and the Surat plates of Karkka, which record grants made for Jaina establishments, make provision inter alia for such typically Hindu religious rites as balicarudāna, vaisvadeva, and agnihotra.2 It is no doubt surprising to find provision made for these rites in grants allocated to Jaina temples and monasteries, but there seems to be no reason to suppose that the rites in question were 'introduced in these records by the oversight of the imperial secretariat'. Considered in the light of Somadeva's observations cited above, the rites mentioned in the two inscriptions could perhaps be legitimately performed by Jainas so long as they did not involve any animal sacrifice, and we seem to find here another instance of Jaina adaptation of non-Jaina practices. With regard to agnihotra, the Jainas were no doubt opposed to the Brahmanical rite, but evidence furnished by South Indian Jaina literature clearly shows that the Jainas were not opposed to fire ritual, as such. In a learned note published in the Jaina Antiquary Vol. VI, No. II, p. 64, we are told that in Tamil the term Velvi is always used to denote fire ritual, while the expression Veda-Velvi is used in ancient Tamil literature in the sense of Vedic sacrifice. "Whenever the term Velvi is used alone, it merely means fire ritual without involving animal sacrifice. Such a fire ritual has never been codemned by Jains, while they were staunchly opposed to Vedic sacrifice on the ground of Ahimsa. Even now among the Tamil Jainas fire ritual is a common thing. During their marriages they do have the characteristic marriage Homam with all its necessary details. Even in temple worship it is a common practice among the south Indian Jainas. Hence it is entirely erroneous to conclude that fire ritual is foreign to Jainas and that it could not be performed or attended by a Jaina". We are also told that the term in the Tamil

¹ आवेशिकाश्रितज्ञातिदीनात्मञ्ज यथाकमम् । यथीवित्रं यथाकाङं यज्ञपञ्चकमाचरेत् ॥ Book VIII, section 43. 2 Altekar: The Kästrakülas and their times. p. 314.

epic S'ilappadikāram for such a rite is "Arravelvi, fire sacrifice, according to the doctrine of Ahimsa".

The process of infiltration of non-Jaina elements in Jaina religious practice must have set in before the tenth century, and the gradual transformation of Jainism was already at work when Somadeva formally recognised it and tried to bring it into harmony with the orthodox position of the faith.

OTHER FAITHS

Somadeva attacks various non-Jaina faiths in Yasastilaka which not only throws light on the religious conflict in the tenth century but on the different sects prevalent at the time. The main attacks are directed against Saivism and its different forms, popular Brahmanism, Vedic sacrifices, the Buddhists and the Ajivakas.

ŚAIVISM -- DAKSINA MĀRGA

The relations between Saivism and Jainism are of paramount importance in view of the prolonged conflict of the two religions particularly in the Tamil country and the Deccan. The main doctrinal points at issue between the two systems have been discussed in previous Chapters; and we may here deal with the place of Saivism in the religious life of the country in the tenth century and thereabouts. Somadeva, as we have seen, speaks of two broad divisions of Saivism, the Daksina Mārga and Vāma Mārga. The latter mārga represents the grosser form of Saivism or rather Tantricism; while the former may be said to constitute Saivism proper.

The Saiva system recognises three fundamental concepts: Pati, Pasu and Pāsa. Pati is Siva himself, the lord of the Pasus or creatures who are bound by the Pāsas or fetters. These are five or rather four: Mala (nescience or mental darkness), Karma, Māyā and the world produced by it, and the obscuring power of Siva. The latter has the power both to bind and release, but release cannot be had without undergoing the consequences of one's Karma. Siva, accordingly, creates the world to enable the creatures to serve out the full term of their Karma. Ever merciful, he assumes the rôle of a preceptor (ācārya), and accords initiation (dikṣā), preliminary to final release, to those who have destroyed their

^{1 &#}x27;मलं कर्म च मावा च मावोत्थमित्वलं जगत्। तिरोधानकरी शक्तिरथेप ब्रक्तमुच्यते ॥' quoted in comm. on Tattvaprakāta 1. 5. 'मलोऽज्ञानं पशुस्तं च तिरस्कारकरस्तमः। अविधा साकृतिर्मूष्टी पर्यायास्तस्य वाचकाः ॥ quoted from Kirana. Ibid. 1. 17.

Karma. Emancipation consists in union with or absorption in Siva (Sivasamānatā, sāyujya).

The Dakşina Marga, as interpreted by Somadeva, seems to represent a sort of monistic system under the influence of Vedantic ideas. Siva is regarded as the sole ultimate reality, but the system postulates also thirty-six Tattvas or Principles which last till the dissolution of the creation. They are Siva, Sakti, Sadāsiva (the volitional aspect of Siva), Isvara (the active aspect of Siva) and Suddha-vidya (the omniscient aspect of Siva); Māyā, Kūla, Niyati (the law regulating the nature of things), Kalā (the urge to activity in creatures), Vidyā (intuition), Rāga (desire including the higher impulse) and Purusa; and Prakrti, Buddhi, Ahamkara, Manas, the ten Indrivas, the five Tanmatras and the five elements. It is important to note that Māyā is the constituent material which Siva stirs into action with his Saktis in order to create the universe. The universe is accordingly compared to a magic show, and Siva is spoken of as identical with Maya and its product, the universe. In the ultimate resort Siva is declared to be the sole Reality and the Supreme Principle, in which is reflected the universe composed of the thirty-six Principles, just as a town or a village is reflected in a large mirror.8 Siva is the supreme, deathless Absolute (Brahma), the one and the true, subject to no change or modification, self-luminous and beyond the range of mind and speech. He is accompanied by Māyā, and Māyā is at the root of the creation; it is the indestructible germ of the universe, and inspired by Siva.9 The principle

¹ Tattvaprakāša 1. 15; 6. 2.

² Ibid, 2, 16,

³ आप्रलयं तिष्ठति यद् सर्वेषां भोगदाथि भूतानाम्। तद् तस्यमिति प्रोक्तं न शरीरघटादि तस्यमतः ॥ Tattvaprakāta 6.3. In 5. 7 it is stated that Māyā, Purusa and Siva continue to exist after the dissolution of the Tattvas, and creation starts again.

⁴ असत् स्वतः सदानासमिन्द्रजालं यथा तथा । तां मायां शक्तिभिः स्वाभिनिश्लोश्य परमेश्वरः ॥ स्वक्रमीनुगुणं सृष्टि करोति क्रणानिथिः। Îtanativagurudevapaddhati (Kriyapada)1. 87, 88. Cf. Tattvaprakata 3. 3.

⁵ इत्थं मायादिएअयन्तिमियो नातिविलक्षणेः। अञ्चलाध्ननि निष्यश्रमिन्द्रनाकोपमं जगत्॥ Isanasivagurudevapaddhati (Kriyapada), p. 15.

⁶ The comm. on Tattvaprakāśa 1. 18 says: ज्ञानस्वभाव ध्वायमात्मा शिवः मायातत्कार्यात्मनावभासते । ततः स पव तदुभयमिति । ...तदुक्तमीयर्गीतायाम् स दव मूलमकृतिः प्रधानः पुरुषोऽपि च । विकारा महदादीनि देवदेवः सनातनः ॥

⁷ तर्वं वस्तुत एकं शिवसंशं चित्रशस्त्रिशतखिनतम्। Tattvaprakāša 2. 13.

⁸ सर्वविक्रवंपविद्येन शुद्धं शान्तं व्ययोदयविद्यीनम्। यस् परतर्शं तक्षिन् विभाति वद्त्रिंशदास्य जगत्॥ दर्पणविश्वे यदक्रमरमामादि चित्रमविभागि। भाति विभागेनैव च परस्पर् दर्पणादिष च ॥ Quoted from a शतक्कार् in Srikumëra's comm. on Tattvopraktica 1. 18.

⁹ Of. कूटलमपूर्त बहा सखनेकमिकियम् ।...सदास्मकं स्वयंज्योतिरवाकानसगोवरम् । मायासहायं तद् प्राष्ट्रः विकारतं मनीविणः ॥ Quoted from सिद्धान्तहृदयः in comm. on Tattvaprakāta 2. 5. Also भाषातस्यं जगहीजमिवनाद्यं शिवास्तक्यं quoted from स्वायंगुत in Itanativa (Kriyāpāda), chap. 2.

of Advaita is, on the whole, consistently maintained; and when Siva is described as appearing in the form of Māyā and its product, the universe, it is to be understood that the transformation is apparent and not real. The Saiva system recognises vivarta and not parināma.

The Saiva doctrines corresponding to the Daksina marga mentioned by Somadeva are formulated in Bhoja's Tattvaprakāsa and the İsānasivagurudevapaddhati, an encyclopedic treatise, which quotes Bhoja's work, Jayanta's Nyāyamanjarī and numerous other Saiva works and authors, and may be assigned to the latter half of the eleventh century or thereabouts. It is noteworthy that the kind of Saivism represented in the latter compilation is of the ritualistic and mystic type, due importance being given to Mantradikeā and Kriyācaryā or ritual. The history of medieval Śaivism is yet to be written, but it is this form of Saivism that seems to have been prevalent in Somadeva's time. The quotations and references in the İsanasivagurudevapaddhati show that there was a vast amount of Saiva religious literature extant in the tenth and eleventh centuries. Svayambhuva Tantra quoted in the Paddhati enumerates twentyeight Tantras or Agamas which expound the doctrines of the Saiva system, there being two groups of ten and eighteen. The first group consists of Kāmika, Yogaja, Acintya, Kārana, Ajita, Dipta, Sūksma, Saharsa, Amsumat, and Suprabhedaka, collectively known as the Sivabheda Tantras. The second group of Agamas or Tantras includes Vijaya, Nihévāsa, Prodgīta, Pāramesvara, Āgneya, Mukhabimba, Svāyambhuva, Raurava, Mākuta, Kirana. Lambita, Candrajñāna, Virabhadra, Siddha, Sāntānika, S'arvodgīta, Vimala and Vātūla, collectively known as the Rudrabheda Tantras. A further quotation from the Svayambhuva mentions a four-fold division of the Saiva scriptures into Saiva Tantra, Pāsupata, Vā (Lū) kula and Somatantra: and it is stated that Siva delivers the pure among the creatures from misery by means of these four varieties of Tantras with the help of proper ritual knowledge and Yoga*. The element of Yoga was important in the Saiva system, and involved intense faith in and devotion to Siva, in other words. bhaktiyoga, which was no doubt the mainspring of popular Saivism. The emotional appeal of bhakti is illustrated in the fervent hymn to Siva

¹ Śrikumara (op. cit.) says न च...शिवस परिणामित्वनिति वाच्यं विवर्तवादाअवणात्.

² The Saiva Tantra represents the Saiva system proper. The Päsupata and Lakula, if this is the correct reading, may be regarded as variations of the Päsupata system. Somatantra seems to be same as Somasiddhanta or the Kapalika system.

³ दीक्षादिसस्त्रियाचर्याश्वानयोगैर्महेश्वरः । दुःखपद्काद् मवाम्भोषेस्तारमस्त्रम्लानणून् ॥

composed by the Cedi king Yuvarājadeva II, which is preserved for us in the Bilhari inscription of the rulers of Cedi.

The Saiva system proclaimed the paramount character of the Dharma propounded by Siva. There was a notable revival of Nyāya-Vaiseṣika studies in the ninth and tenth centuries, and the Vaiseṣika system laid great stress on the cultivation of Dharma. The idea appealed to the Saiva teachers who admitted the necessity of Dharma for the attainment of salvation, but contended that the Saiva creed, and not the various other Dharmas propounded by the different scriptures, constituted the paramount Dharma. The Isānasivagurudevapaddhati (Kriyāpāda, 1. 4 ff.) quotes the first of the Vaiseṣika sūtras and says:

अथातो धर्ममिलादिस्वाव् वैशेषिकादतः । धर्मप्रयोजनं मोक्षः प्रोक्तश्वास्युद्यो महान् ॥ धर्मा बहुविधाः क्यातास्रक्तागमचोदिताः । न हि ते सुरूपधर्माः स्युर्मुक्यधर्माः शिवोदिताः ॥ सायाविकारहीनेन विश्वद्वेनामकात्मना । सर्वकर्त्रो शिवेनोक्तं शाखं सुरूपं हि सर्वथा ॥

SAIVA MATHAS AND TEACHERS

The Saiva system was expounded and taught in the Mathas or monasteries many of which were in existence in central and western India in the tenth century or thereabouts. The Karhad plates of Kṛṣṇa III issued in 959 a. p. record the grant of a village to a teacher named Gaganasiva, described as a great ascetic proficient in all the Sivasiddhāntas. He was the pupil of the Ācārya Īsānasiva, the head of the Valkalesvara Maṭha in Karahāṭa (modern Karhāḍ in the Satara district); and the purpose of the grant was the maintenance of the ascetics who lived at the place. It may be assumed that the Valkalesvara Maṭha was large and important enough to have attracted the notice of the Rāṣṭrakūṭa emperor.

A teacher named Gaganasivācārya, described as an ornament to the spiritual lineage of the sage Durvāsas, is mentioned in a Kanarese inscription issued by Dattālpendra Srīmāra, a king of the Alupa family which ruled for many centuries in the Tuluva country corresponding to the modern district of South Kanara and part of North Kanara. The subject of the record is the grant of a plot of land in Kārkala in favour of the Matha

समदक्तिवद्याभः कि किमक्काक् नामिमैदनशयनलीलां भावयन्तीमिराभिः। कनकपुरणवासोरक्कातैनं कृत्यं न हि भवति भवानीवक्कमस्मार्थनं चेत् ॥ Verse 74. (किमिह) वहुमिक्कीर्नाध सर्वस्य हेतुभैनतु भवति नित्यं भक्तिवीगो ममैकः। सकक्षमुस्तिविद्याद् यत्र पीयूषवर्षः स्वयमनुभवणन्यो जायते त्वस्मसादात्॥ Verse 76. Yuvarajadeva II reignad about the last quarter of the tenth century A. D.

² See Chapters VIII and IX.

³ 'करवाडीयग्रककेशरसानपति'-

to which Gaganasiva belonged. If the Gaganasiva mentioned in the inscription, which is not dated, is the same as the teacher named in the Karhad grant of Kṛṣṇa III, it may be presumed that the Valkalesvara Maṭha had a branch in South Kanara, of which Gaganasiva was the head. It is noteworthy that Gaganasiva is called in the Karhad grant not the abbot of Valkalesvara, but a disciple of the abbot Iśānasiva. It is possible that the latter was the head of the maṭha in Karahāṭa and the former of the branch in Tuluva. It may be added that the Alupa kings were Saivas, and Saivism was the predominant religion in Tuluva from about the seventh to the thirteenth century, when the Vaiṣṇava movement initiated by Anandatīrtha came to the fore.

A few years after the Karhad grant of Kṛṣṇa III we find an ascetic named Prabodhasiva founding a Saiva Maṭha in central India, at Chandrehe in Rewa State, then within the dominions of the Cedi kings of Tripuri. The relevant inscription is dated in the Kalacuri year 724 corresponding to 972 a. D., and engraved on slabs built into a wall of the monastery, the remains of which still exist at Chandrehe. The edifice is of considerable size and partly two-storeyed, but the upper storey has for the most part collapsed. Still it is 'a very favourable specimen of Hindu civil or domestic architecture' with spacious rooms and smaller chambers.

Two other Saiva monasteries built during the rule of the Cedi kings, those of Vaidyanātha and Nauhaleśvara or Nohaleśvara, are earlier than the one at Chandrehe. According to the Bilhari inscription of the rulers of Cedi, the Vaidyanātha-maṭha was presented to a teacher named Hṛdayaśiva by Lakṣmaṇarāja who ruled about the middle of the tenth century, being the son and successor of Yuvarājadeva I, who has been assigned to the first half of the same century. The Nauhaleśvara Maṭha was also accepted by Hṛdayaśiva, but made over by him to his disciple Aghoraśiva (vv. 57-8). As noted towards the end of the inscription, the prasasti seems to have been put up at the Nohaleśvara Maṭha by Aghoraśiva; and the monastery no doubt existed at Bilhari, in the Jubbalpore district, where the inscription is said to have been found. The Maṭha derived its name from Nohalā, the queen of Yuvarājadeva I, and was obviously attached to the Siva temple caused to be built by her, as stated in the inscription (v. 40).

¹ Saletore. Ancient Karnataka, Vol. I, p. 383.

² Saletore (op. cit.), Chapter V.

³ See R. D Banerji: The Haihayas of Tripuri and their monuments,

⁴ Bp. Ind. Vol. I, p. 251.

The Bilhari inscription of Prabodhasiva at Chandrehe and another record of his discovered at Gurgi, in Rewa State, throw light on an influential order of Saiva monks known as the Mattamayura family, who propagated the faith in many parts of western and central India from about the ninth to the eleventh century. Prabodhasiva who founded the Chandrehe monastery was a disciple of Prasantasiva. The latter built a hermitage (āsrama, siddhasthāna), on the banks of the Sona river at the foot of the Bhramara mountain, and a retreat for the practice of austerities (tapahsthāna) on the Ganges, which was held in high esteem by the people of Benares who were devout worshippers of Siva. Prasantasiva is called the ornament of the Mattamayura family in the Gurgi inscription, and was the disciple of The latter was induced to settle in the Cedi country by Yuvarājadeva I and was presented with a Matha built at an enormous cost (ananta-dhana-pratistham). Similarly, Laksmanaraja, who gave the Vaidyanātha monastery to Hrdayasiva, is described as having brought the sage by manifesting his devotion to him by means of presents sent through honest messengers.' The services of the Mattamayura monks appear to have been freely requisitioned by the Cedi kings, who placed rich mathas at their disposal for the propagation of the Saiva faith.

Prabhāvasiva was the disciple of Sikhāsiva or Cūdāsiva, who, according to the Chandrehe inscription, was the disciple of Purandara of the holy Mattamayura family, 'the preceptor of kings'. The latter may be said to have initiated the activities of the Mattamayura organisation; and to judge from the succession of teachers and disciples, he must have lived not later than the end of the ninth century. An inscription found at Ranod or Narod in Gwalior State, about half-way between Jhansi and Guna, and assigned on palaeographic grounds to the end of the tenth or the beginning of the eleventh century, gives some information about this distinguished teacher.2 A king named Avantivarman, who visited Purandara at Upendrapura (Undor), and was initiated by him in the Saiva faith, persuaded the sage to migrate to his kingdom; and there in the city of Mattamayura. Purandara founded a Matha and another establishment at Ranipadra. identified with Ranod. Ranipadra seems to have been a religious centre as it is called a tapovana, also a Yatyāśrama in the Ranod inscription (vv. 15, 17 59.). There still exists an old building at Ranod, with an open cloister in front of it with rooms at each end, which most probably served the purpose of

¹ The inscriptions of Prabodhasiva are edited and translated in Banerji (op. cit.), App. C.

² Ep. Ind. Vol. I, p. 351 ff.

a Matha or residence for monks. It is noteworthy that there are two tanks close to each other outside the courtyard; and one of them might be the tank elaborately described in the Ranod inscription, and said to have been excavated by a teacher named Vyomasiva. The latter seems to have lived about the middle of the tenth century, as there is a succession of three teachers between him and Purandara: Kavacasiva, Sadasiva and Hrdayesa. Of them Sadasiva is said to have practised austerities at Ranipadra (v. 17). The town and the Matha seem to have fallen on evil days at a later date; and it was Vyomasiva who restored the town to its former prosperity, repaired and improved the dilapidated monastery, installed images of Saiva divinities, and founded the tank with temples and gardens attached to it (vv. 29, 30, 43, 44).

Madhumatī, in Mālava, was another important Śaiva religious centre in the tenth century. In the Gurgi inscription of Prabodhasiva, Madhumatī is described as the abode of the Saiddhāntikas or Śaivas; and it was in fact one of the centres of the Mattamayūra monks. Cūḍāśiva or Sikhāśiva, who was, as already mentioned, a disciple af Purandara, is called Madhumatī-pati, 'Lord of Madhumatī', in the Chandrehe inscription. Hṛdayaśiva, who accepted monasteries from Lakṣmaṇarāja, and one of whose predecessors is stated to be Mattamayūranātha in the Bilhari inscription,' is described therein as having enhanced the reputation of the Mādhumateyavamsa or the family of the Madhumatī monks (v. 55). All this shows that the monks of Madhumatī represent a younger branch of the Mattamayūra line of Śaiva teachers.

The Ranod inscription gives the names of the predecessors of Purandara, the founder of the Mattamayūra lineage. They are Kadambaguhādhivāsin 'Resident of Kadambaguhā'; after him Sankhamathikādhipati 'Master of Sankhamathikā'; then Terambipāla 'Protector of Terambi'; and lastly, Amardakatīrthanātha 'Lord of Amardakatīrtha'. Terambi has been identified with Terahi, five miles to the south-east of Ranod, and Kadambaguhā with Kadwaia or Kadwāha, about six miles south of Terahi, and where there are even now some old temples and the ruins of many others. Kadambaguhā seems to have been an early centre of Saivism where lived a long line of saints (Siddhasantati), according to the Bilhari inscription. The sage Rudrasambhu was their revered leader and his disciple was Mattamayūranātha 'The lord of Mattamayūra', who brought spiritual enlightenment to king Avantivarman.' This is a clear reference to Purandara,

¹ The spiritual pedigree is given as Rudrasambhu-Mattamayūranātha-Dharmasambhu-Sadāsiva-Mādhumateya-Cūdāsiva-Hṛdayasiva-Aghorasiva.

² Verse 49. See Kielhern's re-interpretation of the verse in Mp. Ind., Vol. I, p. 353.

but in the Ranod inscription his immediate predecessor is said to be Amardakatirthenitha.

The town of Mattamayura made so famous by the distinguished line of ascetics named after it has not been identified; but, as suggested by Kielhorn, it must have been somewhere in Central India. As already mentioned, most of the Mathas of the Mattamayurs sect were established in various parts of Central India, in the regions corresponding to Malwa, Gwalior State, Central Provinces and Rewa State. But the Mattamayura monks appear to have gone further afield, and established a branch in Southern Konkan, as shown by the Kharepatan plates of Rattaraja, dated in Saka 930 = 1008 A. D. Ratta was a prince of the Silahara family of Southern Konkan, a feudatory of the Calukyan king Satyaśraya, the successor of Taila II. The inscription records the grant of certain villages to a learned teacher named Atreya for the upkeep of the shrine of Avvesvara, for providing food and raiment to the resident ascetics and for the benefit of pupils (Chātra), learned men and guests. There was obviously a Matha attached to the shrine. Now, the donee Atreya was a disciple of an ascetic named Ambhojasambhu who belonged to the Karkaroni branch of the Mattamayura family; and it is also stated that the yield of the villages granted was for the use of the learned Brahmacarins and Acaryas of the said branch of the Mattamayura line of ascetics.2

Another important Matha of the tenth century was the Golaki Matha in the Cedi country, but it does not appear to have been connected with the Mattamayūra line. Golaki or Golagi is said to be a contraction of Golagiri, and the Matha seems to have been in the neighbourhood of Tripurī, the Cedi capital (modern Tewar within six miles of Jubbalpur). According to the Malakāpuram pillar inscription of the Kākatīya queen Rudra Mahādevī, dated 1261 a. d., the Matha was founded by a Saiva teacher named Sadbhava Sambhu who was patronized by Yuvarājadeva I of Tripurī, who, as mentioned above, ruled in the first half of the tenth century a. d. The inscription mentioned above speaks of another teacher of the same line, named Soma Sambhu, who composed a work called Somasambhupaddhati, and had thousands of disciples, but his exact date cannot be determined, as it is not known how many generations separated him from the founder of the Matha. We are inclined to identify him with

£ + 20 x

¹ Up. Ind. Vol. III, p. 292 ff.

^{2 !}Mattamayürünvaya-Karkaroni-Samtati'; 'Mattamayürünvayüntargata-Karkroni-

³ Cf. Mirashi: Yuvarājadeva I of Tripuri in ABORI, Vol. XI, p. 362.

the Soma Smbhu quoted several times in the *Isānasivagurudevapadahati* (Kriyāpāda), specially as the verses cited from him deal with ritual; and the Somasambhupaddhati, to judge from the title, must have been a work on ritual. If our identification of the two authors is correct, Soma Sambhu may be assigned to the latter half of the tenth or the first half of the eleventh century. It may be added that the succession of teachers at the Golaki Matha seems to have continued unbroken till 1261 A. D., the date of the Malakāpuram pillar inscription, which records the grant of a village to a celebrated Saiva teacher named Viśveśvara Sambhu. The ramifications of the great Matha have, as a matter of fact, been traced, beyond the thirteenth century and the geographical limits of Tripuri, to the Tamil and Telugu lands.¹

A very old Śaiva establishment, much earlier than the tenth century, and associated with the Pāśupata sect, appears to have existed at Kāyāvarohaṇa, also called Kārohaṇa and Kāravaṇa, identified with Kārvān in Dabhoi tāluka in Baroda State. The place is known also as Kāyāvataraṇa or Kāyāvatāra. Kauṇḍinya states in the introduction to his Bhāṣya on the Pāśupatasūtras that the Lord, assuming the form (kāya) of a Brāhmaṇa, became incarnate at Kāyāvataraṇa, went on foot to Ujjain, and taught his doctrine to Bhagavat Kuśika. The reference here is to the tradition that the Pāśupata doctrine was revealed by Nakulīśa or Lakulīśa, the last of the twenty-eight incarnations of Śiva.

The various incarnations of Siva are mentioned in Vāyupurāṇa (chap. 23), Lingapurāṇa (chap. 24), S'ivapurāṇa (Vāyavīya-saṃhitā II, chap. 10) and in Kūrmapurāṇa in the last chapter of the first part. The Avatāras begin with Sveta and end with Lakulin; and they are called Yogācāryas in the S'ivapurāṇa. The Vāyu and Linga-purāṇas, which give the more detailed account, mention Kāyārohaṇa or Kāyāvatāra as the place where Lakulin manifested himself, along with such famous sites as the Kālañjara mountain and the Prabhāsa Tīrtha where certain other Avatāras of Siva are said to have revealed themselves. The Lingapurāṇa makes in this connection particular mention of Pāsupata Vrata, and declares its superiority to Sāṃkhya or the Pancarātra discipline. Kārohaṇa is glorified also in the Kāravaṇa-māhātmya, a work of unknown date, which declares it to be a Tīrtha as sacred as Benares and Prayāga; and tells us that Lakulin here merged

¹ For the Golaki Matha see Saletore: Ancient Karnāṭaka, Vol. I, p 362 ff. In a record found in the Madras Presidency, the Kalacuri king Yuvarājadeva is stated to have donated three lakhs of villages to Sadbhava Śambhu, the head of the Golaki Matha. See Amodā Plates of the Haihaya kiny Pṛthvīdeva in Ep. Ind. Vol. XIX, µ 75 ff.

himself in the Brahmesvara Linga. The characteristic emblems of Lakulin, a staff in the left hand and a citron in the right, are mentioned in this work.

An interesting link between Kārvān and the ancient founder of the Pāsupata system is provided by two lingas with the figure of Lakulin sculptured in front: one of them is in the temple of Nakleśvar, and the other in that of Rājrājeśvar, both at Kārvān. Lingas with one or four faces of Siva carved against the linga pillar are quite common, and were installed in temples dedicated to Siva. It appears, however, that lingas with the figure of Lakulin carved on them were also installed, evidently by members of the Pāsupata sect.

The Puranic texts mentioned above assign four disciples to each of the twenty-eight Avatāras of Siva. Those of Lakulin are stated to be Kusika, Garga, Mitra and Kaurusya. Important light on the date of Lakulin and Kusika is thrown by the Mathura Pillar Inscription of Candragupta II dated in Gupta era 61 = 380-81 A. D.4 The inscription records the installation of two lingus called Kapilesvara and Upamitesvara by a Saiva teacher named Uditācārya whose pedigree is given. He is described as fourth in succession from Bhagavat Parāśara and tenth from Bhagavat Kusika. Upamita and Kapila are two other teachers mentioned in the record. Bhagavat Kusika is no doubt the same as the first disciple of Lakulin mentioned in Kaundinya's Bhāsya on the Pāsupatasūtras and the Puranic texts. If Uditācārya (380-81 A.D.) is tenth in succession from Kusika, the pupil of Lakulin, and we allot a certain number of years to each succeeding teacher, Lakulin and Kusika cannot possibly be later than the second century A. D. The Pasupata centre at Karvan was thus established sometime in the second century A. D., and Uditācārya's inscription shows that Pasupata teachers carried on their activities at Mathura in the fourth century A.D. The epigraph tells us that Uditācārya installed

¹ The Kāravaņa-māhātmya is printed as an appendix to Gaṇakārikā (G.O.S.). Kārvān seems to have suffered great desecration at the hands of the Musalmans. All round the village, chiefly under pipal trees, images and pieces of sculpture and large liagus lie scattered. To the north and east of the village on the banks of a large huilt pond called Kāšīkuṇḍa are numerous sculptures and lingus. Bombay Gasetteer, Vol. I, Pt. I, p. 83.

² क्रियः स भगवान् तत्र कायरूपी महेश्वरः। येन कायावतारोडसी तेमेदं कायरोहणम्।। असिदण्डधरी वामे दक्षिणे वीजपूरकम्। ब्रह्मिलेक्षे महादेवि अहमपि लय गतः॥

³ See D. R. Bhandarkar: Mathura Pillar Inscription of Candragupta II in Epigraphia Indica, Vol. XXI.

¹ Ibid.

the lingus, Upamitesvara and Kapilesvara, obviously named after the teachers Upamita and Kapila, in a place called gurv-āyatana, which seems to mean a 'Teachers' shrine', where such memorial lingus appear to have been placed for worship. It is possible that such shrines belonging to the Pasupata sect existed at other places besides Mathura.

Uditācārya, as stated above, traces his descent to Kusika, the first disciple of Lakulin. Another line of teachers who trace their descent to Garga, the second disciple of Lakulin, is mentioned in the Cintra Prasasti of the reign of Sārangadeva of Gujarat, composed in 1287 A. p. at Somanāthapattana, or Prabhāsa, the famous Saiva Tīrtha, in Kathiawar. It is stated that Siva became incarnate as Bhatṭāraka Lakulīsa, the reputed founder of the Pāsupata system, at Kārohaṇa in the Lāṭa country. He had four pupils named Kusika, Gārgya, Kauruṣa and Maitreya who set themselves to practise particular Pāsupata vows. From them originated four branches or families of ascetics, and to the line established by Gārgya (gārgyagotra) belonged the abbot (sthānādhipa) Kārttikarāsi, his disciple Vālmīkirāsī and the latter's disciple Tripurāntaka. These teachers lived in the thirteenth century, but they belonged to an ancient line of Pāsupata ascetics, and were apparently abbots in succession of some Maṭha in Gujarat.

The tradition recorded above appears to be mentioned also in an inscription of the reign of Naravähana, king of Mewar, the ancient Medapāta, dated 972 a. n.º The inscription is mutilated, but there are clear references to Kāyāvarohaṇa and Śiva with a lakula (staff) in his hand, that is Lakulin or Lakuliśa, and Kuśika and other sages. The object of the inscription seems to be to record the building of a temple of Lakuliśa somewhere in Mewar. It is engraved on a slab built into the wall of a temple called Nāthā's Mandir near Ekalingaji's temple, a few miles north of Udaipur. It is obvious that temples of Lakuliśa existed in the tenth century; and an old temple of Lakuliśa is found also at Badāmi, as mentioned below.

The Pāsupata, as noted in Chapter IX, was an influential school of thought in the tenth century, and Pāsupata teachers played an important part in the religious life of Mysore in the eleventh and twelfth centuries.

, ...

¹ Ep. Ind., Vol. I, p. 271.

² A Collection of Prakrit and Sanskrit Inscriptions published by the Bhavanagar Archaeological Department, p. 70.

^{3 &#}x27;सकुलीशवेदम हिमवण्डहोयमं द्वारितम्'

⁴ See below.

The influence of Pāsupata teachers and Mathas can also be traced elsewhere in the country. Kalhana tells us in Rājataranginī 5. 404 that the king of Kashmir Cakravarman (935 a. d.) constructed a lodge for the Pāsupatas called the Cakra-matha, which, being half built at the time of his murder, was completed afterwards by his widow. Turning to the south, we find that, a few years later, an inscription of the thirtysixth year of the reign of the Cola king Parāntaka I (907-953 a. d.) records a grant of land for the maintenance of certain attendants and offerings in a temple, and for feeding the Śivayogins and the Māheśvaras on the seven festival days beginning with the asterism of Mūla. Here the Sivayogins obviously refer to the Śaivas, and the Māheśvaras appear to be Pāsupatas, as Śamkara in his Bhāṣya on the Vedāntasūtras II. 2. 37 refers to the latter as Māheśvaras.

An inscription of the reign of Kumārapāla of Gujarat, dated 1169 A. D., throws interesting light on the career of a distinguished Pāśupata teacher named Bhāva-Bṛhaspati alias Gaṇḍa. The inscription is engraved on a large stone in the side wall near the door of the temple of Bhadrakālī at Somanāthapaṭṭana, and tells us that he was a native of Benares and belonged to the Gārgeya-vaṁśa, that is, to the spiritual lineage of Gārgya, one of the original founders of the Pāśupata sect. Bhāva-Bṛhaspati left Benares on a tour of pilgrimage and came to Dhārā, and the Paramāra kings are said to have become his disciples. He practised austerities in Mālava and Kanauj and efficiently managed Maṭhas. Subsequently he came to Gujarat where he cultivated the friendship of the reigning king Jayasimha Siddharāja. The great achievement of Bhāva-Bṛhaspati was the restoration of the famous temple of Somanātha under the patronage of the next king Kumārapāla, who richly rewarded him for rebuilding the dilapidated edifice.

A Pāśupata Matha, much earlier than the thirteenth century, existed on Mount Abu in Rajputana. An inscription, dated Samvat 1342 (1285 A. D.), which records the genealogy of the Sisodia kings of Chitore, tells us that the Matha off the hill-top was repaired by Rājā Samarasimha who also equipped it with a golden flag-staff at the request of a Saiva ascetic named Bhāvasamkara. The latter was a disciple of Bhāvāgni, a Pāśupata ascetic who was formerly the abbot (sthānādhīsa) of the Matha.

¹ N. K. Sastri: The Colas, Vol. I, P. 434.

^{2 &#}x27;सम्बद्धाः पालिलाः'

³ A Collection of Prakrit and Sanskrit Inscriptions (op. cit.), p. 186.

The establishment was considered very old at the time of the inscription which calls it anddi.

The active influence of a faith is best illustrated by the temples devoted to its cultus; and of no other faith is this so true as of S'aivism during several centuries in India. Some of the most important shrines may be casually enumerated here: Somanātha at Somanāthapatṭana in Kathiawar, Acaleśvara at Abu, Ekalingajī near Udaipur, Mahākāla at Ujjain, Nīlakanṭheśvara at Udayapura near Bhilsa, Omkāreśvara in the Nimar Dt. of Central Province, Viśvanātha etc. at Khajuraho, Virāṭeśvara at Sohagpur, Paraśurāmeśvara etc. at Bhuvaneśvara in Orissa, Samgameśvara at Badami, (Kailāsa) Īśvara at Ellore, Tārakeśvara at Hangal (Dharwar Dt.), Madhukeśvara at Banavasi, Rājasimheśvara at Conjeevaram. etc. A study of the geographical distribution of these Śaiva temples shows the influence and popularity of Śaivism in vast areas of early medieval India until about the 12th century A. D.^a

SAIVISM AND JAINISM: THE LAST PHASE

The struggle of the Jaina faith with Vīrasaivism came in the latter half of twelfth century. In the tenth century Jainism still occupied a privileged position under the patronage of the ruling dynasties in Mysore and the Deccan; and it was about this time that it was in conflict with the prevailing Saivism of the age. Sectarian controversy was the order of the day; and Somadeva seems to echo contemporary Jaina theological opinion when he denounces Saivism as a strange medley of mutually contradictory doctrines. Others, besides him, appear to have entered the lists against Saivism. The Mallisena Prasasti tells us that the Jaina teacher Vimalacandra challenged the Saivas, Pāsupatas and Kāpālikas as well as Bauddhas and Kāpilas to argument; while another teacher Hemasena is declared to have vanquished Siva himself, like Arjuna (vv. 25, 36)! The Prasasti was composed in honour of the Jaina saint Mallisena who died by fasting at Sravana-Belgola in 1129 A. D., and these two scholars are mentioned in a list of Digambara teachers who preceded Mallisena.4 Hemasena is mentioned just before Dayapala and Vadiraja, both of whom lived in the first quarter of the eleventh century, and just after Matisagara. the guru of Dayapala. Hemasena may possibly be assigned to the end of the tenth century. Then, there are six teachers between Matisagara and

¹ Ibid., p. 84.

² See Appendix III.

³ See Chapters VIII and X.

⁴ Bp. Ind., Vol. III, p. 184.

Vimalacandra, who may have lived in the first half of the tenth century, and was perhaps a contemporary of Somadeva.

Similar claims of Saiva teachers triumphing over their Jaina opponents are occasionally met with. The Ranod inscription states that a celebrated teacher named Vyomasiva silenced among others the Jainas who are contemptuously likened to jackals (v. 39). Vyomasiva was a native of Central India, and as already mentioned, he may be assigned to about the middle of the tenth century. Another distinguished opponent of Jaina teachers was Vädi-Rudraguna of whom we shall speak later.

One of the points at issue in the Saiva-Jaina controversy was the position of the Arhats as superhuman universal teachers. The Saivas contended that the Jaina conception of the Arhat was wholly inadequate: if he was an omniscient teacher, we must ask who his teacher was, and if he was a saint devoted to austerities, there must be some one to vouchsafe the result of his efforts. In either case, it was necessary to postulate a superior Being, self-existent and without a beginning, and He was no other than Mahesvara or Siva. The Saiva contention that Siva was the guru of the Jaina Tirthamkaras is recorded by Somadeva in Yasastilaka; while the claim that He confers on the Arhat, who is conditioned in time, the reward of the Latter's religious austerities is put forward in Isānasivaguru-devapaddhati (Kriyāpāda 1. 39 ff.).

Jainism began to lose ground from about the eleventh century following the subversion of the Rāṣṭrakūṭa empire and the Ganga kingdom, which were its principal mainstay. Saivism, on the other hand, continued to make fresh conquests under the Cālukyas of Kalyani, while the Colas, who conquered Mysore early in the eleventh century, were its most ardent champions. Interesting light on the prestige and eminence of the Saiva teachers of the age is thrown by an inscription of the reign of the Cālukya king Jayasimha II, dated 1036 a. D., which records certain grants of land made to a Saiva scholar named Vādi-Rudraguņa Lakukīsvara Pandita for the repairs of the temple of Pañca Linga, at Balligāve, 'the royal city of Banavase 12000'. Vādi-Rudraguņa, described in the inscription as a falling star to the Digambara speakers, defeated in argument a number of eminent

:

¹ See Chap. VIII.

श्रिकारणं समीरस्तित्वेषणीयो महेन्यरः। देहप्रमाणमास्मानं नित्यानित्वनिष्ठेषणात् ॥ तुषतां जैनसाधूनां महिन्दाहृगेव हि । महिसादितपोयोगादर्शनं तस्य तन्मते ॥ सिद्धमित्यादिमान् सोऽपि तपसा परवान् यतः । तत्तपःककदातान्यस्तैरुस्युष्णेह मस्सरम् ॥ स्वतन्त्रोऽनादिमान् कर्ताध्येषणीयो महेन्यरः।

³ Epigraphia Carnatica, Vol. VII. Inscriptions in the Shimoga District. Part I (No. 126).

Jaina teachers including the famous scholar and poet Vadiraja. The Panca Linga temple was an important educational centre of the Kālāmukha order of Saiva ascetics, being called 'the Kāļāmukhi Brahmacāri-sthāna of Balligave'; and the grants in question were made not only for the upleep of the temple and the worship of the god but for the provision of food and clothing for the students and ascetics. The Kedara Matha of Balligave was even a more important Saiva religious and educational institution. An inscription of the reign of Bijjala, dated 1162 A. D., gives a remarkable picture of the great establishment, called in the record 'Kodiya Matha, the place of the Southern Kedāra', while the deity worshipped in the temple is referred to as 'Daksina Kedaresvara of the Kodiya Matha'. The Matha was not only a place of worship but a great centre of learning and a well-organised charitable institution for the destitute and the sick. It is described in the inscription as "the place appointed for the performance of the rites of devotee Brahmacari-Sivamunis; the place for the recital of the four Vedas with their Angas; the place for commentaries on the Kaumara, Paniniva, Sākatāyana. Sabdānusāsana and other grammars; the place for commentaries on the Nyāya, Vaisesika, Mīmāmsā, Sāmkhya, Bauddha and (other systems): the place for commentaries on the Lakula-siddhanta, the Patanjala and other Yoga-sästras; the place for the eighteen Purānas, the Dharma-sästra, all poems, dramas, comedies and every branch of learning; the place where food is freely distributed to the poor, the destitute, the lame, the blind the deaf.....; the place for the treatment of the diseases of destitute sick persons; a place of security from fear for all living things" 'The Southern Kedāra' is described also as "the means of the absolution of sin, the very presence of Siva manifested to all the citizens....... A celebrated Saiva teacher Vāmašakti Paņdita, designated as Rājaguru, was the head of the Matha at the time; and Bijjala, washing the feet of the quru, made various grants of lands "for the decoration of the god Daksina Kedaresvara, for gifts of food to the ascetics, for gifts of learning, for repairs other Siva temples, those of Somanatha and Brahmesvara at Abbalur. Bijjala may have had Jaina leanings, but there is no doubt that he was a patron of Saivism. It is hardly necessary to point out that it was during his reign that the aggressive Virasaiva cult came into prominence and imposed serious handicaps on the further progress of the Jaina faith in Vīrasaivism weaned away the commercial classes South-Western India.

I Ibid., Inscription No. 102. Balligave or Balligame is in the Shimoga District of Mysore State.

² Ablur in Dharwar District.

who were the chief supporters of Jainism; and whole clans, like the Cangalvas were converted from Jainism to the Virasaiva faith. The influence of the new sect is illustrated by the fact that an important Jaina centre like Bankapur, in the Dharwar district, which had five religious colleges of the Jainas in 1055 A. D., came to have a Hindu population, the greater part of whom were Lingayats. It is also said that Lingayats appropriated a large number of Jaina temples in the Kanarese districts and installed in them the linga as the object of worship. Prior to this, Jaina temples appear to have suffered considerable damage during the Cola invasions of the Calukyan dominions in the eleventh century. The 'base Cola' (Raja. dhiraja I who was killed in the battle of Koppam in 1054 A.D.) is said to have burned down many temples and defiled and damaged Jaina sanctuaries in the Belvola province during the reign of Somesvara I. seems to have been repaired to some extent by Lakshma, the governor of Belvola, who, in 1071 A.D., restored the Jaina temple at Annigeri, in the Dharwar district, which had been burned down by the Cola invader.

Among the Saiva sects the Pāśupatas appear to have been very influential in the Mysore country in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. The name of Lakulīsa, the founder of the system, occurs very often in the Mysore inscriptions, and the creed is referred to as the Lākulāgama, Lākulāmnāya and Lākula-samaya. An inscription of 943 A. D. says that Lakulīsa, fearing lest his name and works of merit should be forgotten, became incarnate as the muninātha Chilluka. As mentioned above, a grant was made in 1036 A. D. in favour of Vādi-Rudraguṇa, styled as Lakulīśvara Paṇḍita. An inscription of about 1078 and two others of 1094 and 1103 mention respectively Vālmīki-muni, Śrīkanṭha-paṇḍita and his son Some-śvara-paṇḍita, all of them distinguished exponents of the Lākula creed.

¹ Rice: Coorg Inscriptions, Vol. I, p. 13. 1914. The Cangalvas ruled in West Mysore and Coorg.

² Bombay Gazetteer, Vol. XXII, p. 653.

³ Cousens (op. cit.).

⁴ See Two Inscriptions from Gawarwad and Annigeri, Ep. Ind., vol. XV, p. 337 ff. Also Sastri: The Colas, Vol. I, p. 311. Belvola is an old Kanarese word meaning a field of standing corn. The name was given to the fertile district near the centre of which are Dambal, Gadag and Lakkundi, in the Dharwar district. Bombay Gazetteer, Vol. XXII, pp. 392, 718. There still exist a number of old Jaina temples in this area, at Aminbhāvi (near Dharwar town), Annigeri (between Dharwar and Gadag), Chabbi (about eight miles south of Hubli), Lakkundi (about seven miles south-east of Gadag), Mulgund (about twelve miles south-west of Gadag), and Soratur (about ten miles south of Gadag). Bombay Gazetteer (op. cit.), chap, XIV.

The latter is said to have caused the Lākula-siddhānta to blorsom. Further, two inscriptions of 1168 and 1179 compare the rājaguru Vāmašakti with Lakulīśvara or Nakulīśvara. Another record mentions a succession of gurus of the Agastyeśvara Matha at Śrīparvata, all whose names end in śakti. The Lākula or Pāśupata system is generally mentioned in the Mysore inscriptions in connection with the Kālāmukha sect; and there is no doubt that the Pāśupatas were known as Kālāmukhas in the Kannada country. The technical expression Kālam Karcci (laving the feet) used in Kannada inscriptions on the occasion of making a gift to the teachers of this sect is an indication of the high respect shown to them. These Kālāmukha Pāśupatas were not certainly identical with the Kālāmukhas mentioned by Yāmuna Muni and Rāmānuja in their enumeration of Śaiva sects, as the latter were no better than Kāpālikas.

The mantle of the Pāsupatas appears to have fallen on the Virasaivas to a very great extent in the Kannada country. Many of the great Kālāmukha Mathas seem to have been transformed into Vīrasaiva Mathas. The Kālāmukha Matha at Pūvalli, the modern Hūli in the Belgaum District of Bombay Province, which had many branches and a succession of influential abbots, as recorded in a number of inscriptions, is now a Vīrasaiva Matha, and provides a striking illustration of the process of transformation.

Vīraśaivism represented a mass movement, and was a more serious rival of Jainism. It was also a movement of social reform, and at variance with orthodox Hinduism in several respects. The rapid expansion of this powerful, hostile sect had an adverse effect on the subsequent history of Jainism in the South. Vīraśaivism gathered momentum under the inspiring leadership of Basava, the minister of Bijjala (1162-67 a. d.) who had taken possession of the Cālukyan throne. Kalyāṇa (now in Bidar District, Hyderabad State), the capital of the later Cālukyas, became the centre of direction of the new religious movement. The devotional literature of the Vīraśaivas known as the Vacanaśāstras composed in Kanarese prose goes back to the eleventh century a.d., about a century earlier than the age of Basava; but the literary and religious movement reached its climax about the middle of the twelfth century during the

l See Rice: Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions, p. 205. Also Bhandarkar: Vaisnavism, Saivism and minor religious systems, p. 171. Poons ed. In an inscription of 1177 a. n., for example, certain assetics are called upholders of the Läkulägama-samsya and adherents of the Kälämukhas.

² Nandimath: A Handbook of Virtaivism, p. 9.

³ Nandimath (op. cit.), p. 10.

period of the activities of Basava and his contemporaries. Jedara Dāsimayya, one of the early Vacana writers, is believed to have been a contemporary of the Cālukya king Jayasimha I whose reign covers the greater portion of the first half of the eleventh century a. b. The principal writers and leaders of the movement, however, belong to the latter half of the next century. Among the contemporaries of Basava may be mentioned Ekāntada Rāmayya, a vigorous propagandist who is said to have destroyed Jaina temples; Prabhudeva who was the head of the Virakta-matha at Kalyāṇa, the pontifical seat known as Śūnya-simhāsana 'The throne of the void'; Cennabasava who seems to have led the movement after Basava; Siddharāma who is known as Śivayogin, and several others.

The doctrines and social practices of the Virasaivas are beyond the scope of our discussion. It may, however, be noted that the apostles of Virasaivism laid great stress on intense devotion (bhakti) to Siva, and owed a good deal to the teachings of the great Saiva saints of the Tamil land. 'Indeed the Vīraśaiva saints claimed the Tamilians as their own; and the Kannada Vīraśaiva literature teems with accounts of the Tamil Saiva saints.' More important for us is the fact that Vīraśaivism spread like wild fire over a considerable portion of the Deccan and southern India.' It enjoyed extensive patronage and privileges under the Vijayanagara kings. It was the religion of the Keladi or Ikkeri chiefs who ruled a considerable portion of the Vijayanagara empire. ancestors of the present rulers of Mysore were Lingayats till the 18th century. Of all the rivals of Jainism Virasaivism seems to have been the most potent, and still continues to play an important rôle in the social and religious life of South-western India.

Apart from the rise of Vīrasaivism, the loss of royal patronage following the overthrow of the Rāṣṭrakūṭas and the Gaṅgas placed Jainism at a disadvantage in its encounter with rival creeds. The reorganisation of Vaiṣṇavism by Rāmānuja and the conversion of the Hoysala king Biṭṭideva (Viṣṇuvardhana) by the latter at the end of the eleventh century was another blow to the prospects of Jainism in the south. Most of the Jaina centres in Karṇāṭaka (Paudanapura, Hanasoge, Humcca, Baḷḷigāme etc.) ceased to be strongholds of Jainism, and "in the centres which fell into the hands of the non-Jainas, only mutilated Jaina images and broken slabs bear silent testimony to the once prosperous condition of Jainism in the country". It has been said of Baḷḷigāme that, like many a great

¹ For a brief but authoritative account of Virasaivism see Dr. Nandimath's book cited above.

² Saletore: Medieval Jainism, p. 184.

Jaina centre, it today possesses no traces of Jaina worship except broken Jaina images.¹ Still when we speak of the decline of Jainism in Karnāṭaka we should think in terms of a setback rather than any progressive decay or deterioration. It has been rightly pointed out that Jainism did its best work in the best days of the Rāṣṭrakūṭas in the Southern Maharatta country and Mysore. "These are the portions of South India that happen to be great Jaina centres even now, and in that region Jainism flourished even in the age of the great Colas......Jainism continued to flourish under the Cālukyas and under the Hoysalas at a later time and even in the age of Vijayanagar." It has indeed been said of the Hoysalas that, though they were Jainas first, and later changed over to Vaiṣṇavism, they still built temples dedicated to Tīrthankaras, Siva and Viṣṇu, and were patrons of all sects alike.

Any talk about the conflict of Saivism and Jainism during the period under consideration might be misleading if we failed to point out that the two faiths existed side by side, generally speaking, in an atmosphere of toleration and amity in various parts of the country. This is proved by the existence of imposing Jaina temples in such important centres of Saiva worship as Khajurāho in Bundelkhand or Un in southern Indore. At Khajurāho where the temples were mostly built during the reigns of Yaśovarman and Dhanga (c. 930-1000 A. D.), we have the large and fine temple of Jinanātha, which has an inscription of 954 A. D., and was built in the beginning of Dhanga's reign. The Ghantai Jaina temple, so called from the bells carved on the pillars, has been partially rebuilt from older materials. The original temple is believed to have been created in the tenth century A. D.

¹ Saletore: Medieval Jainism, p. 204.

² Aiyangar: Some contributions of South India to Indian Culture, p. 291.

³ Mysore Archaeological Report for 1924, p. 7.

⁴ Vincent Smith (op. cit.) in IA, 1908, P. 133. There are several other Jaina temples at Khajurāho. No. 26 in Cunningham's list is an ancient temple restored with plaster and old stones and called Setnāth, which is most probably the original name, as the principal statue enshrined is a colossal standing figure of Adinātha, 14 feet in height with the title of Setnāth. 'On the pedestal of this statue Cunningham found on his first visit an inscription dated in Samvat 1085, or A. D. 1028. No. 27 in his list is a small ancient Jaina temple now dedicated to Adinātha. There are many Jaina statues, both whole and broken, collected about these temples, with dated inscriptions. One of them is inscribed on a pedestal of a colossal scated statue, and records that the image was dedicated in Samvat 1215 (A. D. 1158) during the reign of Madanavarman. Archaeological Survey of India, Vol. II, p. 412 ff.

The Un group of temple has been assigned to the eleventh and twelfth centuries. Here we have a large Jaina temple, Chaubara Dera No. 2, 'which in spite of the loss of its sithara still remains one of the most beautiful monuments at Un.' The building has a very large porch in front of the mandapa. The second Jaina temple at Un is very well-preserved and popularly known as Goalesvara. The floor of the garbhagrha is about ten feet lower than that of the mandapa, and one has to descend a series of steps. Inside the sanctum stand three huge Digambara Jaina images, one of which measures twelve and a half feet in height. The images bear short inscriptions which give 1206 A. D. as the date of their dedication. Several other large Jaina images have been found at Un, one of which bears the date 1125 or 1135 A. D.

Jaina religious edifices are also found in close proximity to the island of Mandhata in the Narmada, in the Nimar District of Central Provinces. 'On the island itself, every temple is dedicated to Siva or his associate deities; but the north bank of the river opposite, in addition to Sivaite ruins, contains several old structures devoted to Vispu, and a whole group of Jaina temples.' 'The Jaina temples stand on an elevation overlooking, but a little retired from the river. The largest building raised on a plinth of basalt blocks five feet high was perhaps a monastery. It consisted of a quadrangle 53 feet by 43 feet, surrounded by four rows of pillars about 10 feet high. The eastern wall is still complete, decorated with geometrical figures rudely carved in yellow sandstone. On each side of the doorway is a figure carved on slabs about 2 feet high, with Sivaite and Jaina emblems curiously intermixed. To the north stood the temple proper, built in a pyramidal shape, with numerous smaller spires. The porch is still erect, but the shrine has been buried beneath the ruins of the dome. The third building was a smaller temple raised on a pyramid of basalt blocks about 25 feet high. '*

Interesting sidelight on Saiva-Jaina relations is provided by the religious history of Mount Abu, which was an early and famous seat of Saiva worship. The Abu region was subjected by Bhīma I of Gujarat early in the second quarter of the eleventh century A. D.; and the Jaina Vimala Sāha, the first great exponent of marble architecture in India, was sent out as the governor of Abu. It appears that Jaina religious edifices had not existed on the sacred mountain before his time. The magnificent marble temple of Adinatha was built at Delwara (Devalwada) on Mount Abu by Vimala Sāha in

¹ Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, 1919, pp. 62-64.

² Imperial Gazetteer of India, Vol. IX, p. 296.

1031 A.D.; and there is a significant tradition associated with the building of the shrine. It is said that the site on which the edifice now stands was formerly occupied by Brahmanical temples, but 'this devout Jaina offered to cover with silver coins as much ground as was required for his temple in return for the permission to erect it. This munificent offer was accepted.'

It is not necessary to mention the existence of Jaina cave temples at Ellora, the home of stupendous rock-cut temples dedicated to Siva, as the caves here have been assigned to the Rāṣṭrakūṭa period, which coincided with the heyday of Jainism in the Deccan and Mysore. Apart from occasional acts of vandalism, Saiva-Jaina relations do not appear to have been marred by anything more serious than theological disputes or religious controversies.²

ŚAIVISM--VĀMĀ MĀRGA

The Vāma Mārga, as defined by Somadeva, may be said to represent the grosser form of the Śaiva and Tāntric cults and includes sects like the Kaulas and the Kāpālikas. We have already discussed Somadeva's account of the practices of the Kaulas who are represented as avowed sensualists

¹ Commissariat: History of Gujarat, Vol. I, p. 1xii.

² Jaina temples are said to have been demolished during the invasion of Gujarat by the Paramara king Subhatavarman early in the thirteenth century A. D. Ganguly: History of the Paramara Dynasty, p. 197. As against this, we may point to the flourishing condition of Jaina culture and religion in Malwa from the latter part of the tenth century onwards, which will bear comparison in its own way with the efflorescence of Jainism during Rastrakuta begomony in the Deccan, or under the Solankis in Gujarat. The harmonious relation of the various faiths in medieval Malava is shown also by the co-existence of Jaina and Vaisnava temples at several places in Northern Indore. At Kohala, six miles from Bhanpura, to the east of the temple of Laksmi-Narayana, there are two Jaina temples side by side and known by the popular name of sas-bahu-ki mandir or the temples of the mother-in-law and the daughter-in-law, one of which is used for worship even now. At the village of Sandhara, not far from Bhanpura, the remains include an old temple of Visnu and a Jaina temple called Tamboli-ka-mandir or the temple of the betel-seller as well as two other Jaina temples, which have been partly rebuilt at a later date. These two temples are dedicated to Adinatha and belong to the Digambara community, and regular worship is carried on in both. Two old temples, one dedicated to Vispu and the other to Paravanatha, stand in the centre of the village of Kukdesvar, ten miles to the west of Rampura in the northern part of Indore State. It is noteworthy that the Jain temple contains a large bas-relief representing scenes from the life of Kṛṣṇa, which has been built into the south wall of the mandapa. For details see Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, for the year ending 31st March, 1920.

and worshippers of Siva. It is related in Skandapurana, Prabkasakhanda (Chap. 119 of Prabhasakṣetra-māhātmya) that when the great goddess of the Prabhāsakṣetra killed the demons Bala and Atibala and their army, some of the demons who escaped became Kaulas, addicted to meat, wine and women. This seems to be in accord with current description of the Kaulas, but it is an irony that the Purāṇa should have stated also that certain of the demons became Jainas, who were bitterly hostile critics of the former sect. Jayanta in Nyāyamañjarī (chap. 4) hints at the objectionable practices of the Kaulas aimed at the attainment of superhuman powers; but as, in this context, he records the views of certain thinkers that all religious systems are authoritative in their own way, he is inclined to put Kaula ritual on a par with such malevolent Vedic rites as the Syena performed for the purpose of destroying one's enemies.

That the Kaula cult was prevalent in the tenth century is shown not only by the evidence furnished by Somadeva but by that of Rājašekhara and Devasena, both of whom belong to the first half of the century. In Rājašekhara's play Karpūramañjarī 1. 22 ff. the master magician Bhairavānanda declares that he is an adherent of the Kaula way, drinks wine and enjoys women and so goes forward to salvation. He describes the Kaula religion as charming to all, as its only requirements are a hot strumpet for wife, plenty of meat and wine, alms and a pelt to serve as bed; and opines that while gods like Hari and Brahmā prescribe meditation, the study of the Vedas, and sacrifices as leading to final release, Siva has the sole credit of having devised a way to salvation consistent with the pleasures of love and wine. The Jaina Devasena gives a similar picture of the Kaula cult in his Bhāvasamgraha (verses 182-3). Rājašekhara's verse (Karpūramañjarī 1. 23):

रण्डा चण्डा दिविकामा धरमहारा सक्षं संसं विकाद सकाद थ । जिल्ला जोकं चरमसण्डं च सेका कोको धरमो क्रस को आहु रस्सो ॥

may be compared with Devasena's description of the Kaula religion in Bhavasamgraha:

रंडा मुंडा वंडी बुंडी दिन्सिदा धम्मदारा सीसे कंता कामासत्ता कामिया सा विवारा । सम्रोतं सेसे सिट्टं अक्स अक्सियं जीवसोरसं च कडले धम्मे विसये रम्मे तं वि हो समामोपनं ॥

It is also noteworthy that Devasena, while describing Carvaka doctrines in the above work (verses 172-76), attributes them to Kaulacarya or the teacher of Kaula doctrines. The Carvakas or Lokayatikas were no doubt distinct from the Kaulas, but the insistence of the latter on rank sensualism seems to have led Devasena to identify them with the Carvakas.

¹ Chap. VIII.

Hemacandra says in his commentary on his Yogasüstra 4. 102 that the disciples of the Kaulācūryas are known as Yogins. It may be noted that in Karpūramanjarī the Kaula Bhairavānanda is often referred to as josara (Yogīsvara), the great Yogin or adept in mystic rites. Kaula dīkṣā is likewise referred to in a double entendre in Nalacampū (chap. 2).

The Kaulas were akin to the Kāpālikas, and both the Kaula and the Kāpālika cults were anathema to the Jainas. In Yasastilaka VI. 3 Somedeva prescribes a bath for Jaina monks when they happen to come in contact with a Kāpālika. We learn from Yāmunācārya's Āgamaprāmānya (about 1050 A. D.) that the characteristics of the Kāpālikas were the sacred 4 thread, the smearing of the body with ashes, a crest jewel, various ornaments for the ears and the neck, a human skull and a club. According to them, he who realises the true significance of the six symbols (sacred thread, ashes. crest-jewels, karnikā, kundala and rucaka), and meditates on the Self, mentally locating it on the female organ, attains the supreme beatitude. the Kāpālika sect is furnished Additional information about Prabodhacandrodaya, Act III, which allegorical play Krşpamiśra's introduces a Kāpālika who recounts his beliefs and practices. He wears a garland of human bones, lives on cremation grounds and uses a skull as a dish. Describing his religion, he declares that it consists of the worship of the Mahābhairava form of Siva with human sacrifices: human flesh is offered as an oblation in the fire, and the worshipper drinks wine from a skull. Not only Mahābhairava but his consort (Mahābhairavī) is worshipped with blood along with a host of goblins called up to the beating of drums. As regards his beliefs, the Kāpālika declares that the world, full of diversities, is not different from Siva.* The Kāpālika ideal of salvation is to become incarnate in a form like that of Siva and enjoy the pleasures of love with a consort beautiful as Parvati. This doctrine is said to have been revealed by Siva himself, as there can be no happiness without mundane joys; and a state of salvation in which the Self subsists in its pristine condition, like a block of stone, without any consciousness of joy, cannot be a desired goal. This is the sum and

¹ अस्यामस्ये पेयापेये गम्यागम्ये समात्मनाम् । योगिनासा प्रसिद्धानां कौलाचार्यान्तेवासिनाम् ॥ Verse 33.

विटकील्यम्मदीक्षामिरिव कुवस्यलोमितलोकामिः "कणाँटचेटीिमः'. The comm. remarks शास्त्रम्भ-दीक्षाम कुस्मितेन चनणा मांसादिनोपलोभितलोकाः.

³ संगे कापालिकात्रेवी नाण्डाक शवरादिभिः । आद्भुत्य दण्डवत् सम्यण्जपेन्यवसुपोवितः ॥

विवातः। मुद्रिकावद्कतरवयः परमुद्राविशारदः। भगासनस्वमात्मानं ध्यात्वा निर्वाणमुख्यति ॥ तथा । कथिकारचकं वैक कुण्डलं च शिकामणिन् । भक्त वद्यापवीतं च मुद्रावद्दं प्रचक्षते ॥ क्षाष्टमच खट्ठावसुपमुद्रे प्रकीतिते । लागिर्मुद्रितवेद्दसु न भूग दश जावते ॥

पश्यामि योगाञ्जनशुक्रवञ्जया । जगन्मिथोनिश्चमिश्चमीश्वरात् ॥ 3. 12.

हर्ष काषि सुसं विना न विपर्वरानन्द्रवोधोज्याता, जीवस्य स्थितिरेव मुक्तिवष्टलावस्या कर्ष प्राथ्येते । वार्षस्याः प्रतिक्रप्या विवत्या सानन्द्रमालिक्कितो, मुक्तः क्रीवति नन्द्रचूववपुरिस्त्रचे बृद्धानीपृतिः ॥ 3. 16.

Parameteura-siddhānta, and Somasiddhānta in Kranamisra's play. Like Bliziravānanda in Rājasekhara's Karpūramaājart, the Kāpālika in Prabodha-candrodaya puts forward exaggerated claims of miraculous powers, and one of the avowed objects of the Kāpālika cult is the attainment of the supernatural Yogic powers known as the Siddhis. It is noteworthy that the Kāpālika is once addressed in the play as Kulācārya², which shows that, although the Kaula and the Kāpālika cults were distinct from each other, Kāpālikas were sometimes confused with Kaulas owing to certain resemblances in their practices. The Kaulas are not, as a rule, associated with human sacrifices; yet it is at the instance of a Kulācārya that Māradatta orders preparations for a human sacrifice in the Mahābhairava temple in Yasastilaka, Book I. Members of both sects would seem to have indulged in objectionable practices, but it was the Kāpālikas who were more prominently connected with rites involving the sacrifice of human beings.

In the fourth Act of Ksemiśvara's Candukausika, composed in the first half of the tenth century, Dharma appears in the guise of a Kāpālika, armed with a club, and carrying a skull in his hand; and decorated with ashes and human bones. He declares that he is about to attain certain magical powers: control over a goblin who has entered into a corpse, possession of a thunderbolt and magic pills and paints, union with a demoness, and the knowledge of alchemy (dhātuvāda) and the elixir of life (rasāyana). There is no doubt that the Kāpālikas were charlatans and adepts in black magic, but those who sought to discover the elixir of life and practised alchemy were not necessarily Kāpālikas.

That the Kāpālika cult is much earlier than the tenth century is shown by the wellknown episode in Bhavabhūti's Mālatīmādhara, Act V, in which the Kāpālika Aghoraghanta attempts to sacrifice Mālatī before the goddess Karālā or Cāmunḍā. This episode is based on the story of Vidūṣaka in Kathāsaritsāgara 3. 4. 158 ff., or rather on Guṇāḍhya's Brhatkathā, of which the former work is a summary. Although the fanatic, who attempts to sacrifice king Ādityasena's daughter before the idol of

अत्रानुख्यितचञ्चराविविक्यासंगेऽपि सिञ्चन्त्वमृर्त्यासम्बग्धोत्रयाः प्रणयिनाध्यक्षौ मद्दासिद्यः । eto. 3. 32.

अं 'स्वयणकः—अले सापालिअ'''' कुकाचालिअ ।'

³ A drunken but otherwise innocent Kapalika appears in the Mattaviläsaprahasana of the Paliava King Mahendravikramavarman (first quarter of the 7th century a. D.). About the same time Hsüan-tsang met the first Hindu ascetics of his journey at Kapisi, north of Kabul: they had their bodies ameared with ashes and wore a chaplet made of skulls. They were no doubt Saiva ascetics, but probably not Kapalikas. Grousset: In the footsteps of the Buddha, p. 93.

Kātyāvanī in the story of Vidūsaka, is called a Pravrājaka or Parivrājaka. there is no doubt that he is a Kāpālika. In another story in Kathasaritsāgara 18. 2 a Kāpālika draws a married woman named Madanamafijarī to a cremation ground for an evil purpose by force of magic formulas and oblations in the fire, but is foiled in his attempt by the intervention of the great king Vikramāditya. In Haribhadra's Samarāiccakahā. Book IV, the gambler Mahesvaradatta becomes a Kāpālika and an expert in gāruda-mantra, mystic formulas for curing snake-bites. The machinations of a Kāpālika and his grim ritual are vividly portrayed in the eighth Act of Rāmscandra's Kaumudīmitrānanda. Makaranda in the play allows Kaumudī and Sumitrā to take shelter in a subterranean chamber as a protection against the alleged designs of a Vidyādhara. Meanwhile the Kāpālika offers oblations of human intestines in the fire and attempts to revive what is apparently a corpse which rises and strikes him. The Kapalika and his accomplices manage to disappear, but Makaranda finds no trace of the chamber or the ladies concealed therein. The episode throws light on certain nefarious tactics of the Kāpālikas as seen by a writer of the twelfth century.

Kāpālikas were known also as Mahāvratins. Jagaddhara in his commentary on Mālatīmūdhava, Act I, explains the term Kāpālikavrata as Mahāvrata, and Candapāla in his commentary on Nalacampū (Chap. 6) explains Mahāvratikas as Kāpālikas. In Kathāsaritsāgara 5, 2, 81 a Mahāvratin is described as Kapalin ('furnished with a human skull'), wearing matted hair, and white with ashes. In Yasastilaka 1. 115 Death is pictured as a Mahavratin or a Kapalika, and the skeleton of a beautiful woman as his club.1 In the description of the shrine of Candamari in Yasastilaka, Book I, Somadeva mentions 'Mahavratin heroes selling for a price flesh cut from their own bodies.'2 The uncanny practice of selling human flesh, one's own or that of a corpse, to goblins for the purpose of gaining a desired object is often referred to in Sanskrit literature, and seems to have been resorted to not only by Kāpālikas but by others in desperate circumstances. The love-sick Madhava appears on the cremation ground in Malatimadhava, Act V, with a lump of human flesh for sale to the goblins. The practice is referred to in at least two stories of Kathāsaritsāgara (5. 2. 180 ff. and 18. 2. 53 ff.). In the first story the brave Asokadatta goes to a cremation ground on the fourteenth night of the dark half of the month, and offers to the goblins a corpse for sale, the price being a marvellous anklet required

^{1 &#}x27;सा कालमहाब्रांतेना खट्टाइकर इतां नीना'

^{2 &#}x27;कचिन्महाशतिकवीरक्षयवि कीयमाणस्ववपुर्वं नवक्रम्'

by the king of Benares. In the second story a gambler, who has promised to supply human victims to two Brahmarākṣasas, is at a loss to find them, and repairing to a cremation ground at night, offers to sell human flesh to a goldin in return for a temporary loan of the latter's form and powers wherewith to procure the required victims from among his enemies. In Bāṇa's Harṣacarita (Chap. 5) the sale of human flesh (to goblins) by princes is mentioned among the various rites performed for the recovery of Prabhā-karavardhana in the latter's capital. The same work (chap. 6) records the story of a prince, apparently of Ujjayinī, who was killed by a goblin, being over-zealous in the matter of selling human flesh on the occasion of the festival of the Mahākāla Śiva. Another reference to the sale of flesh occurs in the third chapter of Harsacarita.

As pointed out by R. G. Bhandarkar, a copper-plate charter of Nagavardhana, nephew of Pulakesin II, records a grant of a village near Igatpuri in the Nasik District for the worship of the god Kapaleśvara and the maintenance of the Mahavratins residing in the temple. This shows that the Käpälika sect existed in Mahärästra about the middle of the seventh century. The practice of carrying a skull and a club is mentioned among the religious customs of non-Buddhist sects enumerated in Lalitavistara, chap. 17.5 This is a clear reference to the Kāpālika sect in an important work of Buddhist Sanskrit literature composed before, probably long before, the ninth century. It is evident from Bhavabhūti's statements in Mālatīmādhava, Act I, that in his time (eighth century) Sriparvata, a holy mountain in Kurnool District (Madras Presidency) on the Kistna river, was a centre of the Kāpālika cult. There is a reference to a Matha of the Mahāvratins in an inscription from Ramnad District (Madras Presidency) of the reign of Vīra Pāṇḍya, showing that the Kapalikas were well-established in that part of South India in the second half of the tenth century. It is stated in another record issued by a chieftain named Vikramakesari, a contemporary of Vira Pandya, that the former presented a big Matha to a certain Mallikarjuna of Madura.

^{1 &#}x27;अपरत्र प्रसाशनरपतिकुमारक कियमाणमहामांसविकायप्रकाशभ्

अन्यक्ताकमहे च महामांसिकस्यवादवात्छं वेताकस्ताकबङ्घो जनान जवन्यज प्रचोतस्य पौणकि कुमार कुमारसेनम् ।

³ 'महामांसविकयक्रीरोन मनःशिकापक्षेनेव'

⁴ Bhandarkar: Vaisnaviem, Saiviem etc. (Poone ed.), p. 168.

⁶ An earlier reference to Sriparvata is in Subandhu's Vāsavadattā: आएवंत इव संलिहित-महिलाजुंब: Mallikārjuna is the Sivalings workipped on the hill. The Padmapurāna (Uttarakhaņda, chap. 19) describes Sriparvata as the abode of Mallikārjuna. Shivajī visitād the sacred site in 1677. For a later description of the temple of Mallikārjuna and its enclosure see Sarkar: Shivaji, p. 297.

who was the chief ascetic of the Kalamukha sect. The latter community was akin to the Kapalikas and both sects indulged in similar practices. The Kalamukhas are in fact included among the Mahavratikas or Kapalikas in Trivikrama Bhatta's Nalacampü composed early in the tenth century (Chap. 6). The Kapalikas are accused of cannibalism in Yasahpāla's Moharājaparājaya 4. 23, an allegorical drama composed during the reign of Ajayapāla of Gujarat (1172-1176 A. D.).

BRAHMANISM

Somadeva's strictures on the beliefs and practices of popular Hinduism have been summarized in Chapters X and XII. The chief attacks are directed against the Puranic traits of the principal Brahmanical deities, the funeral rites (Śrāddha), bathing at sacred sites, and diverse religious practices collectively designated as mūdha or mūdhatā, 'stupid These attacks while throwing light on the religious conflict customs.'4 in the tenth century are not peculiar to Somadeva, and should rather be regarded as forming part of the customary Jaina polemics against the Brahmanical faith. Such polemics are scattered throughout Jaina literature of all periods, and we may cite here only a few examples bearing on the topies criticized by Somadeva. Jațā-simhanandi's Varāngacarita (circa 7th century A. D.) has a Canto called Mithyasruti-vighataka (XXV), which criticises among other things the caste system, the Brahmans dependent upon the patronage of kings, and the Śrāddha rites, and explains, like Somadeva, why Brahma, Visnu and Siva cannot be regarded as trustworthy beings (apta). The author asks in this connection: what are the dacoits like, if the great gods be armed with weapons, trident, thunder, discus, bow, mace, lance, sword and club (25. 80)? Similarly, Devasena in Bhāvasamgraha (op. cit.) criticizes bathing in sacred waters, Srāddha, and adoration of cows, and scoffs at the exploits of Brahma, Visnu and Siva, who are ridiculed also in Pātrakesaristotra. The Sātrakṛtānga, a canonical work, ridicules ceremonial bathing thus: ".....if perfection could be obtained by contact with water, many beings living in water must have reached perfection: fishes, tortoises, aquatic snakes, cormorants, otters, and demons living in water..... If water did wash off the impure Karman, it must take off merit too." Similarly, the morning and evening oblations in the sacred fire are declared to be meaningless: "Those who, lighting fire in the morning and evening, contend that perfection is obtained through fire (are easily

¹ Nilakanta Sastri: The Pandyan Kingdom, p. 116.

किलंखुगशिवशासनस्थितिमिव महाबतिकान्तःपातिमिः कालमुखेवा नरैः संकुलाम्

³ Poussin (op. cit.), p. 145.

⁴ Sec Chap. X.

refuted). For if thereby perfection could be obtained, mechanics also, who use fire, would be liberated (1. 7. 14-18)."

The same work condemns also the feeding of Brahmanas (2. 6. 48, 44).

In regard to the Jaina attacks on Śrāddha, it is said that the present-day Jainas burn the dead, throw the askes on the third day into a river, and even offer rice-balls to the crows on the tenth day, and feed relatives and caste-fellows on the twelfth and thirteenth days." These customs seem to have grown up in later times under Hindu influence. Somadeva, on the other hand, states in Yasastilaka, Book IV, that if the Pitrs have gone to earthly or celestial abodes, they can have no need of annual offerings of rice balls, which are actually eaten by Brāhmaṇas and crows. His statement may be compared with that of Jaṭā-Simhanandi in Varāngaearita 25.64:

हिजेश काकैनेवि शुक्तमसं स्तान् पिरंसार्ग्यते परत्र । पुराजितं तत् पितृशिर्विनष्टं शुशास्त्रमं तेन हि कारजेन ॥

The Jaina attack on Śrāddha reminds one of the satire of the Greek writer Lucian (second century A. D.) on the custom of making offerings to the dead. In his *Charon*, or the Inspectors, Charon the ferryman conveying souls to Hades exclaims 'What, they eat and drink, when their skulls are dry as tinder?.......I should be in a fine predicament, Hermes, and should have no end of trouble if I were obliged not only to bring them down but to bring them up to drink!"

The group of 'stupid customs' mentioned by Somadeva in Yasastilaka VI. 4 and 10 reminds one of those enumerated in Lalitavistara, chap. 17, and described as being followed by the misguided adherents of the non-Buddhist sects. Somadeva's list has been reproduced elsewhere; that recorded in the Buddhist text is more comprehensive, and where there are resemblances provides additional details. Among later Jaina writers Hemacandra enumerates a large number of 'stupid customs' followed by diverse non-Jaina communities in his commentary on his Yogasāstra 4. 102, and some of them are undoubtedly mentioned with reference to popular Brahmanism.

¹ Jacobi: Jaina Sütras, Part II, p. 294.

² Sharma: Jainism and Karpājaka Culture, p. 161.

³ Trans. in Loob Classical Library.

⁴ See Chap, X.

Somadeva cites the Smrti injunction that the Veda and the Dharmasastras, composed by sages like Manu, Vyasa and Vasistha, should be regarded as mandatory and authoritative in all matters, and on no account should their authority be called in question by means of argumentation: in fact, the Brāhmaṇa, who censures the Veda and despises the Sruti and the Smrti, should be ostracized as a nāstika. These views are summarily rejected by Somadeva. In Yasastilaka, Book IV, he quotes the relevant verses:

मानवं व्यासवासिष्ठं वचनं वेदसंयुतस् । अप्रमाणं तु यो मूबात् स मवेद्रस्थातकः ॥ पुराणं मानवो धर्मः साङ्गो वेदश्चिकिस्सितम् । आज्ञासिद्धानि चस्वारि न इन्तव्यानि हेर्नुसिः ॥,

and calls them fanciful or absurd utterances (mukhabhāṣitam). It may be noted that the Buddhist S'āntarakṣita also quotes the second verse and makes a similar remark in Tattvasamgraha (verses 3584-5). In Yasastīlaka VI. 2 Somadeva quotes the following verses:

श्रुति वेदमिष्ट प्राष्टुर्धमेशास्त्रं स्मृतिमैता । ते सर्वार्थेष्वमीमांस्रे ताभ्यां धर्मो हि निर्वेभी ॥ ते तु वस्त्वमम्बेत हेतुशास्त्राश्रयाद् द्विजः । स साधुभिवेहिः कार्यो नास्त्रको वेदनिन्दकः ॥

and remarks that the opinion expressed therein is unsound. He points out that a disputant, who depends entirely upon the Scriptures and never resorts to reasoning, while seeking the truth, is not likely to triumph; as the good appreciate merit, but not a thing whose character has not been ascertained: a jewel is placed on the head, but a stone (unobserved while walking) is kicked away with the foot.²

समस्रपुक्तिनिर्मुक्तः केवस्रागमस्रोचनः । तस्वमिष्टस्य कस्प्रहः सचेद्वादी जवावदः ॥ सम्तो गुणेषु तुष्यन्ति नाविचारेषु वस्तुषु । पादेन क्षिप्यते प्रावा रसं भीकौ निषीयते ॥

The infallibility of the Veda and the Dharmasastras was the cornerstone of Hindu orthodoxy, and it was natural for non-Brahmanical writers to challenge the theory that the authority of the Sruti and the Smrti must be unquestioningly accepted. We have already seen that in the controversial dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother in Yasastilaka, Book IV, the latter represents the orthodox view and the former the standpoint of the heretics. Yasodhara's mother declares to her son: Discard Sruti, Smrti, Itihāsa, and Purāṇic lore if thou hast no use for

पुराणं मानवो भर्मः साङ्गो वेदश्चिकित्तितम्। शाश्चासिद्धानि चत्वारि न इन्तञ्चानि हेतुमिः॥ मन्ये देनैव दश्चेर्य अडेम्यसीविंगीचिका। शाश्चासिद्धत्यमन्यत्र वास्त्रात्रात्ति त वा भवेत्॥

² Cf. Shakespeare, Measure for Measure, II. I: The jewel that we find, we stoop and take it Because we see it; but what we do not see We tread upon, and never think of it.

thy soul! This is a noteworthy assertion of the Hindu orthodox ideal as it appeared to a Jaina writer of the tenth century.

Somadeva's criticism of Hindu beliefs and practices is not without some historical importance, as it shows that the popular Hinduism of the tenth century was not far different from the religion as it exists today. Somadeva justifies his own attitude towards the Brahmanical religion by asserting that no one who speaks out the truth in regard to the Brahmanical deities and other concepts, exercising his own judgment, can be called a slanderer; otherwise the sun, and even the lamp, which reveal things as they are, would be slanderers, too (Book IV):

वेषेषु चान्येषु विचारचक्षुचंथार्थवक्ता किसु निन्दकः स्वात्। एवं न चेक्तर्हि चथार्थवर्सी सासुः प्रदीपोऽपि च निन्दकः स्वात्॥

It will be however fair to suppose that the real motive underlying Jaina criticism of Brahmanical tenets and practices was propaganda aimed at showing the latter religion in an unfavourable light, and bringing into relief the relative merits of the Jaina faith. Brahmanical writers do not appear to have taken any serious notice of attacks on their religion by hostile critics, but in Śrīharṣa's Naiṣadhacarita (Canto XVII) we find a systematic attempt not only to bring together the different charges levelled against the Brahmanical faith by the Jainas and the Buddhists but to formulate an elaborate reply to them from the orthodox standpoint. The aim of the author of Naiṣadhacarita is to demonstrate the triumph of Hindu orthodoxy over the heretics, while that of Somadeva is to portray its discomfiture in a religious controversy between a tenacious Jaina and a zealous champion of orthodox Brahmanism.

Vaişnavism

It is a noteworthy feature of Yasastilaka that, although it discusses or mentions so many philosophical and religious tenets of different schools, it is practically silent upon the Pañcarātra system and Vaisnava doctrine. This is all the more strange, because about the time when Somadeva wrote there was a large mass of Pañcarātra literature extant in Kashmir, as shown by the citations in Utpala's Spandapradipikā, a commentary on Kallaṭa's Spandakārikā. Utpala wrote in the tenth century, and quotes in his work Pañcarātrasruti, Pañcarātropanisad, and the three wellknown Samhitās Jayā, Sātvatā and Pauskarā. He quotes also the Viṣṇuyāmala and two

^{1 &#}x27;हतिस्वृतीहासपुराणवाचरत्ववात्मना चेन्न तवास्ति कार्यम् ॥'

² Utpala quotes Anandavardhana and is very probably earlier than Abhinavagupta, Chatterji: Kashmir Shaivism, part I, p. 13 ff.

texts called Sankareanasütras and Jābālisūtras, which, to judge from the citations, are clearly works dealing with Vaisnava doctrine. It would seem that while works dealing with Pancarātra or Vaisnava doctrines were widely prevalent in Kashmir in the tenth century, they had not so far become current in the Deccan; otherwise it would be difficult to explain the absence of any reference to Pancarātra tenets in so encyclopaedic a writer as the author of Yasastilaka.

The Bhāgavatas are casually mentioned by Somadeva in a simile in Yasastilaka, Book I, and spoken of as resorting to Kṛṣṇabhūmi, explained in the commentary as referring to Dvārakā. Another reference occurs towards the end of Book V, wherein Āsuri, one of the interlocutors of the sage Sudatta, in the episode of Caṇḍakarman, is described as a Bhāgavata; but in the discussion that follows he figures as an exponent of Sāmkhya views. The silence of Somadeva on Vaiṣṇava doctrine seems to be an indication that Vaiṣṇavism was not a force to reckon with in the Deccan in the tenth century; and, in any case, it does not evoke any criticism in his work.

Certain characteristics of Viṣṇu are, it is true, criticized in Yasastilaka. Somadeva, for instance, criticizes the belief in the Incarnations of Viṣṇu, of which he gives the number as ten. He refers also to Rādhā's relations with Nārāyaṇa, and Kṛṣṇa's love for the cowherd maids. But, on the whole, the deity appears in his work rather as a mythological character than as the central figure of a religious system. A passing reference to the idea that the universe is pervaded by Viṣṇu occurs in a description of evening scenery, in Book IV.

The paucity of references to the cult of Viṣṇu, as contrasted with the prominence given to that of Śiva, in Somadeva's work, seems to suggest that, while Vaiṣṇavism existed during the period of the Rāṣṭrakūṭas, it was Śaivism that wielded the greater influence, and formed the principal target of Jaina attacks. It is, however, necessary to go back much earlier to obtain a correct perspective of the relative position of the Vaiṣṇava and Śaiva faiths in the Deccan. The early Cālukyas were mostly, if not all, followers of the Vaiṣṇava cult. The Vaiṣṇava cave at Badami was excavated

¹ A definition of Visnu is quoted from the Samkarsanasütras; while the citation from the Jūbālisūtras declares Vāsudeva to be the supreme Soul.

^{2 &#}x27;भागवता इव प्रतिपञ्चकुष्णभूमयः' p. 13.

³ See Chap. XII.

⁴ See Chap. XVII,

⁵ गोबिन्दो ब्ह्रबीखोलः। 3. 204.

^{6 &#}x27;सर्व विष्णुमयं जगहिति सत्यतां नयतीव' p. 10.

in 178 4. 2. under Kirtivarman I. In it was installed the image of Visnu and provision made for nardyanabali or offering to Narayana. Still more significant is the fact that most of the early and archaic-looking temples at Aihole, in the south-eastern portion of the Bijapur district, were originally dedicated to Vigou, the usual cognizance being the figure of Garuda upon the dedicatory block over the shrine door. The earliest of these temples are that of Lad Khan and the Kontgudi group, ascribed to the beginning of the sixth century A. D. The temple of Lad Khan is square in plan and remarkable for its cave-like characteristics: the flat roof, and its want of elevation, the great massiveness of the pillars unusual in structural temples and the total absence of anything like a sikhara or tower, except for a small square shrine over the central portion of the roof. The temple has great lattice windows, beautifully finished, in the north and south sides; 'but the most decorated part of the temple is the front porch, the pillars of which have life-sized images upon them in bold relief'. The Kont-gudi temple is of the same type as the last, and, like it, square in plan. It is smaller in size. 'but, except for the pillars, it is hardly less massive in construction'. The roof in them idle, above the four pillars, is flat, and it slopes away from this square space, all around, to the four walls.' Upon the flat central portion of the roof is the first storey of an unfinished tower, with an image niche on each of its four sides. That on the west contains an image of the Varāha avatāra of Visnu; while, on the east, is the Vāmana avatāra. By the side of Kont-gudi is another early temple, of an unusual type. The edifice is, in plan, more like an early Muhammadan mosque than a Hindu temple, being in the from of a long open verandah, with three rows of columns in its depth. 'The shrine is just where the Mihrāb would have been, being formed by enclosing the space between the central pair of back pillars and the back walls, the doorway being between the pillars'. The pillars are of the same type as those at Lad Khan's and upon the front of one of the pillars is an image of Narasimha. Close to this temple is another of the same class, 'built upon the same open verandah plan'. somewhat smaller in size.

One of the most remarkable buildings at Aihole is that known as the Durga temple, which, in plan, closely resembles the Buddhist Caitya aves. As in these cathedral caves, the end of the temple is round or apsidal,

¹ Bhandarkar: Voienaviem, Saiviem etc., Poons edition, p. 61.

² See Cousens: Chalukyan Architecture of the Kanarese Districts, p. 32 fl.

⁸ So salled, because a Muhammadan of that name, not long ago, lived in the described building.

and it has a central nave and two side aisles separated by two rows of columns. The central roof is flat and lofty, and that of the side aisles low and sloping. Perhaps the most conspicuous feature is the pillared verandah, outside, surrounding the temple, and projecting in front to form an open pillared hall, or porch. Garuda presiding above the shrine door shows that the temple was dedicated to Vispu.

A characteristic common to the temples mentioned above is that the shrine is not a separate compartment from the hall, as is usual in Brahmanical temples, but is formed within the hall itself by enclosing the required space between one of the walls and the nearest pillars. In the caitya-like Durga temple, the shrine is in the place where the dagoba would be. Even apart from the peculiar shrine, these early temples have many unusual characteristics and stand in a class by themselves, both as regards age and style. In addition to them, there are certain other temples at Aihole, also dedicated to Viṣṇu, which are considered to be earlier than the seventh century A. D., judging by the general style and 'more cyclopean-looking masonry.'

It will be thus seen that Vaisanavism came to be associated with a very early type of architecture at Aihole, which must have been an important centre of the Vișnu cult during the sixth and seventh centuries A. D. But towards the beginning of the eighth century, the centre of gravity shifts to Pattadakal, where we find massive temples being erected in honour of Siva, and what looks like a change over to Saivism takes Certain old shrines, for example, the Malegitti-Sivalaya, which were originally dedicated to Visnu, seem to have been converted to Saiva worship at a later date. On a pillar in the Durga temple at Aihole is a short inscription in letters of the 8th or 9th century, which reads "Śrī-Basa-mayya, the bhatta of Kisuvolal." Pattada Kisuvolal is the ancient name of Pattadakal, and the presence of the bhatta from that centre of Saivism 'may be connected with the time when these temples were restored for Saiva worship.'2 There is another factor pointing to such conversion of Visnu temples to Saiva worship. A circular panel of carving representing the tandava dance of Siva is found, for example, on the front of most of the old temples at Aihole. But the slab often lies loosely against the tower instead of being built in with it; and in the earliest temples the soulpture is of inferior quality compared with that on the other parts. It is probable that the slab bearing representation of the tandava is a later addition made when the temples were first converted to Saiva worship.

¹ See above.

² Cousens (op. cit.), p. 39.

³ Cousens (op. cit.), p. 40.

Vaishavism appears to have been a secondary oult under the Rastrakutas. The great cave temples at Ellora were, with a few exceptions. excavated for the worship of Siva, if we exclude the Buddhist and Jaina The two-storied Dasavatara cave is no doubt an exception, and contains sculptures representing Visnu resting on Sesa; Visnu, six-armed, holding up the Govardhana hill; and the Varaha, Vamana and Narasimha incarnations. The shrine was excavated about the middle of the eighth century A. D. in the reign of the Rastrakūta king Dantidurga, whose triumphs are recorded in an inscription upon the cave. But Saiva sculpture preponderates even in the Dasavatara cave. Some of them illustrate the Bhairava aspect of Siva; and there is another of particular interest, designed to prove the superiority of Siva to Brahmā and Vișnu who fail to discover the top and the base respectively of the great linga.8 There is another Vaisnava cave at Ellora (No. XXVII), known as the Milkmaid's cave, 'but the style of it says but little for the wealth or influence of the sect in the days when it was executed.'4

As already stated, if temple architecture is any index to the influence and popularity of a religion, Saivism was the more important faith during the rule of the Calukyas of Kalyani. There exist a comparatively few examples of later Calukyan temples dedicated to Visnu. Vikramaditya VI constructed a large temple of Visnu, and had a tank dug in front of it. An inscription slab, in the court-yard of the temple of Daksina-Kedāreśvara, records a grant, in 1075 A. D., of a village to the Vaisnava temple of Narasimha at Baligave, or Balagamve, now a small village in Mysore territory. fourteen miles south-west of Hira-Kerür in the Dharwar district.6 Basti or Kalla-Gudi at Degamve, about twenty-five miles south-east from Belgaum, is a decorated medieval temple consisting of 'a long pillared hall in front of three shrines on the west side, the middle one projecting forward into the hall in advance of the other two'. The central shrine contains an image of Laksmi-Nārāyana. An inscription in the temple records the building of the shrines of Kamalanarayana and Mahalaksmi; while another records a grant by Kamaladevi, queen of the Kadamba chief of Goa, to Brahmanas of the village of Degamve, in 1175 A. D. Standing at right

¹ Consens (op. oit), p. 9.

² Burgess: A Guide to Mura Cave Temples, p. 27.

⁸ See Chap. XVII.

⁴ Burgess (op. cit.), p. 46.

⁵ Vikramānkadevacarita XVII. 15 ff.

⁶ Cousens (op. cit.), p. 106.

⁷ Ibid, p. 119,

angles to the temple of Siddhesvara at Hāveri, in the Dharwar district, is a smaller Vaispava temple dedicated to Narasimha, a large image of the deity being found in the shrine. Mahādeva, the general of Vikramāditya VI, who built the great Siva temple at Ittagi towards the end of the eleventh century, built also the temple of Nārāyaṇa, which might be identified with the smaller temple, built in granite, upon the south side of the main temple. 'It occupies very much the same position with regard to the main temple as the Vaiṣṇava shrine of Narasimha does to the temple of Siddhesvara at Hāveri.'

Of the later Cālukyan temples in the Bellary district of Madras Presidency, there appear to be few that are dedicated to Viṣṇu. Notable exceptions are the temple of Venugopālasvāmin at Māgalā and that of Keśavasvāmin at Huvinahadgalli.¹

It is apparent, on the whole, that more elaborate provision seems to have been made for the organised worship of Siva than for the cult of Viṣṇu under the ruling dynasties of the Deccan. There is also the important fact that, in the history of the Deccan, during seven centuries, Vaiṣṇavism has nothing so imposing and grand to show as the temple of Virūpākṣa at Paṭṭadakal or Kailāsa at Ellora or Mahādeva at Ittagi.

The situation is not far different in the northern part of the Deccan where, architecturally, the most important templeis the great shrine of Siva at Ambaranātha, built in the eleventh century A. p. The temple of Lakṣmī-Nārāyaṇa at Pedgaon, near Srigunda in the Ahmadnagar district, is 'a perfect little gem', but its date is not known. Far more important for the religious history of the Deccan is the celebrated Vaiṣṇava temple of Viṭhobā, or Viṭṭhala, at Paṇḍharpur in the Sholapur district, on the banks of the Bhimā. The present temple is of little interest from an architectural point of view; but there is no doubt that it succeeded an older one to the same deity, as an inscription upon a pilaster of a former temple, now used as an overhead beam in the present, informs us that the Hoysala king Vīra-Someśvara presented a gift of gold to the god Viṭṭhala in Samvat 1159 (1237 A. p.). Viṭhobā is perhaps the most popular deity in the Deccan, but the temple dedicated to him is not known to have existed before the thirteenth century. The

¹ See Bea: Chalukyan Architecture, 1896.

² Cousens: Mediaeval Temples of the Duthan, p. 56.

⁸ Ibid. p. 64. For another reference see Bhandarkar: Vaisnaviem, Saiviem etc., Poons edition, p. 124.

out itself must have existed before this, and probably goes back to a much earlier date, but it is difficult to determine its extent and influence during the period under consideration.

While speaking of the relative position of Vaisnavism and Saivism in the Deccan, it is necessary to point out that there appears to have been no sharp division between the two cults in those early days. On the other hand, a certain amount of syncretism is apparent in the religious thought of the time. The popularity of the conception of trimurti is an illustration of this. An inscription of the reign of the Calukya king Vijayāditya says that images of Brahmā, Visnu and Maheśvara were installed in Vatapipura (Badami) in the third year of his reign, that is, in 699 A. D. The images of these three deities occur also in the panels of the ceilings of several of the oldest temples at Aihole. example, in the temple beside that of Kont-gudi, there are finely carved images of Brahmā, Vișnu and Siva in each of the three central bays of the ceiling, Siva being placed in the centre.1 There was a temple at Salotgi in the Bijapur district, in the 10th century, for the joint worship of Brahma, Vișpu and Siva.² No less significant is the impartial mixture of Saiva and Vaisnava sculptures in the early temples of the Deccan. For example, on the exterior walls of the temple of Pāpanātha at Paţţadakal, there are images of Siva in various attitudes, Vișnu, Mahisasura-mardini, Gaņapati, Narasimha, Varāha, the Vāmana avatāra, and Kṛṣṇa, upholding the Govardhana hill. Among the sculptures on the walls of the temple of Virūpākṣa, are found Ardhanārī, the Vāmana avatāra and Viṣṇu upon the east face of the edifice; Siva and Parvati, the tandava of Siva, Harihara, Bhairava and Varāha on the north side; Siva and Visnu in various forms on the west wall; and Lakulisa, Siva in different forms, Visnu and Narasimha among those on the south wall. Similarly, there is 'an impartial mixture of Saiva and Vaisnava subjects in the image groups, representing Narasimha, Mahişāsura-mardinī, Varāha, Viṣṇu, Ardhanārī and Śiva, in the niches around the walls in the outer verandah of the Durga temple at Aihole. Among the sculptures on the pillars in the Saiva temple of Mallikarjuna or Trailokyesvara at Pattadakal are depicted scenes from the life of Krapa. He is seen, for example killing Kamsa's great elephant, then the demon Kesin who is in the shape of a horse, and, at the end. Arista who attacked him in the shape of a savage bull.3

¹ Cousens: Chalukyan Architecture of the Kanarese Districts, p. 87, Fig. 3.

² Altekar: The Rastrakulas and their times, pp. 275, 403.

³ Cousens (op. cit.), pp. 38, 64, 66, 70.

It will be seen that, among the incarnations of Visnu, Varaha, Vamana and Narasimha are the most frequent in the sculptural representations of that deity in the early temples of the Deccan. At Ellora, as in the Dasāvatāra cave, they are found also in the Kailāsa temple, in the corridor surrounding the back half of the court.1 The ten incarnations of Visnu are found sculptured on a huge rock at Badami, close behind the Bhütanātha group of temples. Upon the south side of this rock is a sculpture of Nārāyaņa reclining upon Seşa, with the ten avatāras in a row above him, some on one side and some on the other side of Brahmā.2 The sculpture in question is no doubt much earlier than the reference to the ten number of the avatāras in Somadeva's Yasastilaka. The came, as a matter of fact, to be fixed at ten long before the tenth century A. D. A verse inscription in Pallava-Grantha characters of about the eighth century A. D., found in the rock-cut temple of Varāha-Perumāl at Mahābalipuram, enumerates the ten incarnations as follows: the Fish, the Tortoise, the Boar, the Man-Lion, the Dwarf, Rāma, Rāma (Parasurāma), Rāma (Balarāma), Buddha and Kalkin. The reference to the ten avatāras in Somadeva's work is, however, of some importance as showing that they were well-known, and probably worshipped, in the Deccan in the tenth century. The image of Nārāyana with the ten avatāras, on the rock at Badami, is still worshipped, and a small shrine has been built for the purpose in front of the rock.4

The close contiguity of Saiva and Vaisnava shrines at Hāveri, and probably also at Ittagi, is an indication of the harmonious co-existence of the two cults. Interesting examples of Saiva and Vaisnava shrines standing in close juxtaposition to one another have been found in Mysore State, at Marale in the Chikmangalur Taluk, and at Mosale, a village about eight miles to the south of Hassan. The twin temples at Mosale are ornate structures standing side by side, a few feet apart, and belong to the Hoysala style of architecture. They are identical in size and workmanship, and have been assigned, on architectural grounds, to the twelfth century A. D., when most of the ornate temples in Mysore State came into being. One of the shrines is dedicated to Siva styled as Nāgeśvara, while the other contains a Viṣṇu image called Channakeśava.

¹ Burgess (op. cit.), p. 32.

² Cousens (op. cit.), p. 57.

³ Sastri: Two statues of Pallava Kings and Five Pallava Inscriptions. (Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India). p. 5.

⁴ Cousens (op. cit.), p. 57.

⁵ Annual Report of the Mysore Archaeological Department for 1924, p. 6.

As Puranic deities, Siva and Visnu were equally reverenced in the Deccan, as elsewhere, a trend reflected in sculpture as well as literature. Among the few non-Jaina writers of the Raştraküta period, Trivikrama Bhatta, the author of Nalacampū, who lived in the early years of the tenth century, under Indra III, was a staunch adherent of the Saiva faith. The work is described in the colophons as 'imprinted with the lotus feet of Siva', and the concluding verse of each chapter contains a phrase expressive of devotion to Siva. The sixth chapter of Nalacampu contains, however, a fervent hymn to Vișnu; and this also reminds us of the fact that the opening verse of Rastraküta grants offers salutation to Visnu as well as Siva. Devotion to both the deities was part of the religious syncretism of the time, and shows the popularity and ascendancy of the Purapic religion as a whole. But the evidence furnished by architecture and literary sources shows that, as an organised cult, Vaisnavism occupied a subsidiary position in the Deccan, and seems to have prevailed there in the shadow of Saivism for several centuries, say, from the eighth to the twelfth. The Vaisnava cult was hardly a rival of Jainism in the Deccan; and we find, accordingly, Jaina attacks levelled chiefly against Saivism. which was its greatest rival even before the rise of the Lingayats.

BUDDHISTS AND OTHER SECTS

The Jainas had nothing but contempt for those communities who had no objection to taking flesh and wine. In Yasastilaka VI. 10 the Veda and the Buddhist and the Saiva scriptures are described as favouring the use of honey, flesh and wine. The Buddhists are particularly decried for not observing any restrictions in regard to food and drink. In Yasastilaka VI. 2 Somadeva asks: How can the wise respect the Buddhist who is addicted to flesh and wine?

In another verse the Buddhist appears to be described as a votary of what is called advaita pure and simple. Somadeva seems to say that, excepting the Jaina doctrine, all other systems favour either 'the path of duality' (dvaita) or 'that of non-duality' (advaita); and he illustrates his remarks by saying that the Mantrin or the Saiva mystic follows the Vāmamārga and the Dakṣiṇamārga, and the Brahmanical Scriptures lay stress on Karma and Jāāna, while those of the Buddhists merely prescribe

^{1 &#}x27;व्रतिशाक्यशिवाचायः श्रीद्रमांसासवाभयः' p. 296.

^{2 &#}x27;क्यं मनीविभिर्मान्यस्तरसासवशक्तवीः' p. 276.

flesh-eating. In Yasastilaka VII. 24 the Buddhists are mentioned first among certain communities who recommend the eating of flesh.

The quarrel of the Jainas and the Buddhists over the question of using flesh as an article of food goes back to very early times. the time of Buddha there was in Vaisāli a wealthy general named Sīha who was a convert to Buddhism. He became a liberal supporter of the Brethren and kept them constantly supplied with good flesh food. When it was noised abroad that the bhikshus were in the habit of eating such food specially provided for them the Tirthikas made the practice a matter of angry reproach." The Master thereupon announced to the Brethren "the law that they were not to eat the flesh of any animal which they had seen put to death for them, or about which they had been told that it had been killed for them, or about which they had reason to suspect that it had been slain for them. But he permitted to the Brethren as 'pure' (that is, lawful) food the flesh of animals the slaughter of which had not been seen by the bhikshus, not heard of by them, and not suspected by them to have been on their account. In the Pali and Ssu-fen Vinaya it was after a breakfast given by Siha to the Buddha and some of the Brethren, for which the carcase of a large ox was procured, that the Nirgranthas reviled the bhikshus and Buddha instituted this new rule declaring fish and flesh pure in the three conditions. The animal food now permitted to the bhikshus was tersely described as 'unseen, unheard, unsuspected' Two more kinds of animal food were later "declared lawful for the Brethren, viz. the flesh of animals which had died a natural death, and that of animals which had been killed by a bird of prey or other savage creature." This was the Hinayanist position

जैनमेकं मतं मुक्ता द्वेतादेतसमाश्रयी । मागाँ समाश्रिताः सर्वे सर्वाभ्युवगमागमाः ॥ वामदक्षिणमार्गस्था मणीतरसमाश्रयः । कर्मज्ञानगती देवः शंभुशास्त्रदिज्ञागमः ॥ The Ms. A romarks मञ्जेण सर्वान् वश्लीकरोति शेवः ।
मासमाश्रयति बौद्धः । जीवहोमादिकियाज्ञानप्राप्तो विप्रः ।

^{2 &#}x27;तच्छान्यसांस्यचार्वाक' etc. A little further on, Somadeva says that a king named Saurasena, although he had taken a vow to abstain from flesh-eating, became addicted to it, being misled by the doctrines of the Veda, medical lore and the Advaita doctrine (वेदवेबादेसमस्तिमस्ति:). The Advaitamata obviously refers to the Buddhist view of flesh-eating.

³ Watters: On Yuan Chwang's Travels in India 629-645 A. D., Vol. I, p. 55. Manichaeism, which prohibited the slaughter of animals, permitted the Hearers, as distinguished from the Elect, to eat flesh food when it had been slain by others. Cf. Wand: A History of the Barly Church, p. 141. The Manichaean Elect and Hearers corresponded pretty well to monks and seculars. Babylon was the birth-place of Manichaeism, and Manes, the founder of the new religion about the middle of the third century, was brought up in the sect of the Mugthasila, who laid special stress on abstinence from flesh, wine and women. Kidd: A History of the Church, Vol. I, p. 506.

373

in regard to the use of flesh as an article of food. The Mahayanist rule, on the other hand, prohibited "the use of flesh of any kind as food by the 'sons of Buddha'", and "some Mahayanists were strict in abstaining, not only from all kinds of flesh food, but also from milk and its products."1 In Yuan Chwang's time, for instance, there were three Buddhist monasteries in Karnasuvarna (in Bengal) "in which in accordance with the teaching of Devadatta milk products were not taken as food." So far as Jaina criticism is concerned, the writers do not make any distinction between Hinayanists and Mahayanists and condemn the Buddhists as a class for partaking of animal food. That there was considerable laxity in matters of food and drink among the Buddhists in the tenth century and thereabouts seems extremely probable, and charges of soft living were in fact occasionally levelled against them. Devasena, who wrote about twentyfive years earlier than Somadeva, severely criticizes Buddhist doctrine, in his Bhavasamaraha, and remarks that the Buddhist regards whatever falls into his begging bowl as pure, eats flesh and shamelessly drinks wine, but if it were possible to go to heaven by eating flesh and drinking wine, it is the drunkards and the huntsmen that would go thither. Similarly, Udayana, who wrote a few decades after Somadeva, says that the absence of any restrictions in the matter of food and drink, eating at all hours of the day, and lightness of religious duties are among the causes that induce men to become Buddhists. Hemacandra says in his commentary on his Yogasastra 4. 102 that the practice of austerities is impossible for the Buddhists who eat day and night, and make no distinction between lawful and forbidden food. Further, he remarks in an interesting verse that the religion of the Buddha is excellent indeed, its essentials being a soft bed, rice-gruel in the morning, boiled rice at noon, drinking bouts in the evening, and sugarcandy at night. The Buddhists, on the whole, gave offence to the Jainas by their indulgence in food and drink which the latter abhorred.

In Yasastilaka VIII. 43 Somadeva advises his co-religionists to have nothing to do with the Buddhists, the Nāstikas, the Adepts in sacrifices, the Jaţilas (that is, the Śaivas) and the Ājīvakas. There is no doubt that a

¹ Watters (op. cit.), p. 57.

² Watters, Vol. II, p. 191.

³ पत्तपढियं ण दूसह खाह पर्क मियह सक्तु जिक्क्को । इच्छह सन्गरनामणं मोनखन्तमणं च पावेण ॥ असिकण मंसगासं मुख्यं पिनिकण गम्मय सन्गं । जह पर्व तो सुंहय पार्दियं चेव गच्छति ॥ Verses 68-9

^{4 &#}x27;संमवन्ति चैते हेतवो वौद्धावागमपरिप्रहे । तथाहि भृयस्तत्र कर्मछायवमित्वछताः । मक्षायनियम इति रागिणः । सप्तपटिकामोजनाविसिद्धजीविकेत्वयोग्याः । Nyāyakusumāñjali, chap. 2.

⁵ दिवसे च रजन्यां च मुखनापुण्छय मक्षताम्। भङ्गामस्याविवेकानां सीगतानां कुतस्तपः॥ मृद्री शब्या प्रातः पेया मध्ये मक्षं सामं पानम्। द्राक्षासण्यं रात्रेनीयो शास्योपदाः साधुर्थतैः॥ Verses 9 and 10.

[🇸] शाक्वनास्तिकवागकत्रदिकाबीवकादिभिः । सहावासं सहाकार्मं तस्सेवां च विवर्जवेदः ॥

certain amount of communal hatred existed between the Jainas and the Buddhists, and mutual recriminations are occasionally found in the religious literature of both the communities. The Jainas contended that since the Buddhists denied the existence of the Self, all their talk of compassion and philanthrophy was meaningless. The Buddha is accordingly called 'ferocious and merciless' (!) in Jatasimhanandi's Varāngacarita 25.84. On similar grounds Akalanka accuses the Buddha of criminal propensities such as injury to others, falsehood, theft and unchastity!

The Buddhists are likewise in the habit of giving expression to their contempt for the Jainas and their habits and customs. The celebrated Mahāyāna work Saddharma-puṇḍarīku (earlier than the third century) says, for instance, that the Bodhisattva 'must avoid such monks as follow the precepts of the Arhat, and immoral men (chap. XIII)'.

The practice of nudity (nagnabhāva) mentioned in the Lalitavistara (chap. XVII) among the stupid customs followed by the adherents of the non-Buddhist systems obviously refers to the Digambara monks. In the first half of the seventh century, the great Chinese traveller Hsuan-tsang (the name is variously spelt), a philosopher of Mahāyāna Buddhism, ridicules Jainism as a sort of caricature of the Buddhist religion. "These sectarians". writes Hsüan-tsang, "give themselves up to extreme austerities. Day and night they display the most ardent zeal without a moment's respite. The law expounded by their founder (Mahāvīra) has been largely stolen from the books of Buddha, and on this he guided himself when laying down his precepts and rules. In their religious observances and exercises, they follow almost entirely the rule of the Buddhist monks........... The statue of their teacher, by a kind of impertinent imitation, resembles that of Buddha." Here is a picture of the Digambara monks drawn by the Chinese pilgrim: "The Jainas think they gain distinction by leaving their bodies entirely naked, and they make a virtue of tearing out their hair. Their skin is all broken, and their feet are horny and cracked; they are like those rotten trees that are found close to a river."4 In similar but less dignified language, certain Digambara practices are held up to ridicule in the Dohakosa of Sarojavajra (Saraha) written in an Apabhramsa dialect sometime between the eighth and twelfth ceuturies. Saraha, who was a Buddhist Tantric writer, says that if it were possible to attain salvation by going about naked, the dogs and the jackals would surely attain it. As

^{1 &#}x27;बुद्धस्तु राँद्रो निरनुग्रहश्च'।

² सिद्धिसानृतस्तेयाम्बाच्येप्रवृत्तितः । स मत्यस्तमिताशेषदोषो नेति प्रतीयते ॥ Nyayavinisoaya, verse 390.

³ Trans. Kern, p. 265 (S. B. H.)

⁴ Grousset: In the footsteps of the Buddha, pp. 197-8.

⁵ Ed H. P. Sastri in बीद गान जो दोहा, Introduction, p. 6.

regards the practice of plucking out the hair, he says something positively indecent. Then he says that if the carrying of peacock's feathers led to salvation, the horses and the elephants who are decorated with them were bound to obtain it! Recriminations of this type point to theological hatred, but there is no reason to suppose that there was any deep-seated hostility between the Jainas and the Buddhists. As pointed out by S. C. Vidyabhusan, 'there was no bitter rivalry' between the two communities. According to the same scholar, the Jaina writers Rabhasa Nandi (circa 850 A. D.) and Kalyāṇacandra (about 1000 A. D.) appear to have written commentaries on the Buddhist logician Dharmakīrti's Sambandha-partkāā and Pramāṇa-vārtika respectively; while the Jaina Mallavādin (about 962 A. D.) wrote a commentary on Nyāya-bindu-tīkā of Dharmottara.

The Ajivakas whom Somadeva mentions among the communities to be shunned by the Jainas were an ancient sect founded by Mankhaliputra Gośalaka, a contemporary of Mahāvīra, and the present reference to them shows that members of this sect were still to be found in the tenth century. The Jainas disliked the Ajīvakas, as their founder Gośalaka was a bitter rival and opponent of Mahāvīra, and the former is, as a matter of fact, represented as something of an impostor in early Jaina literature. were the Ajīvakas liked by the Buddhists. Just as Somadeva asks the Jainas to keep aloof from Ajīvakas, Buddhists, Nāstikas and others, similarly the Saddharma-pundarika (Chap. XIII) declares that the Bodhisattva never associates with Carakas, Parivrājakas, Ajīvakas and Nirgranthas (Jainas). The Ajīvakas had certain peculiar doctrines, e. g. the Niyativāda, an extreme form of fatalism propounded by Gosalaka, and seem to have flourished in South India for centuries after the age of Mahāvīra, as their doctrines are included among the contemporary philosophical systems described in detail in the Tamil epic Manimekhalai, assigned to the early centuries of the Christian era. In another Tamil epic of the same age, the Silappadikāram, the father of the heroine Kannaki is said to have distributed his wealth among the Ajīvaka friars. "This is a very important

अब ग्रास विश्व होह मुत्ति ता, ता शुनह शिआल्ड, लोमोप्पाट्टने अच्छ सिद्धिअ, ता जुनह णिखम्बह, पिच्छीगहणे दिट्टि मोक्ख, ता करिह तुरङ्गह ।

² A History of Indian Logic, pp. 194, 198.

³ See Gopani: Ājīvika sect-A new interpretation in Bhāratīya Vidyā, Vol II, part II.

⁴ Trans. Kern, p. 263 (S. B. E.). In ancient Buddhist texts the Ajivakas are regarded as the worst of the sophists. 'As the sect is thrice mentioned in the Asoka Edicts as receiving royal gifts, it is certain that it retained an important position for several centuries at least.' Rhys Davids: Dialogues of the Buddha, Part I, p. 71.

reference inasmuch as the religion of the Ajivakas, if it could be so described, was undoubtedly practised in South India at the time". It should be noted in this connection that Somadeva, contrary to his usual practice, does not mention any doctrines of the sect so that we cannot tell how far any well-defined Ajivaka system prevailed in his time. All that the reference to the Ajivaka in Yasastilaka proves is the existence of the sect in the tenth century.

In Yasastilaka VII. 24 Somadeva seems to refer to another sect. although it is not explicitly mentioned. He says that such is the peculiarity of things that the cow's milk is pure but not the flesh, just as the genu supposed to be on the hood of a snake counteracts poison, while snake-poison itself causes death. Again, milk may be taken, but not the cow's flesh; just as the leaves of a poisonous plant may be taken for the cure of disease, while its roots are sure to cause death. Somadeva seems here to reply to an argument that if it was permissible to take milk there was no reason why one should not take beef. Exactly this opinion is put in the mouth of the leader of the Rahamāna sect in the Jaina allegorical play Moharāja-Parājaya written about two centuries later, wherein he declares that just as one takes cow's milk, similarly one may take also cow's flesh without incurring sin. Rahamāna says further that according to Dhanika, Dharma consists in the killing of Brahmana ascetics.3 We do not know anything about this Rahamāņa who appears in the play along with the Kaula and the Kāpālika, but the views attributed to him might very well represent those of the Muslims. It is not clear whether Somadeva had in mind the views of any particular sect or community while making the statements cited above, but it was not impossible for him to have been acquainted with Muslim customs, since the contact between Indian and Muslim cultures goes back to a date at least two centuries earlier than the composition of his Yasastilaka.

The conflict of religions in the Deccan in the tenth century should not blind us to the general spirit of toleration prevailing in the age. Much important evidence has been adduced by scholars to prove the existence of concord and harmony in the sphere of religion, and we have referred elsewhere to isolated attempts at a synthesis of divergent philosophical and religious views in the century.

¹ Aiyangar: Manimekhalai in its historical setting, pp. 57, 193,

² शुद्धं दुर्गं न गोमीसं वस्तुवैविश्यमीष्ट्रास् । विवासं रक्षमाहेवं विवं न विपदे वतः ॥ अथवाः, हेयं एलं पयः पेवं समे सत्यवि कारणे । विवदोराञ्चने पत्रं मुखं सु सतये मतम् ॥

³ रहमाणः—(साटोपम्) जह पिकार गोच्छीर पलासणे तह य नित्य सो दोसो। इत्थ य लिगिधनहणे धम्मो दिह्व रि विगयण ॥ 4. 24.

⁴ See Chap. IX.

CHAPTER XIV

JAINA CRITICISM OF VEDIC SACRIFICES

Apart from the criticism of popular Hinduism, Somadeva denounces the Vedic sacrificial system for sanctioning animal slaughter in the controversial dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother in Yasastilaka, Book IV. As examples of Vedic rites involving the killing of animals he mentions Rajasuya, Vajapeya, Gosava, Asvamedha, Kariri and Pundarika (in which an elephant is said to have been sacrificed), and condemns also the Sautrāman! sacrifice in which it was customary to drink wine. Vedic sacrifices are represented as sinful also in Buddhist texts, for example, in the Mahāvastu (Vol. II, p. 237) wherein Mara instigates the Bodhisattva to perform certain sacrifices for the attainment of heaven and religious merit, viz. Aśvamedha, Puruşamedha, Somaprāsa, Nirargada (?), Paduma and Pundarīka. References like these are of a literary character and do not always point to contemporary conditions. In any case Somadeva's criticism of Vedic sacrifices and citations from sacrificial texts do not prove that Vedic rites were common in his time, as Vedic sacrifices seem to have gone out of vogue and become obsolete by the tenth century. The Smarta religion reigned supreme; and it has been pointed out, for instance, that there are only two Rāstrakūta inscriptions which record grants made to enable Brāhmanas to perform Vedic sacrifices like Rājasūya, Vājapeya and Agnistoma. other cases the grants were made for discharging purely Smarta duties connected with bali, caru, vaisvadeva." Further, "Alberuni was informed that the Vedic sacrifices were rarely performed and practically abandoned decline of Vedic sacrifices must have set in long before the tenth century or even the Rāstrakūta period. Samkara in his Bhāsya on the Vedānta Sūtras 8. 1. 25, indeed, speaks of Vedic rites as if they were still being performed by cultured people in his time. But it is important to note that the Prasastapāda Bhāsya, which belongs to the early centuries of the Christian era. while laying down the religious duties of a householder in connection with

^{1 &#}x27;तथा वेदेऽप्यात्मअयोथेमशेषविभोषशमनार्थ च राजस्यपुण्डरीकाश्वमेषगोसनवावपेयादिषु वर्षिकामेहिकारीरीलादिषु च सकेषु प्रवृत्तोऽयं प्राणिवयः स च वधो न मवति !' The object of the Karir'i sacrifice was to bring rain. For references see Handiqui: Naishadhacarita, Vocabulary (sub vocs).

² See, for example, the first story of Book VII, summarized in Chap. XVI.

^{8 &#}x27;महायशालि च यजाहि अश्वमेषं पुरुषमेषं सोमप्रासं निरर्गंडं पदुमं पुण्डरीकं च। एतानि यद्वानि यजिला प्रेल स्वर्गेषु मोहिन्यसि यद्व च पुण्यं प्रसंविष्यसि'।

⁴ Altekar: The Rastrakutas and their times, p. 279.

^{5 &#}x27;तझाद्रिशुदं कमें वैदिकं शिक्टरनुष्ठीवसानस्वादनिन्यमानस्वाच !'

the Vaisesika scheme of spiritual discipline, prescribes in the first instance Smarta rites such as the five Mahāyajñas and the Pākayajñas, and then mentions the Srauta sacrifices: the Haviryajñas (Agnyādheya, Darsapaurnamāsa etc.) and the Somayajñas (Agniṣṭoma, Ukthya etc.), to be undertaken if one has the capacity to do so.¹ Udayana who wrote in the last quarter of the tenth century says in his Nyāyakusumānjali, chap. 2, that Vedic rites such as Rājasūya and Aśvamedha were dying out in his time, as they were no longer performed, and that in his age Dharma rested only on one foot, charity, owing to the decline of the Vedic sacrifices.³ The Nyāya theory of sākhoccheda, the dying out of the Vedic schools, was, in fact, more in conformity with the process of history than the Mīmāmsā view which refused to believe in any such decay.

It may, however, be readily admitted that Vedic sacrifices continued to be sporadically perfomed till quite recent times; and contemporary evidence of the performance of such rites is not rare. The Asvamedha, in particular, attracted many kings of the ruling dynasties. For example, the Iksväku king Vāsisthīputra Cāmtamūla I, who reigned in the Andhra country about the second quarter of the third century A. D., is said to have performed the Asvamedha and Vajapeya sacrifices.8 Several inscriptions of the Vākātaka kings, who ruled in Central Provinces and Berar, state that Pravarasena I who reigned about the end of the third century A. D. performed four Asvamedhas besides several other Vedic sacrifices such as Agnistoma, Aptoryāma, Ukthya, Sodasin, Atirātra, Vājapeya, Brhaspatisava and Sādyaskra. The inscriptions of the Vākātakas frequently mention their kinsmen, the Bhārasivas, who seem to have established themselves on the banks of the Ganges, and are said to have celebrated as many as ten Aśvamedhas.4 In Gupta records Samudragupta (circa 330-375 A. D.) is stated to have revived the Asvamedha which had long been in abeyance, evidently in North India; for it seems to have been quite popular in the South. The Pallava king Sivaskandavarman, who has been assigned to about the beginning of the fourth century A. D., is reported to have performed the Agnistoma, Vajapeya and Asvamedha sacrifices. Traikūtaka king of Northern Deccan, who ruled in the second half of the

पञ्चानां महायज्ञानां सायंत्रातरनुष्ठानम् पकाशिविधानेन पाकयष्ठसंस्थानां च नित्यानां, शक्ती विष्यमामायामस्याजेयादीनां च हिवर्थसंस्थानामप्रिष्टोमादीनां सोमयष्ठसंस्थानां च।'

विविका अप्याचारा राजस्याश्वमेशवयः समुच्छित्रमाना दृश्यन्ते । यस इदानीं नानुष्ठीयन्ते । 'पूर्व चतुष्पाद्यमै आसीद्द """संप्रति जीर्यति यहे दानैकपात्।'

³ Sarkar: The Marly Pallavas, p. 17.

^{🛦 &#}x27;पराक्रमाधिगतभागीरम्यमङ्बलम्बीभिषिकानां दशासमेधावम्धकातानाम्'

⁵ Sarkar (op. cit.), p. 38.

fifth century, and the Kadamba king Kranavarman I, who ruled in West Mysore and Kanara about the end of the fifth century A. D., are also credited with the performance of the Asvamedha. In the sixth century A. p. the Calukya Pulakesi I is mentioned as having performed a great many sacrifices including the Asvamedha. A much later instance is that of the Cola king Rajadhiraja I, who is known to have performed the Asvamedha about the middle of the eleventh century. The Yadava king Krapa (1247-1260 A. D.) is said to have performed a great many sacrifices and brought fresh strength to the Vedic ceremonial religion which in the course of time had lost its hold over the people.' Candupandita, the author of a learned commentary on Śrīharsa's Naisadhacarita, performed a large number of important sacrifices, showing that Vedic ritual was not extinct in Gujarat in the last quarter of the thirteenth century. The Vajapeya was performed in the sixteenth century for the Vijayanagara kings The Asvamedha was performed by Sevai Nṛsimha and Kṛṣṇa-Rāya. Jayasing of Amber as late as the first half of the eighteenth century."

Somadeva while condemning animal slaughter for religious purposes combats the theory that killing in Vedic sacrifices is not, properly speaking, killing, as it ensures to the victim life in heaven. The subject is more systematically dealt with by Mallisena (1292 A. D.) in his Syādvādamañjarī (under verse 11). He tries to show that the killing of animals even for the purpose of Vedic sacrifices is a grievous sin, and quotes in support of his thesis the views of certain Brahmanical thinkers, Sāmkhyas and Vedāntins and Vyāsa, who abhorred the practice of animal slaughter in Vedic ritual.

तथा च पठन्ति पारमर्थाः । थूपं छिण्या पश्चम् इत्था कृत्या दक्षिरकर्दमम् । यद्येवं गम्यते स्वर्गे मरके केन गम्यते ॥ वेदान्तिका अप्याहुः । अन्ये तमसि मजामः पश्चमित्रे बजामहे । हिंसा नाम भवेदमों न भूतो न भविष्यति ॥

व्यासेनाप्युक्तम् । श्वानपालिपरिक्षिते अद्याचर्यद्वाग्मसि । श्वाःवातिविमले तीर्थे पापपङ्कापहारिणि ॥
ध्वानाग्नौ जीवकुण्डस्मे दममास्तदीपिते । असत्कर्मसमित्मेपरिव्रिहोत्रं कुरूक्तमम् ॥
कवायपञ्जमिर्दुद्वैर्धर्मकामार्थनाशकैः । सममस्रहतैर्यंत्रं विधेहि विहितं तुर्थेः ॥
प्राणियातास्त्र यो धर्ममीहते सूदमानसः । स वान्छति सुधावृष्टि कृष्णाहिसुक्त्रोटरात् ॥

¹ ABORI, Vol. XXVI, p. 28 and Sarkar (op. cit.), p. 20.

² Bhandarkar: Early History of the Dekkan, 3rd ed., p. 103,

³ Sastri: The Colas, Vol. I, pp. 293, 312.

⁴ Bhandarkar (op. cit.), p. 197.

⁵ See the English trans. of Naishadhaearita, Introduction, p. III.

⁶ Rice: Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions, p. 210.

⁷ See P. K. Gode's papers in Poons Orientalist, Vol. II, pp. 166-180 and Mimanes Prakash, Vol. II, pp. 43-46.

⁸ See Book IV and Chapter XII of this book.

⁹ Cited in the Matharavetti.

The views of Vyāsa are quoted also by Somadeva, and it is interesting to find Jaina writers drawing on Brahmanical sources to reinforce the doctrine of ahimsā (Yasastilaka, Book IV):

होमसानतपोक्षाप्यवस्थावर्यादयो गुणाः । पुंसि हिंसारते पार्थं चाण्डास्तरसीसमाः ॥ इति...व्यासोकिः । यायन्ति पञ्चरोमाणि पञ्चगात्रेषु भारत । तावद्ववसहस्राणि पण्यन्ते पञ्चयातकाः ॥ इति पौराणिकी सुतिः । Ibid.

Somadeva's views on the killing of animals in Vedic sacrifices are recorded in the aforesaid dialogue between Yasodhara and his mother. Mallisena sums up by saying that just as a cruel man may try to obtain a kingdom by killing his own son, but cannot escape the ignominy and sin resulting therefrom, even if he attains his object, similarly, even though the gods may be gratified by the slaughter of animals sanctioned by the Veda, the sin caused by such killing can never be averted.¹

The attacks on the authority of the Veda and its religion which we come across in Yasastilaka belong to a class of recriminatory literature directed against Vedic rites by Jaina writers since long before the tenth century. Although the Vedic religion had been obsolete for centuries, it was considered worth while to attack the Veda, since it was the bed-rock on which the superstructure of Brahmanism was claimed to have been built up beyond the ravages of time. Among the many stories invented for the purpose of discrediting the Vedic religion, there are at least three which deserve notice as illustrating the Jaina attitude and mentality in regard to the Brahmanical Scriptures. The earlier version of the story of Sagara, Sulasa, Narada, Parvata and Vasu related in Jinasena I's Harivameapurāna (cantos 17 and 23) and Somadeva's Yasastilaka, Book VII, occurs in the comprehensive Jaina Prakrit romance Vasudevahindi, Chap. V (circa sixth century A. D.). This story is interesting as recording the Jaina allegation that the Veda and its religion were the work of a demon named Kālāsura. The latter is also called Mahākāla, e. g. in Vasudevahindī wherein he is described as a most reprobate god, the minister of Yama. Even a sober philosophical writer like Vidyānandi declares in his Tattvārthaslokavārtika 1. 20. 36 that the followers of Kanada attribute the authorship of the Veda to Brahman, while the Jainas attribute it to Kalasura Similarly, in an outrageous story preserved in Vasudevahinds (Chap. III), Pippalada, the reputed author of the Atharvaveda, is described as

^{1 &#}x27;यथा किल कश्चितिपश्चित पुरुषः पद्दषाशयतया निजमक्क वं व्यापाच राज्यश्चियं प्राष्ठमीहते न च तस्य तत्त्राप्ताविष पुत्रच्यातायातक्कलक्कूपद्वः कचित्पयाति ववं वेदविद्वितिद्वस्या देवतादिप्रीतिसिद्धाविष हिंसासञ्जूष्यं दुष्कृतं न सक्क परावृत्यते ।'

^{2 &#}x27;जमत्स छोगपाङस्स अमबो परमाइग्मिओ महाकालो नाम देवो जाओ।'

being born of the illicit union of the nun Sulass and the ascetic Jannavakka. He is deserted by his parents as soon as he is born, but grows up to be an eminent Vedic scholar. In the course of time he comes to know the circumstances of his birth, and invents the Atharvaveda and its black magic in order to take revenge upon his parents. He severs the tongue of his father with a knife, and after reminding him of the crime of desertion of his own child, hacks him to pieces and offers the limbs as oblations in the sacrificial fire. This is the Jaina version of treatment is meted out to his mother. the origin of the magic rites of the Atharvaveda. Another interesting but far from disgusting story about Vedic rites occurs in the eleventh canto of Ravisena's Padmacarita (seventh century A. D.), which contains also a slightly different version of the Parvata story. The story in question describes the destruction of Marutta's sacrifice by Ravana, represented as a patron of Jainism in Ravisena's work, which contains a Jaina version of the story of the Rāmāyana. The Jaina sage Nārada argues with the officiating priest about the authority of the Veda and the advisability of performing sacrifices, and tries to convince him of the sinful character of animal slaughter. Some of his sentiments are not unlike those expressed by Yasodhara in Yasastilaka; the following two verses, the first from Yasastilaka, Book IV and the second from Padmacarita 247, may be compared:

ग्रुक्रशोणितसंभूतमञ्जूषीनां निकेतनम् । मांसं चेत् प्रीणयेदेवानेत व्याशानुपासाहे ॥ ग्रुक्रशोणितसंभूतमसेध्यक्रमिसंभवम् । दुर्गन्यदर्शनं मांसं मक्षयन्ति कथं सुराः॥

The assembled Brāhmaņas are enraged at the interference of Nārada, and attack him with kicks and blows. The news is brought to Rāvaņa who hastens to the sacrificial site with his soldiers, and there follows a tumultous scene in which the animals kept for the sacrifice are set free and sacrificial posts broken, and the Brāhmaṇas receive a good hammering. The description of the Brāhmaṇas as "huntsmen with the sacred thread round their necks" sums up the Jaina attitude towards the Vedic religion.

Considering the theological hatred of earlier times, it is not surprising to find Jaina writers inventing or giving currency to stories calculated to discredit the Vedic religion and culture. The Jainas had, however, no direct knowledge of Vedic literature, and their criticism of Vedic rites is often disfigured by gross exaggerations, misunderstanding and misstatements. A few examples may be given to show that even a deeply learned scholar like Somadeva is not wholly free from this charge.

In Yasastilaka, Book IV, Somadeva quotes a Vedic phrase min बाह्यमालमेत, and opines that the Veda sanctions the killing of a Brahmana in spite of the general injunction that a Brähmana should not be killed. We are not here concerned with the question of whether traces of human sacrifice are found in the Vedic age, but the phrase cited by Somadeva belongs to the ritual of the Purusamedha which is wrongly supposed by him to be a The Purusamedha was a symbolic rite, and the human victims, men as well as women, who were actually tied to the sacrificial posts, were set free, one and all, after the paryagnikarana or carrying of a firebrand These are enumerated in the Vājasaneyisamhitā XXX. round the victims. 5-22 and the Taittiriya Brāhmana III. 4, while the Satapatha Brāhmana (XIII. 6. 2. 12, 13) says: "......Now, the victims had the fire carried round them, but they were not yet slaughtered. Then a voice said to him, 'Purusha, do not consummate (these human victims): if thou wert to consummate them, man (purusha) would eat man! Accordingly, as soon as fire had been carried round them, he set them free, and offered oblations to the clearly says that the Brahmanas and the other victims are released, just as the Kapiñjala birds and the other wild animals are set free in the Asvamedha after the paryagnikarana (XX. 6.9). It is true that there are two Srauta Sütras, Vaitāna (XXXVII. 10 ff.) and Sānkhāyana (XVI.10 ff.), which set forth a form of Puruşamedha in which a man is to be sacrificed, but these Sütras lack Brāhmaņa authority for what they prescribe; and as Eggeling points out, the Puruşamedha described therein "is nothing more than what Sankhayana appears to claim for it, viz. an adaptation, and that a comparatively modern adaptation, of the existing Asvamedha ritual." Further, "the very fact that, in both Sutra works, this sacrifice is represented as being undertaken, not for the great object of winning immortal life, but for the healing of the Sacrificer's bodily infirmities, might seem sufficient to stamp the ceremony as one partaking more of the nature of the superstitious rites of the Atharvan priests than of that of the great sacrifices of the traditional Srauta ritual." According to Keith, the ritual prescribed in the versions of Sankhayana and the Vaitana "is a mere priestly invention to fill up the apparent gap in the sacrificial system which provided no place for man."4 Hillebrandt gives too much importance to the version of Sankhayana and remarks that the

¹ See Chap. XII.

² Eggeling's Translation, Part V, p. 410.

³ Eggeling: Śatapatha Brāhmaņa, Trans., Part V, Introduction, p. zliv.

⁴ Taittiriya Samhitä, Trans., Introduction, p. exxxviii.

Purusamedha is a relic of a barbaric age, but the statement is vague, and there are no reliable data which might enable us to determine the limits of this 'barbaric age'. The evidence of the Satapatha Brāhmana, a far more ancient text, is of greater value than that of the two Sūtra texts, and the fact remains that as early as the age of the Satapatha Brāhmana no human victims were sacrificed in the Purusamedha. More generally speaking, the legend of Sunahsepa "is enough to show that human sacrifice was for the Brāhmana period a horror beyond words". It is also noteworthy that the Purusamedha is not mentioned at all in the Samhitās of the Black Yajurveda: the Taittiriya, the Maitrāyani and the Kāthaka nor in the comprehensive Baudhāyana S'rauta Sūtra.

Another misleading statement made by Somadeva in Yasastilaka, Book IV, concerns the Gosava sacrifice. He opines that this rite was devised to sanction incest with one's mother and sister.* The Gosava was an skaha or one-day sacrifice and usually performed by a man of position of the Vaisya caste, who might be honoured by the king and the people. The man who performed the Gosava was therafter called Sthapati, an honorific term. According to some, this rite was exclusively meant for the Vaisya caste, and one of its impostant features was that fresh milk was poured over the Sacrificer while seated on the bare ground to the south of the Ahavaniya fire. This is roughly the account of the Gosava given in the Kātyāyana Srauta Sūtra XXII. 11. 6-11. The prescribed sacrificial fee of a myriad oxen shows that the rite was performed by a wealthy man, and according to the Sänkhävana Srauta Sütra XVI. 15. 1 it should be undertaken by one who desires cattle. The Taittiriya Brähmana II. 7. 6 and Tandya Brähmana XIX. 13. 1 connect the Gosava with Svārājya or supremacy as the promised goal, and in any case the rite was meant for a leading man of the community as marking the culminating point in his social rank and position. As pointed out by Hillebrandt. there were certain special sacrifices for particular classes of men, e. g. the Rajasuva for the Ksatriyas, the Brhaspatisava for the Brāhmaņas and the Sūta-, Sthapati-, Grāmaņi-, and Go-sava for the other orders of society. Now, the Gosava had a particular connection with the bovine species. The Taittiriya Brāhmana (op. cit.) observes that the ox is Supremacy, and the Sacrificer acts like an ox. Sayana's interpretation

¹ Ritual-Litteratur, p. 153.

² Keith: Taittiriya Samhitā (op. cit.), p. cxl.

³ Ibid., p. exxxviii.

^{4 &#}x27;तदाइ—गोसने ब्राह्मणो गोसनेनेङ्ग संनत्सरान्ते मातरमप्यभिक्षनतीति उपेहि मातरमुपेहि स्वसारमिति P Books IV and VII, and section 30.

⁵ Ritual-Litteratur, p. 143.

^{6 &#}x27;स्वाराज्यं गौरेव गौरिक अवति । य एतेन वजते ।'

"Just as an ox roams freely in the woods, so does the Sacrificer in the Brahmaloka" does not appear to be accurate in view of the detailed. explanation of the rite given in the Jaiminiya Brahmana II. 113.1 The latter text describes the vrata of the Gosava and it consists in imitating the ways of an ox. The Sacrificer is to lie with his mother, his sister, and a woman of his own gotra, drink water and eat grass with face downwards, and ease himself wherever he feels the necessity.2 It is said that Janaka of Videha wanted to perform the Gosava, but when he was told about the procedure, he declared that he was willing to pay the prescribed sacrificial fee, but not to undertake the vrata, and did not after all venture to set about the rite. Punyakesa, the king of the Sibis, once undertook the Gosava. He felt like easing himself in the royal assembly, and while uncovering himself for the purpose then and there, exclaimed that the rite was suitable only for old men, and only an old man should undertake it, for 'all this' is permissible The Brāhmana therefore concludes that the Gosava was a sthavirayajña, and should be undertaken only at an advanced age.8 was thus an apparently innocent sacrifice primarily designed for old people, free from the repulsive significance attributed to it by Jaina It may be noted that the vrata described in the Jaiminiya Brāhmaņa is omitted in most of the texts dealing with the Gosava, e. g., in the S'rauta Sūtras of Kātyāyana (op. cit.). Śānkhāyana 14. 15, Baudhāyana 18.7 and Aśvalāyana 9.8, and the Tāndya Brāhmana 19.13; while the Taittiriya Brāhmana (op. cit.) seems to make only a passing reference to it: gauriva bhavati. Caland shows that there is good reason to believe that the Jaiminiya Brāhmana is older than the Tāndya or Pañcavimea Brāhmana, and he thinks that the latter text omitted certain details, e.g. the of vrata the Gosava, perhaps because it found them too 'barbaric'.4 It is quite probable that the childish procedure of behaving like an ox became soon obsolete, and was excluded from the customary ritual of the Gosava.

Somadeva is not the only Jaina author to make misleading statements regarding Vedic sacrifices. Ravisena asserts in Padmacarita 11.85 that intercourse with forbidden women is required in the Gosava sacrifice. Devasena who wrote Darsanasāra in 933 A. D. says in his Prākrit Bhāvasamgraha (verses 52-3) that the cow is declared to be

¹ Das Jaiminiya Brāhmaņa in Auswahl, p. 157.

^{2 &#}x27;उप मातरमियादुप स्वसारमुप सगोत्राम्'

^{3 &#}x27;तेन हैतेनोत्तरवयस्य यजेत।'

⁴ Pañcavimia-Brahmana. Trans. Caland, Introduction, p. xxi.

⁵ See Introduction to नवस्त्रसंग्रहः (Māṇikacandra Jaina Granthamālā), p. 12.

a goddess (by the Brāhmanas) and all the gods are believed to reside in her body; yet they kill her in the Gosava sacrifice and eat the flesh; do they not kill those gods by killing the cow? The Jainas had a tendency to believe that all sacrifices whose names began with the name of a living creature involved its slaughter in the accompanying ritual.

Another example of erroneous statements made by Jaina writers about Vedic rites is found in Ravisena's Padmacarita 11. 87-89:

भाषुश्वश्रामादाय पृष्ठे कूर्मस्य तर्पयेत् । इविष्यग्रह्मकारयाय स्वाहेत्युक्तवा प्रवह्मतः ॥ यदा न प्राप्तुवात् कूर्मं तदा श्रुद्धिजन्मनः । सकतेः पिक्षकामस्य विकायस्य श्रुपी अले ॥ भास्यदृष्टेऽवतीर्णस्य मसके कूर्मसंनिमे । प्रज्यास्य ज्यकनं दीसमाहतिं निक्षिपेद् हिजः ॥

These verses containing details, corresponding more or less to particulars found in Vedic texts, might very well deceive an unwary reader not familiar with the Vedic sacrificial system. Among the terms used juhvaka is a misreading for jumbaka, and viklava for viklidha. Ravisena means to say that oblations are offered in the fire on the back of a tortoise. but if one is not available, the offerings are made in a fire kindled on the head of a Brahmana with certain characteristics, immersed in the water up to the mouth. This is an atrocious misrepresentation of a Vedic rite which took place on the third day of the Asvamedha towards the end of the sacrifice at the end of the purificatory bath (avabhrtha). What really happened was that an oblation of clarified butter was made on the head of a man of hideous appearance, standing in the water, with the mantra 'To Jumbaka hail!' The mantra occurs in the Vajasaneyisamhita 25.9, Jumbaka being a name of Varuna as explained, for instance, in the Taittiriya Brahmana III. 9. 15. Among the epithets applied to the man the most frequent are pingala 'tawny-eyed', khalati 'bald-headed', viklidha, and sukla 'white' or rather 'pale'. As regards viklidha, it is variously explained as dantura 'with protruding teeth' by Karka on the Kātyāyana S'rauta Sūtra XX. 8. 16. Mahīdhara on the Vājasaneyisamhitā 25. 9, and Harisvāmin on the Satapatha Brāhmana XIII. 8. 6. 5; as svedanasilasarira and viklinnadeha 'perspiring' 'clammy' by Sāyaṇa on the Taittiriua Brāhmana (op. cit.); and as 'leprous' by Varadattasuta Anartiya in his commentary on the S'ānkhāyana S'rauta Sūtra 16. 18. The latter text as well as the Baudhayana S'rauta Sūtra 15. 37 uses some other epithets to make the man still more repulsive, and Sankhayana

मुरही कोयस्सन्ते वक्साणह एस देनि प्रवक्ता । सन्त्रे देशा क्षेत्र प्रविसंति शियमेण ॥ पुणरिक गोसवज्ञणे वसी अक्षुंति सा वि मारिका । तस्सेव बहुण पुत्रं ण मारिया होति ते देशा ॥

adds that he should be led to a river and immersed therein, and when the waters flow into his mouth the Adhvaryu priest should make an oblation of horse's blood on the man's head with the mantra 'bhrūnahatyāyai svāhā'. After the oblation the man is brought out of the water (minedhanti explained in the commentary as nirgamayanti), and possibly driven away as a scapegoat. Just as there are no traces of remnants of human sacrifice in this rite, as Weber thought, similarly it did not involve any inhuman practice like lighting a fire on a man's head, as alleged by Ravisena.

It is hardly necessary to cite other examples of Jaina misstatements regarding Vedic rites. Ravisena tells us also that in a sacrifice called Mātṛmedha one's mother was killed, while in the Pitṛmedha one's father suffered the same fate (11. 86). Jinasena I states that kings were sacrificed by hundreds and thousands in the Rājasūya, which, according to him, was devised by the wicked god Mahākāla, an enemy of kings. This amazing statement occurs in his Harivamsapurāṇa written in 783 a. p.¹ Hemacandra mentions a Gomedha and a Naramedha along with the Asvamedha in a sweeping condemnation of Vedic sacrifices in a verse of his commentary on his Yogasāstra 4. 102.² In another amusing verse in the same work (2. 38) he declares that the poor Cārvāka is rather preferable to Jaimini, because the former openly professes atheism, while the latter is a monster disguised as an ascetic citing Vedic texts.

It is evident that much of the information of Jaina writers in regard to Vedic rites was based on hearsay, and the only thing they definitely knew about them was that they involved the sacrifice of living beings, men as well as animals. Their statements are no doubt mala fide, misleading and erroneous, but similar inaccuracies are found also in the Mātharavrtti on the Sāmkhyakārikā. It may be noted in this connection that the following sacrificial verse is quoted, perhaps inaccurately, in both the Mātharavrtti and Somadeva's Yasastilaka VII. 30:

षद्शतानि नियुष्यन्ते पञ्चनौ मध्यमेऽहनि । अथमेधस वचनात्नानि पश्चमिस्निः ॥

स्यन्ते वत्र राजानः शतशोऽपि सहस्रशः। राजस्यकतुस्तन दर्शितो राजवैरिणा ॥ 23. 142.

² गोमेवनरमेथाश्रमेथाश्रम्बदकारिणाम्। याविकानां कुतो पर्मः प्रणिवातविधायिनाम् ॥

³ वरं वराकश्चार्वाको योऽसी प्रकटनास्तिकः । वेदोक्तितापसच्छक्कं रक्षो न जैमिनिः ॥

⁴ The Matharavetti misunderstands the significance of the Purusamedha and the Gosava. It says पशुवधोऽसिष्टोमे मानुषवधः गोसवस्थवस्था सौत्रामण्यां द्वरापानं "" कल्पसूत्रेऽन्यदमि अकृत्यं भृरिकर्तव्यायोपविश्यते 'मझणे बाह्मणमालभेत क्षत्राय राजन्यं मरुद्भ्यो वैश्यं तस्करं नारकाय नोरष्टणम्' इस्यादि अवणात्।

The verse occurs in a different form in the commentaries of Uvața and Mahldhara on the Vajasaneyisamhită 24. 40:

परकताति तिपुत्रक्ते पञ्चमां अध्यक्षेऽहति । जनमेक्स पञ्चा गविनकाधिकाति च ॥

Among other sacrificial citations, all of which are anonymous, we have already reproduced the dubious statement on the Gosava sacrifice quoted in Yasastilaka, Books IV and VII. 30. The dictum सीमानी व एवंदिया हुए। पिनति व तेन हुए। पीता अवति quoted in the same context cannot be genuine, because although the reference to wine is correct, the name of the rite (sautrāmaņī) is incorrectly spelt. The word is, in fact, consistently misspelt by Somadeva who writes sautrāmaṇī for sautrāmaṇī. The following sacrificial verse is cited in VII. 30:

गोसरे सुर्भि इन्यावासस्ये तु मूशुअस् । अधमेथे इयं इन्यात् पौण्डरीके च दन्तिनस् ॥

The verse is clearly spurious, and might even be an invention, as it contains the absurd statement that the king is sacrificed at the Rājasūya. The only reference to human sacrifice in the ritual of the Rājasūya is in the legend of Sunahsepa, which is recited by the Hotr priest after the anointing of the king, but the story in question is nothing less than a condemnation of the offering of a human victim. Hillebrandt's view that the recitation of the legend is a reminiscence of a possible early connection of the Rājasūya with human sacrifice is clearly far-fetched, and has been rejected by Keith.

The following citation hearing on the Purusamedha in Yasastilaka VII. 30 is an example of haphazard quotation or misquotation from sacrificial texts. It reads as follows in Somadeva's work:

त्रकाणे त्राह्मणमालमेत इन्द्राय क्षत्रियं मरुद्र्स्यो वैश्यं तमले ग्रह्मुत्तमले तस्करम् आत्मने हीनं कामाय इंश्वलमतिक्रष्टाय मागधं गीताय द्वतमादिलाय ज्ञियं गर्भिणीम् ।

The passage in question forms part of a longer one found with variations in the Vājasaneyisamhitā XXX. 5ff., the Taittiriya Brāhmaṇa III. 4, and the Satapatha Brāhmaṇa XIII. 6. 2. The ancient text, e. g. that of the Taittiriya Brāhmaṇa, reads as follows:

ज्ञाणे ज्ञाहाणमालभते । क्षजाय राजन्यम् । सरुद्भ्यो वैश्यम् । तपसे श्रद्रम् । तमसे तस्करम् । नारकाय वीरहणम् । पाप्मने क्षीवम् । आक्रवायायोग्म् । कामाय पुर्वेश्वलम् । अतिकृष्टाय मागधम् । गीताय सूतम् । नृत्ताय वैस्त्वम् ।

The principles underlying Vedic injunctions are criticized by Somadeva in the aforesaid controversial dialogue in Yasastilaka, Book

¹ c. g. निश्चिषमखशिखामणी सीत्रामणी in VII. 22.

² Hillebrandt: Ritual-litteratur, p. 145, and Keith: Rigueda Brahmanas, p. 62.

Similar objections to the authority of the Veda as a whole are recorded in various Jaina works, e. g. Akalanka's Nydyavinisoaya (chap. 8), Anantavīrya's commentary on the Parikeamukha Sūtra (chap. 8) etc. Akalanka attacks the apauruseya theory of the origin of the Veda, and says that if the Veda revealed its own truth, it would be unnecessary to study texts like the Ayurveda, which are an integral part of the Veda. Further, if the Veda was eternal, the generations of students who have been studying and explaining it would be like an endless succession of blind men following one another; and if the Veda had really no author. its statements would be like the utterances and customs of barbarians which could not be attributed to any competent authority.2 goes further and opines that the Veda cannot be regarded as an authoritative source of knowledge, whether it is supposed to be apauruseya or pauruseya. In the former case, supposing there exists an endless sequence of Vedic interpretation current from times without a beginning, this interpretation is bound to go astray in the course of time owing to defects of memory. ineptitude of expression, and dishonest motives, thus destroying the authoritative character of the Veda. Even at the present time those who profess astrology, for instance, are seen to make misleading statements: there are some who know the hidden truth but explain it otherwise for some evil purpose; there are some who know the subject but misinterpret it for lack of the faculty of expression; while there are others who make incorrect statements, having missed a vital link in the sequence of facts. The same is the case with the Veda; hence the divergence of opinions regarding bhāvanā, vidhi, niyoga etc., and disagreement among Manu. Yājñavalkya and others in laying down Smrti rules in harmony with the true import of the Vedas. Thus the Veda would fail to conform to the truth even if it were based on a tradition without any beginning. The apauruseya theory therefore does not help to establish the Veda as a reliable and infallible guide, nor is the pauruseya theory more helpful. There are indeed good reasons for supposing the Veda to be the composition of a certain individual or individuals, e. g. the mention of the names of the sages, gotras and schools, subject to birth and decay, the arrangement of the sentences according to a hard and fast rule, and the dictum

¹ See Chap. XII.

वेदसापीरुपेयस सतस्तर्त्व विष्णवतः । आयुर्वेदादि यवतं यसस्तत्र निरर्वकः ॥ शास्त्रत्वनं तथैव सात् सामग्रीगुणदोषतः । अनिरोवेऽपि नित्यस अवेदन्यपरम्परा । तदर्षदर्शिनोऽमावान्म्लेन्छादिम्यवहारवद् । अनादिसम्प्रदावश्चेदायुर्वेदादिरायमः ॥ श्रिष्ठप्रवर्णकांधवायुव, verses, 416-418.

that 'The Veda is the work of a certain person like the Sütras of Manu' etc. The fact of the Veda having an author does not, however, give it an authoritative character. Anantavirya declares: "We do not deny authority to the Veda on the ground that it has no author. The Veda lacks authority because it can never be free from defects owing to its expounders having no knowledge of supersensuous things". The Jainas thus close the door, to their own satisfaction, upon all claims that may be put forward in behalf of the Veda being an authoritative source of human knowledge.

It is extremely doubtful if Jaina attacks on the Veda and the Vedic religion made any impression upon Brahmanical circles if they were at all acquainted with them. The regeneration of the Vedic sacrificial system was out of the question, but renewed and vigorous attempts cotninued to be made to eastablish the authority of the Veda on a firmer and sounder basis. The first great effort towards this end in medieval times was made by Kumārila (seventh century a. D.) and the school of Mimamsa founded by him and thereafter by the distinguished writers of the Nyāya-Vaiśeşika school who flourished in the ninth and tenth centuries. It was the leaders of the latter school that exercised paramount influence in the intellectual sphere in Somadeva's age. The Naivāvikas rejected the Mīmāmsā view that the Veda was eternal, and not composed by any individual, and sought to replace the apauruseya theory by a more plausible doctrine. Jayanta says in his Nyayamaniari that the Mimämsakas are incapable of devising an adequate method for maintaining the authority of the Veda: words aided by convention can only have the power to signify; the determination of the shades of meaning must inevitably depend upon the judgment of some one: hence words can have any authoritative character only when they are uttered by a trustworthy person and not otherwise.2 The Naiyāyikas thus usher in the pauruseya theory and glorify the Veda as the Jayanta, for instance, says that He who handiwork of God Himself. created the universe composed also the Vedas containing His teachings: and the people believe in the Vedas because they believe in Him, the Trustworthy Guide and the Supreme Lord without a beginning: no wise

^{1 &#}x27;नासामिर्वन्तरभावे वेदस्य प्रामाण्याभावः समुद्रास्यते किन्तु तद्न्यास्यातृणाम् अतीन्द्रयार्वदर्शनादिगुणाभावे ततो सौषाणामनवोहितत्यात् न प्रामाण्यनिद्यय इति ।'

^{2 &#}x27;न च सीमांसकाः सम्बग् वेदपासाण्यरक्षणक्षमां सर्गिमक्लोक्षितं कुश्लाः' etc. Myayamanjari, Book I.

man would have thus believed in the Vedas, had they been without an author (as the Mimāmsakas hold).1

While emphasizing the fact the Veda is composed by God and accepted by the vast majority of the people, the leaders of the Nyaya-Vaisesika school adopt a rather contemptuous attitude towards the Scriptures of the minorities like the Buddhists and Jainas. Vacaspati in Nyāya-vārtika-tātparya-tīkā 2.1.68 contrasts the Vedas with Buddhist and Jaina Scriptures composed by mere mortals who are neither Creators nor Omniscient Beings. Srīdhara says in Nyāyakandali (p. 217) that the Veda is known to be the work of a Superior Being (purusa-visesa), because its message is unquestioningly accepted by all who belong to the varnāsrama order of society. If it were the work of an ordinary person, like the Scriptures composed by Buddha and others, not all discerning persons would unquestioningly act upon its teachings. That with regard to which there is a universal consensus of opinion (on the subject of its reliability) is bound to be an authoritative source of knowledge, like direct perception and similar means of cognition.8 Thus while the Vedic sacrificial religion was fast becoming a relic of the past, the authority of the Veda was constantly reaffirmed by Mīmāmsakas, Smārtas, and Nyāya-Vaiseşikas alike. It is noteworthy that even Samkara in his Bhāṣya on the Vedānta Sātras 3. 1. 25 supports in principle the slaughter of animals in Vedic sacrifices, and proclaims the purity of all rites prescribed by the Veda. The voice of Jaina criticism was not likely to be heard in an age characterized by a large-scale revival of Brahmanical thought, say, from about the seventh to the end of the tenth century.

¹ कर्ता य एव जगतामखिलात्मवृत्तिकर्गप्रश्वपरिपाकविचित्रताहः । विश्वात्मना तदुपदेशपराः प्रणीतास्तेनैव वेदरचना इति युक्तमेतत्॥ आप्तं तमेव भगवन्तमनादिगीशमाश्रित्य विश्वसिति वेदवचःसु क्रोकः । तेवामकर्तृकृतया न हि कश्चिदेवं विश्वस्ममेति मतिमानिति वर्णितं प्राक् ॥ Ibid., Book IV.

^{2 &#}x27;न वैते शौद्धोदनिमभूतयः तनुमुवनादीनां कर्तारो येन सर्वता इति निश्चीयेरन् ।' See also Chap. IX.

^{3 &#}x27;पुरुषविशेषप्रणीतः सर्वेवंणीन्नमिभिः अविगानेन तद्यंपरिप्रहात् यत्तिश्चनपुरुषप्रणीतत्वे तु वेदस्य बुद्धादिवास्यवत् न सर्वेषां परीक्षकाणामविगानेन तदर्थानुष्ठानं स्थातः । यत्र स्वत्यं संवादिवयमः तत् प्रमाणमेव यथा प्रत्यक्षादिकम्। प्रमाणं वेदः सर्वेषामविसंवादिशानहेतुत्वात् प्रत्यक्षवत्।

CHAPTER XV

NON-JAINA CULTS, CUSTOMS AND BELIEFS

Among the non-Jaina cults mentioned in Somadeva's Yasastilaka the most prominent is, of course, that of Candamari, which forms the starting point of the story. As we have already seen, human sacrifice was an essential feature of the worship of that goddess, and a detailed, though to some extent fantastic, description of her appallingly gruesome shrine occurs in Book I. Candamārī is only another form of Candikā, whose cult is much earlier than the tenth century, as is evident from the descriptions of the goddess and her blood-stained temple in works like Bāṇa's Kādambarī and Haribhadra's Samardiceakahā, Book VI. In the latter work the deity is called also Kātyāyanī and Kādambarī. Subandhu in his Vāsavadattā likewise refers to the shrine of Kātyāyanī alias Caņdā while describing the city of Kusumapura or Pāṭaliputra.¹ In Bhavabhūti's Mālatīmādhava, Act V, the goddess appears as Karālā or Cāmundā to whom a human victim is about to be offered by a Kāpālika. In Vākpati's Gaüdavaho she appears as Vindhyavāsinī, to whom a lengthy hymn is addressed by Yasovarman (vv. 285-338), the goddess being called also Candi, Sabari and Nārāyani. The hymn in question refers among other things to the human victim and the custom of selling human flesh, as in our work.2 The self-torture described by Somadeva as being practised by certain fanatics in the temple of Candamaris is mentioned in another context in Bāṇa's Harşacarita (Book V), which refers, for instance, to the burning of Guggulu resin on the head while supplicating the Mahākāla Šiva, and the offering of flesh cut out of one's own body as an oblation in the fire.4 The offering of slices of one's own flesh to Cāmuṇḍā is mentioned in Uddyotana's Kuvalayamālā composed in the eighth century. The Chinese traveller Yuan Chwang, in the first half of the seventh century, describes a temple, at Prayaga (Allahabad), where certain devotees committed suicide in the hope of gaining 'the paradise of the gods."

1 'बन्न''''भगवती कालायनी चण्डाभिषाना स्वयं निवसति'

3 See Chap. II.

अ 'विससिख्यन्तमहापद्धदंसण''''''(v. 319); 'स्एन्ति नीरविक्तयविक्रममिह जामिणीमसाणेसु' (v. 327). The comm. remarks देवीइमज्ञाने चीरा सिद्धये महामांसविक्रयं कुर्वन्तीति कीलागमादिषु प्रसिद्धम्. See Chap. XIII for further details.

^{4 &#}x27;अन्यत्र शिरोविष्टतविकीयमानगुग्गुष्ठविकलनवसेवकानुनीयमानमदाकालम्, अपरत्र निश्चितशकोनिकृत्तात्ममांसद्दोमप्रस-कामवर्गम्

^{5 &#}x27;बिदा नामुण्डाथाः पुरस्तीक्षणञ्चरिकाविदारितोरयुगकसमुच्छकलोहिसपङ्किलभूतलं मांसखण्डैशील ददामि' Ratnapra-

⁶ Watters: On Yuan Chwang, Vol. I. p. 362. A similar practice has been traced on the island of Mandhata in the Narmada, in the Nimar District of Central Provinces. Here, until recent times, devotees were in the habit of dashing themselves over

The cult of the Devi, who is known under different names, Candika, Kātyāyanī, Bhavānī, Durgā etc., seems to have been widely prevalent from about the seventh century onwards. The cult. as ordinarily practised, represents what is known as Sakti worship, and must be distinguished from the abnormal ritual mentioned by Somadeva in Yasastilaka. There are, for instance, two rock-cut temples dedicated to Durga at Mamallapuram, now the village of Mahabalipuram, known also as the Seven Pagodas, on the sea-coast, about forty miles south of Madras. The excavations at this place date from the second quarter of the seventh century A. D. The temples are locally known, the one as Kotikal Mandapa, and the other as Draupadi's Ratha. The former is a primitive-looking shrine consisting of a hall, about 22 feet long and eight feet wide. The latter is a beautifully carved little shrine with a domical roof, and contains a bas-relief figure of Durgā. In front of the temple is a huge rock-cut figure of Durga's vehicle, the lion. There are, besides, various sculptural representations of the goddess on some of the other temples and rocks at Māmallapuram. A number of crudely fashioned figures of the goddess and her lion are also carved on some of the isolated rocks near the Shore Temple facing the sea. In the so-called Draupadi's Ratha the bas-relief figure of Durgā is four-armed and portrayed standing on a lotus pedestal with two worshippers kneeling at her feet. These features are also seen in the bas-relief representation of the goddess in one of the large panels on the walls of the temple known as the Varahamandapa. Other panels, which represent the goddess with eight arms, and trampling on the head of a buffalo, occur on the facade of the Trimurti Temple and in the

the Birkhala cliffs, at the eastern end of the island, on to the rocks by the river brink, where the terrible god Kāla Bhairava resided. The last such offering to Kāla Bhairava was witnessed in 1824. It is significant that the shrine of Candamari is called Mahabhairava in Somadeva's Yasastilaka. It is said that about the time when a Chauhan Rajput named Bhārat Singh took Māndhätā from Nathu Bhil in 1165 A. D., a priest named Daryão Nath used to worship Omkara Siva on the island. There is a legend that Daryao Nath by his austerities shut up Kall Devi, the consort of Kala Bhairava, who fed on human flesh, in a cave, for the protection of the pilgrims. The mouth of this cave is still shown. It was also arranged that Kala Bhairava should in future receive human sacrifices at regular intervals. The disciples of Daryao Nath still enjoy lands on account of the worship of Omkara Siva. Imperial Gazetteer of India, Vol. IX, p. 293 ff. It is difficult to separate fact from fiction in these traditions; but an abnormal variety of Tantric cult seems to have grown up, evidently at a later time, on the island of Mandhata, and no doubt represented a debased type of Saivism or Tantricism resembling the Vamamarga described in Somadeva's Yasastilaka.

¹ See Appendix III.

² See Longhurst: Pallava Architecture, Part II.

interior of the Varaha Temple. The story of Durga slaying the Buffalo Demon was popular not only in India but in Java; and a remarkable bas-relief showing the fight of the goddess with the demon, regarded as one of the finest specimens of Indian art, is found in the so-called Mahisasuramandapa. The eight-armed goddess, astride on her lion, is represented as shooting arrows at the demon whose colossal figure is seen slightly receding before her, with one of his followers slain and another apparently wounded. It is also noteworthy that, in the bas-reliefs in the Draupadi's Ratha and the Varahamandapa, one of the worshippers is shown as cutting off the tresses of his hair with a sword as a votive offering to the goddess. Such practices are, of course, far different from the various forms of self-torture practised in connection with the cult of Candamārī, or Candikā, as described by Somadeva and others. The practices described by Somadeva in Yasastilaka evidently belong to an abnormal variety of the cult, which appealed to the fanaticism of a limited class of worshippers. But the cult of the Devi, in the ordinary sense of the term, had a much wider appeal; and old temples dedicated to the Goddess are found in various parts of India. The cult was, as stated below, popular

50

¹ The scene is represented on a much smaller scale in a beautiful panel discovered near the Siva temple at Bhumara of the early Gupta period (see Appendix III). The goddess is four-armed with a sword in one hand and a trident in another. She stands with her left foot on the head of the buffalo and grasps his tail with one of her hands. See plate xiv (b) in R. D. Banerji's monograph on the temple.

² Some of the old temples dedicated to Devi may here be mentioned, many of which have been rebuilt in later times. The temple of Amba Bhavanī on the summit of the bills of Arasur at the south-western extremity of the Aravalli range is an important centre of pilgrimage, and lays claim to a remote antiquity. The ancient town of Darbhavati or Dabhoi, about twenty miles to the south-east of the city of Baroda, contains a temple dedicated to Bhadra Kālikā Mātā (Bhadrakālī). It is situated to the right of the famous Hira Gate at Dabhoi on the east side of the old fort, and stands on the site of an older shrine in honour of the same goddess. It was probably built by Vishaldev Vaghela about 1255 A. D. 'Its dimensions are small, but the whole outer face has been so broken by mouldings, and ornamented by sculptures, large and small, as to render it typical of the rich thirteentn century style in Gujarat,' The temple is, in fact, one of the most important architectural monuments of the pre-Muslim period in Gujarat. Another Devi temple known as Kälkä Mätä or Kalka Bhawani stands on the lofty summit of the hill of Pavagadh situated about twenty-five miles north-east of Baroda. The goddess has been worshipped on the rocky peak for many centuries as the guardian deity of the hill. The shrine, which is visible from a distance of many miles in the plains below, is no doubt very ancient. being mentioned as a place of pilgrimage under the rulers of Anhilvad Patan.' But the present building is probably of a much later date. Commissariat: History of Gujarat, Vol. I. pp. lxi, lxxxvii, 191. An ancient temple of Devi or Candika, venerated by Rajputs throughout Malwa and Mewar, exists at the small village of Antri in the Garoth District of Indore State. The present temple is, however, a modern structure built with the materials collecte: from the rains of an older shrine.

at royal courts, as it was believed to promote success in warfare. The temples and sculptures at Māmallapuram, which was essentially a royal foundation, prove the popularity of the cult of Durga, probably for similar reasons, during the rule of the Pallavas in the south from the seventh century onwards. The Pallavas were supplanted by the Colas, and it is noteworthy that Vijayālaya, the founder of the line of the imperial Colas, built a Durga temple at Tanjore¹ after his conquest of the city about the middle of the ninth century A. D. It may be noted that about this time, in Northern

A small temple dedicated to the god Sambā (Sambhu) and Devi stands outside the village of Dighi, six miles due east of Kajgaon Station in the East Khandesh District, a couple of miles from the frontier of Hyderabad (Deccan). 'The temple consists of a porch in front, a mandapa on pillars with a high dome, an antarala or passage leading to the sanctum or garbhagiha and the sanctum or shirne itself.' The senetum contains a small linga and a large standing image of Devi. A niche on the north wall of the mandapa contains a dancing figure of Camunda. She has four hands and an emaciated body, but the scorpion usually to be found in her stomach is absent. She holds a trident, a skull-mace (khatvānga) and a skull-cup (nara-kapāla). Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, 1920, pp. 75, 99. Close to the island of mandhata in the Narmada, a small ravine on the north bank of the river, popularly known as the Rawana nala, contains 'a prostrate figure 181 feet in length, rudely carved in bold relief on four basalt slabs laid end to end. It has ten arms, all holding clubs and pendent skulls, but only one head. On its chest is a scorpion, and at its right side a rat, while one foot rests on a smaller prostrate human figure.' The huge image no doubt represents Cāmuṇḍā or Mahākālī, and was evidently intended to be placed in a colossal temple which was never completed. The bed of the ravine is covered with huge basult rocks, slightly carved in some places, which doubtless had the same destination.' Imperial Gazetteer of India, Vol. IX, p. 296.

1 Sastri: The Colas, Vol. I, p. 140. Temples of Durga appear to have been built in different parts of medieval India. An inscription discovered in the village of Dirghasi, four miles to the north of Kalingapatam in the Ganjam District, records the erection of a mandapa in front of a temple of Durga at Dirgharasi or Dirghasi in the Saka year 997 (1075 A. D.), by a Brahmana chieftain named Ganapati in the service of the Eastern Ganga king Rajaraja I of Orissa (1068-1078 A. D.). R. D. Banerji: History of Orissa, Vol. I, p. 246. There is a ruined temple of Durgs of about the twelfth century, near the village of Balsana in the West Khandesh District of Bombay Presidency. Inside the shrine stands the mutilated image of the goddess. The temples at Balsana suffered badly at the hands of the Muslim invaders. An inscription on the stone lintel of a ruined temple bears a date corresponding to 1148 A. D. See Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, 1919, pp. 46, 56. There is an old temple called the temple of Devi Hinglaj in the village of Barldelchi near Mehidpur Town in Indore The editive has been partially rebuilt, as the sikhara and the garbhagrha are modern, but the porch in front has four well-carved medieval pillars. Inside the shrine is an old image which is a very good representation of the killing of the buffalo demon by Durga (Mahipamardini). Progress Report of ASI, Western Circle, 1920, p. 102,

India, Durga or Bhagavati was fervently worshipped by some of the Gurjara-Pratihara emperors of Kanauj.

Somadeva is not the only Jaina writer of the tenth century who refers to the cult of Candika. A few decades earlier, Devasena in his Bhavasamgraha (verse 76) mentions the killing of goats at the altar of Candika and the worship of the Kula' with wine: while Siddharşi in his Upamitibhavaprapañed Kathā (Book IV) refers to the worship of Candika with wine and describes drinking bouts and merrymaking in the forecourt of the shrine of the goddess with their evil consequences. So far as Somadeva is concerned, the cult of Candika is located by him in the city of Rajapura in the Yaudheya country, which has been variously identified as the region between the Sutlej and the Jumna, or Bahawalpur State in the Punjab, south of Multan. If the Yaudheya country is supposed to be the region between the Sutlej and the Jumna, Rajapura may perhaps be identified with Rajpura in Patiala state, now an important junction on the North Western Railway. Somadeva describes Rajapura as full of magnificent temples, and it is obviously the capital of the Yaudheya country, but we cannot be certain about its identification. It may be noted in this connection that a Rajapura is mentioned by Yuan Chwang,4 and it has been identified with the Rajapuri frequently mentioned in the Rajatarangini, the modern Rajauri in the province of Jammu in Kashmir. We have no evidence to connect Rajapuri with the Candika cult, but the Chinese traveller describes the inhabitants from Lampa to Rajapura as 'of rude violent dispositions' and as 'inferior peoples of frontier (i. e. barbarian) stocks', reminding one of the Sabaras who were in the habit of worshipping the goddess under different names. But few ancient remains have been discovered at Rajauri, and a recent survey revealed nothing except a few architectural fragments belonging to temples similar to those of Kashmir proper. The site of Rajapura is, as a matter of fact, of

and the state of

¹ The Partabgarh inscription of Mahendrapāla II (946 A.D.) has, for instance, two verses in praise of Durgā almost at the beginning. Nāgabhaṭa II (first haif of 9th century), Bhoja I (second half of 9th century) and Mahendrapala I (end of 9th and early 10th century) are described as ardent devotees of Bhagavatī or Durgā (parasibhagavatī-bhakta). BI, Vol. XIV, p. 176 ff.

² Kula masas Šakti. For details see Introduction to Kulacudamani Tantra (Tantrik Touts).

³ Poussin: Dynastics et Histoire de l'Inde depuis Kanishka, p. 41.

⁴ Watters: On Yuan Chwang, vol. I, p. 284.

⁵ Kak: Antiquities of Bhimbar and Bajauri (Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India, No. 14).

little importance with regard to the cult of Candamari. Somadeva's account is in some respects so graphic and detailed that he seems to write from first-hand knowledge of the cult, as it was practised by certain zealots in his time somewhere in the Deccan.

The Mahayoginis are described by Somadeva in Yasasilaka, Book I, in connection with the temple of Candamari. The description is rather fantastic, but the author's purpose is to depict the terrific aspect of these deities and represent them as fit companions of Candamari. In Book III the spy is declared to have communed with the Mahāyoginis and acquired supersensuous knowledge. These references are interesting as illustrating the fact that the cult of the Yoginis was prevalent in the tenth century. It is noteworthy that the circular temple of the sixty-four Yoginis at Bheraghat, thirteen miles from Jubbulpore, has also been assigned to the tenth century.2 This peculiar shrine consists of a huge thick wall along the outer edge of a circular platform, inside which there is a circular row of pillars and pilasters arranged in two concentric circles'. There is a flat roof supported on the pillars and pilasters. According to Cunningham, the temple of the Sixty-four YoginIs was originally a simple circular enclosure, containing the images of the Yoginis, the wall being of the same height as the statues. He concluded that the circular cloister, as it at present stands, is the work of two different periods: the old circular wall, with its inscribed statues, belonging to the tenth century; and the cloister, with its roof, being the work of queen Alhanadevi in the twelfth century. The actual number of images in the shrine including various other figures is more than eighty. Most of them are seated, with the names of the deities inscribed on the pedestals in letters of the tenth century. For example, Sri-Thakini, Sri-Virendri, Sri-Phanendri, Śri-Ksatradharmini, Śri-Bhisani, Śri-Ghamtāli, Śri-Jaha, Śri-Dākini, Śri-Lampața, Śri-Indrajali, Śri-Iśvari, Śri-Aingini, Śri-Candika, Śri-Ajita, Śri-Pirngalā, Śri-Vārāhī, Śri-Erūdī, Śri-Vībhatsā, Śri-Kāmadā, Śri-Raṇājirā etc. A beautiful figure of Mahisamardini is called Sri-Teramva. The existence of a separate temple for the worship of the sixty-four Yoginis shows the popularity of Tantric cults in the tenth century. It is interesting to note that, under the lotus on which Sri-Sarvatomukhi is seated is another fullblown lotus, the calyx of which bears the Tantric emblem of crossed triangles (Sattona), with the mystic formula Hrim in the centre.

¹ See Chap. IV.

² R. D. Banerji: The Haikayas of Tripuri and their monuments,p. 69.

³ See the detailed description of the images in Banerji (op. cit.)

Another temple dedicated to the sixty-four Yoginis exists at Khajuraho in Chhatarpur State in Central India. 'The script of the brief inscriptions on its walls does not indicate a period much, if at all, anterior to 900 A. D.'; and the temple has accordingly been assigned to the first half of the tenth century. Three other temples dedicated to the 64 Yoginis are known to exist, viz., 1) at Surada in the Patan or Pattana State, one of the Tributary States of Orissa; 2) at Ranipur-Jural in the same State; and 3) in Coimbatore District, Madras. It is a remarkable fact that all the known temples dedicated to the 64 Yoginis are circular, except the one at Khajuraho, which is 'peculiar in being oblong'.'

Somadeva refers to the Mothers in connection with the cult of Candamari. In his description of the temple of the goddess, in Yasastilaka Book I, he speaks of the Matrmandala or the group of the Mothers as being worshipped there by certain fanatics, who had torn out their intestines to please those deities.2 The Seven Mothers are here brought into relation with a horrid and ferocious cult, but they had also a benign aspect, and are well-known as the guardian deities of the early Calukyas, as stated in their copper-plate grants. Sculptural representations of these deities have been found at Aihole and other places in the Deccan. The Seven Mothers, four-armed, each with a child, figure among the sculptures on the south wall of Cave XIV at Ellora, popularly known as Ravana-ka-kai: each has her cognizance on the base below.⁵ There is a very well-executed sculpture representing the Seven Mothers on a stone slab, built into the wall of a step-well, out in front of the temple of Siddheśvara at Haveri in the Dharwar district. 'On most of these slabs each goddess holds a child on her lap to denote motherhood, but they are absent on this; and they are generally flanked by Siva on one side and Ganapati on the other. Next to Siva, in this case, is Brahmi or Brahmani, the female counterpart of Brahma, below her being Brahma's vehicle, the goose. Next is Mahesvari, Siva's counterpart, with his bull Nandi, beside whom is Vaisnavi seated over Garuda. After her come Kaumari, counterpart of Kartikeya, with his peacock; Varahi with a buffalo instead of the boar; Indrani or Aindri with the elephant, and, lastly, Camunda with a dog, but sometimes a dead body. This last Mother is shown as a skeleton with long pendent breasts.

¹ For detailed references see Indian Antiquary, 1908, p. 182.

^{2 &#}x27;कचितीश्रमपुरवापकृष्टस्वकीयाचयच्योजनतीष्यमाणमातृमण्डलम् . There is another reference to the Matrampials in Book I. See Chap. IV.

³ Bhaudarkar: Early History the Dekkan, p. 83. Third edition.

⁴ Consens: Chalukyan Architecture of the Kanarese Districts, p. 45.

⁵ Burgess: A Guide to Mura Cave Temples, p. 24.

Each goddess has four arms, and in their hands they carry symbols belonging to the gods they represent. The arrangement is not always the same. Various sculptures representing Siva and the Seven Mothers are found in the temples at Un in the southern part of Indore State.

The worship of the godless Aparajita is mentioned by Somadeva in Book III as part of the general description of the court life of Yasodhara. In three verses recited by a bard the blessings of the goddess are invoked for the success of the king in warfare. We are told that parājitā, called also Ambikā, grants victory to kings on the battlefield, and is herself incarnate in their arms and weapons. The stars are the pearls on her hair, and the sun and the moon are her eyes: the celestial river is her robe, and the oceans are her girdle, and the Meru mountain is her body. She is worshipped by Indra, Siva, Brahmā and Visnu. It is clear from the Devimahatmya section of Markandeyapurana (chap. 88 ff.) that Aparājitā, Ambikā, Durgā, Kātyāyanī, Gaurī, Bhadrakālī etc. are different names of Candika; they are to be regarded rather as different forms of Candika, and worshipped on different occasions for special purposes. The meditation of Aparajita is recommended in the medieval Tantric work Isanasivagurudevapaddhati in the course of the ritual prescribed for the worship of Gauri; and it is interesting to note that one of the rites is meant for the king and prescribed to ensure his victory in war. The same work treats in detail of the worship of Durga, who is significantly described as capable of destroying hostile armies. Aparajita is thus one of those deities whose worship was favoured at the royal court as a means to vanquishing the enemies of the king.

We learn further from Yasastilaka that the worship of Aparājitā took place on the Mahānavamī day, and the occasion is, in fact, more important than the name of the goddess. The Mahānavamī festival is mentioned

: . .,

¹ Cousens (op cit.), p. 87. For some other details see the Vocabulary appended to the English Translation of the Naisadhacarita, under Ambikā.

² See Appendix III. In the Chaubara Dera temple the sculpture occurs twice on doors. An elaborately carved door bears the Seven Mothers with Siva in the centre, all dancing. Over a door in the small Siva temple to the north of the Chaubara Dera we have representations of the Seven Mothers with Siva playing on a lyre. One of the lintels in the temple of Nilakanthesvara represents Stva as dancing with the Seven Mothers.

³ Yasastilaka 3. 459-461.

^{• &#}x27;·····' अभयनरकरां धृताङ्कशपाशिनीं, विभृतशशिकलां स्मरेदपराजिताम् ॥' (Mantrapada) 23. 51.

⁵ Ibid, 23, 57

^{6 &#}x27;अथवा शक्कुसैन्यादिनियहे परमेश्वरीम्' Ibid. 25, 19

by Somadeva also in Book I, wherein we are told that Maradatta invited the populace to the temple of Candika on the pretext of calebrating the festival, although it was not the proper season for it. Srutasagara in his commentary defines Mahanavami as the ninth day of the bright fortnight of the month of Caitra. Another Jaina writer, Udayavīra, in his Parsvanathacarita (chap. IV) includes the Mahanavami rites among non-Jaina cults and practices and assigns them to the bright fortnight of Caitra and Asvina. It was usual to celebrate the festival in the latter month. and Mahanavami was the ninth day of the bright half of Asvina, marking the culmination of the Navaratra rites commencing from the pratipad of that month. Strictly speaking, the term mahanavami was applied to the eighth lunar day of the bright half of Asvina when in conjunction with the Mula constellation, and the eighth as well as the ninth day was appointed for the worship of the goddess Camunda or Durga. The Garudapurana (chap. 134) gives a similar definition of Mahanavami, but mentions a different constellation.

The Devipurāna (Chap. XXII) gives a detailed account of the Mahānavamī rites which had a special significance for the king. On the eighth or astamī day nine wooden pavilions were erected, or even one, wherein was installed an image of Durgā made of gold or silver or earth or wood. The goddess could also be worshipped in a symbolic way in a consecrated sword or a spear. The king repeated in silence mystic formulas before the goddess and sacrificed an animal after midnight for obtaining victory, the flesh and blood of the victim being offered to the goblins by repeating the Mahākusika formula. The king then took a ceremonial bath and hit a paste model of his enemy with a sword and presented it to Skanda and Visākha. This is a remarkable feature of the Mahānavamī cult and illustrates all the more clearly its connection with the king and his security and military plans.

The corresponding account in Garudapurana (chapters 134 and 135) gives some additional details. The Durga image is provided with diverse weapons, and appears to have eighteen hands; the left ones holding

¹ 'अध्यक्तद्वानवनीमद्विष्तमाद्वतसमस्तामस्तामाखजानपदः'

^{2 &#}x27;वैशायिनशक्ताहम्यां महानवम्यां योत्रदेवताविश्वेवपूजादि'

अत्य वृक्षप्रविक्ताम्ग्री p. 59: हेनाड़ी अविक्योचरे—माजवुक्कुक्रपक्षम्य भटमी मूक्संवृता ! सा महानवनी नाम वैक्रोबवेड्यने ह्यूकंगा !! सम्मानने सवितरि ह्युकंगो द्वा । मूक्तक्षपर्यवृक्षा सा महानवनी स्मृता !। अष्टम्यां च सवन्ती व्यापारं च सावन्त्रीक्ष्मव्यास्त्रकां करः.

के श्रिक्राहरणामा महावे अवदावादया युवा । सा मदानवमीत्युक्ता खानदानादि वाक्षयम् ॥ नवमी केवला चापि दुर्गा वैद हु पूजवेद । मदावर्ग महावर्ग श्रह्मदावरद्वितम् ॥

various objects, a skull, a shield, a mirror, a bell, a flag, a noose, a drum etc., and the right ones the weapons, spear, lance, club, dart, bolt, arrows etc. Nine other attendant deities with sixteen hands, Ugracanda, Candanayika, Canda, Aticandika etc., are also worshipped and a five year old buffalo is sacrificed in the latter part of the night. It is probable that of the two accounts that in the Devipurana records an older version of the Mahanayamī cult.¹

The ninth day of the bright fortnight of Asvina was, generally speaking, sacred to the Devi, and nearly always the navami cult is brought into relation with the king and his surroundings. We may refer, for instance, to Vienudharmottarapurana (Khanda II, chap. 158), which prescribes the worship of Bhadrakālī and that of the royal insignia and arms and weapons on that day: the goddess is worshipped by the king in a decorated pavilion near the barracks (sibira), and he has to keep awake the whole night. Similarly, the worship of the goddess Camunda on the aforesaid tithi is prescribed in Skandapurāņa, Prabhāsakhanda (chap. 242 of Prabhāsakṣetramāhātmya). The same text describes a great festival in honour of Yogesvari, a form of Durga, commencing from the fifth night of the bright half of Asvina, the main feature being the mystic presentation of a sword to the goddess; while on the ninth day, after the sacrifice of animals, the king at the head of his army leads a procession with the image of the goddess in a chariot (Ibid. chap. 83). These and similar references help us to understand the nature of the Mahānavamī festival, which may be regarded as a magic rite for the success of the king in warfare, or perhaps as a quasi-military festival celebrated under the patronage of the king, and in which he actively participates. A statement in Devipurana (chap. XXII) seems even to suggest that the Mahanavami rites were put forward as a kind of substitute for the ancient horse-sacrifice. The allusion to Mahanavami in Somadeva's Yasastilaka, corroborated by similar references in the Puranas, shows its popularity as a

¹ The Mahanavami cult survives to some extent in the great Durga Püjä sestival of Bengal and Assam, celebrated in the bright half of Asvina on the same tithis as those prescribed in the Puranic texts cited above. The mytholgical background is different, and the image of the ten-armed Durga, standing on a lion and pieroing the Buffalo demon with a lance, is accompanied on either side by the subsidiary images of Lakami, Sarasvati, Karttikeya and Ganesa. The eighth and the ninth are the great days of worship, and animal sacrifices are not entirely absent. Prominence is given to the tenth or the Vijaya Dasami day when the images are immersed in rivers and streams.

² अश्वमेयमवामोति भक्तिना सुरसत्तम । महानवस्यां पूजेयं सर्वकामप्रदाविका ॥ 22. 28.

pourt festivel; and it is mentioned also in Bana's Hargacarita, Book VIII. which refers to the sacrifice of buffaloes on the occasion. Somadeva does not indeed speak of animal sacrifices in connection with Mahanavami; and it is quite possible that they were sometimes omitted, specially when the festival was celebrated under the auspices of a court like that of the Rastrakutas, susceptible to the influence of Jaina ideas.

Mahanavami is followed by Dipotsava or the Festival of Lights, corresponding to the modern Diwali. The short description of it addressed to the king by a bard in Yasastilaka 3. 462-4 refers to the whitewashed palaces and the white flags and the rows of lights on the lofty terraces of the edifices of the city; and speaks of 'the women excited by gambling', the gaiety of courtesans, and the sweet notes of music. According to Padmapurana (Uttarakhanda), the Dipotsava commences on the fourteenth day of the dark half of Karttika, and importance is given to a ceremonial bath on that day followed by a salutation to Yama. The illuminations take place in the evening; temples, buildings, shrines, assembly-halls, stables and fortifications are decorated with lights as are also river-banks, tanks, gardens and gateways. Next day the king entertains the citizens in a large-scale reception; and the Dipotasva seems to have provided a suitable occasion for promoting cordial relations between the ruler and the ruled. The king is expected to gratify the various classes of people on the joyous occasion, the good men with courtesy and others with food and drink and the learned with conversation and the inmates of the inner apartments with presents of cloth, betel, flowers, camphor and saffron and various delicacies. The village headmen are rewarded with gifts, and money presents are made to the tributaries; while ornaments are distributed among troops, ministers and kinsmen. Seated on a raised platform, the king witnesses bull-fights, and reviews the assembled clansmen and the troops and the actors, dancers and minstrels. An interesting item is the ceremonial fastening of the Margapali, a wreath of Kusa and Kasa grass with numerous streamers, to a pillar of the fort or a tree, under which are assembled the horses, elephants and the cattle to ensure protection against disease. During the night the people indulge in gambling, and at midnight the women of the city cast out Alaksmi, the goddess of poverty, from their homes to the sound of drums and music. The most important item of the nocturnal festivities is the worship of the

^{ी &#}x27;महानवसीनई महिषपण्डलाञ्चन्'. The citation in पुरुवायेचिन्ह्यामणि (op. cit.) goes on to say सा ्र प्रभा हो परिता न द्वेशनैष्ठसराचिनी। तसां तदा वृजनीया वामुण्डा मुण्डमालिनी॥ तसां ये मुपदन्यन्ते प्राणिनो अभिवादनः । सर्वे वे स्वयंति नारित अर्था पापं ना निवये ॥ अ Bae slie Chap. VII.

demon king Bali. He is painted in gay colours, with the Vindhya range in the background (?), within a circle on the floor, and worshipped with all kinds of lotus blossoms and with wine, flesh and varieties of food. The king, too, with his ministers and priests, worships Bali for a happy new year, and passes the night without sleep in company with actors, dancers and singers (Chap. 122).

The Dipotsava depicted by Somadeva in Yusastilaku is a secular festival; and the Padmapurāṇa, too, emphasizes the prominent rôle played by the court and its entourage in the festivities. But the details given in the Purāṇa indicate also its religious aspect which it has not entirely lost even today. In Bengal, for example, the goddess Kālī is worshipped on the Diwāli or Dipānvitā night; while the Jainas celebrate the festival of lights on the new moon of Kārttika as a commemoration of the nirvāṇa of Mahāvīra. The Dipotsava or Diwāli, like the ancient Jewish festival of 'Light', called also Hanukkah 'Rededication,' may be described as a secular festival with a distinctly religious background.'

The Padmapurāna (op. cit.), which calls the festival of lights Dīpāvali or Dīpotsava, designates it also as Kaumudī and gives the derivation of the name. The festival is also called Kaumudī in Vāmanapurāna 92.58, which describes how it was instituted in honour of Bali after his imprisonment by Viṣṇu. But the Kaumudī, properly so called, was a festival of the moonlight, and so different from the Dīpotsava or Diwāli. Somadeva refers to the Kaumudī festival in Yasastilaka VII. 27,4 and it is also mentioned in

It was an annual eight-day festival instituted in 165 s. o. to celebrate the re-establishment of worship in the temple after the interruption caused by the persecution under Antiochus IV. The illumination of houses on every one of the eight evenings was a characteristic feature of the celebration. 'The illumination was originally solely domestic; later, lamps were lighted in the synagogues also.' Moore: Judaism, Vol. II, p. 50.

The earliest festival of lights seems to have been celebrated in Egypt in connection with the cult of the goddess Neith of Sais, the capital of the Kings of the XXVIth dynasty, as recorded by Herodotus, II. 62. He says that, on the night of the sacrifice, lamps were kept burning in the open air round about the houses. These lamps were saucers full of salt and oil, the wick burning all night. The illumination took place not only at Sais but throughout all Egypt. Herodotus travelled in Egypt about 450 g. c.

^{5 &#}x27;कुशन्येन मही वेवा मुद हवें ततो इयम् । थामुले निगमैनेव देनेवा कीमुदी रहता । की मोदन्ते जमा बकावानामावैः परस्परम् । इहतुहाः मुखापकासेनेवा कीमुदी स्पृता । कुमुदानि वर्ष्येश्यां दीवन्ते तेन वण्मुख ॥ अध्यानि वादिवैः पुत्र देनेवा कीमुदी स्पृता ।'

⁴ The queen Ramadatta is described as witnessing the celebration of the Kanmudi festival by the women of the city from a high pavilion (नगराजनाजनस्य क्यांक्रियान्त्र समयगानीक्यांना तमकेत्वानुस्यासीवया).

Uddyetanasuri's Kunalayamala which assigns it to the full moon of the autumn. An earlier reference to the Kaumudi festival occurs in Aryasūra's Jatakamala (XIII) in the story of Unmadayanti: the city is decorated with flags and the ground bestrewn with flowers; songs, dance and music are in full swing; luxury goods are exposed for sale and the streets througed by gaily dressed crowds. It is noteworthy that there is no reference to artificial illumination which is a characteristic of the Dipotsava. The Kaumudi was, in fact, a glorification of the autumnal full moon. It was a popular festival, being also mentioned in Mudrārākṣasa, Act III and Mālatīmādhava, Act VII, and seems to be identical with the Kaumudījāgara noticed in Vātsyāyana's Kāmasūtra 1. 4. 42. According to the Jayamangala commentary thereon, the latter festival took place on the full moon night of Asvina, the chief amusements being swings and gambling. The festival is mentioned simply as Kaumudi in Kāmasūtra 5. 5. 11, and the commentary here explains it as Kojāgara, which is the same as the full moon of Asvina. It is probable, however, that it was also customary to celebrate the Kaumudi festival on the full moon night of Kärttika.

A hymn to Sarasvatī which is also a benediction addressed to the king occurs in Book III (vv. 261-8), and is described as accompanying the dance that takes place in connection with the preliminary worship of the deities before the commencement of a play. One of the verses runs thus:

मानससरेविमिनंवसितसरसिद्धितेः सरस्त्रसाः । वरवर्णकीर्णकान्तः पुष्पाश्रकिरस्तु रह्मपुत्राचे ॥
"Let this handful of flowers, radiant with beautiful colours, offered to Sarasvatī, abiding on the white lotus beds emerging on the Mānasa lake, serve the purpose of rangapūjā or the ceremonial worship preceding a dramatic performance."

¹ Ratnaprabhasūri's Sanskrit version, p. 133.

² It is probable that Kojāgara is a contraction of Kaumudījāgara in spite of the usual derivation Kojāgara in Bengal and Assam the Kojāgara or the Kojāgarī Pūrņimā is definitely associated with the worship of Lakamī on the full moon after the Durgā Pūjā celebrations usually in Āśvina. An all-night vigil is recommended in honour of Lakamī, and the goddess is believed to ask at midnight: Kojāgarai, 'Who is awake?' See the citations in Śabdakalpadruma under Kojāgara. Por example, आधिने पौणीनास्मां सु चरेज्ञागरणं निशि । कीमुदी सा समास्थाता कार्यो कोकविभूतवे ॥ कीमुद्धां पुण्येसस्थितिन्द्रमेरावर्त स्थित्य । '"निशीधे वरदा कहमी को आगरीति माधिणी। तसे विशे मचक्कामि अहे: क्रीडा क्रोति मः॥ It will be seen that the full moon of Āśvina is referred to as Kaumudī.

⁸ See Dhruva's edition of Mudrārākeasa, Act III, Notes. In Mudrārākeasa, 3. 10 the Kaumudī is described as a pārvaņa vidhi, which is explained by the commentator Dhundhirāja as taking place on pārvaņa or the full moon of Kārttika. See Telang's edition. Kaumudī is explained as a festival in Kārttika in Trikāngdasea 2. 3, 19.

The custom of rangapuja, as described in Bharata's Nagyasassan (chap. 8), is a very old one and illustrates the interconnection of art and religion in ancient India. In the Natyasastra, however, rangapaja is an elaborate process involving the worship of a large number of deities in the playhouse with various offerings, and Sarasvatī is merely one among them; but it is probable that in later times Sarasvatī alone was worshipped, and in any case Somadeva does not mention the worship of any other deity in the natyasala. Further, according to Bharata, the item of Parvaranga, an elaborate musical prelude consisting of songs and dances before the performance of a play, takes place after the rangapaja proper is over. Somadeva seems to make a combined reference to both the items when he speaks of pūrvarangapūjā, but the distinct mention of rangapūjā in the verse quoted above shows that he has in mind the customary worship preceding a dramatic performance in the Hindu theatre. regard to the position of Sarasvatī in rangapūjā, Somadeva's verses in praise of the goddess in Yasastilaka are mainly in the nature of a benediction addressed to the king; and in the Nātyašāstra also, after the formal worship of the deities in which Sarasvatī has her due share, the preceptor of the dramatic art (nāṭyācārya) praises and greets the king and the dancing girls, and then utters a benedictory verse for the prosperity of the king, in which the blessings of Sarasvatī and certain allied deities are prominently invoked.

Sarasvatī is represented by Somadeva as white and seated on a white lotus: she is three-eyed (i. e. has an eye on the forehead), and has matted hair on the head, and the crescent for ear-ornament. She has four hands bearing different emblems: the dhyāna-mudrā (also called cintā or jñānamudrā); a rosary of Rudrākṣa beads; a book; and the varada-mudrā. Somadeva's description of Sarasvatī roughly corresponds to that found in authoritative texts like Sāradātilaka, Īsānasivagurudevapaddhati and Prapañ-casāratantra, except for minor variations in the distribution and character of the emblems. According to Sāradātilaka 6. 4° and Prapañcasāra 7. 3, for instance, the emblems are jāāna-mudrā, a rosary of Rudrākṣa beads, a jar of nectar and a book; while Prapañcasāra 8. 41 substitutes

¹ बहाणं मधुवर्केण पायसेन सरस्वतीम् । शिवविष्णुमहेन्द्राचाः संयुक्ता मोदकेर्थः ॥ Matyatastra 3. 37

² Ibid. 3. 88 ff.

³ Yalastilaka 3. 261, 262.

^{4 &}quot;मुद्रामक्ष्यणं सुवाद्यककरो विश्वां व इस्तान्तुवैविश्वाणां विश्वदममां जिनवनां वारदेवतासामये !! Raghavabhatta says in his commentary: मुद्रा बानमुद्रा । अञ्चलकंनीयोगक्ष्या पार्वाभिमुत्ती ! विश्वा पुस्तकं तत्मुद्रेत्ववैक्ष्यः The "book" is explained as referring to the मुस्तकसूद्रा, which is described as the olosed left fist facing oneself (बानस्रष्टिः काभिमुत्ती बदा प्रस्तकस्रिकेति).

a lyre for the jadan-mudra. According to Itanasiva (Mantrapada 18. 6), the emblems are varada-mudra, a rosary, a lyre and a book.

The cult of Sarasvati seems to have been prevalent in the Kanarese districts in the age of Somadeva. There is an old temple of Sarasvati at Gadag, in the Dharwar district, which, though small in size, contains some of the most elaborately carved pillars throughout the whole extent of Calukyan architecture. It stands close to the eleventh century temple of Trikûtesvara. and might possibly be of the same date. Within the shrine is an image of Sarasvati, seated cross-legged upon a high pedestal, which has a peacock depicted in the central panel below. Unfortunately, the image is mutilated, the four arms being lopped off at the elbows. Otherwise, it is a very finely carved example representing the graceful figure of the goddess, with the pearlstrings around the neck and the jewelled band about the waist. The elaborate pile of curly tresses on the head is surmounted by a six-tiered coronet of jewels. Another image of Sarasvatī, of inferior workmanship, was found in the old Jaina temple at Lakkundi in the Dharwar district. It is a more complete example representing the goddess with four arms. 'In her right upper hand is an elephant goad or ankusa. The right lower rests open, palm upwards, upon her knee, with a small petalled flower upon it. In the left upper hand she holds a folded book, while the left lower holds a citron." While the iconography shows divergence in details, the cult appears to remain the same.

Traces of a temple of Sarasvatī, older than that at Gadag, have been found at Aihole, the home of early temples in the Deccan. Close to the temple (No. 9) in the field to the south of the village, there stood another temple, but all that remains of it now is the shrine doorway and the seat or throne of the image. As in the temple at Gadag, a peacock, with its crest and long tail, is sculptured on the front of the pedestal, which seems to be an indication that the temple was dedicated to Sarasvatī. 'It thus occupies exactly the same position with regard to the temple as the later temple of Sarasvatī does to the temple of Trikūṭeśvara at Gadag.' In northern India, there is 'a famous temple of the goddess Sarasvatī, or Sāradā Devī' at Maihar, now a station on the railway between Allahabad and Jabalpur.

Pilgrimage to the shrine of the goddess Nanda on the Himalayas, evidently on the peak now known as Nandadevi, is mentioned in Yasastilaka

¹ Cousens: Chalukyan Architecture of the Kangrese districts, pp. 25, 79, 110,

² Ibid., p. 44.

³ Vincent Smith: History and Coinage of the Chandel Dynasty in Indian Antiquary, 1908, p. 186.

VII. 31. The cult of this goddess is described in detail in Desipurana (chap. 92-93), and extraordinary merit is said to accrue from the difficult journey to the almost inaccessible shrine. The goddess is clad in white raiment, and has four hands; she is surrounded by numerous beautiful maidens who are eager to marry her devotees. A noteworthy feature of the cult is that respect for women is particularly enjoined on the worshippers of the goddess (ibid. 93. 165 ff.). Nandā-tīrtha is described in Devīpurāna as a Saiva tīrtha, and, as in Yasastilaka, the goddess is called Bhagavatī Nandā (93. 22-24).

A city-goddess named Siddhāyikā, 'who inspired the confidence of many people' is mentioned in Book I. In Book IV there is a reference to the evil spirit Krtya who kills her own worshippers. It may be noted that, according to Kathāsaritsāgara 5. 121-2. Cānakya worshipped Krtyā with magic rites in order to bring about the death of king Nanda. Krityā is mentioned also in Sivasvāmin's Kapphinābhyudaya Mahākāvya 3. 24, 28, composed in Kashmir in the ninth century. A reference to the six magic rites of the Tantric cult occurs in a verse in Yasastilaka, Book IV. According to S'aradatilaka 23. 122 ff., they are santi or cure of disease and the expulsion of evil spirits such as Krtya; vasya or bringing others under one's control; stambhana or paralysing the activity of others; vidvesa or causing enmity between friends; uccatana or compelling one to abandon hearth and home; and marana or killing. Each of these rites has a presiding goddess who is worshipped at the beginning, and the goddess Jyestha seems to be connected with the process of vidvesa. A reference to Jvesthā occurs also in Yasastilaka 3. 118 wherein she is said to be worshipped with white lotus blossoms.8 Jyestha is diametrically opposite to Laksmi. being the goddess of adversity (Alaksmi), and so called, because she is believed to have emerged prior to Laksmi during the churning of the ocean. Her legend is related in Lingapurana (Uttarabhaga, chap. 6), and she is particularly associated with sinners and evil-doers and all those who are outside the pale of the Brahmanical religion.

^{1 &#}x27;नन्दाभगवतीयात्रानुसारित्वात्'

² यथा गङ्का नदीनां सु उत्तमत्वे व्यवस्थिता । तह्यस्पवती नन्दा उत्तमत्वेन संस्थिता ॥

^{3 &#}x27;तदेवमनेकलोकोत्पादितप्रस्वयायाः पुरदेण्याः सिद्धापिकायाः सारसीमनसं नामोधानमवलोक्य'. Siddhayika appears to be a Jaina goddess. See Chap. XIII.

अन्यथा कृत्याराषक इव व्रृवं पश्चजनः पञ्चतामञ्जत्।

^{5 &#}x27;अखुवां जपत इब प्रकोपकृत्यां निर्मातुं दिषदिभिचारमञ्जलमा'; 'कृत्येव अकुटिरतिस्कटा'.

^{6 &#}x27;बट्क्सैकार्बार्थमथात्रशुद्धे' eto.

⁷ शान्तिवश्यसम्भानि विद्वेषोचाटने ततः । मारणान्तानि शंसन्ति वट् कर्माणि मनीनिणः ॥ रोगक्कवायहादीनां निरासः शान्तिरिता । ""रतिर्वाणी रमा ज्वेडा दुर्गां काली यथा क्रमात् ॥ बट्कमैदेवताः प्रोक्ताः कर्मादी ताः प्रपूजवेत् । 8 'युज्यसम्बं सिवः सङ्क्षाचेडायास न कैरवस् ।'

The worship of Cupid was celebrated as a court festival in the spring amidst vernal sports, of which we have a lively picture in Yasasilaka, Book III. A further reference to the festivities in connection with the worship of Cupid, in which the king participates, occurs in Book V.

Certain beliefs and customs belonging to the sphere of popular religion are recorded in Yasastilaka. The moon of the fourth lunar day was believed to cause disputes. A dove alighting inside a house was supposed to forebode its destruction. A number of evil omens described in connection with Yasodhara's journey to the temple of Candikā in Book IV, e. g. the trumpeting of a female elephant at the commencement of a journey, the braying of a donkey from behind etc. A shower of crested tadpoles on a house was an evil portent indicating destruction of wealth and prosperity. Elephants were supposed to be possessed by a spirit named Madapurusa, of terrible aspect, like lightning fire. Srutasāgara in his commentary quotes a verse which enumerates the characteristics of Madapurusa: he has sixteen hands, four jaws, red eyes and flaming hair.

Among popular customs referred to by Somadeva may be mentioned that of ājyāvekṣaṇa or looking into clarified butter in the morning to counteract the effects of evil dreams and obtain other salutary results. It was a practice observed by kings while Brāhmaṇas uttered blessings. The wellknown custom of nīrājana or ceremonial waving of lights was observed as part of the evening rites to ensure the well-being of the king. A quantity of salt, thrown into the fire, after whirling it over the king's head, was supposed to counteract the evil eye, and this was also accompanied by the ceremony of offering boiled rice to the goblins on the roadside. This seems to have been followed by the act of nīrājana proper, which consisted in revolving lights beside the king. It was also customary to perform the nīrājana ceremony in honour of the royal horses and elephants on special occasions like the coronation of the king. 10

^{1 &#}x27;मधी मकरष्वजमाराधयामास'-

² See Chap. II.

³ See Book III (ब्रुवे ब्रुवेश्विद्धार) and Brutasagara's comm. thereon.

^{4 &#}x27;अगारान्तरापतितः कपोत इत्र निर्वास्य' Book III.

गेहे शिखण्डिमण्ड्कबृहिश्व श्रीच्छिदे दिवः 2. 72.

б 'ज्बळ्ळबाल्बजनेशानरकरालमूर्तिना मदपुरुवेणाधिक्विततया द्विगुणीभृतमीमसाइसनिकाय' Book III.

१ अस्यूरमीवामं चपल्रसनं रक्तनयनं, चतुर्देझाहिलं पृथुकरशिरोमेद्रवठरम् । चलन्मुक्तं शङ्क्षणमदमयं घोडशभुजे क्वलक्तेशं बन्दे मदपुक्वसस्युमवदनम् ॥". शङ्क्षभण seems to be a mistake for शङ्कभव.

⁸ Yalastilaka 2. 96,

⁹ Ibid. 3, 476, 477

^{10 &#}x27;आचितिसम्बद्धाविनीराजनैः' Book II.

CHAPTER XVI

JAINA RELIGIOUS AND MORAL STORIES

A considerable number of independent stories occurs in Yasastilaka, Books VI and VII, their purpose being to illustrate diverse tenets of Jaina religion and ethics. The first series in Book VI is meant to illustrate the different aspects of Samyaktva or Right faith, while the second series in Book VII illustrates two of the Mulagunas and the five Anuvratas. The stories, on the whole, belong to the sphere of religion and folklore, and form part of the body of Jaina narrative tradition, generally known as Kathanaka literature. Jacobi says: 'The rise of the Kathanaka literature......may be placed about the end of the first century A. D. Its end is indicated by the time of Haribhadra who lived about 750 A. D. For Haribhadra wrote Tikas on the Avasyaka and other Sutras and Niryuktis: and the Tikas form the fourth and last layer of the Kathanaka literature.' Generally speaking, the stories incorporated by Somadeva in his work must be considered much earlier than the tenth century, and, as we shall see, several of them are actually found or referred to in earlier texts. Somadeva has thus no originality in respect of these stories, and his turgid prose is hardly suited to the treatment of such simple tales. His great merit is in bringing together so many ancient stories and elaborately narrating them by way of illustrating various teachings of the Jaina religion. He has, in fact, preserved for us a highly interesting collection of stories, which, from the standpoint of religion and folklore, is hardly less important than the story of Yasodhara. Their literary interest is enhanced by the fact that they form a sort of independent story-book within the framework of the romance,

Some of the stories recorded by Somadeva are based on Brahmanical mythology, and may be called Jaina versions of Hindu tales. The story of the sage Visnu is nothing but a Jaina adaptation of the story of the Dwarf Incarnation of Visnu. Jamadagni and Renukā are well-known in Brahmanical mythology; the story of Vasu, as we shall see, is originally a Brahmanical legend. Perhaps the most notable of the stories preserved in Yasastilaka is an early legend, probably the earliest known, concerning the foundation of the once famous Jaina Stūpa of Mathurā. Most of the stories illustrating the Anuvratas may be described as folktales. All the stories recorded in Books VI and VII are summarized below in the order in which they occur in Somadeva's work.

¹ Jacobi: Introduction to Sthaviravaltearita or Pariligaparvan, p. vii.

² See below (VII) and Chap. XVII.

If The first stery, in three sections, shows that without will-power, it is impossible to attain success in the practice of religion, and hints that assetics of the Bratimenical faith lack in this essential quality. Two friends Bhanvantari, the son of a merchant, and Visvanuloma, the son of a priest, were banished by the king of the city of Bhumitilaka on account of various offences, and in the course of their wanderings they came to Hastinagapura. There Dhanvantari was initiated into the Jaina religion, and devoted himself to meditation under the Jaina discipline; while Viśvanuloma followed the Brahmanical faith and became an ascetic wearing a mass of matted hair. After their death they were reborn as gods under the names of Amitaprabha and Vidyutprabha respectively. One day the latter happened to boast about the superior status of Brāhmana sages like Jamadagni, Mātanga and Kapinjala, and both decided to descend to the earth to ascertain the truth. the Badarikā hermitage they saw the sage Jamadagni engrossed in rigorous austerities, his hair and beard white with age, and his body enveloped in a tangled mass of creepers, shrubs and ant-hills. Seeing him, the two gods assumed the form of a pair of birds, and having settled inside his beard, began to talk with each other. One of the birds said to his mate that he would have to go to attend the marriage of the bird-king Garuda, but would return without the least delay; if he spoke falsely, his sin would be as great as that of 'this' sinner of a sage. Jamadagni was angry to hear these words, and squeezed his beard with a view to punishing the birds, but they flew away and perched on the top of an adjoining tree. The sage recognised the birds to be a couple of gods in disguise, and asked them respectfully what sin he had committed. The birds cited two verses to the effect that one should renounce the world only after bringing children to the world. The sage was accordingly guilty of infringing the rule laid down in the Law-books, and should, therefore, marry and beget children. Jamadagni, on hearing this, exclaimed, 'That is quite easy', and went off to marry Renuka, the daughter of the king of Benares, his uncle, and in the course of time became the father of Parasurāma.

With the fickle nature of Jamadagni is contrasted the steadfast purpose and resolution of two Jaina devotees. The two gods passed on to Magadha, and there saw Jinadatta, absorbed in meditation in a cremation ground on a dark Caturdasi night. They commanded him to give up his meditation, and tried to intimidate him by conjuring up terrible scenes of a veritable war of the elements, with bursting rain-clouds, thunder and storm; and at the same time tried to cajole him out of his resolution by offering to grant various boons. Jinadatta, however, remained unmoved, and the two gods showed their appreciation of his courage and determination, by

presenting him with a magic formula enabling one to travel through the air. Jinadatta, in his turn, gave the formula to his pupil Dharasena, after having utilized it for the purpose of visiting the Jaina shrines on the Mountain of the gods.

Turning from the veteran Jinadatta, the two gods decided to try their tactics on a novice, recently initiated into the Jaina faith. They happened to see Padmaratha, the king of Mithilā, going to worship the Tīrthamkara Vāsupūjya on the very day of his initiation; and immediately began to disturb him by conjuring up various scenes of horror including certain tiger-shaped monstrosities. Unable to strike terror into his heart, they plunged him into a huge expanse of mud, but the drowning prince only said 'Salutation to Lord Vāsupūjya!' The two gods then extolled Padmaratha's courage and fortitude, and took their departure.

The value of intrepid courage and resolution in the practice of religion is further illustrated in a sequel to the main story. Dharasena, who had received the magic formula for aerial travel from Jinadatta, began the perilous mystic rites necessary for its practical application amidst the nocturnal horrors of a cremation ground. The details of the rites are obscure, but the main item seems to have been the suspension of a swing from the branch of a banyan tree over a consecrated ground, bristling with numerous sharp arrows fixed in the earth with upturned points: the devotee was to mount on the swing and cut the arrows to pieces while uttering the magic formula. Meanwhile, Lalita, the misguided son of a king, who had turned thief, having been robbed of his patrimony by relatives, came out in the darkness, on the mission of stealing for his mistress a famous necklace belonging to the chief queen of the lord of Kusagrapura. He succeeded in his venture, but could not evade the police owing to the lustre of the necklace being visible in the darkness. So he threw away the ornament, and walking about in the outskirts of the city, came upon the scene of Dharasena's mystic rites. Seeing that Dharasena was hesitating to mount the swing, the thief questioned him about the procedure; and having acquainted himself with the sacred formula, boldly seated himself on the swing and cut off at one stroke the entire mass of arrows. As a result of his bravery, the thief acquired the magic powers longed for by Dharasena, and later became a Jaina ascetic and attained salvation on the mount of Kailasa.

II) The sacrifice of personal ambition and self-interest for the sake of one's vow is illustrated in the story of Anantamati, the beautiful daughter of the merchant Priyadatta, an inhabitant of Campā. On the eve

of his departure for the Sahasrakuta shrine for the celebration of the Eight-day rites, the merchant sent for his daughter, and was told that she was playing the bride with her doll, while her maiden friends were singing nuptial songs. When Anantamati appeared before the old man, he asked her in jest to take the vow of chastity rather than harbour thoughts of marriage. The maiden, however, took the vow very seriously. Once in springtime she came out to indulge in the pastime of singing in company with her maiden friends on the day of Cupid's festival, when she was seen by a Vidyadhara, travelling through the air, accompanied by his wife. Wishing to abduct her, the demi-god went back to his home, left his wife there, and then returned and flew away with Anantamati. But his wife was quick to follow, and seeing her in a rage, he hastily dropped the maiden in a forest near Sankhapura. There she was seen by a Kirāta hunter named Bhīma who took her away to his village. Failing to seduce her by persuasion, he decided on violence; but meanwhile, through the intervention of the sylvan deities, who admired the firmness of the girl, the house of the hunter caught fire, and the miscreant, seeing the danger, begged her to forgive him, and then left her on the slope of a mountain on the border of Sankhapura. There she was found by a young merchant who had encamped with his caravan near by; but unable to seduce her with money, he made her over to a bawd in the city of Ayodhya. The latter, failing to mislead the girl, presented her to the king of that region, who in his turn discarded her, being baffled by her constancy and the opposition of the presiding goddess of the city, who had marked her displeasure by doing harm to many of the king's subjects. Anantamati then came to live in a shrine near the house of Jinendradatta, the husband of her father's sister. After some time her father, while on a visit to his brother-in-law, happened to see her engaged in austerities in the shrine, and proposed to marry her to her cousin Arhaddatta, the son of Jinendradatta. But she firmly rejected the proposal on account of her vow of chastity.

example, in the exercise of piety, is illustrated in the story of king Auddayana, famous for his philanthropic actions. In order to test his piety, a certain god assumed the form of a religious mendicant, a loathsome leper with stinking and decaying limbs, and came to the king's house and asked for food. The king received him with open arms, and personally waited upon and treated him to a sumptuous meal. Unfortunately the leper felt sick, and as he lay in a mass of vomitted food, the king raised and washed him with his own hands, wiped his body with a silken scarf, and solaced him

with soft and kind words. The god then threw off his disguise, and took his departure after praising and honouring the king with divine presents.

IV) Unswerving allegiance to the tenets of the Jaina faith and the lack thereof are illustrated in the stories of Revati and Bhavasena respectively. A Vidyadhara prince, indifferent to worldly happiness, abdicated in favour of his son, and desiring to visit the Jaina temple at Mathura, took leave of his guru Munigupta at Madura, 'the Mathura of the south', and departed for the north with a congratulatory message from Munigupta to Revati, the consort of Varuna, king of Mathura. Arriving at the city by air, the Vidvādhara decided to test the religious conviction of Bhavyasena, a learned Jaina teacher, and of the faithful Revatī. Assuming the form of a young student, he first appeared before Bhavyasena and expressed his desire to study grammar with him. One day while walking with his teacher in the outskirts of the city, the Vidyadhara, by his supernatural powers, covered the ground with young sprouts of corn; and, on Bhavyasena hesitating to walk over them, because in the Jaina scriptures the sprouts of corn were regarded as stationary creatures, the so-called student argued that they had no characteristic of life such as breath, and were merely transformations of earth, very like gems. Bhavyesena believed his words and walked over the sprouts, without questioning the validity of his assertion. Similarly, the Vidyadhara persuaded Bhavyasena to use a clod of earth for cleansing purposes by arguing that it did not contain any living creatures, as maintained by Jaina doctrine. Then he persuaded him to take water without first straining it through a cloth, as required by Jaina practice (in order to eliminate living creatures), his argument being that no such creatures were visible in the transparent water. In this way the Vidyadhara satisfied himself that Bhavyasena lacked inner conviction and tenacity of faith in the religion professed by him; he now realised why the sage Munigupta had neglected to address any message to Bhavyasena.

The Vidyādhara then proceeded with elaborate preparations to test the faith of Revati, well-known for her whole-hearted devotion to the Jaina faith. He betook himself to the eastern quarter of the city, and there created a sensation by assuming the form of Brahman, seated on a swan, with all his characteristics and paraphernalia. The Vedic verses uttered by the latter were being eagerly listened to by a multitude of sages such as Mātanga, Bhṛgu, Bharata, Gautama, Garga, Pingala, Pulaha, Puloman, Pulasti, Parāśara, Marīci and Virocana. The Arts incarnate in the form of beautiful maidens were standing by, plying their fly-whisks, and the deep-voiced Nārada was acting as doorkeeper. Revati heard of this extraordinary phenomenon,

but remained indifferent for she knew that Brahman did not figure among the sixty-three Famous Persons recognised by the Jaina scriptures.

The Vidyadhara then appeared in the southern quarter of the city and attracted great attention by assuming the form of Visnu, lying on the coils of the lord of serpents, and wearing a ruby crown surmounted by a large spray of Pārijāta blossoms. Brahman, seated on a lotus emerging from Visnu's navel, was reciting the latter's thousand names; Indra and the goddess of wealth were caressing his feet; the captive wives of demons were waving fly-whisks; and Garuda was maintaining order among the assembled gods. The apparition displayed the usual emblems of Visnu: the quoit, the conch, the lotus and the Nandaka sword. Reports of this strange phenomenon were brought to Revatī, but she evinced no curiosity, and said, "There are, indeed, nine Visnus or Vāsudevas known as Ardhacakravartins in Jaina lore, but they are no longer in existence; the one must, therefore, be a magician come to delude the people".

The Vidyādhara appeared next in the western quarter of the city and assumed the guise of Siva, mounted on a gigantic bull, and accompanied by Pārvatī. The apparition was furnished with the usual equipment of Siva: the drum, the bow, the sword, the axe, the trident and the club; and attendants such as Analodbhava, Nikumbha, Kumbhodara, Heramba and Bhingiriti were paying homage to the deity. News of this strange incarnation of Siva or Rudra came in due course to Revatī, but she took no notice of it and said, "There are, indeed, some Rudras mentioned in the scriptures as creating obstacles in the path of religious austerities, but they are dead and gone as a result of their actions; so this must be some one else who is disturbing the peace by his magical tricks".

The demi-god then resorted to the northern quarter, and appeared in the form of a Jaina Tirthamkara, seated on his throne in a marvellous audience-hall, furnished with perfumed chambers, pillars, tanks, gates, Stupas, flags, incense, water-jars, and various other receptacles. There gods and mortals participated in magnificent festivities, and false Jainas like Bhavyasena were also celebrating religious festivals. The show, however, produced no impression on Revati, who knew that the Jaina scriptures recognised only twenty-four Tirthamkaras, and the latter having attained salvation, the new impersonation must be the work of some adept in magic.

Unable to shake the faith of Revati by any of these devices, the Vidyādhara at last revealed himself before her, and respectfully delivered Munigupta's message which she received with the utmost veneration and joy.

V) The necessity of guarding the reputation of one's religion is emphasized in the story of the thief disguised as a religious mendicant. Yasodhvaja, the king of Pataliputra, had a son named Suvīra, who, being without education and moral training, fell into evil company and became addicted to theft and adultery. One day, while on a visit to a pleasure garden, he came across a gang of thieves and gamblers, and announced a rich prize for any one of them who could steal a certain marvellous jewel from a Jaina shrine, located on the top floor of a seven-storied building belonging to the merchant Jinendrabhakta, an inhabitant of the city of Tamalipti. One of the company, a notorious thief named Surya, declaring that it was an easy task for him, immediately started for the kingdom of Gauda to carry out his boast. On his arrival there he disguised himself as a religious mendicant, and attracted the attention of all and sundry by the rigour of his fastings and austerities. Gradually he succeeded in winning the love and confidence of Jinendrabhakta; and once when the latter was about to start on a sea-voyage, he invited the so-called ascetic to come and stay in his temple during his absence. After making some pretence of unwillingness, the dissembler accepted the offer, and soon after the departure of the merchant, he stole the jewel and slipped away at the dead of night. But the lustre of the jewel in the darkness attracted the notice of the watchmen; and, pursued by them, the thief entered the camp of the merchant in the outskirts of the city where he was halting for the night. The merchant was awakened from sleep by the shouts of the watchmen, and at once recognised his old acquaintance; but quickly realising that the accusation of theft against a Jaina ascetic would discredit the religion, he solemnly declared before the officials that he had himself given the jewel to the ascetic, a noble soul, who deserved their highest veneration and respect.

VI) The duty of strengthening the loyalty of one's fellowmen to the Jaina faith is illustrated in the story of Vāriṣeṇa, the other-worldly son of Śrenika, the king of Magadha. Once on a dark night Vāriṣeṇa was absorbed in deep meditation in a desolate cremation ground. Meanwhile, at midnight, a rogue named Mṛgavega stole a costly necklace belonging to a merchant's wife at the instance of his mistress, a courtesan named Magadhasundarī. Pursued by the police, he flung the necklace in front of Vāriṣeṇa and disappeared under cover of darkness. The police, finding the necklace lying near by, suspected Vāriṣeṇa of the theft and reported the matter to the king, who was inexorable in the dispensation of justice, and immediately ordered his son to be punished and tortured as a thief. Vāriṣeṇa calmly suffered the indignities inflicted upon him, eliciting by his fortitude the praise and admiration of the gods, and soon

after renounced the world and became an ascetic. Puspadanta, the minister's son, was his intimate friend from childhood, and Varisena prevailed upon him to become an ascetic like himself. Puspadanta, however, found it difficult to forget his beautiful newly married wife Sudati in spite of his vow. Twelve years rolled by, and still her memory haunted him day and night. Varisena, fearing that he would one day renounce his vow and return to his wife, hit upon a stratagem, and paid a visit to his mother, the queen Celini, accompanied by his friend. The queen received both of them cordially, and Vāriseņa asked her to send for her daughters-in law. They were soon ushered to their presence, resplendent in their dress and adornment, and lovely with the fresh beauty of youth. Värisena then requested his mother to send for the wife of his friend Puspadanta; but during the long period of her separation from her husband, she had lost her beauty and youth, and when she appeared before them, her ugly, emaciated and worn out figure made a sad contrast to the charming ladies who were standing beside her. The sight was too much for Puspadanta to bear, and he asked for leave to depart. In this way Vārişena confirmed his friend in the resolution to maintain his vow, and both thereafter continued their austerities together.

VII) The king of Ahicchatra in the Pancala country had a learned priest named Somadatta. Once the latter's wife who was expecting the birth of a child expressed a great desire to partake of ripe mangoes at a time when their season had already passed. Somadatta accordingly went in search of mangoes, accompanied by his pupils, and entered the Kālidāsa forest where they saw a large mango tree overloaded with the ripe fruit, as a result of the mystic power of the sage Sumitra who lived under the tree.

Somadatta sent some mangoes to his wife with one of his pupils, but himself remained there spellbound by the teachings of the sage, renounced all worldly aspirations, and became an ascetic. He started austerities on the Nābhigiri mountain in Magadha, and his wife in due course gave birth to a male child and came to her husband with the baby; but finding him utterly indifferent and insensible to family ties, she left the child before him on the rocks and returned home. Meanwhile, a Vidyādhara prince named Bhāskaradeva, who had been ousted from his heritage by his younger brother Purandara, fixed his camp at Balavāhanapura and came with his wife to pay homage to Somadatta, while the latter was engaged in austerities. Taking pity on the child, they adopted him as their own and named him Vajrakumāra. Bhāskaradeva then worshipped the sage and left with the child for Bhāvapura.

Vajrakumāra grew up to be a youth possessing valour and courage, attained the rank of a Vidyādhara and married his uncle's daughter Indumati.

Once on the Himalayas he rescued Pavanavega, the wife of a Vidyadhara prince, who was engaged in certain magic rites for attaining the power of assuming a multitude of forms, but was about to be devoured by the Mystic Force in the shape of c huge serpent. Vajrakumāra ultimately married Pavanavegā, and succeeded in restoring his adoptive father to the throne by overthrowing the usurper Purandara. Later on, he visited Somadatta, his real father, who was then practising austerities at Mathurā, renounced the world, and became an ascetic.

About this time at Mathurā there was an orphan girl who, according to a prophecy, was destined to be the chief queen of Pūtikavāhana, the lord of that city. A Buddhist monk, who was acquainted with the prophecy, carefully brought up the girl in a monastery, and she was called in jest Buddhadāsī, 'Buddha's maidservant'. She grew up to be a beautiful young lady, and soon attracted the notice of the king of Mathurā, who won her hand by agreeing to make her his chief queen.

Aurvilā, the first queen of Pūtikavāhana, was in the habit of sending out a ceremonial chariot in honour of the Jaina Saviour on the occasion of the Eight Day's Festival at Mathurā, but the new queen, owing to her Buddhist affinities, used her influence with the king and sought to stop the practice and establish a new festival in honour of the Buddha. Alarmed at the machinations of her co-wife, Aurvilā approached the sage Somadatta and appealed to him to ensure the continuity of the festival of the chariot. Somadatta instructed his son and disciple Vajrakumāra to do the needful.

Vajrakumāra who had attained the supernatural powers of a Vidyādhara went up to the city of the Vidyādharas, and began to descend from the sky with a huge concourse of Vidyadharas, carrying flags and banners, gates, pillars and canopies, mirrors, white parasols and gold jars, and diverse materials of worship. Ladies were singing to the accompaniment of various musical instruments; there was merry-making by dwarfs, hunchbacks, dancers, bards and panegyrists; and charming maidens were carrying lights, censers, perfume and flowers. Decorated cars, chariots, horses and elephants formed part of the company. While the citizens of Mathura thought that a multitude of gods was coming to take part in the worship of the Buddha, organised by the Buddhist woman, Vajrakumāra with the Vidyādharas took up his residence in the house of Aurvila, and for eight days caused her chariot to go round the city. Then he erected there a Stupa marked with the image of the Arhat, and the shrine has ever since been known as "Built by the gods". In this way the designs of the Buddhist woman were foiled and the glorification of the Jaina faith assured through the timely intervention of Vajrakumāra.

VIII) The next story is designed to illustrate the value of sympathy for one's co-religionists, and interesting as a Jaina wassion of the story of Bali and the Dwarf Incarnation of Vienu. Jayavarman, the king of the city of Visis in Aventi, had four ministers headed by Bali, all of whom were thereties. They were Sukra, a Buddhist; Brhaspati, a materialist; Prabladaka, a Saiva; and their elder brother Bali who was an expert in Vedic lore. Once the Jaina ascetic Akampana, while on a visit to the city, encamped in the public park, and the streets were crowded with citizens going to pay homage to the sage. Seeing this, the king, too, expressed his desire to visit and pay his respects to the sage, but the ministers sought to dissuade him by glorifying their respective faiths. Bali, for instance, extolled the Veda and the Vedic religion, while Prahladaka emphasized the glory of Siva and the Saiva scriptures. Disgusted with the attitude of the ministers, the king mounted on an elephant and betook himself to the camp of the sage. Thereafter offering his salutations, he entered into conversation with the Sūri on religious topics such as the nature of heaven and salvation. Bali who had accompanied the king intervened with the remark that heaven was nothing but the mutual love of a maiden of twelve and a youth of sixteen, and there was no other heaven invisible to the human eye. The sage asked Bali if direct perception was the only proof admitted by him. On his replying in the affirmative, the sage asked him how in that case he would prove the occurrence of the marriage of his own parents or the existence of his forefathers. Bali was very much annoyed at this, and unable to hit upon a plausible reply, he abused the sage in indecent and insulting terms. The king did not say anything then and there for the sake of decency; but returning home, he banished Bali and his brothers from his kingdom on the pretext of some other offence.

Bali with his brothers took refuge in the country of Kurujāngala, and he became the chief minister of king Padma of Hastināgapura. There he won the gratitude of the king by vanquishing the latter's enemy Simhakīrti who had invaded the city. Bali then obtained the king's permission to set out on an expedition of conquest. Meanwhile, the sage Akampana, accompanied by a huge concourse of monks, had in the course of his wanderings arrived in the vicinity of Hastināgapura, and taken up residence for the rainy season in a large cave of the Hemagiri mountain. Bali, on his return from the expedition, came to know of the whereabouts of his old opponent and decided on revenge. By virtue of a boon conferred upon him by king Padma as a mark of gratitude for the overthrow of Simhakirti, Bali obtained from the prince the entire sovereignty of the kingdom for 58

a certain number of days, during which the king's authority was to be limited to his inner apartments. Bali then began the performance of an Agnihotra sacrifice with intoxicating substances near the camp of Akampana Suri, with a view to causing annoyance and disturbance to the sage and his followers.

Meanwhile, in the city of Mithila, a Jaina monk named Bhraisan, going out at night, inferred from an observation of the stars that somewhere ascetics were being subjected to maltreatment and oppression. Thereupon the head of the monastery with his supernatural knowledge ascertained the mischief being done by Bali, and asked a devotee named Puspakadeva, capable of travelling through the air, to go and request on his behalf the powerful sage Viṣṇu to counteract the evil. The latter, on receipt of the message, immediately proceeded to Hastināpura and appealed to king Padma to give the necessary protection to the oppressed monks, but the king pointed out that Bali, and not he, was reigning at the moment.

The sage Viṣṇu then decided on a crafty manœuvre. Assuming the form of a dwarf, he went to the place where Bali was performing his sacrifice, and began to recite verses of the Veda in a melodious tone. Attracted by his sonorous voice, Bali came out of the sacrificial pavilion and asked him what he desired. The dwarf replied that, having been robbed of his home by his relatives, he wanted only a slice of land measuring three steps, and on hearing this, Bali at once granted his desire. The sage then discarded the guise of a dwarf and began to extend his frame upwards and downwards and athwart, without any limit. He fixed one step on the foundation of the ocean and another in the sky; and not finding room for a third, placed it on Bali and sent him down to the nether regions.

IX) The evil consequences of drinking are illustrated in the following story. A wandering religious mendicant named Ekapād, arriving at the Vindhya forest from the town of Ekacakra on his way to the Ganges, fell in with a crowd of Cāṇḍālas, who were indulging in bouts of drinking and partaking of meat in the company of youthful intoxicated women. The Cāṇḍālas detained the mendicant, and declared that he must, on the pain of death, either drink wine or take meat or become intimate with a woman. The mendicant reflected that the eating of flesh was strictly prohibited in the Law-books, and so was union with a Cāṇḍāla woman, but wine was drunk in the Vedic sacrifice Sautrāmaṇī, and the ingredients of wine such as flour, water and molasses were quite pure. Thus thinking, he preferred to take wine, but under the influence of drink he joined in the merrymaking of the women; and feeling hungry, partook of meat, and soon passion compelled him to desire the company of one of the Cāṇḍāla women.

In the city of Valahii, a gang of five notorious thieves ment out one night during heavy rain, and stole a large quantity of treasure. They, however, fell out while dividing the booty, but soon ceased to quarrel and indulged in drinking bouts. But drink made them quarrel again, and a free fight ensued, resulting in the death of all of them except Dhurtila. The latter was in the habit of taking a vow of abstention for one day whenever he happened to meet a pious ascetic, and that day he had taken a vow to abstain from wine. Dhurtila then felt disgusted with the world and shaved his head and became a monk.

XI) The following story is meant to show that even the desire to eat flesh is a sin. Saurasena, the king of the city of Kākandī, had taken a vow of abstention from flesh-eating, but gradually under the influence of the Brahminical faith he became greedy of meat, and secretly employed his cook Karmapriya to procure the flesh of all kinds of animals for his table. Preoccupation with his public duties did not, however, leave him sufficient leisure to satisfy his desire fully.

One day the cook Karmapriya, while in quest of game, died from snake-bite, and after death he became a huge whale in the ocean. The king, on his death, was reborn as a small fish living in the cavity of the whale's ear. The fish noticed that while the whale slept, opening his mouth, large numbers of aquatic animals used to enter the cavity of his throat and go out again. The fish pitied the whale for not being able to devour any of these animals, and said to himself that had he been as big as the whale he would have emptied the ocean of its animals. In the course of time the fish and the whale both died, and were reborn as denizens of hell with the power of remembering their past lives. While in hell the former whale said to the fish: "It was but natural that I should have come here as a result of my sins. But how came you who merely lived upon the filth of my ear?" The fish replied: "On account of my evil thought, more harmful than even the depredations of a whale!"

XII) The next story illustrates the merit of abstention from flesh. There was a Candala named Canda in the town of Ekanasi in Avanti. One day in the outskirts of the town he put a jar of wine on one side and a plate of meat on the other, and standing in the middle, partook of both in quick succession, while he whirled round a leather thong and struck down birds flying ever him. As a result, snake-poison attaching to the beaks of the birds dripped on to the wine, and contaminated the beverage.

Meanwhile two sages possessing the power of travelling through the air descended from the sky to give religious instruction to the people, and the Cāṇḍāla approached and entreated them to assign a suitable vow to him. Thereupon one of the sages asked him to fix a rope in the intervening space between the plate of meat and the jar of wine, and said that the momentary abstention from either that would be caused in going from one extremity to the other would constitute a sufficient vow of abstention for him. The Cāṇḍāla acted accordingly, and after helping himself to the meat, said that he would abstain from it until his return from the other end. He then went towards the wine-jar, drank the wine and died from the effects of poisoning. As a result of the merit accruing even from the momentary abstention from meat, the Cāṇḍāla was reborn as the chief of the Yakṣas in the world of these spirits.

XIII) The following story illustrates the consequences of malevolence: There was a fisherman named Mṛgasena in Śirīṣagrāma in Avanti. One day he entreated a famous sage to assign a religious vow to him, and he was accordingly advised to refrain from killing his first catch on that particular day. The fisherman cast his net and soon caught a huge fish, but remembering the vow assigned to him, let the fish go after attaching to it a strip of cloth for the purpose of recognition. It so happened that he caught the same fish five times in the course of the day, but on each occasion let it go without any harm. His wife Ghantā was furious to see him return home empty-handed in the evening, and as she shut the door against him, he had to pass the night outside, resting his head on the trunk of a tree. Mṛgasena was bitten by a snake during the night and found dead in the morning. The wife who was now overwhelmed with grief committed suicide by entering the flames on the funeral pyre.

The story now goes on to narrate the rebirth of Mṛgasena. Viśvambhara was the reigning king of Viśālā where lived the merchant Guṇapāla, his wife Dhanaśrī and daughter Subandhu. As ill-luck would have it, the king asked the merchant to give his beautiful and accomplished daughter in marriage to the son of the court jester. Afraid of the disgrace resulting from such a marriage as well as the wrath of the king in case of refusal, Guṇapāla fled with his daughter to Kauśāmbī, leaving his wife, who was with child, in the house of his friend Śridatta, a wealthy merchant. The sages Śivagupta and Munigupta happened to see Dhanaśrī in the house of Śrīdatta; and Munigupta having pitied the condition of Dhanaśrī, who was pale and weak and dejected, the other declared that in spite of her present troubles, she would become the mother of a son destined to be a

merchant prince and the son-in-law of king Viévambhara. The merchant Stidatta overheard the conversation of the two sages, and, burning with envy, he devised a nefarious plan. No sooner had the child been born than he spread a report that Dhanasri had given birth to a dead child. At the same time he secretly summoned a Candala and handed the child to him to be put to death.

The Cāndāla was moved to pity by the beauty of the child, and instead of killing, he left him in a secluded place and went home. The merchant Indradatta, who was the brother-in-law of Śrīdatta, came to know about the child from some cow-boys; and as he was childless, he came to the spot and gave the child to his wife Rādhā to be adopted as their son. Then he celebrated great festivities as if a child had been born unto them.

Śrīdatta heard of the turn things had taken, and devised another plan to kill the child. He went to Indradatta and persuaded him to give him the custody of the child, 'his blessed nephew', whom he would bring up in his own house. Śrīdatta then made over the child to another Cāṇḍāla to be put to death.

The Cāṇḍāla, instead of killing the child, left him in a wood on the bank of a river, and there he was guarded by the cows grazing on the spot. In the evening the cowherds came, and, finding the child, reported the fact to the head of the settlement Govinda, who gave him to his wife and adopted him as his own son. The child grew up to be a handsome youth and was named Dhanakīrti.

One day Sridatta happened to come to the place in the course of his commercial transactions, and saw Dhanakirti in the house of Govinda, understood everything when he heard the story of the youth, and told Govinda that he had an urgent message to send to his son at home, and requested that Dhanakirti might be sent as a messenger. Govinda agreed, and Dhanakirti set out on his journey with Sridatta's letter, in which the merchant asked his son Mahābala to kill the youth immediately on his arrival. Dhanakīrti took rest on his way under a mango tree and fell fast asleep. A courtesan named Anangasena, who was gathering flowers nearby, happened to see the youth and approached him while he was asleep, and seeing a letter suspended from his neck, took it and read it through. Cursing the merchant she substituted for it another letter addressed to his wife and son, in which he was represented as enjoining them to give his daughter Srimati in marriage to Dhanakirti. The latter woke up after the courtesan's departure; and on arrival at the merchant's house, he delivered the letter to Mahabala and his mother, and was duly married to Srimati.

The strange news reached Śrīdatta who at once returned home and hatched another plot. He posted a hired assassin and a wicked priestess in a desolate shrine of the goddess Durgā in the outskirts of the city, and asked Dhanakīrti to go there at nightfall with some offerings for the idol. Dhanakīrti consented, but Śrīdatta's son Mahābala, seeing him going out alone in the dark, dissuaded him from the visit, as he was a stranger to the place, and offered to go to the shrine himself with the offerings. Dhanakīrti accordingly stayed at home, and Mahābala went and met with death at the assassin's hands in the shrine of Durgā.

Overwhelmed with grief at the death of his son, Śrīdatta communicated the whole story of his conspiracy to his wife, and asked for help to get rid of the unwelcome guest. She promised co-operation, and, taking a number of sweetmeat balls, black and white, mixed the latter with poison, and asked her daughter Śrīmatī to give them to her husband to eat, while the black ones were to be given to her father. She then went away to the river for a bath. Śrīmatī served the sweetmeat balls in her mother's absence, but by mistake gave the poisoned ones to her father, whose death was instantaneous, and followed by that of her mother, who in her grief committed suicide by swallowing some of the poisoned sweets.

Sometime after the death of Śrīdatta and his wife, Dhanakīrti who continued to prosper was one day seen by king Viśvambhara. The latter was struck with the beauty of the young merchant, and decided to give his daughter in marriage to him, thus fulfilling the prophecy made about Dhanakīrtī even before his birth. His father Guṇapāla, hearing reports of his continued luck and prosperity, now came from Kauśāmbī and met his wonderful son. The courtesan Anangasenā also came to see him.

One day the merchant Gunapāla, accompanied by Dhanakīrti and his family and Anangasenā and Śrīmatī, paid a visit to the sage Yaśodhvaja, and, after obeisance, entreated him to explain the mystery of Dhanakīrti's providential escapes from death and the continued success of his glorious career. The sage pointed out that Dhanakīrti was in his previous birth the fisherman Mṛgasena, and he was now enjoying the fruits of the vow of non-violence which the latter had taken for a day. The courtesan Anangasenā was the fish whose life had been spared by Mṛgasena on the day of his vow, while Śrīmatī was no other than Ghaṇṭā, the wife of Mṛgasena, who had entered the flames after her husband's death. Having heard the story of their previous births, Dhanakīrti, Anangasenā and Śrīmatī, all three, took the vow of renunciation and became ascetics under the Jaina system of discipline, and attained a blessed state after death.

rather breach of trust. Simhasena was the king of Simhasena in the land of Prayaga, and Ramadatta was his chief queen. The learned Sribhūti who had a great reputation for speaking the truth was his priest, and Sridatta was the latter's devoted wife. A young merchant named Bhadramitra, on the eve of a voyage to Suvarnadvipa with other merchants, had left seven priceless jewels in the custody of Sribhūti. Unfortunately, on the return voyage, Bhadramitra suffered shipwreck, but succeeded in reaching the shore by clinging to a floating plank. Having lost his friends and wealth, he was reduced to sore straits, and, arriving destitute at Simhapura, went to Sribhūti and asked for the jewels entrusted to him. But the latter pretended not to recognise him and denied having received any jewels at all. When Bhadramitra persisted in demanding the jewels, Sribhūti had him dragged to the king's presence, and, accusing him of calumny and defamation, had him repudiated by the king.

Not discouraged in the least, Bhadramitra climbed every night a tamarind tree which stood near the queen's palace, and regularly at dusk and dawn he kept shouting from the top of the tree that Śrībhūti refused to return his jewels, and if the accusation turned out to be false, he (Bhadramitra) might be tortured to death. One evening the queen Rāmadattā, while she was watching the celebration of the Kaumudī festival by the women of the city, heard the plaint of Bhadramitra and devised a plan to test the truth of the charge against Śrībhūti. She invited the latter to a game of dice with her; and, under her instructions, a maidservant went to his wife, and won her confidence by producing certain articles belonging to her husband, which he had lost in the game, and obtained from her the jewels on the pretext that he had sent for them. The ruse succeeded perfectly well and the jewels were immediately taken to the king.

The king mixed up the jewels with those in his treasury and sent for Bhadramitra, and when the latter arrived, he was asked to single out the ones belonging to him. Bhadramitra had no difficulty in finding them, and the king was at once convinced of the guilt of Śribhūti, and lavishly praised and rewarded the young man for speaking the truth. The king then sent for Śribhūti, and, after severely reprimanding him for his treachery, ordered that, by way of punishment, he must either swallow a certain quantity of cow-dung or submit to be roughly handled by a number of stalwart wrestlers; otherwise he would have all his property confiscated. Sribhūti calmly accepted the latter atternative, and was turned out of the city, mounted on an old donkey and wearing a garland of potsherds. As a result of his sins, he was attacked

with leprosy, and put an end to his life by entering the flames. He was reborn as a serpent, and after causing the death of many oreatures, expired and became a denizen of hell.

XV) The following story illustrates the disastrous consequences of falsehood: Ayodhana was the king of Hastināgapura and his queen was Atithi. Sulasā was their daughter, and she had been betrothed by her mother to Prince Madhupingala of Paudanapura even before her birth. The king, however, decided to hold a Svayamvara for her to choose a husband, and issued invitations to a number of princes including Madhupingala and Sagara. The latter was the lord of Ayodhyā and sent a highly cultured nurse named Mandodarī and the priest Viśvabhūti to win over Sulasā before the Svayamvara took place. They came to Hastināgapura, and Mandodarī managed to become a teacher of the harem, and influenced Sulasā in favour of Sagara. The priest, on the other hand, ingratiated himself with the king and the queen, and created in their minds a dislike for Madhupingala. When the Svayamvara took place and the suitors came, Sulasā under the influence of Mandodarī chose Sagara and not Madhupingala as her husband.

Madhupingala in his grief became an ascetic, and arriving at Ayodhyā in the course of his wanderings, happened to take rest at the gateway of Sagara's palace. The priest Viśvabhūti was at the time giving lessons in prognostics to his disciple Śivabhūti, who observed the mendicant and expressed surprise at the contrast between his physical characteristics and his wretched condition, and spoke disparagingly of the science they were studying. Viśvabhūti, however, explained to his pupil the story of Madhupingala and how he had become an ascetic owing to his failure to win the hand of Sulasā who had preferred Sagara. Madhupingala felt grievously insulted at these remarks of his old enemy, and when, after death, he was reborn as the demon Kālāsura, he vowed vengeance against Viśvabhūti as well as Sagara, and waited for a suitable opportunity to carry out his designs.

Visvavasu was the king of the city of Svastimati and Vasu was his son. The learned Kṣīrakadamba was the priest of the king and Parvata was his son. One day the priest was expounding the ethical principles of the scriptures to his disciples Vasu, Parvata, and Nārada, who was the son of the priest of another king. Two sages Anantagati and Amitagati happened to see them, and while the former expressed his admiration for the teacher and his disciples, the latter remarked that two of them were likely to reverse in practice the teachings of the sacred texts. The priest heard these remarks and interpreted them to mean that two of the group

would go to hell and the two others to heaven. He thought it most unlikely that he, a pious man, would go to hell, while he was certain that Vasu would, for that was where princely power led to. He was not sure about the fate of Nārada and Parvata; so with a view to testing their virtue and intelligence, he created by magic two lambs and gave one to each of them, with instructions to kill the animals and eat the flesh at a place where no one could see him. Parvata took his lamb to a secluded enclosure behind the house of his father, killed it and roasted and partook of the flesh. Nārada, on the other hand, pondered over the injunction about a place where no one could see him; and concluding that there could be no place, whether in a town or in a forest, where one could be beyond the range of one's own eyes or the eyes of the gods or the omniscient minds of the great sages, he refrained from killing his lamb and returned it to his teacher.

After this incident, the priest Kṣīrakadamba renounced the world and became an ascetic, and died by fasting. After his death Nārada came on a visit to Parvata, his old friend and companion. One day Parvata was expounding the text ajair yaṣṭavyam to some pupils, and interpreted the word 'aja' as 'goat,' so that the text would mean 'Sacrifices should be made with the flesh of goats'. Nārada intervened and pointed out that their departed teacher had interpreted the word to mean 'grains three years old', and that was the correct explanation. Parvata disputed the truth and accuracy of this statement, and both agreed that they should request prince Vasu to act as umpire, and accept his ruling as final.

Nārada and Parvata then went to Vasu who received them cordially and undertook to give his decision on the morrow. Meanwhile, the widow of the priest Kṣīrakadamba, who was aware that he had interpreted the word in the sense mentioned by Nārada, betook herself to Vasu; and in her anxiety to save her son from disgrace, reminded the prince of a certain boon that he had promised to her, and demanded that he should now fulfil his promise, by bearing testimony to the truth of the interpretation put forward by Parvata. Vasu knew that Parvata's statement was wrong, and that he would go to hell if he supported him, but he was bound by his promise to Parvata's mother and decided to abide by his pledged word. Next morning Vasu came to his court and occupied his famous crystal throne; and on an appeal by Nārada to speak the truth, declared that Parvata's interpretation was the right one. Disaster followed this false declaration, for amid the lamentation of the citizens Vasu, as he was seated on his throne, went down to hell. Even now, at the time of the first oblation of the day, people say "Rise up, Vasu; ascend to heaven."

Nărada was deeply aggrieved at the turn events had taken, and, feeling disgusted with the world, became an ascetic and devoted himself to meditation. The citizens in their fury rushed upon Parvata, to whom they

attributed the downfall of their king, and, after pelting him with stones, and mercilessly whipping him, drove him out of the city, half-dead, mounted on a donkey, and compelled to wear a wreath of potsherds round his neck. Parvata took refuge in a dense forest, and there on the bank of a stream he was seen by Kālāsura, who approached him and won his confidence by representing himself to be his father's friend Śāṇḍilya. Parvata told him his story, how after the death of his father he had been addicted to drink, meat and courtesans, and gave a wrong interpretation of the text ajair yasjavyam knowing it to be false, down to his dispute with Nārada and its unhappy sequel.

Kālāsura consoled Parvata and asked him to take heart and join him in a new venture. He persuaded Parvata to insert in the Vedic texts injunctions sanctioning various sacrifices involving slaughter of animals, use of wine, and moral laxity and perversion. He then came to Ayodhyā, and in the outskirts of the city assumed the form of the god Brahman, and commenced a vast sacrifice at which Parvata acted as the Adhvaryu or officiating priest. Magic forms of sages like Pingala, Manu, Matanga, Marīci and Gautama recited Vedic mantras, while Parvata propounded the doctrine that the animals were created for sacrifices, and killing at sacrifices was not tantamount to killing, since sacrifices contributed to the good of all. Goats, birds, elephants, horses and other animals were accordingly killed at the sacrifice and their flesh offered as oblations in the fire. Kālāsura by his magic showed the slain animals as going about in heaven in aerial cars, and the phantom sages proclaimed the glory of the phenomenon.

The exploits of Kālāsura created a sensation among the people and attracted the notice of Sagara and Viśvabhūti, who were also induced by him to sacrifice animals and partake of their flesh. Kālāsura then reminded them of the wrong they had done him during his previous birth, and murdered both by throwing them into the sacrificial fire amidst the oblations. Parvata continued to kill numerous animals for sacrificial purposes, but Kālāsura disappeared soon after. Helpless and miserable without his friend, Parvata suffered and died and went to hell.

XVI) The story of Kadārapinga and Padmā is meant to illustrate the consequences of illicit passion. Dharşana was the king of Benares and Ugrasena was his minister. Puşya was the king's priest, and he had a beautiful young wife named Padmā. Kadārapinga, the son of the minister, was a misguided and dissolute youth, who one day saw Padmā, while walking in the streets with his boon-companions, and was at once enamoured of her. There was an old nurse named Tadillatā, and she was persistently implored by the young man to help him to fulfil his heart's desire. The nurse thought it advisable to consult Ugrasena about his son's request, but the minister who was in his dotage openly encouraged her in the evil project.

The nurse visited Padma's house, delighted her with her conversation, and became very intimate with the young woman. One day after some preliminary remarks on free love, she described to her the young man's ardent love for her and the suffering caused by his passion. Padma pretended to agree to the bawd's proposal, and the chances of the minister's son looked bright indeed.

Meanwhile, the minister Ugrasena who favoured the criminal intentions of his son devised a scheme to ensure the absence of Padmā's husband from the city, on a prolonged mission. He told the king that, in a cave near the Ratnasikhanda peak of the Himālayas, there was a marvellous bird called Kimjalpa, variegated in colour, and capable of talking like a man, the possession of which had a magic effect on the fortunes of the lucky owner. He further suggested that he and the priest Puşya who knew the place might be sent in quest of the bird. The king dissuaded the minister from going owing to his great age, and ordered that the priest should undertake the journey alone.

While Puşya was preparing to start, Padmä explained to him the real motive behind the proposed expedition, and asked him to make a show of departure and return secretly at night and remain concealed at home. He followed the instructions of his wife; and one night, according to a pre-arranged plan, the bawd brought over the minister's son to Padmä's house and introduced the young man to her. She asked both of them to seat themselves on a sofa, cunningly placed over a deep pit; and as soon as they sat down, they fell headlong to the bottom of the pit, and there they remained imprisoned, living on the remains of boiled rice left over by the household.

After some time, Padmä made it known that her husband would arrive in a few days with the Kimjalpa bird and the female spirit, its mother. She then caused the young man and the bawd to be put in a cage, after their bodies had been painted with various colours and decked with the feathers of diverse kinds of birds. The cage was then removed to a wood near the city and left in charge of Puşya, who was dressed in travelling attire, as if he had returned from a journey. Padma, meanwhile, dressed herself in a manner becoming a woman whose husband was abroad, and set out to receive Pusya in company with her girl friends, who were all talking about the 'exploit' of her husband. On the following day Pusya accompanied by his wife, proceeded to the royal palace with the precious load and asked the king to behold the Kimjalpa and the mother bird. The king scrutinized the pair, and saying that he could see only Kadarapinga and the bawd Tadillata in the cage, demanded an explanation of the mystery. Padma then recounted the whole story, and the king praised and honoured her with rich presents, and sent her home in a chariot drawn by Brahmanas, expert in Vedic lore. As for Kadarapinga and

his father Ugrasena, their shameful intrigue was proclaimed before the people, and they were banished from the city. The young profligate, after long suffering the consequences of his sin, died and went to hell.

XVII) The following story is meant to illustrate the sin of unlawful possession. In the city of Kampilya in the Pancala country there was a merchant named Sagaradatta who was, in spite of his wealth, a notorious miser, and went to ridiculous lengths in effecting economies and avoiding expenditure. The king of the place, whose name was Ratnaprabha, desiring to build a pavilion for witnessing elephant-races, selected for the purpose the site of a ruined palace belonging to a former king, and ordered the debris to be cleared. The bricks of the delapidated palace were of gold, but as they had lost their lustre, the king took them for ordinary bricks and employed workmen to heap them together in another place for erecting a temple. The workmen while carrying the bricks happened to drop one of them on the roadside, and the miser, finding it there, took it home and put it at the place where he used to wash his feet. The dirt having been removed by the daily friction of his feet, the brick regained its original colour, and the miser, seeing that the bricks were of gold, bribed the workmen and acquired as many of the bricks as he could.

One day the miser had to go to the city of Kākandī where his sister's son had died, but at the time of his departure he gave instructions to his son Sudatta to collect the bricks in his absence in the same fashion as he had done. Sudatta was, however, above such meanness; and when his father, on his return, asked him how many of the bricks he had managed to acquire, he replied that he had done nothing of the kind.

The miser was shocked to hear this, and condemning his own feet which had taken him to the hated town of Kākandī, he mercilessly pounded them with a slab of stone. The king, who had come to know about these happenings, had the bricks scraped by artisans; and convinced that they were of gold, he ordered the confiscation of the miser's property and banished him from the city. Sudatta was, on the other hand, provided with capital to carry on his business, and his ancestral home was returned to him as a reward for his honesty. The miser, who was known as Pinyākagandha, 'one who smells of oil-cakes,' suffered on account of his sins and went to hell after his death.

Apart from the stories outlined above, there are allusions to certain popular tales in Book IV. The story of Kāñcanikā is cited to illustrate the inscrutable nature of women: she is said to have killed her husband, son and paramour, and then immolated herself on the funeral pyre of her husband. The story of the merchant's wife and Mūladeva, and that of

¹ इहैव वात्स्वायनगोत्रजस पुत्री सुगोः काञ्चनिकेतिनासी । पति च पुत्रं च बढे च इत्वा भर्मा हु सार्थं दहनं विवेदा ॥

Upadhyayika, although they are folktales, are mentioned by Somadeva along with walknown mythological stories, and will be found in Chap. XVII. The following 'ancient tradition' cited in Book IV forms part of a popular tale resated in the commentary:

ंवदर्वे च मही सका प्रविदार्थ च हारितम् । सा मां सपति निःचेहा कः बीजां वहामी नरः ॥

The story, as given by Srutasagara, is as follows. The daughter of the king of Pataliputra was married by the son of another king, but soon after the marriage the father of the girl fell ill, and the sacrifice of the daughter was recommended by a Tantric teacher as the only means of the king's recovery. Hearing this, the husband of the girl fled with her to a forest where she was bitten by a serpent. The prince was about to commit suicide from grief, but the sylvan deities interfered and told him that his bride would revive if he gave her half of his life-span. He agreed and the bride at once came to life, and they both continued their journey and reached a town. There the prince fell asleep in a hospice, and meanwhile a fellow named Devakesin entitled the girl away. When the husband woke up, he saw her entering the town with the man, and a quarrel ensued over the possession of the girl, who, however, claimed the stranger as her husband. Thereupon the prince demanded back the portion of the life-span which he had given her, and the wicked woman at once dropped dead. The bystanders having asked for an explanation of these strange happenings, the prince uttered the verse quoted above: 'She for whom I had renounced the earth and given away half my life left me without pity. Who can ever be dear to women?'1

As we have already stated, several of the stories summarized above are met with in earlier works. The eight stories in Book VI meant to illustrate the different aspects of Samyaktva are, for instance, stock illustrations, and briefly referred to in Samantabhadra's Ratnakarandakasrāvakācāra 1. 19, 20, the stories being summarized in Prabhācandra's commentary on the work. They were thus wellknown in Samantabhadra's time, and that they are much earlier than Samantabhadra's seems also certain. Kundakunda, who lived about the first century A. D., mentions, for instance, Bhavyasena, one of the principal characters of the fourth story of our collection, in Bhāvaprābhrta (verse 52), the typical instance of a man who failed to become a true monk despite his knowledge of all branches of the Scriptures.

¹ For another version of the story see Pancatantra, Book IV.

श्रीविक्तानवीरोऽङ्गे ततोऽनन्तमतिः स्कृता । ध्रदायनस्तृतीथेऽपि तुरीवे रेवती मता ॥ ततो जिनेन्द्रमकोऽन्यो वारिवेणस्ततः परः । विक्तुम वजनामा च वेषयोक्षयतां गताः ॥

^{3.} Samantabhadra is believed to be earlier than the fifth century A. D. See Pt. Yugal-kisore's Introduction (in Hindi) to Batna-karandaka-trāvakācāra, p. 142.

^{🛒 🔞} औराई दस य दुष्णि य सञ्चलपुष्पाई सवल्झपणार्ग । पढिमो स मन्तरेगो प मानस्वनसूर्ण पत्तो 🖟

As regards the other stories, there is no doubt that the story of Sagara and Madhupingala with the intermediate one of Vasu, Parvata and Nārada is a very old one. The case of Madhupingala is referred to by Kundakunda in Bhāvaprābhṛta (verse 45). The entire story including the episode of Vasu is narrated in detail in Vasudevahindi, a comprehensive Prākrit prose romance earlier than the seventh century, to illustrate the origin of what is politely called the 'non-Aryan' Vedas. The episode of Vasu is as old as the Mahābhārata and appears to be the oldest portion of the legend. It seems to have been incorporated in the Jaina story owing to the lesson of ahimsā conveyed by it, and provides another instance of Jaina adaptation of Brahmanical stories. The original story as related in the Mahābhārata (Śāntiparva, chap. 335 ff.) is as follows.

"Vasu was a great king of the Satya Age, also known as Uparicara, a disciple of Brhaspati and an ardent devotee of Vispu, who worshipped the deity according to the tenets of the Satvata or Pancaratra system. An asvamedha performed by him was remarkable for the fact that no animals were killed in the sacrifice, the oblations being made with the produce of the forest. Once while travelling through the sky, he was asked to act as umpire in a debate, which took place between the gods and the sages about the interpretation of the word aja in the statement that sacrifices should be performed with ajas. The gods maintained that the word meant 'goats', while according to the sages, it meant 'grains of corn'. Vasu out of partiality for the gods, gave the decision in favour of the latter, whereupon the sages cursed and condemned him to a life in the nether regions. While living under the earth, Vasu was nourished by a stream of clarified butter poured for him by the priests as an oblation in sacrifices, which gave it the name of vasordhara 'the stream of Vasu'. Advised by the gods, Vasu continued to worship Vișnu even in the nether regions, and favoured by him, he regained his freedom and ascended to Brahmaloka." The story of Vasu is also referred to in the Anusasanaparva 6. 34, where it is stated that he went to hell for speaking even a single falsehood. A variation of the story occurs in Matsyapurana, chap. 143, where the lesson drawn is not so much the danger of falsehood as that of rash judgment in religious matters.

Jinasena I narrates in his Harivamsapurāna written in 783 A. p. several stories mentioned above: the story of Vasu, Narada and Parvata in 17. 38 ff. and that of Sagara and Madhupingala in 23. 45 ff., the story of the sage Viṣṇu and Bali in 20. 1 ff. and that of the priest Śrībhūti and and his punishment in 27. 20 ff. Some of these stories are found in the Bṛhat-Kathākośa of Hariṣena written in 931-32 A. p.

महार्पिगो णाम मुणी देहाहारादिचसवावारो । सवणस्त्रणं ण पत्तो जिवाणमिसेण मिववणुव ॥
 Annals of the B. O. R. I., Vol. XVI, p. 32.

³ Book V (सोमसिरिडंगो).

A variation of the story of Vajrakumāra occurs in Hemacandra's Parisistaparva (Canto XII), which, though later than Somadeva's Yasastilaka, used old materials. Here, too, Vajra appears in the role of defender of the Jaina faith against the Buddhist community, although the place and circumstances are different. Somadeva's account is doubtless of greater importance as being connected with a wellknown historical monument, the Jaina Stūpa of Mathurā. It will be interesting to compare it with the version recorded by Hemacandra.

"The king (of Puri) was a Buddhist, and so were part of the inhabitants, while the majority of them were Jainas. As the two rival sects were continually competing with each other, the Jainas, being richer than their rivals, bought up all flowers so that the Buddhists could get none to offer in their temples. But the Buddhists induced the king to issue a strict order that no flowers should be sold to the Jainas. In this calamity the latter entreated Vajra to help them; for the Paryuṣaṇāparvan was drawing near, when the laity used to worship the images of the Arhats with flowers.

Promising his aid, Vajra went through the air to the town of Maheśvara, and entering the park of Hutāśana he met the gardener Tadit who was a friend of his. Feeling greatly honoured by Vajra's visit, the gardener inquired with what he could serve him, and being told that flowers were wanted, he promised twenty lakhs of flowers. Vajra ordered him to have them ready against his return, and then flew through the air to the Himālaya, and thence to the Padmahrada, the residence of Śrīdevī. There he met the goddess who held in her hand a lotus to worship the gods with, but she gladly gave it to Vajra when he asked for it.

Returning to Hutāśana's park he produced by magic a divine car, in which he placed the lotus given by Srī and round it the twenty lakhs of flowers brought together by his friend Tadit. Accompanied by the Jrmbhaka gods in their cars, whom he had called up to attend him on his journey through the air, he travelled towards Purī. When he and his train were just above the town, the Buddhists believed that the gods descended from heaven to worship the Buddha images. But great was their disappointment when the celestial train landed in a Jaina temple. Never has Paryuṣaṇāparvan been celebrated with such splendour as then at Purī. The miracles just related induced the king and his subjects to embrace the Jaina faith."

The last but one story of the series, that of Padmä, is a tale of the trapping of a libertine by a chaste woman, and resembles the story of Vararuci's wife Upakosā and the plight of her lovers, recorded in Kathā-saritsāgara, Canto IV. As we have seen, the story, as related by Somadeva, is in the form of dramatic dialogues linked by narrative passages, and might easily be converted into a little play.

¹ Jacobi: Paritigaparean, p. xevi.

² See chap, IV.

CHAPTER XVII

MYTHS AND LEGENDS

JAINA LEGENDS OF MATHURA

Of the several legends recorded by Somadeva, that of the famous Jaina Stupa of Mathura is of outstanding interest, and narrated in detail in Yasaetilaka Book VI, sections 17, 18.1 It is wellknown that the ruins of the ancient Stūpa were discovered in the course of excavations at the Kankali Tila standing in the angle between the Agra and Govardhan roads during the season 1889-90.* Somadeva gives an entirely different version of the story of foundation of the Stupa from that recorded in Jinaprabhasuri's Turthakalpa, a work of the fourteenth century, and made known by Bühler many years ago. Somadeva is nearly four hundred years earlier than Jinaprabha, and probably records the earliest known version of the legend of the Stupa, which was regarded as of divine origin as early as the second century A. D. An inscription of the year 79, engraved on the left hand portion of the base of a large standing figure of a Jina found in the Kankali mound in the season 1890-91, records the fact that an image of the Tirthamkara Aranatha was set up at the Stupa, "built by the gods" (thupe devanirmite). "The sculpture belongs to the Kushan period, and the mode in which the date is expressed shows that the year 79 must be referred to the era used by the great Kushan kings. This year 79 falls within the reign of Vasudeva, one of whose inscriptions is expressly dated in the year 80." The important thing to note is that when the inscription in question was executed, "not later than A. D. 157 (79+78)", the Jaina Stupa of Mathura was already so old that it was regarded as the work of the "It was probably, therefore, erected several centuries before the Christian era, and may have been at least as ancient as the oldest Buddhist Stūpa." According to Jinaprabha, the Stūpa was built by the goddess Kubera for two Jaina saints named Dharmaruci and Dharmaghosa, and among the idols installed in its precincts the chief was that of Suparsvanatha. The Stupa was originally of gold, but later encased in brick as a safeguard against pillage. In the eighth century it was repaired by Bappabhatti Sūri, and at his request provided with a stone dome by King Ama.4 According to Somadeva, on the other hand, the Stupa was founded by the saint Vajrakumāra,

¹ See Chapter XVI.

² See Vincent Smith: The Jaina Stapa and other Antiquities of Mathura, Allahabad, 1901.

³ Smith (op. cit.), pp. 12, 13.

⁴ Introduction to Pandit's edition of Gaudavaho, p. cliii



Worship of the Stūpa by Kinnaras and Suparnas, see p. 433.



Procession on its way to the Stupa, see p. 433,



Exploring the Siva Linga, see p. 433. (Rajaputana Musuem, Ajmer)

son of Somadatta, during the reign of Putikavahana, and there is no reference to its ever being made of gold.

The Jaina Stupa of Mathura seems to have existed in Somadeva's time, as is apparent from his statement that "the shrine is still known by the name of Devanirmita, "Built by the Gods". It may also be noted in this connection that the colossal image of Parsvanatha, seated in meditation, found in the Kankali mound at Mathura in 1889, and bearing an inscription dated Sarhvat 1036 or 980 a. D., was possibly built and installed within Somadeva's lifetime. The discovery of another colossal image of a Jina, also seated in meditation, at the same site, and bearing an inscription dated Samvat 1134 or 1078 A. D., gives evidence of the continuity of Jaina religious life at Mathura sixty years after the sack of the city by Mahmud of Ghazni in 1018 A. D. As Dr. Führer says, "these ancient temples were used by the Jainas during the greater part of the eleventh century, and their destruction happened in very late times". As regards the great Stupa, its site is at present a bare flat mound without any semblance of an ancient edifice on its surface. But a sculpture on a Torana lintel from the Kankali Tila, now preserved in the Lucknow Museum (J 535), shows the Stupa as being worshipped by Kinnaras and Suparnas (half birds and half men), and gives us an idea of the general appearance of the monument as it originally stood. The reverse side of the lintel represents a procession probably on its way to the Stupa (see illustrations). Vincent Smith describes the piece of masonry as the central portion of the lowest beam of a Torana archway in the railing round a Stupa, and says that it may have belonged to the ancient Stüpa, and is certainly an early work, probably to be dated about 100 or 50 B. C.

The existence of Jaina temples at Mathurā, called Uttaramathurā to distinguish it from Dakṣiṇamathurā or Madura in the Pāṇḍya country, is attested by another reference in Yaśastilaka VI. 10.5 Two temples were, in fact, discovered under the Kankālī Ṭīlā. In the Museum Report for 1890-91 Dr. Führer writes that an inscription, incised on an oblong slab, gives the name

^{1 &#}x27;अत ब्याकाप तत्तीयं देवनिर्भिताख्यया प्रथते।' Vol. II, p. 315.

² Now in the Provincial Museum, Lucknow, J 143. The date is variously read as 1038 and 1036. Smith (op. cit.), p. 4 and Agrawala: Guide-Book to the Archaeological Section of the Provincial Museum, p. 13. In the text of the inscription sent to me by Dr. Agrawala the date is given as 1036.

³ J 145, Provincial Museum, Lucknow.

⁴ Smith (op. cit.), p. 4.

⁵ Smith (op. cit.), p. 22.

⁶ 'उत्तरमञ्जरावां जिनेन्द्रमन्दिरवन्दावहृद्यवरोहदवरीं वर्तेऽह्यम् ।'

of the founder of one of the temples; and as its characters do not differ much from those used in the earliest dated inscriptions of the Indo-Scythic period, he infers that one of the edifices was built before 150 B. C. 1

The legend of the Jaina Stupa of Mathura, as recorded by Somadeva. is also important as throwing light on the rivalry between the Jains and Budd. hist communities at the epoch of the foundation of the Stupa. It is obvious from the legend that the Buddhist wife of Pütikavähana sought to abolish the Jaina Eight-day festival at Mathura and replace it by a celebration in honour of the Buddha. Her attempt was foiled by Vajrakumāra, and the foundation of the Stupa bears testimony to the unhindered celebration of the great Jaina festival at Mathura.

Legends relating to certain pious Jainas of Mathura occur in Yasastilaka. That of Revati, wife of king Varuna, famous for her unflinching devotion to the Jaina faith, is given in detail in VI. 11. In Book IV Somadeva refers to the story of Arhaddasa, who, though a householder, showed the resolution of an ascetic, when he continued his meditation unperturbed even at the sight of the sufferings caused to his family by some hostile deities.4 Aurvila, the pious Jaina wife of king Putikavahana of Mathura, who had to contend against the machinations of her young Buddhist co-wife, figures prominently in the legend of the great Stupa,

OTHER JAINA LEGENDS

In Book IV occurs a reference to the story of the sage Puruhuta of Pataliputra, who was once a king, but had abdicated in favour of his son and become an ascetic: even during his austerities he could not repress his martial spirit when he heard that his son was waging war against his enemies.

In Book I Somadeva refers to the temptation of the monk Rathanemi who gave up his austerities on witnessing the performance of a nautch-girl.6 Rathanemi was the brother of the great ascetic Aristanemi. and his story occurs in Uttaradhyayanasütra, chap. XXII; but, in the latter text, he feels tempted at the sight of his brother's discarded bride Rajimati at a lonely spot. Srutasagara, on the other hand, in his commentary on Yasastilaka, says that Rathanemi was a teacher of the Digambara sect, and married a nautch-girl, a girl of the potter caste, and a princess in succession,

¹ Smith (op. cit.), p. 3.

² See Brhat-Katha-kośa of Harigena, Story No. 12.

³ See Chap. XVI.

⁴ विश्वा उत्तरमञ्जरायां निशामतिमास्मित्तस्मितिसम्बन्धत्रपत्रितम्बन्धत्रपत्रमित्रोगद्रवोऽप्येमात्रमावनमानसोऽस्हातः।' p. 137. 5 'इञ्चमपुरे चरादाकाणितप्रतसमरश्वितिरातापनयोगसुतोऽपि पुरुष्कृतदेवविः।' Ibid.

^{6 &#}x27;र्बनेनेब नदीनपैनदर्शनात् !' Vol. I, p. 109.

and took also the religious vow as often. The story, according to Srutasagara, is found in a Kuthakosa.

In Book IV Somadeva refers to the early Jaina legend of the burning of Dyaraka by the sage Dyaipayana. The episode is clearly mentioned in the Antagadadasac, Book V; and the Harivamsapurana of Jinasena I, composed in 783 a. D., narrates in detail how the city was burnt to ashes by the sage, as a revenge for being assaulted by the Yadava young men under the influence of drink (canto 61). The name of the sage is Divayana in the Ardhamagadhī text and Dvīpāyana in the Harivamsapurāna. Two other Jaina legends are cited in Book IV to illustrate the view that the doing of something different from what one resolves or promises to do does not cause any harm, provided it is harmless to others. There is a scriptural tradition (pravacana) that a king of Mithila named Padmaratha once set out on a journey apparently to worship the pontiff Vasupujya, but his real object was to become a leader of the Jaina church. Similarly, a young merchant named Sukumāra, who wished to renounce the world, had promised to his mother that he would do so after passing a day with each of his five hundred wives, but carried out his resolution sooner on hearing from a sage that he was not destined to live long.

NON-JAINA LEGENDS

a) Brahmā, Viṣṇu and the Śivalinga

The dispute of Brahmā and Viṣṇu about the extent of the Sivalinga is mentioned in a verse, quoted by Somadeva from an earlier text in Book V.⁴ The story is also referred to in Sriharṣa's Naiṣadhacarita 10.51 and narrated in detail in Skandapurāṇa.⁵ It is noteworthy that there are important sculptural representations of the legend. In one of the cave-temples of Ellora,⁶ Siva is depicted 'inside a linga with flames issuing from the side of it. Viṣṇu is represented below on the right as Varāha the boar-avatāra, digging down to see if he can reach the base of the great linga; having failed to do so, he is also represented as worshipping it. On the other side is Brahmā ascending to discover the top of it, which he also failed to do, and stands as a

^{1 &#}x27;कि जु अस्पन्नो देशवनो देश स ताह्यं करी समायवार !' Vol. II, p. 189. See Brhat-kathā-kada of Harisapa, Story No. 118.

² See Byhat-katha-kofa, No. 51.

तथा च मदचनम्—नासुप्रवनगवतो वन्दनामिके नतो मिकिलानगरीजाकः वस्त्वो वसूत्र गणकरदेवः । सासुः वालिकिदिवानि वन्तारोऽपि पचचतप्रविद्वितारः सुकुनार्य साचवामासामिनतम् " See also Srutantgare's comm. thereon, p. 72.

⁴ See Chap. XVIII for the verse in question.

⁵ See Handiqui: Maishadhacarita, Notes, p. 410.

⁶ Cave XV.

worshipper." Similarly, in a beautiful sculpture preserved in the Rajputana Museum, Ajmer, and assigned to the tenth or eleventh century, Brahma with a long beard is represented as soaring upwards, and Visnu as diving below, to explore the top and the base of the *linga* respectively. After their failure, Brahma is shown as standing on one side of it and Visnu on the other.

b) 'Necessity knows no law'

In Book IV Yasodhara's mother, in her plea for animal sacrifices for one's wellbeing, says that 'the great sage' Gautama killed even his benefactor Nādījangha to save his own life, and Visvāmitra killed a dog for the same purpose. Somadeva here tampers with two stories found in the Mahābhārata, Śāntiparva (section on āpaddharma). Gautama was really a degenerate Brahman who killed the divine crane Nādījangha, perceiving no other means of sustenance, despite the great favours conferred on him by the bird. He is severely condemned in the Mahābhārata for ingratitude. Viśvāmitra, on the other hand, partook of dog's flesh in the house of a Cāndāla, and yet incurred no sin, because he did so for the purpose of self-preservation during a famine. The story of Viśvāmitra feeding on dog's flesh is also referred to in Manusmṛti 10. 108. It may be noted that Śrutasāgara in his commentary invents fanciful stories to explain these allusions.

c) Women and the practice of religion

A group of traditional stories (sruti) is referred to in the message of Amṛtamati to Yasodhara in Book IV, bearing on the duty of women to accompany their husbands in the practice of religion. She cites the wellknown instances of Rāma and Sītā, Draupadī and Arjuna, Sudakṣinā and Dilīpa, Lopāmudrā and Agastya, Arundhatī and Vasiṣṭha, Reṇukā and Jamadagni. On the other hand, the danger of women engaging in religious austerities, however rigorous, unaccompanied by their husbands, is illustrated by the little known story of a Brahmin woman named Brahmabandhū, who, although she was fasting unto death, at Prayāga, was associated by scandal with a monk named Govinda.

¹ Burgess: A Guide to Blura Cave Temples (Reprint), p. 28.

² The sculpture was examined by me during my visit to Ajmer in October, 1941. No catalogue was then available. See the illustration.

^{3 &#}x27;किमक महामुनिगौतमः प्राणवाणार्थमात्मोपकारिणमि नाडीजक्षं न जवान । विश्वामित्रः सारमैयम् ।' p. 124.

^{4 &#}x27;तथाच स्रति:—किक वानप्रसमावेऽपि रामस्य सीता सवर्मचारिण्यासीत् । द्रोपवी धनंजयस्य'''''रेणुका च अमदशेरिति ।
''''''यथा प्रयागे प्रायोपवेशनस्थितापि जहावन्यूनाहाणी गोविन्देन परिज्ञाजा सह किक परीवादमासिनी वस्वेति ।'
p. 149

d) Effect of calumny

The evil that can be done by popular report, however false, is illustrated by another group of traditional stories (laukiki srutih) in Book IV. It is said that the sage Brhaspati was once refused admission to Indra's council-chamber owing to a false accusation brought against him by a gambler in the city of Cunkara. A monk named Cakrapani was debarred from entering Benares, because a minstrel named Sajaka, having failed to obtain a share in his earnings, spread a report that the monk was in the habit of devouring children. An ascetic named Markanda was excluded from the hermitages of anchorites, because he lived among drunkards, even though he used to drink only water.1

e) Wisdom no bar to moral transgression

The above group of legends is followed by another, designed to show that even the wise at times commit offences, regardless of consequences. The crime of the sage Dvaipāyana is mentioned first. We are then told that Ravana abducted Sita, even though he was presumably acquainted with the story of Dandakya in the Nītisastras.2 The latter story occurs in the Rāmāyaņa, Uttarakānda, Chap. 80, 81, where it is related how king Danda and his kingdom were destroyed by the sage Bhargava as a punishment for violating the latter's daughter Arajā. The legend of Danda is also treated in detail in Vāmanapurāna, Chap. 63 ff. and mentioned in Kāmasūtra 1. 2. 44.

The wellknown stories of Nahuşa's insult to the sages and Brahmā's passion for his own daughter are next referred to. Then comes the story of how Vararuci carried a pitcher of wine for the sake of a courtesan.* The source of the story is obscure, but it seems to be a variation of a legend recorded in Hemacandra's Parisistaparva 8. 87 ff., which relates that the poet took to drinking under the influence of a courtesan named Upakośa with fatal results for himself.4

f) Legends of female character

A group of legends is cited in Yasodhara's soliloguy in Book IV to illustrate the fickle character of women, and how they defy all restrictions on their movements. After referring to the mythological stories of Ahalya

¹ 'तथाच लौकिकी श्रतिः—किल इहस्पतिः सद्इतोऽपि चुङ्कारनगरे लोचनाजमहरेण कितवेन निथ्याप्रवादद्वितः शतकतुसभायां प्रवेशं न लेभे । अलम्थाशंनाक्षेत्रं तु शबकताक्षा बाम्बीवनेन अर्थ निक्षाश्रमणन्याजेनार्भकात् मस्रवित बस्तुपहतश्वक्रपाणिः परिकाद् वाराणस्याम् । मधुवेषु मध्ये पीतप्याद्य मार्कण्डतापसस्तापसाम्रमेषु ।' p. 138. अ 'पौलस्त्वो नीतिशास्त्रपु नामीपीदाण्डनयोपास्थानम्' etc. p. 139.

^{3 &#}x27;बरक्षिश कृषलीनिमित्तमासननिपोद्यनम्' etc.

⁴ Summarized by Jacobi in his edition of the work, p. lxix.

and Indra; Parvati and the Elephant Demon; and Chaya and the Fire god (the former had been placed by her father in the custody of Yama who vainly put her in his stomach for safety), Yasodhara cites two little known tales. The first relates to the wife of a merchant, who resorted to the expedient of putting on a single cloth with her, but could not thereby prevent her from committing adultery with Müladeva. The second story is about a clever adulteress named Upadhyāyikā, the wife of a Brāhmana teacher. According to Śrutasāgara, the name of the husband was Garga and that of the woman Somaśri.

The temptations offered by women are illustrated in Book I by the legend of how the austerities of Siva were interrupted by the sight of the water-sports of the daughters of Daksa, those of Brahman by the musical performance of the nymph Tilottama, those of Parassara by the meeting of the fisherman's daughter, and those of Rathanemi (see above) by the dancing of a nautch-girl.²

g) Miscellaneous Stories

The legend of Jīmūtavāhana is referred to in a simile in Book I. The allusion to Rādhā and Nārāyana in Book IV is of particular interest in view of the paucity of early references to the former, and shows that the Rādhā legend must be much earlier than the 10th century. It is noteworthy that both Kṛṣṇa and Rādhā are mentioned in Hāla's Gāthā-saptasatī 1. 89. A woman named Rādhā appears in the story of Dhanakīrti in Yasastilaka VII. 26. The antiquity of the name is shown by the fact that a woman called Rādhā is also mentioned in Lalitavistara, chap. 18, the famous Mahāyāna work, earlier than the ninth century. The ten Incarnations of Viṣṇu are referred to in Yasastilaka, Book IV.

^{1 &#}x27;तथाहि अनुअव: - कृतरक्षाशस्यात्यहस्या किलासण्यकेन सह संविवेश, इरदेहाथंक्षितापि विशेष्ठता नजास्रदेश, वसवर्ध्व राजवापि छावा पावकेन, पक्ष्यसनवैदेहकवयूर्वृद्धदेवन, एवमन्याक्षोपाध्याविकाप्रसूत्यो निजयत्ससम्भ्रुपपवितिकः सहारेशिरे महासाहसानि ।' p. 62. A story very similar to that of Chāyā and the fire god occurs in Milindapañha iv. 2. 18. A Dānava, to guard his wife, put her into a bax, and swallowing it, carried her about in his stomach. That did not prevent a Vidyādhara entering his mouth and enjoying the company of his wife. Rhys Davids: The Questions of King Milinda, Vol. I, p. 217.

^{2 &#}x27;अ्यते हि किलालस्यजन्मनो दश्चतानां अलकेलिबिलोकनात् तपःप्रत्यनायः, पितामहस्य तिलोक्तमासंगीतकात् केवतां-संगमात् पराशरस्य, रक्तेमेश्च नदीनतंनवश्नात्।' Vol. I, p. 109.

^{3 &#}x27;जीस्तवाहनचरितावतारमिव नागवछीविभवसुन्दरम् ।' p. 95

^{4 &#}x27;कि न रेमे'''राषा नारावणेन' Vol. II, p. 142.

⁵ मुहमारूपण तं कक गोरजं राहिआप अवणेन्तो । एतानै बहाबीणं अण्णाण वि गोरजं इरसि ।। N. S. Press, shird edition.

^{6 &#}x27;तेन सञ्ज प्रनॉक्सवः समवेन स्थाताया प्रामिकदुहित्तदांसी राथा नाम कालगतामृत्।' 7 'बशायतारेण स वर्तते वा'. For the verse in question see Chapter XII.

CHAPTER XVIII

QUOTATIONS AND REFERENCES

A remarkable feature of Somadeva's *Pasastilaka* is that it quotes or refers to a large number of earlier authors and works, many of them otherwise unknown or but insufficiently known. These references have thus a great chronological value, forming as they do a definite landmark in Indian literary history. There are indeed few writers who have enriched Kavya literature with so great a variety of well-stocked scholarship as the author of our romance.

POETS

In Book IV Somadeva refers to the following poets as Mahākavis, and claims that their kāvyas testify to the great reputation of the Jaina religion: Urva, Bhāravi, Bhavabhūti, Bhartrhari, Bhartrmentha, Kantha, Guṇāḍhya, Vyāsa, Bhāsa, Vosa, Kālidāsa, Bāṇa, Mayūra, Nārāyaṇa, Kumāra, Māgha and Rājašekhara. These names are followed by a reference to what Somadeva calls 'the Kāvyādhyāya composed by Bharata', probably the 17th chapter of Bharata's Nātyašāstra which deals with 'the adornments of poetry' (kāvyavibhūṣaṇāni), figures of speech and similar topics.

While some of the above names are well-known, we know practically nothing about the others. Nārāyaṇa may be Bhaṭṭanārāyaṇa, the author of Veṇāsanhāra, but we cannot be sure about this. Kumāra is very probably Kumāradāsa, the author of Jānakīharaṇa. The reference to Guṇāḍhya seems to suggest that the lost Bṛhatkathā was extant in the Deccan in the 10th century. The reference to Bhāsa is not clear, and may not have anything to do with the dramatist of that name. In any case, Bhāsa is again mentioned as a Mahākavi in a subsequent chapter (Vol. II, p. 251), and the following verse quoted therein shows that he was a follower of the sensual aspect of the Saiva cult.

वेवा सुरा प्रवत्तमाञ्चकतिक्षणीयं प्राकः स्वभावकवित्रोऽविकृतक वेवः । वेनेवृतीरसामस्वयः मोक्षयर्तः दीर्यानुरस्तु भगवान् सः विवाधवानिः ॥

One should drink wine and gaze at a beloved woman's face and assume a garb that is beautiful by nature and unspoilt. Long live Siva, the Exalted One, who discovered such a way to salvation." It may be noted that the verse occurs in the Pallava king Mahendravarman's Mattavilāsaprahasana where it is declaimed by a drunken Kāpālika, after salutation to Siva.

¹ See Chap. VIII.

Ms. A remarks Augustig: au es.

With regard to the other poets mentioned in Somadeva's list no early poet of the name of Kantha is known, but a writer named Kantha is cited several times as an authority on Sanskrit roots in Karasvamin's Karatarangint. Combination of grammar and poetry is not rare in Sanskrit literature, but we do not know whether this Kantha was also a Mahakavi. As to Urva, two of our Manuscripts (B and C) give the name as Utsavva, but the reading of the printed text is supported by the other two Manuscripts (A and D) which seem to read Urva. There seems no doubt that Urva is the correct reading which has also the merit of being adopted in Srutasāgara's commentary. Urva is probably the same as the poet Aurva cited in Vallabhadeva's Subhāṣitāvalī which attributes the following verses to him:

अस्यव्यनान्धकारेऽव प्राप्ते वर्षानिशाक्षवे । शरत् प्राभातवेक्षेत्र प्रकाशमनविद्धाः ॥
रिका विपावदुरात्मानो निःशब्दाः प्राप्तकाषयाः । त्यत्पवता इव घना न्यताचारा दिशो वयुः ॥
The second verse is evidently addressed to a king, and Aurva seems to have been a court-poet.

Of the remaining poets, Bāṇa is mentioned in another place, the reference being to his Kādambarī. Māgha, too, is mentioned twice, Yasodhara being addressed as a Māgha in poetic appreciation. As regards Bhavabhūti, a reference to the plot of his Mālatīmādhava occurs in Somadeva's Nītivākyāmṛta (Yuddhasamuddesa). In Book V Somadeva quotes verses from two other poets about whom we know nothing. They are Grahila, who sings the glory of Śiva, and Nīlapaṭa, who heaps scorn on those who deny themselves the charms of women to seek the bliss of salvation. The following two verses are by Grahila:

भारतां तबान्यद्पि ताबद्तुस्यक्क्षमैश्वर्थमीश्वरपदस्य निसित्तभूतम् । स्वच्छेफसोऽपि भगवत्र गतोऽवसानं विच्छुः पितामह्युतः किमुतापरस्य ॥ इति, रथः क्षोणी यन्ता सतस्रतरगेन्द्रो धनुरयो रयाक्ने चन्द्राकौ रयचरणपाणिः सर इति । दिख्तोसो कोऽयं विपुरतृणमाश्वन्यरविधिर्विधेयैः क्षीडन्सो न सन्ध परतन्त्राः प्रमुषियः ॥ इति च प्रहिस्रभाषितम् । (Vol. II, p. 55).

The following verse is attributed by Somadeva to Nilapața (Vol. II, p. 252). इत्रेव च तरबमुपक्र-बालापि नीलपटेन—

¹ Ed. Liebich, Breslau, 1930.

² See Chap. IV.

^{3 &#}x27;सुकविकाञ्चकथाविनोददोहदमाव' Book II.

^{&#}x27;मृयते हि किल दूरकोऽपि माधवपिता कामन्दकीयप्रयोगेण माधवाय मालती साधवामास ।'

⁵ The second verse occurs in Puspadanta's Mahimnastotra. It is possible that Somadeva's remark पहिल्लावित्य might simply mean 'an obstinate utterance,' as the verses in question are cited to illustrate certain alleged contradictions in the conception of Siva. See Chap. VIII.

वनोवरमास्वाः करविधूर्णियार्षेत्रकाः कवित् सक्वपञ्चमोचरित्रवीत्वद्वारिषीः । विद्याव स्वर्वारस्थरमोक्षसीरम्बार्षिनामहो अविमिष्टिमो विश्वक्रमण्डपावन्दिनाम् ॥

Nilapata seems to be the same as the poet Nilapatta cited in Saduktikarnāmṛta which quotes from him the following playful verse on the irony of Siva's burning the god of love. It would seem that Nilapata or Nilapatta was a poet who laid stress on the pleasures of love.

वर्ष स अवन्त्रवप्रवित्तसंबनी शहरो विभक्ति वयुवायुना विरहकातरः कामिनीम् । जनेन किक निर्विता वयमिति प्रियायाः करं करेण परिकाकवन् अवति जातहासः सारः ॥

The following verse is attributed in Book IV to Vararuci (p. 99):

Indicated: परवनहरणे संबम: सत्याक्ष काले अक्ता प्रदेव युवित्यक्षामुक्तावः परेवास्।

दूष्णाकोतिकिक्षो गुरुषु च विनतिः सर्वमुखायुक्त्या सामान्यं सर्ववायुक्तविकः वेवसामेष मार्गः ॥

The verse is found in the Nirnaya Sāgara edition of Bhartrhari's Nītisataka, but seems to be an interpolation. It is not included in Jīvānanda's edition of the work in Kāvyasamgraha, vol. II. Somadeva refers to Bhartrhari in the above list, and must have been acquainted with his work. It is hardly possible that he would have missed the verse in Nītisataka if it had formed part of the text. The verse in question is put in the mouth of Vararuci in a story in Durgasimha's Kanarese rendering of the Pañcatantra, based on Vasubhāga's Sanskrit version of the work; but as the latter recension is no longer extant, it is difficult to say whether Somadeva borrowed the verse from Vasubhāga.

Two verses of Bhartrhari are quoted by Somadeva in Book V without mentioning their author, one from S'rigārasataka and the other from Nitisataka, the printed text of the S'atakas showing slight variations:

बीसुद्रां इत्वकेतनस्य महतीं सर्वार्थसंपत्करीं वे मोहाद्वबीरयन्ति कृथियो निव्याप्तकान्वेषिणः ।
ते तेनैय निहस्त निर्वतरं सुण्डीकृता लुक्किताः केषित् प्रस्तिविद्यक्तिस्य जटिनः कापालिकाश्चापरे ॥
नमस्यामो देवाबातु इत्तविधेकेऽपि वद्यागा विधिर्विन्धः सोऽपि प्रतिनियतकर्मान्तप्रकदः ।
फकं कर्मायतं यदि किमपरैः किंब विधिना नमः संस्कर्मस्यः प्रमवति व वेश्यो विधिरि ॥

As pointed out elsewhere, Somadeva quotes a large number of verses on the ministers of kings from various poets, but it is doubtful whether they are historical names. There is a similar reference to a poet named Ganapati in the verse quoted below:

वृत्तिच्छेद्शिद्शविद्यः कोइकसार्यहानिमीनग्धानिर्गणपतिकवेः शंकरसाश्च नाशः । धर्मण्यंसः कुमुकृतिनः केकटेस प्रवासः पापावसाहिति सममवदेव देशे प्रतिद्विः ॥

It is stated in the verse that the wicked minister Pāmarodara insulted the poet Ganapati. Śrutasāgara in his commentary takes Tridasa, Kohala

¹ The Poona Orientalist, Vol. II, p. 181.

² See Chap. VIL.

and Kekati also as referring to poets, but the verse mentions only Ganapati as a poet. We cannot but regard these names as fictitious, but it is noteworthy that there was actually a poet named Ganapati earlier than the tenth century. Rājaśekhara praises him in a verse cited in Jalhana's Sūktimuktāvalī, which also quotes another verse wherein he is mentioned along with several well-known poets.¹ Verses from Ganapati are cited in Sadukti-karnāmrta and Subhāsitāvalī, and he seems to have composed a work named Mahāmoda.

The following verse is quoted by Somadeva from an unnamed work on poetics. (3. 274):

त्रिमूळकं द्विधोत्थानं पञ्चशासं चतुरुछदम् । योऽगं वेति नवच्छायं दशसूमिं स काव्यकृत् ॥

GRAMMARIANS

Somadeva says in Book I that the monks accompanying Sudatta expounded to their disciples the tenets of 'the Aindra, Jainendra, Candra, Apisala, Pāninīya and many other grammars'. The grammar of Panini needs no comment, but the others are less familiar, and it is interesting to find that they were in vogue in the tenth century. About a century later, the Mulgund Inscription of the reign of Somesvara I. dated 1053 A.D., refers to the erudition of the Jaina Muni Narendrasena in the Candra, Katantra, Jainendra, Sabdanusasana and Aindra grammars, and that of Panini; and these were no doubt the standard grammars of the day. As late as the thirteenth century Bopadeva mentions at the beginning of his Kavikalpadruma eight ancient grammarians including Indra, Candra, Apisali. Pāṇini and Jainendra, and says that he has composed his work 'after examining their opinions'. Samayasundara in his commentary on the Jaina Kalpasütra 2. 9 includes the Aindravyākaraņa and the Apisalivyākarana among the eighteen grammars mentioned by him; but while it may be assumed that the grammars commercated by Somadeva were current in the Deccan for a few centuries after his time, it would be rash to draw any conclusion about the popularity of these ancient texts from late references occurring in a writer of the seventeenth century.

No work called the Aindra grammar has come down to us, but the Kātantra system is believed to be derived from the Aindra school, of which the traditional founder is the god Indra, who first appears in the rôle

अथो गणपति बन्दे महामोदिवशिषनम् । विद्याधरगणैर्यस्य पृज्यते कण्ठताजितम् ॥ 4.72; इण्डी बाणदिवाकरी गणपतिः कान्तश्च रक्षाकरः 4.111

² Epigraphia Indica, Vol. XVI, Part II.

of a grammarian in the Taittiriyasamhitā. In later times Indra is mentioned as the author of a grammar in Sriharşa's Naisadhacarita 10. 135; and Cāndūpaṇdita, who wrote a remarkable commentary on the poem towards the end of the thirteenth century, takes the reference to mean the Aindra grammar.

Tibetan tradition, however, speaks of an Indravyākaraņa by a grammarian named Indragomin, and it is said to have been prevalent among the the Buddhists of Nepal.* The question requires further investigation.

The Apisala referred to by Somadeva is the ancient grammar of Anisali earlier than Panini who refers to him in the rule vā supyāpisaleh. Apisali's opinion is cited in connection with another rule in the Mahābhāṣya on Pāṇini 4. 2. 45,4 and we learn from the same work (4. 1. 14) that a Brāhmaņa woman who studies Apisala, that is, the grammar of Apisali, is called Apisala. Occasional references to the tenets of the Apisala school are found in the Kāsikā and Jinendrabuddhi's Nyāsa thereon. For example, we read in Kāsikā 6. 2. 36: आपिशलस्वापत्यमापिशलिराचार्यः।...तेन प्रोक्तमापिशलम् ।...अधीयते बेऽन्तेवासिनस्तेऽप्यापिशस्ताः । \dots .. आपिशस्त्रेर्वा छात्रा आपिशस्त्रा इति \dots .आपिशस्त्रपाणिनी $^{ar{i}}$ शास्त्रे ।. $Kar{a}$ ६ $ikar{a}$ 7. 3. 95 while explaining the rule दुब्स्तुशम्यगःसार्वेषातुके says—आपिशलास्तुवस्तुशम्यमः सार्वधातकास छन्दसीति पठनित. Jinendrabuddhi remarks—सार्वधातकास्ति स्रीलिङ्गानिर्देशः स्रीलिङ्गस्य सार्वधातुकाशब्दस्यापिशकिना संज्ञात्वेन प्रणीतत्वात् ।. Jinendrabuddhi on Kāsikā 1. 3. 22 mentions another peculiarity of Apisali: सक्तरमात्रमस्ति धातुमापिशलिराचार्यः प्रतिजानीते । तथाहि न तस्य पाणिनीरेव अस भुवीति गणपाठः । कि तर्हि । स भुवीति स पठित ।...स त्वागमी गुणवृद्धी आतिष्ठते । एवं हि स प्रतिजानीत इत्यर्थः । References to Apiśali's grammar are found also in writers of the Katantra school, e. g. in Durgasimha's comm. on the rule दितीयैनेन-आपिशकीयव्याकरणे समयाधीनां कमंत्रवचनीयस्वं दृष्टमिति मतम् ।.

The Cāndra grammar referred to by Somadeva is the work of the Buddhist Candragomin, and has been edited and assigned to the 5th century A. D. The Jainendra is the work of the famous Jaina philosopher and grammarian Pūjyapāda, also known as Devanandin. He is said to have been the tutor of the Ganga king Durvinīta who ruled about the end of the fifth century. But a Jaina scholar named Niravadyapandita who was a spiritual adviser of the Cālukya king Vinayāditya (680-696 A. D.) is described in an inscription as the house-pupil of Pūjyapāda; and R. G. Bhandarkar has on

¹ Belvalkar: Systems of Sanskrit Grammar, p. 10.

² Explaining the phrase तादककृतव्याकरणः, C. P. says तादक कृतस् पैन्द्रं व्याकरणं येन सः.

³ Winternitz: Geschichte der indischen Litteratur, Vol. III, p. 398.

^{4 &#}x27;तथा चापैशलेबिबः' etc.

^{5 &#}x27;आपिश्रस्त्रचीते माद्याणी आपिश्रस्त्र माद्याणी।'

⁶ See Candra-Vrtti, Ed. Liebioh, Leipzig, 1918.

⁷ Belvalkar (op. cit.), p. 58.

this ground suggested about 678 A. D. as the date of the grammarian. It is possible, however, that there was more than one Püjyapāda, and it is usual to assign the grammarian to the latter half of the fifth century. It may be noted that of the two recensions of the Jainendra grammar that preserved in Somadeva's commentary S'abdārņavacandrikā composed in 1205 A. D. is the earlier and more complete.

Somadeva refers to Pūjyapāda again in Book II. The young prince Yaśodhara is described as being proficient in grammar like Pūjyapāda and in the science of logic like Akalamka. We shall speak about Akalamka a bit later. As regards Pūjyapāda, although he was no less distinguished for his philosophical and other writings, he was regarded as a grammarian par excellence, a founder of an independent grammatical system. Like Pūjyapāda in grammar, like Akalamka in logic became a sort of proverbial expression among later Jaina writers. The comparison occurs, for instance, in some of the Śravana Belgola inscriptions. An inscription dated Śaka 1037 describes (verse 30) a teacher named Meghacandra as:

षद्वकेष्वकळक्कदेवविवुषः साक्षादयं भूतले । सर्वव्याकरणे विपन्निद्धिपः श्रीप्रवपादः स्वयं ॥

Another teacher, Jinacandra by name, is called जैनेन्द्र पूज्य(पाद:) सकलसम्बद्धः (verse 23) in an inscription of about Saka 1022. Similarly, in an inscription dated Saka 1320 a teacher named Srutamuni is called क्रन्दे श्रीपुज्यपादः सकलिमतिज्ञित्तर्वेतान्त्रेषु देवः (verse 40). The grammatical learning of Püjyapāda is further attested by the citations from the Mahābhāṣya occurring in his Sarvārthasiddhi, one of the earliest commentaries on the Tattvārthasūtra.

A casual reference to the grammarian Patanjali, the author of the Mahābhāṣya, occurs in a metaphor based on word-play in Book VI, section 20: 'असबाबिद्याधिकरणव्या

nītiśāstras

In Book III Somadeva describes Yasodhara as having studied the Nītisāstras of Bṛhaspati, Śukra, Viśālākṣa, Parīkṣit, Parāśara, Bhīma, Bhāma, Bhāradvāja and others. None of these ancient works is now extant, but there is no doubt that they were available in the 10th century.

¹ Barly History of the Deccan, Third edition, p. 102.

² See introduction to Benares edition, and Winternitz (op. cit.), p. 400.

^{3 &#}x27;पुज्यपाद इव शब्दैतिहोन्', 'अक्छक्ट्रदेव इव प्रमाणशास्त्रेन्'

⁴ जैनशिखालेखसंबद्दः, pp. 62, 119, 202 (मणिकचन्द्रहिगम्बर्जनम्बसाका).

⁵ See Pathak in Annals of the B. O. R. I, Vol XIII, p. 32.

⁶ प्रस्थाक्रीयाक्षाक्षःभारद्वाकादिमजीतनीतिक्षास्त्रम्बणसनायं स्तिपदमः 471

The opinions of the followers of the schools of Brhaspati (Bārhaspatyāh) and Sukra (Ausanasāh) are cited several times in Kautilya's Arthasūstra, and these two aucient writers on statecraft are often quoted in the Mahūbhārata and other texts. A large number of quotations from Brhaspati in verse occurs in Laksmīdhara's Krtyakalpataru (Rājadharmakānḍa)' composed in the twelfth century; and as late as the fourteenth century, Candesvara quotes Brhaspati, Bārhaspatya, and the Nīti or Rājanīti of Śukra in his Rāyanītiratnākara, but the work of Śukra quoted therein is not the same as the extant Sukranītisāra, which is believed to be a later compilation.

Another reference to Sukra occurs in Book II. The young prince Yasodhara is described as proficient as Sukra in political science and the disposition of troops on the battlefield. The Nītišāstra of Sukra seems to have paid special attention to the latter topic, as the Mahābhārata (Āśrama-vāsikaparva 7. 15) refers to the Vyūhas known as Śakaṭa, Padma, Vajra and mentions in this connection the sāstra of Uśanas.

मयोपपस्या शकटं यद्मवर्त्रं च भारत । उशना चेद यच्छासं तत्रैतद्विहितं विभी ॥

The subject is treated in detail in Kautilya's Arthasastra 10. 6, wherein the discussion opens with a reference to the classification of vyūhas according to Usanas and Bṛhaspati.4

We know very little about the Nītiśāstras of Parīkṣit, Bhīma and Bhīṣma. With regard to the latter, the opinions of an old writer on politics named Kauṇapadanta are cited several times in Kauṭilya's Arthaśāstra (1. 8. etc.), and as Kauṇapadanta is an epithet of Bhīṣma, the two names might refer to the same author. The Nītiśāstra of Parāśara seems to be different from the Dharmasamhitā of the same author. The opinions of Parāśara and the school of Parāśara are quoted several times in Kauṭilya's Arthaśāstra (1. 8, 15 etc.). An opinion of Parāśara is cited also in Kāmandaka's Nītisāra 8. 39. An early reference to the Nītiśāstra of Parāśara occurs in the Tantrākhyāyikā, the oldest recension of the Pañcatantra, which mentions the Nītiśāstra of Manu, Bṛhaspati, Bhṛgu, Parāśara, Sālaṅkāyana and Cāṇakya (Book III).

We know a bit more about Bhāradvāja. The Nītisāstra of Bhāradvāja has to be distinguished from the Smṛti work attributed to the same

¹ See Kane: History of Dharmassistra, Vol. I, sections 17 and 16.

² Ed. J. Sastri. Lahore.

^{3 &#}x27;कविरिव राक्ताखान्तेषु', 'काब्य इव व्यूहरचनाञ्च'.

^{4 &#}x27;पक्षावरकं प्रतिप्रह इलीक्षनसी न्यूड्बिमानः । पक्षी कक्षावरसं प्रतिग्रह इति नाईस्पलः ।'

[🏮] हे दब प्रक्रवी न्याच्ये ब्रह्मदाच पराहारः । असियोक्त्रप्रधानस्यादः तथान्यो बोऽभिमुख्यते ॥

- 3 maj

author or possibly some other author of the same name. Most of the available data about Bhāradvāja have been collected by Kane, who points out that he is mentioned as one of the writers on Rājašāstra in the Mahābhārata (Sāntiparva 58. 3) and that his opinions are cited several times in Kautilya's Arthasāstra. It may be added that the opinion of a Bharadvāja is cited in Kāmandaka's Nītisāra 9. 57.

The following verses are quoted by Somadeva in Book IV from a work of Bhāradvāja, called Sādgunyaprastāva.

अवसेपेण हि सतामसतां प्रप्रहेण च । तथा सस्वेव्वभिद्रोहाद्धर्मस्य च कारणात् ॥ विमाननाच मान्यानां विश्वस्तानां च घातनात् । प्रजानां जायते छोपो नुपतेश्चायुषः श्वयः ॥ कथमिद्मभाषत वाहुण्यप्रस्तावे भारद्वाजः । (p. 100).

The purport of these lines is that the king and the citizens of a state go to ruin if the good are dishonoured and the wicked held in esteem, if living beings are injured and injustice prevails, if those worthy of respect are insulted and the faithful killed. The influence of these ideas can be seen from the fact that they are amplified in the following verses of Kautilya's Arthasāstra (7.5):

अवसेषेण हि सतामसतां प्रप्रहेण च । अभूतानां च हिंसानामध्यर्थाणां प्रवर्तनैः ॥...... अव्यवनेश्व व्यवसानां व्यवहानां चण्डदण्डनैः । अग्राक्षाणासुपत्राहेर्योद्धाणां चानभिष्रहैः ॥..... उपघातैः प्रधानानां मान्यानां चावमाननैः। प्रकृतीनां क्षयो छोभो वैराग्यं चोपजाबते ॥ क्षीणाः प्रकृतयो छोभं लुब्धा यान्ति विरागतास् । विरक्ता यान्स्यमित्रं वा भर्तारं स्नन्ति वा स्वयस् ॥

Bhāradvāja seems to have advocated the rule of concord and harmony in the relations of a king and his subjects, and the verses in question are cited by Somadeva in support of the doctrine of ahimsā. The principle of non-violence is in fact enunciated in another verse of Bhāradvāja quoted in the anonymous commentary on Somadeva's Nītivākyāmṛta (Vyasana-samuddesa). तथा च आदाज:—

रुणक्छेदोऽपि नो कार्यो बिना कार्येण साधुभिः। येन नो सिध्यते किंचित् किं पुनर्मानुवं सहस् (?) ॥

We know nothing about the contents of Bhāradvāja's Ṣādguṇya-prastāva, but the name suggests that it dealt with the six guṇas or principles of foreign policy. It is possible that this was the name of Bhāradvāja's work on politics or daṇḍanīti, of which ṣāḍguṇya, according to the Mahā-bhārata, formed the quintessence (Ṣāntiparva 59. 78, 79).

¹ Journal of the University of Bombay, September, 1942, p. 81.

व स्वश्वस्युत्साइमुद्रीक्य विगृश्लीयान्मइत्तरम् । केसरीव द्विपमिति भरद्वाजः प्रभावते ॥

³ पादराज्यराणसारेचा स्वास्त्रक्षेत्र महास्वद्ध।

Somadeva seems to have utilized another verse of Bhāradvāja. Both in Nitivākyāmṛta and Yasastilaka (3, 23) he tells us that to confide the care of a kingdom to officials is to entrust cats with the guarding of milk. विश्वास्त्राविकार विश्वास्त्राविकार के विश्वास्त्राविकार विश्वास्त्राविकार कर्मा के स्वास्त्राविकार कि विश्वास्त्राविकार क्षितिकार क्षतिकार क्षितिकार क्षतिकार क्षितिकार क्षतिकार क्षितिकार क

मार्जीरेश्यिव विवासी वया नो हुरधरक्षणे । नियोगिनां नियोगेषु तथा कार्यो न भूभुजा ॥

We shall now speak a few words about Visālākṣa. He and Bhāradvāja are mentioned among the writers on Rājasāstra in the Mahābhārata (Sāntiparva 58. 2, 3). The Mahābhārata (ibid. 59. 80-82) relates a legend, according to which Siva, otherwise known as Visālākṣa, abridged a lengthy Nītisāstra composed by Brahman, and this shorter version containing ten thousand chapters was known as Vaisālākṣa after Siva. What is certain is that Visālākṣa was an ancient writer on state-craft whose opinions are quoted several times in Kauṭilya's Arthasāstra (1. 8, 17 etc.), Kāmandaka's Nītisāra 8. 28¹, and Viśvarūpa's commentary on Yājñavalkya 1. 328.² He is also mentioned in Caṇḍeśvara's Rājanītiratnākara.

The following quotation from Viśālākṣa occurs in Yasastilaka, Book III. 'नैकस कार्यसिद्धित' इति विद्यालाक्षः (p. 453). The maxim is incorporated by Somadeva in his Nītivākyāmṛta, chap. 18, without acknowledgment. It is quoted also in Kauṭilya's Arthaśāstra 1. 15 with a slight variation: 'नैकस मचलिद्धिति' इति विद्यालाक्षः ।. A similar idea occurs in a quotation from Yama found in Lakṣmīdhara's Kṛtyakalpataru (Rājadharmakāṇḍa 15. 70): नैकः कार्याण वः कृषीत् सोडस्वन्तं पाति नेविनीम् ॥

The opinions of Visālākṣa sometimes run counter to those of Bhāradvāja, to judge from the statements preserved in Kauṭilya. Bhāradvāja, for example, contrary to the above maxim, opines that the king should deliberate alone on secret matters of policy: 'गुरुवेको सन्त्रोत' आरहातः ।. Further, while Bhāradvāja recommends submission in the face of a superior enemy, Visālākṣa recommends fighting with the combined strength of all one's forces, regardless of victory or defeat.

'बड़ीयसाभियुक्तो हुर्बेटः सर्वत्रानुप्रणतो वेतसधर्मा तिष्ठेत् । इन्द्रस्य हि स प्रणमति यो बड़ीयसो नमति' इति भारद्वाजः । 'सर्वसंदोहेन बढानां युक्तेत । पराक्रमो हि व्यसनमपहन्ति । स्वधर्मश्चैष क्षत्रियस्य युद्धे जवः पराजयो वा' इति विशाह्यक्षः । Kautilya 12, 1.

१ श्तेऽद्रादश नैतेत्रां शञ्चमित्र एथक् एथक् । चतुन्पचाशस्क्रमिति विशालाक्षः प्रमाधते ॥

अ एवं च विशालाकः—वन्यान् वनगतिनितं मण्डललासावाविषैः । चारैराकोच्य साएत्क्वमंत्रिनगीदुर्यादृदृदृक् ॥

³ See also Kane's paper in Journal of the University of Bombay (op. cit.)

There is another quotation from Visalākṣa in Book IV: 'बातुमीरोज्यंमा-सिक्स, वर्शपीर्णमासयोखातुरात्रिकम् , राजनक्षत्रे गुरुपर्वणि च त्रैरात्रिकम्, एवमन्यासु चोपहतासु तिथिषु द्विरात्रमेकटात्रं का सर्वेषामधातं घोषयेदायुर्वलबृद्धवर्यम्' इति कथमुपनिषदि वदति स्म विज्ञालाक्षः ।. The purport of these lines is that non-injury to all creatures should be proclaimed (by the king) during the four months of rains for a fortnight, during the New and the Full Moon for four nights, on days related to the constellation under which the king was born and on Samkranti days for three nights, and similarly on certain inauspicious lunar days for one or two nights. Visālāksa is reported as making this statement in an Upanisad. The word seems to be used in its wider sense of 'secret doctrine' and Upanișad might simply refer to Visālākṣa's Nītisāstra. We know, for example, that the Mahābhārata (Santiparva 103. 40) uses the expression dandopanisad in the sense of 'secret application of force'; and Somadeva himself uses the word upanisad in the sense of 'secret' or 'mystery' in relation to the teachings of the Arthasastra. He says, for instance, 'अर्थवास-विचारपह्नवक्रोकप्रकाशितोपनिषत्यु परिषत्यु (Book III) 'in assemblies where scholars expert in the discussion of the Arthasastra revealed the mysteries (of that science)'.

The passage from Viśālākṣa's Upaniṣad cited above occurs in Kauṭilya's Arthaśāstra 13. 5 with slight modifications and omissions in connection with the restoration of peace in a conquered country: बातुमीखेज्यभाविक-मधातं पीर्णमासीच्र च चात्रात्रिकं राजदेशनक्षत्रेज्वेकरात्रिकम् । It is possible that the passage is adapted from the work of Viśālākṣa, just as certain verses of Bhāradvāja have also been amplified and incorporated in the text of the Arthaśāstra. In face of this, it is impossible to accept Śrutasāgara's identification of Viśālākṣa with the sage Prabhākara and explanation of Upaniṣad as Vedānta-tūstra.

Somadeva seems to borrow an idea from another old writer whom he does not mention, but who is cited in the anonymous commentary on his Nitivākyāmṛta. Both in Yasastilaka (3. 25) and Nītivākyāmṛta he expresses the opinion that the troubles of the master are the opportunity of the servants, and says that just as physicians try to aggravate the illness of wealthy patients, similarly the officials of a king try to add to his troubles.

ब्याधिष्टदी यथा वैद्यः श्रीमतामाहितोद्यमः । व्यसनेषु तथा राज्ञः कृतयका नियोगिनः ॥

He says in Nitivakyamṛta: वैशेषु श्रीमतां ध्याधिवर्द्धनादिव नियोगिषु भर्तृध्यसनादपरी नास्ति जीवनोपादः. There is no doubt that the above idea is based on the following verse quoted

¹ Nilakantha says रहस्यदण्डः.

² See above.

from an andige writer named Raibhya in the commentary on Nitivakyamyta (Svāmisamuddesa). on which

कृष्णामा वया म्यापिवश्वानां क्रियिएतमः । नियोगिनां तथा होवः साधिम्बसनसंख्यः ॥

Similarly, Somadeva seems to have adapted another idea from the ancient writer Atri, well-known as an author of Smrti works. Somadeva says in Yasastilaka 3, 280:

प्रामाने महीपान नार्क करनीर्विज्ञन्मते। कलावासात्र का वृद्धिः सालैका यस साविति ॥
In Nitiodkyāmṛta (10.82) he says: किनेकसाखस्य शाबिनो महती नवति व्हाया।. The idea is based on the following verse quoted from Atri in the commentary on the above work. तथा वाति:—

विकासन्भव नैव काचा प्रवापते । त्वेकमंत्रिणा राजः सिन्धिः कृत्येषु वो भवेत् ॥
The following verse is cited by Somadeva in Book IV without mentioning the source. उसे च—

राज्ञि वर्गिका वर्गिकाः पापे पापाः समै समाः। राजानमञ्जयदेन्ते वथा राजा तथा प्रजाः ॥

The verse is quoted in the commentary on Nitivākyāmṛta (Svāmisamuddeśa) and attributed to Vyāsa. It is cited from Vṛddha Cāṇakya in Böhtlingk's Indische Sprüche.

The following two verses are also cited in Yasastilaka (8.258-9) without mentioning the source.

नेमिनेकान्तराव् राज्ञः इत्या चानन्तराक्षरात् । नामिनात्मानमावण्डेकेता प्रकृतिमण्डके ॥ अष्टकाकं चतुर्वृद्धं वृष्टिपत्रं इये स्थितम् । षट्युण्यं त्रिफठं वृक्षं यो मानाति स नीतिवित् ॥

The purport of the first verse is that a king desirous of supremacy should make himself the centre of the Circle of States, making the neighbouring kings and those living beyond his immediate neighbours the circumference of the Circle. Yasodhara says that while considering the verse in an assembly of learned men, he discussed also the second verse which has a bearing on the composition of the Circle of States, and dealt with the entire system of arrangement and distribution of alien states. The second verse is a sort of synopsis of the various topics of the Nitisastras, and from the nature of the reference we should expect to find both the verses in the same text, but actually we find the first verse in Kautilya's Arthasastra and the second in Kamandaka's Nitiedra. The Arthasastra 6. 2 cites the first verse with an important variant, reading aran for naran. The reading (spokes) completes the metaphor of the wheel, but does not materially alter the sense of the verse. As regards the second verse, it occurs in Kamandaka 8.42, but we cannot be certain that Somadeva took the verse from the latter work, as Samkararya in his commentary on Kamandaka cites the verse independently from Ausense, that is, the Nitissetra of Sukra.

The following verses quoted anonymously in Yasastilaka 3.77 and Book VI, section 13, are found in Kautilya's Arthasastra 10.6 and 3.1 respectively.

एकं रुग्याच वा रुग्यादेषुः क्षिसी धनुष्मता । प्राष्ट्रेन तु मतिः क्षिसा रुग्याप्तमेगतानयि श्र रुग्हो हि केवको कोकं परं चेमं च रक्षति । राज्ञा सत्री च पुत्रे च यथारोपं सम्र एतः ॥

The first verse occurs also in the Pancatantra (Tantrākhyāyikā) 3.123. It occurs in a somewhat different form in the Mahābhārata (Udyogaparva 33.47).

वृकं बुन्यास वा बुन्यादिषुर्युक्ती अनुकाता । बुद्धिर्बुद्धिमतीत्त्वृष्टा बुन्याहार्व् सराजकम् ॥

The following verse occurring in Yasastilaka (towards the end of Book IV) is found in Kautilya 5.6:

काकम सकुर्द्रवेति यं वरं काककाञ्चिणम् । दुर्कभः स पुनः काकसास कर्म विकीर्यतः ॥

The verse is found also in the Pañcatantra (Tantrākhyāyikā) 3.74, the latter text reading tena for tasya and cikirṣatā for cikirṣataḥ. The Pañcatantra seems to have borrowed the verse from Kauṭilya, as the preceding sentence स्वभूपगता श्रीस्थाज्यमानाभिशापतीति लोकप्रवादः is a variation of Kauṭilya's स्वमास्या है सी स्वज्यमानाभिशापतीति लोकप्रवादः. The real author of the verse seems to be Bhāradvāja, as both the verse and the sentence preceding it form part of certain opinions of Bhāradvāja which Kauṭilya here cites and subjects to criticism.

There are also a few verses in our romance which are clearly based on Kautilya's Arthasästra. The following verse is one of three (3.106-108) which Somadeva represents as containing the essence of all the Arthasästras. He says:

समाधियः परोऽन्यकात् सः परकात् परो निजात् । रहयः सकात् परकाच निस्तामरा जिलीतुणा ॥ The verse is obviously based on the following verse found in Kautilya 9. 8:

परे परेम्यः स्त्रे सोम्यः स्त्रे परेम्यः स्तरः परे। रक्षाः स्त्रेम्यः परेम्यः निस्नासमा विपक्षिता ॥ The following Niti verse on the risks of hunting is cited in Book V:

कोनद्विवद्विवन्याकवापद्वभवं भवम् । शर्मभर्मविशमम सुगवायां महीपतेः ॥

The verse seems to be based on Kautilya 8.3: 'स्नेनिश्च अवस्व अवस्था अधिकार के अध्यान प्राप्त का स्थान का स्

प्रदेशांधासम्बद्धि फक्षम् । यत् परानिशसंघते गांशसंघीयते परे: ॥ A similar summing up occurs also in Manu 7. 180:

वर्षेत्रं नासिसंद्रश्रुसिंत्रोदासीनकावः । तथा सर्वं संविद्ध्यादेव सामाविको वनः ॥

The rise of Candragupta to power, though resourceless, through the efforts of a good minister is mentioned in a traditional verse (sruti) cited by Somadeva (8. 242).

क्षुचौंचनः समयोऽति दुसँची शक्यं गतः । राज्यमेक्सरोऽप्याप सम्मक्षी चन्द्रगुसकः ह

The tradition is also mentioned by Somadeva in his Nuivākyāmṛta 10. 4, wherein Viṣṇugupta or Cāṇakya is referred to by name: तथा चाउम्परे विकासमान्यविकार समित्रकोऽपि किल चन्यासः सामाज्यपदमनापेति ।. The anonymous commentary on the above work quotes a verse from Śukra which contains the same allusion, vṛhala (vṛṣala?) apparently referring to Candragupta. तथा च ग्रामः—

महामासं वरो राजा निर्विकस्पं करोति वः । एक्सोऽपि महीं क्षेत्रे द्वीनोऽपि बुहकी यथा #

Somadeva says in the course of a discussion in Yasastilaka 3. 86:

पुष्पेरपि न बोजुब्बं कि पुनर्निशिक्षेः सरैः । तामबस्यां रातानां तु न विद्यः कि भविष्यति ॥

He says also in Nativakyamṛta 32. 30: पुष्पयुद्धमपि नीतिवेदिनो नेण्डन्ति कि पुनः शक्ययुद्धम् । The anonymous commentary cites here the following verse from Vidura. तथा च विदुर:—

पुन्पेरपि न बोद्धक्यं कि प्रनानिशितैः शरैः । क्याव पूर्व तस्माधुद्धं समावरेत् ॥

The verse is not found in the *Vidurantti* incorporated in *Viramitrodaya* (*Rājanttiprakāsa*). There is, however, no doubt that the verse adapted by Somadeva is an old one. Śriharsa's *Naisadhacarita* 4.81 refers to the idea thus:

भइह नीतिरवासभया ततो न इसुमैरपि विश्रहमिष्कृति ।

Nārāyaņa in his commentary quotes the line पुष्पेरिप न बोद्धव्यं etc. and adds: इति नीति:.

The following verse is quoted in Yasastilaka, Book III, without mentioning the source. বৰা বাৰ্ণ ছাহ্যানাই—

चारो वस्य विचारस राजी नासीक्षणहुयस् । तस्यान्यदुरथवद्राज्यं महिमार्जारगोचरस् ॥

A reference to the Nitiedstras in general occurs in Book I: मीतिशाकैरिय प्रकाशितशमयोगतीर्थोगो:. The Tirthas are the eighteen agencies with whose help a king governs the state. Srutasāgara says-उक्तं च-'रोनापतिर्गणको राजभेडी दण्डाभिपो मची महत्तरो वक्तवतारबारो वर्णाबहरहवर्ण प्रोहितोडमासी महामास्थ्येस्टावर राज्ञां तीर्था भवन्ति।'.

SMRTI WORKS

A considerable number of Smrti citations occurs in Yasastilaka, but most of them are from Manu as shown below. Manu 8. 267-271 on the offering of various kinds of meat in the Śrāddha rites is quoted in Book IV.

विकेशीदियनैमीनैरजिर्म्कफलेन च । न्तेन मासं प्रीवम्ते विचित्रत् विवरो मुवाद् ॥ द्वी मासी मन्त्रमस्थित त्रीत् मासान् दृष्टिजेन च । जीरक्रेणाध चतुरः साकुनेनेव पक्ष है ॥ नन्त्रासांक्रणमानिक पानेतेन दि सक्ष नै । अक्षतेकक्ष मासेव दौरनेक सनैव द्व ॥ क्षा मासांस्य एष्यन्ति वरावमदिवासिकैः । ससक्रीका मसिन मासानेकावसिक स् स वीवस्तरे तु सक्षेत्र एकसा पावसेन वा । वार्त्रीणसक्य मोसेन दृशिद्वीदवावार्षिकी ॥

All the five verses are quoted also by Hemacandra in his Yogasatra, Chap. II. Several verses of Manu (5. 39, 41-2) on the eating of meat and the killing of animals in sacrifices and other rites are quoted in Book IV.

बज्ञार्थं पत्तवः सृष्टाः स्वयमेव स्वयंभुवा । बज्ञो हि भृत्ये सर्वेषां तस्माधक्षे वधोऽवधः ॥ , मधुपके च धज्ञे च पिठृदेवतकमील । अन्नैव पत्तवो हिस्सा नान्यनेत्वनवीन्मतुः ॥ एक्वर्थेषु पश्चन हिंसम् वेदवेदार्थविद्वितः । आत्मानं च पत्नुंवैव गमयरसुसमां गतिस् ॥

The above three verses are quoted also by Hemacandra in Yogasastra (op. cit.) Manu 5. 27, 32 and 56 are also quoted.

प्रोक्षितं मक्षवेन्मांसं त्राञ्चणानां तु कान्यया । यथाविधि नियुक्तस्तु प्राणानामेव चासवे ॥ क्रीत्वा स्वयं वा शुत्पाच परोपहतमेव च । अर्चियत्वा पितृन् देवान् सादन् मासं म तुष्यति ॥ न मासमक्षणे दोवो न मद्ये न च मैशुने । प्रवृत्तिदेव भूतानां निवृत्तेश्व महत् कटम् ॥

The following verse is cited in Yasastilaka Book VII, section 30.

बोचण्यः पश्चवो वृक्षास्तिर्वेद्यः पश्चिणो नराः। यज्ञार्यं शिष्यं प्राप्ताः प्राप्तुष्टकृतां गतिस् ॥

The verse corresponds to Manu 5. 40, but Manu reads tathā for narāḥ. Somadeva seems to have purposely substituted narāḥ for tathā to exaggerate the repulsive character of Vedic sacrifices. Certain verses on the duties and character of women are quoted from Manu (5. 155, 154 and 9. 14) in Book IV.

नासि सीणां पृथग्यको न वर्त नाप्युपोषितस् । पति ग्रुश्वयेश्यम् तेन स्वर्गे महीयते ॥
विद्यीसः कामकृतो वा गुणैवां परिवर्जितः । उपचर्षः स्विया साध्या सततं देववत् पतिः ॥
नैता रूपं प्रतीक्षन्ते नासां वयसि संस्थितिः । विरूपं रूपवन्तं वा प्रमानित्येव भुआते ॥
The following two verses are cited by Somadeva in Book IV as 'old traditions'
(प्रतान्यो भुती):

पोंधवराष्ट्रस्थाने स्वाहित स्वाहित स्वाहित । रिक्षता वसतोऽपीह भर्तृष्ट्रेता विद्वर्षते ॥ वर्षे च मही त्वका जीवितार्थ च हारितम् । सा मां स्वजित निःकेहा कः भीणां वस्त्रमी नरः ॥ Of these the first is Manu 9. 15. The second is cited also in *Pañcatantra 4*. 47 which reads it somewhat differently:

यद्वें खड़कं सक्तं बीवितार्थं च हारितम् । सा मां सवति निःखेहा कः सीणां विश्वसेत्ररः श्र

The following two verses on renouncing the world and taking to an ascetic's life are cited from Manu (6. 3, 6. 36), the first in Book IV and the second in Book VI. section 5.

संस्थ्य प्राच्यमाहारं सर्व वेष परिष्ठद्य । पुत्रेषु दाराविक्षित्य वर्त गच्छेत् सदेव वा ॥
अधीस विधिवदेवान् प्रमांबोत्पाच युक्तितः । दृष्टा वहे वथाकाछं ततः प्रवितते अवेत् ॥
The Manu text reads dharmatah for yuktitah and the second line as दृष्टा च गणिती वहेमेनो मोक्षे निवेशयेत. The accompanying verse cited in Book VI, section 5:

अपुत्रस्य गतिनांसिः स्वर्गो नेन च नेव च । तसात् पुत्रसुसं दृष्ट्रा यहाज्ञचति निक्षुकः ॥

¹ Here the Manu text reads the second line as वर्ति सुकृति वेश के वा वर्ति व्यक्ति

is not found in Manu, and seems to be a subhāsita. It is cited in Böhtlingk's Indische Sprüche from a Vikramacarita and a Subhāsitārņava.

The precept cited in Yasastilaka, Book IV (want and chiefe shift) is the second line of Mann 7. 218. The verse in question occurs also in Garada-purāna (Pūrvakhanda 109. 1). The above citations are all anonymous, but a verse quoted in Book IV (p. 100) is definitely attributed to Vaivasvata Manu and found in the Manusmrti (6.66):

भृषितोऽपि चरेन्द्रमें यत्र तत्राक्षमे रतः । समः सर्वेतु भृतेषु न लिहे धर्मकारणस् ॥

It may be noted here that Kullūka reads dūṣitaḥ for bhūṣitaḥ. but the latter reading is found in Medhātithi and adopted by Jolly in his edition of Manu. The verse in question is found also in Agnipurāṇa 161. 11, which bowever reads क्रुड्लाइ: for भूषितोऽपि.

The following verse on the sufferings in hell of those who kill animals is cited in Book IV as a Puranic tradition (इति कथनिय पौराणिकी क्षतिः):

यावन्ति पश्चरोमाणि पश्चरात्रेषु भारत । तावहर्षसङ्खानि पष्पन्ते पश्चपातकाः ॥ A similar verse occurs in Manu 5. 38:

यावन्ति पशुरोमाणि ताबस्कृत्वो ह मारणम् । वृथापशुक्तः प्राप्तीति प्रेस सन्मनि स Somadeva cites the following verse in Book VII, section 30.

महोक्षो वा महाजो वा भोतियाय विशस्तते । निवेचते तु दिव्याय चक्सुगन्धनिधिविधः ॥
There is a similar verse in Yājňavalkya 1. 109 (Anandāśrama ed.), but the second line in it is entirely different.

महोक्षं वा महाज वा स्रोतियायोपकस्पयेत्। सिक्रवाध्न्वासनं खादुमीजनं स्पृतं वकः॥
The following verses are cited anonymously in Book IV. तदुक्तम्—

विमस्तरः कुषेकाङ्गः सर्वह्रम्ह्विवर्जितः । समः सर्वेषु भृतेषु स पविः परिकीर्तितः ॥ आपचानं त्रतकानं मक्कानं तथैव च । आपचानं गृहस्थसः त्रतमन्तैसपस्तिनः ॥

न सीमिः संगमो यस यः परे प्रकाणि स्थितः । तं शुक्तिं सर्वता प्राहुर्मास्तं च हुताशतस् ॥ इति. २. 110 क्तं च--

तिस्तर्वेषमानं यो मांसमसाति मानवः। स श्वमाश्च निवर्तेत यावश्वमृदिवाकरी ॥ संदिग्धेऽपि परकोके स्वाज्यमेषाश्चमं त्रुधैः। यदि म स्वात्ततः कि स्वादिक चेशासिकी इतः ॥ P. 115 तथा च स्थृतिः—

ससमाजेषु यत् पापमधिना भवासासृते । तस वेतस्रवेत् पापं मञ्जविन्दुनिवेशवाद् ॥ P. 116

The following verses are cited in Book IV as an illustration of alleged contradictory statements found in Smrti texts. The first verse, for example, condemns the acceptance by Brahmanas of food or livelihood from Sadras, whereas the second verse permits Brahmanas to accept even the flesh of animals killed by Candalas, dogs and tigers for use in religious rites.

सहामें बहुबुब्दा सहावेषणकारियः। सहदत्ता च वा कृतिः पर्वातं गरकार ते ॥ तथा मोनं बद्धारकाक्षणवासिनियातितम्। माझनेन महीत्रमं इच्चकणाय करीने ॥ P. 113 With regard to the first verse, the Smrtis of Angiras and Apastamba (Chap. 8) contain a statement very similar in tone.

श्रद्धां श्रद्धांपकः श्रद्रेणैव सहासनम् । श्रद्धाण्यानागमः कश्रिक्वकण्यमपि पात्रेण् ॥

As regards the second verse, the *Prajāpatismṛti* recommends the acquisition of meat for religious purposes from hunters, who, as a rule, belong to the lower strata of society: व्याधेन्यो नेप्यमसानि प्राह्माणि इन्यपर्वेदीः (verse 143). Further, the *Bṛhat-Parāṣarasomhitā* 6. 328¹ holds that the flesh of animals killed by dogs and tigers is pure, and may be used in religious functions.

क्रमादिः सारमेवावैर्दं स्गादिमाहरेत् । प्तष्काकवदिष्क्रन्ति पवित्रं द्विजसत्तमाः ॥

Somadeva refers in Book III to several ancient writers on Smrti. The envoy of Yasodhara is described as surpassing in intellect Pulaha, Puloma, Pālakāpya and Kātyāyana.2 Of these writers Pulaha and Pulastva are among the ten teachers of Dharma mentioned in a verse of Vrddha-Yājňavalkya quoted in Viśvarūpa's commentary on Yājňavalkyasmṛti 1. 5, and mentioned also in a similar verse in Vrddha-Hārttasmyti 8. 3. Puloma was a writer on politics, and his opinion is cited in Kāmandaka's Nītisāra 8. 21. Kātyāyana was a great jurist.* The reading Pālakāpya in the above list is doubtful. It is not clear why a writer on elephants should be mentioned along with celebrated writers on Dharma. Besides, the four Manuscripts used by me read Pālakāvya, and even Śrutasāgara who reads Pālakāpya says that there may be a reference to two different names,4 so that we have to suppose the mention of two writers. Pāla and Kāvya. The latter is no other than Sukra, the great authority on rajaniti, but we know nothing about Pala as a writer on Dharma or state-craft. It may, however, be mentioned that a writer named Pālaki is quoted in the anonymous commentary on Nitivākyāmṛta 22. 2, but the quotation is about the eight weapons of a war elephant, and this Pālaki may turn out to be the same as Palakapya. On the other hand, there was an old writer on Dharma named Gopāla, who is mentioned in the Brhat-parāsarasamhitā of Suvrata (1.14) and the Rajanstiratnakara of Candesvara.

ELEPHANT-LORE

In Book II Somadeva refers to the treatises on elephant-lore composed by Ibhacārin, Yājūavalkya, Vāddhali (v. r. Vāhali), Nara, Nārada,

¹ See Partiaradharmasamhita, Vol. III, Part I (Bombay Sanskrit Series).

अ विवयस्त्रातिश्यावद्वेलितपुरुद्वपुरुतिपुरुद्विपालकाप्यकात्वायनमृतिजातम्

^{**} Kane: The History of Dharmaidstra, Vol. I, p. 213ff.

Rejaputes, Gautama and other sages. The committee of experts appointed to examine and select an elephant for Yasodhara's coronation is described as having mastered the treatises of these writers. None of their works is now extant, but it is remarkable that so many texts on elephants were available in the tenth century. Some of the names occur in a long list of sages who are represented as attending the court of Romapäda, the king of the Angas, in Pälakāpya's Hastydyurveda (Chap. I), an ancient work of which we have only an imperfect text in the printed edition. These sages are described in Pälakāpya as conversant with the ways of elephants, and we find mentioned among them Gautama, Rājaputra, Bāşkali (v. r. Vākvali, Vākpati), Yājāavalkya, Nārada and Mātanga. The latter name might be our Ibhacārin, as the Mātangalīlā of Nīlakantha, which summarizes this portion of Pālakāpya in the first Paṭala, calls one of the sages Mātangacārin, which is same as Ibhacārin.

The nature of Somadeva's reference leaves no doubt that there were actual treatises on elephants attributed to Gautama, Yājūavalkya and Nārada, but it is not known whether these authors had anything to do with their namesakes in the field of Smṛti literature. As regards Rājaputra, he seems to be the same as the Rājaputra whom we know as a writer on politics from citations in the anonymous commentary on Nītivākyāmṛta. According to Kane, Rājaputra is cited also in Ballālasena's Adbhutasāgara, but Somadeva's reference to him is about two centuries earlier, and probably the earliest known, if we leave aside the occurrence of the name in Pālakāpya. Among later writers Mallinātha cites Rājaputra's treatise on elephant-lore in his commentary on Rachwamea 4. 39.

In Book II Somadevs describes the young prince Yasodhara as being proficient in elephant lore like Romapāda, to whom we have already referred. In Pālakāpya's Hastyāyurveda (Chap. I) he is stated to be the king of the Angas, and we see him at his court at Campā, attended by a large number of sages, and worried by the problem of catching wild elephants. Then appears the semi-legendary figure of Pālakāpya, the great authority on the diseases of elephants and their cure; and throughout the rest of the work Romapāda is represented as the pupil of the famous teacher. According to the Hastyāyurveda, Pālakāpya was the son of a sage named Sāmagāyana and a female elephant who was no other than a nymph metamorphosed by a

¹ वृक्त सक्तेविद्धाः 1. 67.

² Bee verse 5.

³ Op. eit., p. 841.

 ^{&#}x27;खबुमेदाच्छोणितकाचन्यांसक सम्यादार । जालानं यो म बानाति तक वन्मीरदेदिता ॥ इति राजवदीये

⁵ 'रोजपाब वन गणनिपाल'

curse. The home of the sage was on the side of the Himilayas on the banks of the Lauhitya or the Brahmaputra, which points to north-eastern Assam where the river is still called Luit. From here Palakapya wandered to Campa in search of a favourite herd of elephants, which had been driven away by Romapada and his troops in the absence of the sage. We are further told that, at the request of Romapada, Palakapya decided to settle at Campa, and the king caused a hermitage to be laid out for the sage in the outskirts of the city. The tradition of Palakapya and Romapada as teacher and pupil is recorded also in Agnipurana, chapters 286 and 887. Nilakaptha says in his Mātangalīlā 12. 29 that roman, according to the old teachers, means a lotus, and Romapāda was so called because his feet were marked with figures of lotuses.

PHILOSOPHICAL CITATIONS

Most of the philosophical citations are anonymous, and occur towards the end of Book V and in Book VI, sections 1 and 2. They have been considered in Chap. VIII and IX, and some of them are reproduced below. There are at least two quotations from the Upanişads. "न इ वे स्वारीर्श प्रियोगियगोरपहतिरक्षि । अवारिर वाव सन्तं न भियाभिये स्प्रातः ।" Book V, p. 254.

वृक्त पूर्व हि भूतास्मा देहे देहे व्यवस्थितः । पृक्तथानेकवा चापि दहवते जलचम्ब्रवस् ॥ VI. 1

The first is from the Chandogya Upanisad VIII. 12. 1. The second occurs in various texts, e. g., Brahmabindāpanisad, Amrtabindāpanisad etc. with slight variations. Samkara in his Bhāṣya on the Svetāsvataropanisad 1. 8 quotes the verse from Vāsiṣṭha Yogasāstra.

The following definition of the fundamental doctrine of the Vedante in Yasastilaka VI. 1, namely, "यथा घटविषटने घटाकाश्रमाकाश्रीभवति तथा देहोच्छेदात् सर्वः प्राणी वर बहाचिकीयते" reminds one of Avadhūtagttā 2. 25:

घटे मिते घटाकास आकासे छीयते यथा । वेदासावे तथा योगी सक्ये परमाश्मित #

Somadeva remarks in Book V (P. 254): क्यं चेदं वचनमञ्जर्यम्—

समकोषु वस्तुम्बतुस्यूवमेकं समकानि वस्तूनि यं न स्पृशस्ति । विषद्वत् सदा श्रुदिमद् यस्त्रक्यं स सिद्धोपकविषः स निलोऽह्मास्मा ॥

The verse occurs in the well-known Vedantic text Hastamalaka, commented upon by Sankara, which declares the Self to be identical with intelligence. Printed editions usually read the second line as follows:

•••••• झुद्मच्डलस्पं स निखोपङ्ग्रिक्तस्पोऽइमाला ॥

The following verses are cited in Book V (p. 252) in illustration of Buddhist doctrines.

The first verse is quoted also in Haribhadra's Anekāntajayapatākā (p. 33) and the second in Nydyakandali (p. 279). Other Buddhist verses quoted by Somadeva are: care—

चवा चेइक्षवादीयः प्रशान्यति निरन्दयः । तथा क्षेत्रश्रवाक्षन्तः प्रशान्यति निरन्दयः ॥ Book V तदुषाम्---

> वेद्यामार्क्यः क्वाचित् कर्तुवादः साने वर्मेक्का वातिवादावक्षेपः । वंतावारम्भः क्वेदानामाय चेति व्यक्षप्रकानां वस्र किकानि सान्ते ॥

The following verses seem to be quoted from Aśvaghosa's Saundarananda XVI. 28, 29 wherein the lines appear in a somewhat different order.

विशं न कांचिदिविशं न कांचित्रैवावनि राष्ट्रति नाम्तरिक्षस् । द्रापो वया निर्वेतिकस्युपेतः खेद्दस्यात् केवळमेति शाम्तिस् ॥ विशं न कांचिद्दिविशं न कांचित्रैवावनि गण्डति नाम्तरिक्षस् । जीवसाथा निर्वेतिमस्युपेतः क्षेत्रस्थात् केवळमेति शाम्सिन् ॥

The statement of the doctrines of the Laukayatikas put in the mouth of Yasodhara's mother in Book IV is taken almost verbatim from the Kāmasūtra I. 2. 25-30. Somadeva says "न धर्माश्चरेत एष्यरफलःबात संश्वितलाच । को खबालिशो इत्यगतं पादगतं कुर्यात् । वरमय क्योतः भ्रो मयूरात् । वर सांश्विकाशिकाइसांशिकः कांश्विणः" इति महान् खन्न लोके लोकायतिकलोककोलाहतः । (p. 12). It may be pointed out that Vātsyāyana reads paragatam for pādagatam and simply says इति लोकायतिकाः

The following verse cited by Somadeva in VI. 1 to illustrate the power of Bhāvanā to represent all things clearly is cited also by Anantavīrya in his commentary on Parīkṣāmukhasūtra (Pratyakṣoddesa). उड्डाप्स-

पिदिते कारागारे तमसि च स्विमुकामिर्भेशे । मनि च निमीलितनयने तथापि कान्ताननं व्यक्तम् ॥ Patañjali's Yogasûtras 1. 24-26 are quoted in VI. 1, 2.

'हेशक्सेविपाकाशंगरपरामृष्टः पुरुषविशेष ईश्वरत्तत्र निरतिशयं सर्वज्ञवीजम्' इति पतक्रकिजल्पितम् । तदाह पतक्रकिः—'स पूर्वेषामापि गुरुः काळेनानवच्छेदात्।'

The following verse is quoted in Book V and VI. 1 where it is attributed to Avadhūta.

पेक्षवैममतिञ्चतं सङ्जो विशासस्युमिर्निसर्गजनिता वशितेन्त्रियेषु । बाखन्तिकं सुक्रमनावरणा च शक्तिकांनं च सर्वविषयं भगवंक्षवेष ॥ इस्टवपूतामिधाने.....

The verse is not found in the Avadhūtagītā. It is quoted and attributed to Avadhūta also in Anantavīrya's commentary on Parikṣāmukhasūtra (ap. cit.). A very similar verse is quoted from Vāyupurāna in Vācaspatimiśra's

A Svagbosa reads दीवो सथा निर्वृतिमध्यपेती नैवावनि गण्डति नाम्तरिक्षम् । विशं न काचिद्विदिशं न काचित् अवस्थाति केंग्रक्षेति शास्त्रिम् ॥ eto.; Euriber, he reads वर्त क्रुवी for जीवसायाः

commentary on the Vydsabhdsya on Yogasütras 1. 25. It is cited also in Udayana's Nydyakusumdnjali (Chap. 5), and occurs in Isvaragud (Kürmapurdna, Uparibhdga, 8. 12).

सर्वेद्या वृक्षिरवादिवोधः स्वत्रता निसमसुस्यक्तिः । अनन्त्रमक्तिः विमोविधिद्याः वदाहुरङ्गानि सद्देशस्य ॥
The verse occurs also in Sivapurāṇa (Vidyesvarasamhitā) 16. 18 which reads
the last two lines thus:

अनन्तशक्तिम महेश्वरस्य बन्मानसैश्वर्यमवति वेदः ॥

Avadhūta seems to have been a Saiva philosopher. The following verse is attributed to him in Śrīkumāra's commentary on Bhoja's Tatteaprakāsa 1. 15. शकित्रमं च भगवतावधृतपादेनोकम्—

बज्ञाति काष्ट्रित्पि शक्तिरस्रुसक्षकेः क्षेत्रज्ञमप्रैतिहता तव पाशकाछैः । ज्ञानासिना च विनिकृत्व गुणानशेषानन्या करोत्स्वीमुलं पुरुषं विमुक्ती ॥

Somadeva quotes a number of verses from little known Saiva texts in Book V (pp. 251, 255).

प्रपश्चरहितं शास्त्रं प्रपञ्चरहितो गुदः । प्रपञ्चरहितं ज्ञानं प्रपञ्चरहितः शिवः ॥ तदाह—
शिवं शक्तिविनाशेव ये वाम्छन्ति नराधमाः । ते भूमिरहितादीजात् सम्तु नृनं फलोत्तमाः ॥
अधिवत् सर्वे मक्षोऽपि भवभक्तिपरायणः । भुक्तिं जीवश्ववाद्योति मुक्तिं तु छभते मृतः ॥
अदद्यविप्रदाक्ताव्यवाद्याद्याद्याद्याद्याद्याद्यात् । नाद्रक्षं समुत्यसं शास्त्रं परमदुकंभम् ॥ Quoted also in VI.2
भोग्यामाहुः प्रकृतिस्वयवितनाशक्तिस्त्यां भोक्ता नैना परिणमित्रतुं वन्धवर्ती समर्थः ।
भोग्येऽप्यसिन् भवति मिथुने पुष्कछसात्र हेतुर्नीस्त्रधीव स्थमसि भुवनस्थापनास्त्रधारः ॥

The following controversial verse directed against the central conception of Saivism is cited twice in Book V and in VI. 2. तदक्तम्—

वक्ता नैव सदाधियो विकरणसम्मात् परो रागवान् द्वैविध्यादपरं तृतीयमिति चेसत्कस्य हेतोरभूत् । शक्त्या चेत् परकीय्या कथमसी तहानसंबन्धतः संबन्धोऽपि न जाधटीति सवतां शास्रं निराकम्बनस् ॥

The following verse quoted by Somadeva in Book V is cited from Laksmidhara's Kṛtyakalpataru (Rājadharmakāṇḍa) XIV. 160.

विविविधाता निवतिः स्वसावः काको प्रदक्षेश्वरदैवकर्म । पुण्यानि भारवानि तथा कृताम्तः पर्योधनामानि पुराकृतस्य ॥

A reference to Kumārila occurs in Book V. कवमिद्युदाहार क्यारिकेन-

विश्वदशानपेहाव विवेदीदिश्यचञ्चवे । श्रेवःशसिनिश्चित्ताय नमः सोमार्वधारिके ॥ It is the initial verse of Kumārila's Slokavārtika.

The following verses are quoted from Jaina texts in Book VI, section 21. 34 4-

आसन्तमस्यताकर्महानिसंक्षित्वश्चरपरिणामाः । सम्बद्धवहेतुरम्तवांग्रोऽप्युपदेशकादिता ॥ एकं व---

अञ्जातिपूर्विकायामिशानिशं सादैवतः । द्वतिपूर्वव्यवेक्षायामिशानिशं सापीदयास् ॥ दश्रविर्थं तदाड---

बाह्यामार्गसञ्ज्ञबञ्चपदेवात् सूत्रवीवसंक्षेपाद् । विकाशर्थाम्यां भवसववस्त्रावादिकारं स ॥

The second verse occurs in Samantabhadra's Aptamimamed. The third verse is from Gunabhadra's Atmanusasana, and explained by Somadeva in detail. Another verse from Gunabhadra's Atmanusasana is cited in Book VII, section 26.

परिवाससैव कारवासाहः सञ्च पुण्वपापयोः इसकाः । तसार पुण्योगचयः पापापचयम सुविधेयः ॥

Gunabhadra wrote towards the end of the ninth century, and it is remark able that he counted as an authoritative writer by the middle of the tenth century. A reference to the great Jaina logician and philosophical writer Akalamka occurs in Book II. He flourished about the eighth century A. D. and wrote Tattvārtharājavārtikālamkāra, Nyāyavinisaaya and other works.

MEDICAL AUTHORITIES

In Yasastilaka 3. 328 Somadeva refers to the opinions of several ancient medical writers about the right time for taking the principal meal of the day. They are Cārāyaṇa, Nimi, Dhiṣaṇa and Caraka.

पारायणी निति निनिः पुनरक्तकांक्षे मध्ये दिनस्य चित्रजसरकः प्रमाते । शुक्ति वसाद कृपते सम चैत्र सर्गकस्थाः स एव समयः क्षावितो वदैव ॥

A casual reference to Caraka occurs also in Book VI, section 10: 'अभिनवजनमनोहादन-वचनागद्प्रयोगचरकमहारक'. Nimi is a very ancient authority, being quoted in Carakasamhitā (Sūtrasthāna, Chap. 26). He is described as the king of Videha: '98 रसा इति निमिवैदेहः, मञ्जराम्कलवणकद्वतिककवावद्वाराः ।'. Nimi is quoted also in Astangahrdaya of Vāgbhata II, Kşīrasvāmin's commentary on the Amarakoşa 2. 5 28 Dalhana's commentary on Sucrutasamhita' and other works. Carayana can hardly be called a medical writer. In Vatsyayana's Kamasutra 1.1.12 Cārāyana is said to have treated the general section of the Kāmasūtra of Babhravva Pancala in a separate treatise. It is noteworthy that the opinion of Cārāyana referred to by Somadeva is actually found in Vātsvāvana's Kāmasūtra 1. 4. 20: 'सार चारायणस'. As explained in the Jayamangalā commentary, Carayana recommends eating in the forenoon and the evening and not in the forenoon and the afternoon, as advised by Vatsyayana. A number of verses from Carayana is quoted in the anonymous commentary on Somadeva's Nitivakyamrta, but as they are on Niti, it is difficult to say whether the two Carayanas are identical.

^{ं 1 &#}x27;अक्रक्ट्रवेश दर प्रमाणशासोपु'

² Hiralal: Catalogue of Sanskrit and Prakrit Manuscripts in C. P. and Berar, p. XXVIII.

³ See list of authors cited in N. S. Edition.

⁴ See list of authors cited in N. S. edition.

In Book II the young prince Yasodhara is declared to be wellversed in the art of healing, like Kāśirāja. Kāśirāja is explained by the commentator as Dhanvantari. According to a tradition preserved in the Harivamea (Parva I, Chap. 29), in the dynasty of Kasa king Dhanva had a son named Dhanvantari, said to be an incarnation of the divine physician of that name on account of his knowledge of medical lore. In the same line of kings Divodasa came two generations after Dhanvantari, and it is remarkable that at the beginning of the Susruta-samhitā Susruta and other sages are described as being instructed in medical lore by Divodasa Dhanvantari, the king of Benares: अय सञ्च सगवन्तम्भरवरसृषिगणपरिवृतसाक्षमस्यं काशिक्ताणं दिनोदासभन्तन्तरिमीपभेनव...सुश्रुतप्रमृतय उद्धः। 'Dhanvantari' is here explained by Dalhana as 'an expert in surgery,' and it is clear that Divodasa, like his ancestor, was an expert in the art of healing and had the epithet Dhanvantari attached to his name. Divodasa is described in the Harivamsa (op. cit., verse 29) as the king of Benares, and in the Mahabharata (Anusasanaparva 30.16 Cal. ed.) he is said to have founded the city. It appears that an ancient line of kings ruled in the country round about Benares, and both Dhanvantari and Divodasa belonging to this dynasty were famous as medical authorities and known as Kāśirāja. The Harivamsa (op. cit., verses 26-7) tells us that Kāśirāja Dhanvantari learnt the Ayurveda from Bhāradvāja, and dividing it into eight sections taught them to his disciples.

तस्य गेहे समुत्यको देवो धन्यन्तरिसदा । काक्षिराको महाराज सर्वरोगप्रणाद्यमः ॥ मायुर्वेदं मरहाजात् प्राप्येह भिषजां कियाम् । समप्रचा पुनर्कस्य शिष्येश्यः प्रस्थपाद्यस् ॥

Dhanvantari is cited as an authority in the Carakasamhitā (Sārīrasthāna 6.21), Asjāngahrdayas and other works. For a fuller discussion of the problem of Dhanvantari the reader may refer to the learned Sanskrit Introduction to the Nirnayasagar edition of the Susrutasamhitā.

WRITERS AND TREATISES ON PAINTING, SOULPTURE, GENS ETC.

A work on painting called Citrakarma ascribed to Prajapati is quoted in Book IV. সমাধুৱিসাকৈ ৰ বিশ্বকৃত্তি—

अनमं वैकिश्वानं नविनिनिविनिर्वृतम् । यो लिखेत् स लिखेत् सर्वा पृथ्वीमपि ससागराम् ॥

A work on sculpture called Adityamata is quoted in the same Book, आदिलामते च-भवनीयाहुरमधना अष्टमहामातिहार्चविभवसमेताः । ते देवा वृद्यताकाः शेवा देवः भवन्ति नवताकाः ॥ A work on gems called Ratnaparika is quoted in Book V.

^{1 &#}x27;काशिराज वन शरीरोपचारेच'

अनुः शस्यशास्त्रं तस्य अन्तं पारम् इसति गण्छवीति चन्नन्तरः तस्।

³ See list of authors cited in N. S. edition.

raging the first of the first of the

ल्डुकी राजकरीका नाम्

The work cited by Somadeva is Buddhabhatta's Rainapantee, and the verse is found in the text edited by Finot in Les Lapidaires Indiens (Paris, 1896). The work is incorporated in Garudapurāna (Pārvathanda, chapters 68-80) without acknowledgement. The verse cited by Somadeva is also found among the verses quoted from Garudapurāna in Bhoja's Yuktikalpataru in the section on gems. It may be noted that Garudapurāna as well as the other two texts (with slight variations) read and: अजनामि तस बन्म for मन्ने अजनामि तिथाले recorded by Somadeva. In Book II the young Yasodhara is described as an expert in the examination of gems, like Sukanāsa, who seems to be an ancient authority on gems.

Reference to writers on elephants has been made in a preceding section. In Book II Yasodhara is compared to Raivata in the mastery of the science of horses. That there were works on the subject in the tenth century is shown by the reference to the ācāryapariṣad 'committee of experts' appointed to select a horse on the occasion of Yasodhara's coronation in Book II and the use of technical terms. But Raivata is explained in the commentary as the son of the Sun, and appears to be a mythological figure. He is the same as the god Revanta mentioned in Brhatsamhitā 58. 56, according to which his image should be represented as riding a horse, surrounded by a hunting party.

A quotation from Bṛhatsamhitā, Chap. 60, occurs in Book IV. भराह-भिहरण्याहते प्रतिष्ठाकाण्डे च—

विष्योर्भागवता स्याम सवितुर्विधा विदुर्वास्यां साद्णामिति मातृमण्डस्ववदः शंभीः समस्या द्विजाः । शाक्याः सर्वदिताय शान्तमनसी नम्ना जिनानां विदुर्वे यं देवसुपासिताः स्वतिधिना ते तस्य कुर्दुः क्रियाम् ॥

A verse from Nimittadhyaya occurs in the same Book. निवित्ताच्याचे च-

पश्चिमी राजहंसाम निर्मन्थाम तपोचनाः । यं देशसुपसर्पनित सुनिश्चं तत्र निर्दिशेत् ॥

A verse from Svapnādhyāya is quoted in Book VI, section I: न नार्ग सत्यसमोऽप्रतिदः समाप्यावेऽतीव सुप्रतिद्धत्यात्। तथा हि—

बस्तु पश्वति राज्यन्ते राजानं कुलरं इषम् । सुत्रर्णं वृषमं गां च कुटुम्बं सत्य वर्षते ॥

There is a Svapnādhyāya in thirtyfive verses in Matsyapurāna (Chap. 242), but it does not contain the above verse which, however, occurs in the Svapnādhyāya included in Agnipurāna (Chap. 229). Two interesting references to Svapnādhyāya or the science of dreams occur in Lalitavistara. In

[े] शिक्षनास स्व रक्षत्रीकार्ष

शैक्त पर इक्नवेड

Chap. 12 it is mentioned among the branches of study in which the Bodhisattva distinguished himself. In chap. 6 we find mentioned a class of people whose vocation seems to have been the interpretation of dreams, being styled as warmingly.

A reference to the science of arms occurs in Book III, the Dhanurveda being described as occupying the highest place among treatises on the dyudhasiddhanta. It is, however, doubtful whether the verse 8. 465 is a citation from the Dhanurveda. Somadeva says

यायन्ति भ्रवि शक्कानि तेषां केष्ठतरं घतुः । धतुषां गोष्टरे तानि न तेषां गोषरो पशुः ॥ इत्यागुषिद्धान्तमध्यासादितसिङ्गादाकतुर्वेदादुपश्रसः.....

A Pañcatantra is mentioned in Book VII, section 31, but it is doubtful whether it has anything to do with the wellknown book of tales: 'प्यत्याहिधाम्रविस्तृतवयन उम्रदेनी नाम सचिवः ' It is noteworthy that a marginal note in Ms. A explains pañcatantra as tarkavyākaraṇādi.

In the same section of Book VII the following verse is attributed to 'those who are well-versed in the Puranas'. ৰহাইন বুবাদাৰিহ:—

विश्वर्गुरोः कक्षत्रेण गोतमत्वामरेगरः । संतनीश्रापि दुःवमी समगंदा पुरा किछ ॥

Casual references to the Tripitaka and the treatise of Bharata occur in the description of the Jaina temple in Book V. 'पिटकत्रवपद्वितिव योगाचारगोचरा', 'भरतपदवीव विधिखयनाव्याडम्बरा'.

Dattaka, an ancient authority on erotic lore, is mentioned in Book II.¹ In Vātsyāyana's Kāmasūtra 1. 1. 11 Dattaka is said to have treated the section on courtesans of the Kāmasūtra of Bābhravya Pāñcāla in a separate treatise, being employed for the purpose by the courtesans of Pāṭaliputra. It may also be mentioned that a Kāmasūtra is mentioned at least twice by Somadeva, but without any reference to Vātsyāyana or any other author. 'न समिक्यियमित्रकामसूत्रायाः काकिकादिकरणोदाहरणानाम्' Book I, 'स्वारक्रीमिकदाहरकामसूत्रायां 1. 73.

A number of popular or moral verses is quoted by Somadeva without mentioning the source (Book VII, sections 27, 28, 29; Books IV & VII; Book VI, sections 5, 6, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17 and 19):

न प्रतमस्विप्रहणं साकपनोमूक्रमेक्षनयां ना । वतमेत्वुत्रतथियामजीकृतनस्युनिर्वहणस् ॥ भवति चात्र श्लोकः----भवसावविद्यानस्य स्था मदसमुखमः । पुंसः स्वमानसीरोः साक्ष शीर्यामसुखप्रहः ॥

^{1 &#}x27;दशक इव कन्तुसिद्धान्तेषु'

² C!. चतुवा विभन्ने भोगे, सर्वे मिचानि गन्धति, एकेन भोगे मुखेन्य, दीहि कम्मं एकोवने, च तुत्वश्व विधानेन्य, आपदाञ्च मनिस्सरीति । Digha Nikaya XXXI. 26.

भवति यात्र कोवः व्यविद्वास्त्र स्थानिक वृत्र स्थानिक वृत्र स्थानिक स्

All the verses cited above occur in the two Books of Yaéastilaka containing the moral and religious stories. Besides, at the end of each story is quoted a verse containing a summing up of the story in question, and these verses seem to belong to Jaina Kathānaka literature, and are probably quoted from earlier texts. One such verse (Book VII, section 24)

धुनसत्तः किनैक्त सर्वयूत्मवोद्या । महामत्त्रका कर्णतः स्कृतिदोषाद्योगतः ॥
is, for example, found in Jatāsimhanandi's Varāngacarita 5. 103. Specimens of summary verses of this type are quoted below (from Books VI & VII): भवति चात्र कोकः—कावृत्यवाद्यंगोसिहपीटःविवतिषु स्वयम् । आगतेव्यव्यम्भूतेवा देवती मृदतावती ॥
भवति चात्र कोकः—सुद्वीसंगवासकं पुष्पन्दवं वपस्तिनम् । वादिवेणः कृतत्राणः स्थापयामास संयमे ॥
भवति चात्र कोकः—व्यवोऽवन्तिषु माठकः पिश्चित्रस्य निवृत्तितः । अस्तव्यकासमावित्याः प्रपेदे यक्षमुक्यताम् ॥
भवति चात्र कोकः सर्वायं:—

पश्चकृत्वः किकेक्स मत्त्रकाहिंसनात् पुरा । अभूत् पश्चापदोऽतील धनकीर्तिः वृतिः श्चितः ॥



APPENDIX I

SONADEVA AND THE PRATITIES COURT OF KANAUJ

A statement in the anonymous commentary on Somadeva's Nativa-kyāmṛta appears to show that the work was written at the instance of a king of Kanauj named Mahendradeva or Mahendrapāladeva. The commentator, whose date is unknown, mentions, however, a Jaina monk named Municandra as the author of Nitivākyāmṛta, and describes Somadeva as his guru. This is, of course, contrary to all that we know about Somadeva who gives a lot of information about himself in the colophons to his Yasasilaka and Nitivākyāmṛta.

It has recently been suggested by some scholars that Somadeva may have passed some time at Kanauj: and, during his sojourn there, he was encouraged to compose his Nitivākyāmrta by Mahendrapāla I (circa 893-907 A. D.), or more probably, by Mahendrapāla II who is known to have reigned about the middle of the tenth century A. D. The Partabgarh Inscription of the time of Mahendrapāla II of Kanauj is, for instance, dated Samvat 1003 = 946 A. D. (Ep. Ind. Vol. XIV, pp. 176-188). But the supposed connection of Somadeva with the Pratihāra court of Kanauj can hardly be accepted as a historical fact; as, unlike his association with the Deccan, it is mentioned neither in the colophons to his works nor in the Parbhani inscription.

It has been suggested that the following acrostic verse found at the end of the first Book of Yasastilaka contains a veiled reference to Mahendrapāla.

स्रोऽयमाशार्थितयशा महेन्द्रामरमान्यधीः । देयाते संततानन्दं वस्त्वमीष्टं जिनाधिपः ॥

The first letter of each foot of the verse gives, when combined, the name Somadeva, as pointed out in Srutasāgara's commentary; but it is extremely doubtful if there is any word-play in the expression mahendrāmara-mānya-dhāḥ leading to the surmise that Mahendrāmara stands for Mahendra-deva or Mahendrapāla. Apart from the fact that the commentator is not aware of any such word-play, Mahendrāmara might very well refer to Mahendradeva, the elder brother of Somadeva, mentioned in the colophon to his Nitivākyāmṛta.

As pointed out elsewhere, Yaśodeva, the spiritual ancestor of Somadeva, belonged to the Devasangha, according to the latter's own statement in Yaśastilaka; but, according to the Parbhani inscription, Yaśodeva belonged to the Gaudasangha. It is possible that the Gaudasangha was connected with the Gauda country, and Yaśodeva may have lived there. It is also possible that the Gaudasangha, after its migration from Bengal, came to be known as

तथा खगुरोः सोमवेवस्य च प्रणामपूर्वकं शास्त्रस्य तत्कर्तृत्वं स्थापवितुं......मुनिचन्द्रामिचानः श्रपणक्रमत्वचौ नीतिवाक्यामृतकर्तां

² Sec Raghavan in New Indian Antiquary, Vol. VI, p. 67 and Pt. Premi in Jaina Siddhanta Bhaskara, vol. XI, p. 90.

Devasarigha, the name used by Samadens. The latter was, however, the disciple not of Yasodeva, but of Nemideva; and except for one solitary reference, he alone is mentioned in all the colophons occurring in Yasastilaka and Nitivity dimita. In the present state of our knowledge, there is no reason to suppose that Somadeva or his teacher Nemideva ever migrated from the Gauda country to Kanauj and thence to the Deccan.

The term Gauda in Gaudasangha is not without some ambiguity. In south Kanara there is a class of people called Gaudas who are farmers and labourers and speak the Tulu or the Kannada language. They are generally Hindus, but some are Jainas. They have a regular system of village government: in every village, for instance, there are two head-men, the Grama-Gauda and the Vattu or Gottu Gauda. It will not be surprising if the Gaudasangha has something to do with the Gaudas. In the latter sense the word is derived from gāvunda (farmer) changing into gaunda or gauda; and it also means the village headman.

The Mysore inscriptions throw further light on the Gaudas. Some of the petty rulers of Mysore belonged to the Gauda caste or community. 'The Avati-nad Prabhus were Gaudas or farmers of the Morasu-wokkal tribe, who came from the east in the fifteenth century and settled in the Avati village'; and their immediate descendants became founders of the modern States in eastern Mysore which were subordinate to Vijayanagar. The leader of the Avati Prabhus was named Baire-Gauda, and the inscriptions of the family date from 1428 to 1792.' 'The Yelahanka-nad Prabhu is mentioned even in 1367, but the inscriptions of this Avati branch run from 1599 to 1713. They generally had the name Kempe-Gauda, after the most celebrated of the line. He founded Bangalore in 1537.' The Sugatur-nad Prabhus had the name Tamme-Gauda, and their territory included a great part of the Kolar District. Other references to the Gaudas are also found in the Mysore inscriptions. 'In 1417, when a Gauda, who had gone to visit the local governor, fell down dead in his presence, a sort of inquest was held on the body and it was sent back to his home. This was under Vijayanagar rule.' Under Mughal rule 'we find in 1720 a regular magisterial process in the case of a Gauda whose village had been taken possession of by some one else during his absence abroad. It is also noteworthy that an inscription of 1027 A. D. refers to an agrahāra named Gauda with its 32,000 Brahmana residents, believed to be an exaggeration.

All this shows that the name Gauda was quite familiar in the Kanarese country both in the territorial and vocational sense as indicated above; and it is therefore difficult to rule out the possibility of the Gaudasamgha being originally connected with a village settlement of the Gaudas. We cannot, of course, be certain on the point, but the fact that Somadeva lived and worked in the Deccan inclines us to look for the home of his Samgha in

¹ Sturrock: South Canara quoted in Sharma: Jainism and Karnataka Culture, p. 158. See also Mahalingam: Administration and Social Life under Vijayanagar, pp. 80, 236.

² Rice: Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions. pp. 165, 176, 177.

⁸ Saletore: Ancient Karpajaka, Vol. I, 332.

Karpāṭaka rather than in Bengal. It is true that we hear of Jaina Sanghas in Bengal even at a later date, though the term Sangha has a slightly different sense here. The Jaina writer Bālacandra Sūri, for example, tells us, in his Vasantavilāsa-mahākāvya (10.25), that Sanghapatis from Lāṭa, Gauḍa, Vanga and other places came to join Vastupāla, the famous minister of Gujarat, in his grandiose pilgrimage to Satrunjaya and Girnar in 1220 a. p. But, so far as Somadeva is concerned, his supposed migration from Bengal does not appear to be supported by any reliable evidence so far discovered.

APPENDIX II

The Verses on the Courtezan's Corpse and a Buddhist Legend

The Buddhist antecedents of Sudatta's reflections on the corpse of a courtezan lying in the charnel-field (Yasastilaka 1. 95ff.) have been discussed in Chapter VI. That there was an underlying story going back to ancient times seems certain; and the framework of the original narrative can be seen in the story of Sirimā, as related in the Dhammapada commentary composed about the middle of the fifth century A. D. The story occurs in Book XI in connection with Dhammapada 147, and is here summarized from Burlingame: Buddhist Legends, Part 2, p. 330 (Harvard Oriental Series, Vol. 29). Certain verses of Somadeva on the dead courtezan recall the concluding words of the Teacher in the Buddhist legend.

Sirimā was a beautiful courtezan of Rājagaha, who used to give food to eight monks regularly in her house. A certain monk, hearing of her beauty, went to receive food in her house. She was ill at the time, but the monk was so enamoured of her that he became indifferent to all about him, and was unable to take any food.

"On that very day in the evening Sirimā died. Thereupon the king sent word to the Teacher, 'Reverend Sir, Jīvaka's youngest sister Sirimā is dead.' When the Teacher received that message, he sent back the following message to the king, 'Sirimā's body should not be burned. Have her body laid in the burning-ground, and set a watch, that crows and dogs may not devour it.' The king did so. Three days passed, one after another. On the fourth day the body began to bloat, and from the nine openings of her body, which were like to sores, there cozed forth maggots."

The king then issued a proclamation ordering all to approach to behold Sirimā. He sent a message to the Teacher, asking that the Congregation of Monks presided over by the Buddha might approach to behold Sirimā.

"Now that young monk had lain for four days without touching food, paying no attention to anything anyone said to him; the rice in his bowl had rotted, and his bowl was covered with mildew. The rest of the monks who were his fellows approached him and said to him, 'Brother, the Teacher is

¹ Introduction to Vasantavildea Mahakavya (G. O. S.), p. xii.

going forth to behold Sirima.' When the young monk, lying thus, heard the name Sirima, he leaped quickly to his feet. Someone said to him, 'The Teacher is going forth to behold Sirima; will you also go?' 'Indeed I will go', he replied. And tossing the rice out of his bowl, he washed it and put it in his net and then set out with the company of monks.

The Teacher surrounded by the Congregation of Monks stood on one side of the corpse; the Congregation of Nuns and the king's retinue and the company of lay disciples, both male and female, stood on the other side of the corpse, each company in its proper place. The Teacher then asked the king, 'Great king, who is this woman?' 'Reverend Sir, it is Jīvaka's sister Sirimā.' 'Is this Sirima?' 'Yes, Reverend Sir.' "Well! send a drum through the town and make proclamation, 'Those who will pay a thousand pieces of money for Sirimā may have her." Not a man said hem' or hum'. The king informed the Teacher, 'They will not take her, Reverend Sir.' 'Well then, great king, put the price down.' So the king had a drum beaten and the following proclamation made, 'If they will give five hundred pieces of money, they may have her.' But nobody would take her at that price. The king then proclaimed to the beating of a drum that anyone might have her who would give two hundred and fifty pieces of money, or two hundred, or hundred, or fifty, or twentyfive, or ten, or five. Finally he reduced the price to a penny, then to a halfpenny, then to a quarter of a penny, then to an eighth of a penny. At last he proclaimed to the beating of a drum, They may have her for nothing.' Not a man said 'hem' or 'hum'. Then said the king to the Teacher, 'Reverend Sir, no one will take her, even as a gift.' The Teacher replied, 'Monks, you see the value of a woman in the eyes of the multitude. In this very city men used to pay a thousand pieces of money for the privilege of spending one night with this woman. Now there is no one who will take her as a gift. Such was her beauty who now has perished and gone. Behold, monks, this body diseased and corrupt.' So saying he pronounced the following stanza:

147. See this painted image, this mass of sores,
huddled together,
Corrupt, once possessed of many thoughts, but
now possessing neither
strength nor stability."

APPENDIX III

SAIVA TEMPLES AND THEIR GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION
GUJABAT

The power and influence of Saivism is reflected in the numerous old temples dedicated to Siva, which exist in various parts of India, and in many cases, go back far beyond the limits of the tenth century A. D. We may commence our survey with the celebrated temple of Somanātha on the seashore, in south-western Kathiawar, at Somanāthapattana, close to the modern port of Verāval. Somanātha was no doubt earlier than the tenth century, and

may have been as early as the Maitrakas of Valabhi (the modern Vala, near Bhavnagar), who held sway over Gujarat and Kathiawar during the sixth, seventh and eighth centuries of the Christian era, and call themselves parama-māhesvara or devout worshippers of Siva in their inscriptions.¹ The great Saiva temple was built upon fifty-six pillars of teak wood covered with lead, and the glory of its wealth and splendour lives in the pages of Muslim historians.¹ It was sacked and desecrated by Mahmud of Ghazni in 1026 A. D., and subsequently destroyed by a lieutenant of Mahmud; but soon after, it was rebuilt of stone by Bhīmadeva I, the Solanki king of Gujarat (1022-64 A. D.). It was again restored and rebuilt by Kumārapāla in the third quarter of the twelfth century, evidently in consequence of its being again attacked, or of having fallen into ruin. The present ruin represents the rebuilding by Kumārapāla.³

Saivism was paramount in Gujarat during the rule of the Solanki kings who were great builders of temples dedicated to Siva. Their capital was at Anahillapura (Anahilavāda or Patan), and other important places during their reign were Siddhapur and Vadnagar, now included in Baroda State, to the north of Ahmedabad. The first king Mūlarāja (942-96 A. D.) was a fervent devotee of Siva in the form of Somanātha or Someévara; and the temple of Mūleévara and the Tripuruṣaprāsāda appear to have been built by him in honour of Somanātha. Siddharāja (1094-1143), whose name is still familiar everywhere in Gujarat, built the great Sahasralinga tank which derived its name from the numerous linga shrines on its banks. In the centre of the tank was an island on which stood the temple of Rudreévara.

¹ Exceptions are Dhruvasena I (526 A. D.) who is called parama-bhāgavata, and his brother and successor Dharapatta who is styled paramāditya-bhākta or a great devotee of the Sun. Guhasena, who was a worshipper of Siva (558-564), calls himself paramopāsaka or a great devotee of Buddha in his grant of 566 A. D. Bombay Gasetteer, Vol. I, pt. I, p. 83; Poussin: Dynasties et Histoire de L'Inde, pp. 133-139.

² See Bombay Gasetteer, Vol. I, pt. I, p. 185. The ancient temple is stated to have been thirteen storeys high, with its top surmounted by fourteen cupolas of gold which glittered in the sun and were visible from a long distance. The original edifice was built of brick and its floor was made of planks of teak wood with the interstices filled with lead. See Commissariat: History of Gujarat, Vol. I, lx. and Naxim: The Life and Times of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazna, p. 212.

³ Cousens: Architectural Antiquities of Western India, p. 40. Kumārapāla's temple suffered in every subsequent Muhammadan invasion of the place, though it was repaired and restored from time to time. In 1395 it was destroyed by Musaffar Khan, and again by Ahmad I, after his war with the Bav of Junagadh in 1414. Commissariat (op. cit.), p. lxxiv.

^{4 &#}x27;तथा निलं निलं...शिसोमेश्वरपत्तने यात्रायां शिवमक्तित्वा व्रनंसाङ्क्षिपरिदुद्धः सोमनाय उपदेशदानपूर्वं मण्डकीनगरमागतः। तेन राक्षा तत्र मुलेश्वर इति प्रासादः कारितः। तत्र नमश्चिकीवाँद्वयेण प्रतिदिनमागण्डतस्यक्ष नृपवेस्तङ्क्षिपरिदुद्धः श्रीसोमेश्वरः अद्दं ससागर एव भवकारे समेष्यामीत्वभिषाय श्रीमदणदिद्वपुरेऽजतारमकरोद्दः।' See Prabandhacintāmaṇi, p. 17 (Singhi ed.).

^{5 &#}x27;Hardly a vestige now remains of the Sahasralinga tank, the theme of so much legend and song. Its site is still pointed out to the north-west of modern Patan. Commissariat (op. oit), p. lxvi.

Siddharāja restored and rebuilt also the great temple of Rudramahālaya, which had been founded by Mūlarāja at Siddhapur on the north bank of the Sarasvatī, seventeen miles to the east of Patan. In or around the court were eleven other shrines in honour of the Rudras. This magnificent temple was destroyed by the Muslim conquerors of Gujarat; but the stupendous fragments that still exist give an adequate idea of the grandiose design of the original structure.

Vadnagar (the ancient Anandapura), situated 19 miles southeast from Siddhapur, was a great religious centre in Gujarat, and noted as the home of the Nāgara Brāhmaṇas who worshipped Siva. An inscription engraved on a stone slab records the building of the walls of the town by Kumārapāla, the equally famous successor of Siddharāja, in Samvat 1208 or 1151 a. p. The town had at one time an unusually large number of temples, but the number 3000 mentioned by Abul Fazl at the end of the sixteenth century is no doubt an exaggeration. The chief temple, that of Hāṭakeśvara Mahādeva, is a lofty structure to the west of the town.

It is sad to think that few temples in Gujarat have survived intact; and the only remains now of the Solankis and the Vaghelas are but fragments. Fortunately, the great temples of the Deccan, Bundelkhand, Orissa and South India are still in existence; and we shall in the course of our study enumerate the more important examples as far as they illustrate the progress and influence of the Saiva faith in those regions.

From Gujarat we may turn to Mount Abu which was a flourishing centre of Saivism at an early age. The Prabhāsakhanda of the Skandapurāna has a section on Arbuda or mount Abu, which gives an account of its sacred sites and the legends associated with them. In medieval times the Abu region was ruled by a branch of the Paramāra dynasty of Mālava from the end of the tenth century to the end of the thirteenth under the suzerainty of the Caulukyas of Gujarat. It may be noted that Bhīma I of Gujarat (1022-64 A.D.) brought for a time the Abu territory under his direct control in the second quarter of the eleventh century; and the Jaina Vimala Sāha was deputed as the governor of Abu, who built the famous marble temple of Adinātha in 1031 A.D.

Among the lingus worshipped at Abu, Acalesvara was the most famous. An inscription of Yasodhavala, one of the Paramāra kings of Abu, dated 1150 a. p., was found in the temple of Acalesvara. Early in the thirteenth century we hear of the prince Prahlādana, the founder of Palanpur, melting down a Jaina brass image for making a metal bull for Acalesvara Mahādeva.

¹ Commissariat, p. lxvii.

² Ibid. lxxii. It may be noted that, while the main temples in Gujarat were dedicated to Siva, there were ancient temples devoted to the cult of Kṛṣṇa or Viṣṇu at Dvārakā and the adjoining island of Bet or Sankhoddhāra. They were destroyed by Mahmud "Shah I in his expedition of 1473. The existing temples are later structures. Ibid. p. 174.

³ Ganguly: History of the Paramara Dynasty, pp. 304, 319.

The sacred sites of Mount Abu mentioned in the Arbudakhanda of the Skandapurāna include Kanakhala (chap. 26) and Candikāsrama (chap. 36), or the hermitage of Candika, which appears to have been a shrine of the goddess with an attached monastery. Both Kanakhala and Candikasrama are mentioned in the Abu inscription of the Saiva ascetic Kedararasi, which gives a detailed account of his building activities at Kanakhala. The inscription was incised in 1208 A. D. during the reign of Dharavarsa at Abu under the suzerainty of Bhima II of Gujarat. The record opens with verses in praise of Siva and Ujjain, and enumerates the succession of teachers of the Capala or Capaliya sect which seems to have been an order of Saiva monks in Malava. Altogether eight teachers are mentioned; and the first teacher Tapasa, a great devotee of Candisa or Siva, came from the New Monastery (Nütanamatha) at Ujjain, and became the head of the Candikasrama which was, as we have seen, located at Mount Abu. Kedararasi, the last teacher to be mentioned must have lived in the last quarter of the twelfth century; and to judge from the succession of teachers and disciples, Tapasa may have become the head of the Candikasrama about the middle of the eleventh century. As regards Kedārarāsi, he carried out an extensive building programme, according to his inscription. He renovated the entire site of Kanakhala with stoneslabs (uttana patta), and provided it with an enclosure with lofty walls. He repaired also the temple of Atulanatha, built two new massive temples of Siva in front of the shrine of Kanakhalanātha, and erected a row of pillars of black stone, in the mandapa of the temple of Kanakhalasambhu. His sister Moksesvarī also built a beautiful temple of Siva at the place.

The capital of the Paramāra Chiefs of Abu until about the beginning of the 14th century was at Candrāvatī, now a small village at the junction of the Sivālan with the Banās river near the south end of Mount Abu and in the Sirohi State. Here the numerous temples were constructed of white marble, a rare phenomenon in the history of Hindu architecture; and many of them must have been dedicated to Siva, as Saivism was the prevailing religion in this area. The evidence of sculptures, scanty though it is, points to the same conclusion. In one of the marble edifices, for instance, the principal figures were a three-headed statue with a female seated on his knee, sitting on a car, with a large goose in front (i. e. Brahmā); Siva with twenty arms; Bhairava with twenty arms, one holding a human head by the hair, a victim lying beneath, and a female on each side; one drinking the blood falling from the head. Unfortunately Candrāvatī is in ruins, and no idea can be formed of the cults practised in its beautiful temples.

¹ An Abu inscription of the reign of Bhimadeva II, Indian Antiquary, Vol. XI, p. 220.

² Candravati is one of the tragedies of Indian archaeology. Twenty marble edifices of different sizes were still in existence when the spot was first visited by Sir Charles Colville and his party in 1824. Nevertheless, about this time, the petty Chief of Girwar, a few miles to the northwest of Candravati, who regularly sold the marble materials, was called by Colonel Tod 'the depredator and salesman

MHWAR

Saivism was the prevailing religion in neighbouring Mewar where the worship of Ekalinga Siva was the symbol of a national cult and has survived till today. The worship of Ekalinga is mentioned in an inscription of Nara-vähana, dated 972 A.D., but the cult is earlier than the tenth century as two inscriptions, dated Sarivat 1331 and 1342 (1274 and 1285 A.D.) respectively, which give the genealogy of the ruling dynasty, place the cult of Ekalinga at the beginning of the political history of Mewar. We are told that an ascetic named Hārītarāsi who was a devotee of Ekalinga gave a miraculous gold anklet to Bappa, the Brāhmana founder of the dynasty, and helped him to acquire the throne. The cult of Ekalinga was thus prevalent at least as early as the ninth century, and the deity is still worshipped at the place now called Ekalingaji, about twelve miles north of Udaipur on the way to Nāthadvāra. The present temple is not later than 1213 A.D., as a date, Samvat 1270, is inscribed on a pillar together with the name of Rājā Jaitrasimha.

The evidence to be considered further will show that. Saivism represented a vigorous religious movement in a large part of western and central India from Gujarat to Rewa in the tenth century and thereabouts. The expansion of Saivism in western India goes back, however, to a much earlier date, as it was the prevailing religion under the Valabhi kings as early as the sixth century A. D.

MALAVA

An early and celebrated focus of Saivism was in Mālava whose capital Ujjayini or Avantī was famous through the ages as the seat of the worship of

of what time and the ruthless Turk had spared of its relica'. Gustav le Bon, a French archaeologist, writing in 1884, said that he had made along journey to Candravati to visit a temple among other remains, but was informed that the temple had been recently reduced to fragments by an engineer to pave a road! Cousens who visited the place at a later date reported: "Save portions of the basement of one temple and a few blocks of the back wall of another, nothing now remains of the beautiful shrines discovered in 1822 excepting one solitary column, which by its loneliness accentuates the desolation around it'. The fact is that the temples of Candravati were literally carried off to build railway bridges and culverts and to break up for metalling the permanent way. 'The site of the old city and its extent are still indicated by the mounds of bricks that formed the foundations of these old temples-of which there are great numbers; and on the south side are portions of the ancient city walls which faced the river Sivalan.' 'Judging from such images as still lie about, it would appear that the majority of the temples were Brahmanical, and that they were built of white marble.' See Burgess and Cousens: Archaeological Survey of Western India (Northern Gujarat), Vol IX, pp. 96-102.

¹ A Collection of Prakrit and Sanskrit Inscriptions (op cit.) pp. 75, 85. In the introductory note the date of the inscription is given as 1339, but 1331 appears as the date in the last line, P. 77.

² Ibid. p. 98.

Mahākāla Šiva. The legends connected with the numerous sacred sites and lingus of Avantikṣetra, known also as Mahākāla-vana, are narrated in detail in the Avantyakhanda of the Skandapurāna. Mahākālavana is described hare as a yojana in extent, and mentioned as one of the most important Saiva Tīrthas along with Prabhāsa, Puṣkara, Kedāra and Kāyāvarohana. It is possible that the famous temple of Mahākāla existed as early as the fifth century A. D. Kālidāsa, in his Meghadūta (vv. 30-7), in the course of the description of Ujjayinī, refers to the sacred abode (dhāma) of Candiévara, styled also as Mahākāla, on the Gandhavatī river, which is in fact one of the sacred streams of Avantikṣetra mentioned in the Skandapurāna.

The temple of Mahākāla at Ujjain was as famous as that of Somanātha in Kathiawar. About the middle of the tenth century, Dhanika, a Paramara chief of Vagada (the modern Banswara and Dungarpur States in Southern Rajputana, not far from Ujjain), is known to have erected the Siva temple of Dhanesvara near Mahākāla. Early in the tenth century, the Rāstrakūta emperor Indra III, while leading an expedition to conquer Kanauj, is believed to have stopped with his war elephants at the courtyard of the temple of Mahākāla to pay homage to the deity. The great temple continued to exist for more than two hundred years after the destruction of the temple of Somanātha; but the tragedy was re-enacted in 1233 a.p. when Sultan Iltutmish invaded Ujjain and demolished the ancient shrine during the reign of the Paramāra king Devapāla. According to Firishta, the magnificent temple was built on the same plan as that of Somanatha, and is said to have occupied three hundred years in building: it contained the image of Vikramaditya as well as that of Mahākāla, which the invader caused to be conveyed to Delhi and broken at the door of the great mosque.4

The Paramāras of Mālava, who ruled as independent monarchs from about the middle of the tenth century to the end of the thirteenth, and whose dominions, in their widest limits, extended from Gujarat to Central Provinces and from Khandesh to Northern Indore, were devout worshippers of Siva and great builders of Siva temples. The Paramāra king Bhoja, the most famous Indian monarch of the eleventh century A. D., built a number of temples dedicated to Kedāresvara, Rāmesvara, Somanātha, Sumdīra (?) and Kālānala-Rudra. These temples no longer exist, as most of the religious edifices of Mālava were destroyed by the Muhammadans.

¹ Avantyakhanda, Ohap. I of Caturatitilinga-māhātmya.

² See Two Paramara Inscriptions in Epigraphia Indica, Vol. XXI, p. 41; Ganguli: History of the Paramara Dynasty, p. 337.

³ See Cambay Plates of Govinda IV (v. 19.) in Ep. Ind., Vol VII. The Kalapriya mentioned in the verse is believed to be identical with Mahakala.

⁴ Briggs: Firishta, Vol. I, p. 211; Prasad: History of Medieval India, p. 159.

⁵ See Verse 20 of the *Udspur Praiasti of the kings of Māled* in *Bpigraphia Indica*, Vol. I, pp. 222-38. Bühler takes Kāla, Anala and Rudra separately. But the reference seems to be to Kālāgni-Rudra, one of the terrible forms of five, mentioned by Somadeva in *Vaiastilaka*, Book I, p. 151.

Of the existing temples of Milakanthesvara at Udayapur and the Siddhanātha or Siddheśvara at Nemawar, both dedicated to Siva, are the most important. The temple of Nilakanthesvara at Udayapur, now a village thirty miles to the north of Bhilsa, in Gwalior State, was built by the Paramara king Udayaditya in 1059 A. D. Built of red sandstone, it is one of the best preserved temples in India, as it somehow eacaped to a very large extent the devastating hand of Muslim vandalism, although there is still a Muhammadan masjid at the back of the temple. A legend says that Aurangzeb, on his return from the Deccan, passed through this place and ordered the demolition of the temple; but Mahadeva appeared to him in a dream, and commanded him on pain of death to desist from his act of sacrilege. The next morning Aurangzeb found himself ill, and stopped the destruction of the temple; but a masjid was ordered to be built at the back of the temple. It is noteworthy that until recent times the temple was 'frequented by both Hindus and Muhammadans and the lingam within equally reverenced by both.' The linga is 'now inclosed in a shell of highly polished yellow metal said to be gold.'

The temple 'is singularly beautiful both in plan and in elevation; and although large, the parts are so well-proportioned, that it does not look large, and it is only on measurement that its real size is realized.' 'But it is in excellence of execution of the floral sculptured ornamentation that this temple surpasses all others. They are executed with remarkable fineness and freedom and are not overcrowded so as to destroy effect, but by a judicious interposition of broad plain surfaces worked with exquisite care, the ornamental value both of the flowered bands and of the plain are mutually enhanced. Doubtless a great deal of the beauty of the carvings is due to the superior quality of the stone here used; and the Khajuraho temples, if built of similar materials, would, some of them, have been more beautiful.' 'The great dome is formed of overlapping courses of stones, ranged in successive diminishing circles over each other. Twelve ribs, each rib composed of exquisitely sculptured females, at once adorn and strengthen this beautiful roof; and this roof, thus adorned, and as it were vivified by the sculptures, rests on the massive pillars below, forming a whole of unsurpassed beauty and harmony."

The richly sculptured temple of Siddhanātha stands right on the bank of the Narmadā at Nemawar in Indore State, twelve miles from Harda station on the G. I. P. Railway. It cannot be later than the 10th century, and consists of a garbhagrha and a mandapa, which is open on three sides with an open porch on each side. The mandapa appears to be a later addition, and is built of bluish sandstone; while the garbhagrha with its sikhara is built of beautiful yellowish grey sandstone. Except for a modern structure which has been added on the top of the mandapa providing access

2 Archaeological Survey of India, Vol. VII, pp. 85-88.

Ganguly: History of the Parametra Dynasty, p. 258. The temple of Mapdalesvara Mahadeva at Papahera in Banswara State in Southern Rajputana was also built in 1059 A.D. by Mandalika, a Paramara chief of the Vägada branch of the family. See Two Parametra Inscriptions (op. cit.).

to the chamber immediately above the garbhagrha, the edifice 'has not suffered any damage or structural alteration during the nine hundred years that have elapsed since it was built.' The temple is in use, and worship is still carried on there on an elaborate scale. To the north of the temple of Siddhanātha is an unfinished temple of Viṣnu, of which only the garbhagrha stands.'

The large temple of Bhojesvara is situated at Bhojpur twenty miles to the south of the city of Bhopal. It appears to be named after the great Paramāra king Bhoja, and has been assigned to the eleventh or twelfth century A. D. In plan the building is a simple square; and an incomplete but richly carved dome is supported by four massive pillars, each of which is 40 feet in height. Inside the building stands a colossal *linga*, seven and a half feet high and more than seventeen feet in circumference, on a platform made of three superimposed blocks of sand-stone.

An important group of Saiva temples, fairly well-preserved, exists in the large township of Un in the Nimar District of Indore State. It has been said that 'with the exception of Khajuraho in central India, there is no other place in Northern India where so many ancient temples are still to be found intact. The carvings on the temples at Un are slightly less elaborate than those on the celebrated temples of Khajuraho, but in all other respects they would compare very favourably with the Khajuraho group.' There are at least seven temples of Siva of which the largest is called Chaubara Dera. It has an elaborately carved magnificent mandapa with a large porch in the front and two small ones on the sides. The mandapa is supported by four round carved pillars, and the dome over it is richly carved in the style of the domes of the marble temple of Vastupāla-Tejahpāla on Mount Abu. The group of buildings includes a large temple of Siva called Mahākāleśvara* and another of the same name. The Siva temple of Vallalesvara appears to have been rebuilt at a later date when a round dome like that of a mosque was built in place of the sikhara. A beautifully carved temple of Siva called Nilakanthesvara stands inside the modern village, and close to it is a small underground temple of Siva called Guptesvara. The shrine of which only a small underground chamber remains, must have been originally below the ground level, as the level of the floor of the garbhagrha is about thirty feet below the level of the floor of the sanctum in the temple of Nilakanthesvara.

¹ Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, for the year ending 31st March, 1921, pp. 98-106.

² Ganguly: History of the Paramara Dynasty, p. 270.

⁸ Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, for the year ending 31st March, 1919, pp. 61-64. Plates. XVIII-XXI.

⁴ A temple dedicated to Siva under the name of Mākālesvara (Mahākālesvara) also exists in the village of Makla in the Mehidpur District of Indore State. This district lies in the centre of Mālava and is very close to Ujjain. The mandapa of the temple has been rebuilt, but the garbhagrha is intact, and provides a good example of Hindu temple architecture of the 11th and 12th centuries in Mālva. Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle. 1920. n. 101.

A typically Saiva sculpture representing Siva and the Seven Mothers is found engraved on doors and lintels in some of the temples including the Chaubara Dera. An inscription in the latter temple mentions Udayaditya of Malava who is known to have reigned in the latter part of the eleventh century. This is no doubt an important clue to the age of the temples at Un. Another inscription in the Chaubara Dera temple engraved on the figure of a serpent exhibits the Sanskrit alphabet and some of the conjugational terminations; and this had apparently an educational purpose. Two similar inscriptions, on a larger scale, are engraved on two pillars in the Bhojasalā or School of King Bhoja, now the Masjid of Kamal Maula, at Dhar.

The island of Māndhātā in the Narmadā, in the Nimar District of Central Provinces, south-east of Indore, was a seat of Saiva worship at an early age, probably long before the tenth century. To judge from

The tableland or plateau at the foot of which Bhanpura lies is thickly studded with ancient remains, and shows ample signs of having been at one time a thickly populated locality of ancient Mālava.' The remains on this plateau include those of two old temples at Navali or Naoli. One of these is the temple of Nandikeśvara which stands at the southern end of the villaga. The temple was partly rebuilt at a later date with the old materials. The mandapa has disappeared, but the sanctum still stands. The linga of Nandikeśvara is octagonal at the bottom and round at the top.

Old Vaispava temples are found here and there in Indore State. The old village of Kohala, for instance, in the Garoth District in northern Indore, six miles from Bhanpura, contains three temples dedicated to Vispu. The largest is the temple of Varaha which contains one of the finest images of the Boar Incarnation. Of the other two temples that of Lakami-Nārāyapa is one of the best preserved monuments in Mālava. The sikhara is tall and slender, and resembles that of the temple of Mahākālesvara at Un.' The temple of Caturbhuja is exactly similar in plan, but the sikhara is far inferior to that of Lakami-Nārāyapa. The temple of Sesasāyin exists at the village of Kethuli which lies at the foot of the plateau on which Navali (see above) is situated. An old temple of Vispu, partly rebuilt, exists at Kukdesvar. 'With the exception of the roof, the porch in front and the Mandapa are of the same date as the old garbhagrha on the west, i. a. 12th or 13th century A. D.' The existing Vaispava temples in Mālava are of uncertain date; and there is apparently no Vaispava temple which can compare in grandeur and magnitude with any of the outstanding major temples dedicated to Siva. See Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Uircis, for 1920.

Ibid. p. 46. Remains of old Siva temples are fairly numerous in Indore State, many of which have been rebuilt in later times. Two or three of these temples may be mentioned. The village of Modi, seven miles to the west of Bhanpura in the north of Indore State, contains the ruins of a number of temples, one of which is still in position. The temple was dedicated to Siva, but only the garbhagiha is intact. The lintel of the door leading to the interior of the sanctum bears the figure of Siva as Lakulisa, and some of the large stones of the basement bear inscriptions in characters of the 12th century A. D.' The village of Kukdesvar, ten miles to the west of Rampura in the north of Indore State, contains the temple of Sahasra-mukhesvara. The old temple having collapsed, the present structure was built in its place. But the linga in the sanctum is very old and a real sahasra-linga. It consists of a huge linga about three feet in height and twelve to sixteen inches in diameter. The body of the linga is covered with rows of miniature lingas of uniform shape and size measuring about an inch and a half in height.'

the evidence of the Revākhanda included in the Skandapurāna, the banks of the river were studded with holy places beginning from the sacred Amarkantak on the Maikal Range in Central India. All the temples on the island are devoted to the worship of Siva or his associate deities; but Muslim vandalism has done its work so thoroughly (from about 1400 a. D.) that 'every dome has been overthrown, every figure mutilated.' There are numerous temples ancient and modern; but the age of the older shrines does not appear to have been accurately determined.

The celebrated shrine of Omkāra, a form of Siva, is situated on the island, and that of Amaresvara on the southern bank of the river. These are among the most famous of the ancient Lingas in India; and pilgrims who have vowed to visit the twelve Jyotirlingas offer their adorations to both Omkāra and Amaresvara. References to Omkāra and Amaresvara are occasionally found in the inscriptions of the Paramara kings of Malava. The Mandhata plates of Jayasimha issued in 1055 A. p. record the grant of a village for the maintenance of the Brāhmanas of the pattasālā at the holy site of Amaresvara. An inscription of Arjunavarman issued in 1215 A. D. during his sojourn at Amareévara says that he granted certain lands to a Brāhmana after having worshipped Omkāra, the lord of Bhavānī. Three plates recording a grant of Devapala in 1225 A. D. were found near the temple of Siddheśvara at Māndhātā. Evidently the Nimar District was included in the dominions of the Paramaras of Malava in the early part of the thirteenth century A. D. Earlier than this, the famous Paramara king Vākpati Munja, who reigned towards the end of the tenth century a. n., is said to have built many temples and embankments at places like Ujjain, Mahesvara and Māndhātā.

'The oldest of the Sivaite temples is probably that on the Birkhala rocks. Unlike the other temples, which present the ordinary shrine and porch, it consists of a courtyard, with a verandah and colonnades supported on massive pillars, boldly carved in rectilineal figures. 'On the hill stand the ruins of a very fine temple, now called Siddhesvara Mahādeva. To each of the four sides of the shrine was added a projection containing a doorway; and before every doorway was a porch resting on fourteen pillars, elaborately carved and about 14 feet high.' 'The temple of Gauri Somanatha appears to be an old shrine rebuilt with lime. Somanatha himself is a gigantic linga, now black, but once white, as his name denotes.' It may be noted that traces of Vaisnavism are not wholly absent in the Mandhata region. The north bank of the river opposite contains some old structures devoted to Vișnu. 'Where the Narmada bifurcates are the remains of some gateways and a large shapeless building containing twenty-four figures of Visnu and his avatāras, carved in good style in a close-grained green stone. Among them is a large Varaha or Boar Avatara covered with the same panoply of sitting figures as that at Khandwa.'

¹ Ganguli: History of the Paramara Dynasty, pp. 63, 124, 202, 210.

² Imperial Gasetteer of India, Vol. IX, p. 293 ff.

BUNDELKHAND AND BAGHELKHAND

From Mālava we may pass on to Bundelkhand which was an important centre of Saivism during the rule of the Chandel kings in the tenth, eleventh and twelfth centuries. The Chandel Kingdom included Khajuraho (now a village in Chhatarpur State, Central India), Kālanjar in Bāndā Dt., U. P. and Mahoba (in Hamirpur Dt., U.P.). Khajuraho is famous for its group of magnificent temples second in importance only to the Bhuvanesvar group in Northern India. The splendour of Khajuraho reached its climax in the tenth century during the reign of Dhanga (c. 950-1000 A.D.), who was a devout Saiva, and died, according to an inscription, at Prayaga, 'fixing his thoughts on Rudra, and muttering holy prayers.' The northern style of temple architecture found its most perfect expression in the edifices at Khajuraho, which were designed on a grand scale, executed in a fine sandstone, and richly adorned with sculpture. Dhanga's father Yasovarman (c. 930-50 A. D.) built the magnificent Caturbhuj temple completed in 954 A. D. 1; but the largest building of the Khajuraho group is the great Siva temple called Kandariyā Mahādeo, believed to have been erected during the tenth century. It is 109 feet in length and 591 feet in width with a height of 1161 feet above the ground. The temple known as Visvanātha is no less grand, the steeple being about 100 feet high, and was, according to an inscription, built during Dhanga's reign. 'The temple of Mrtanga

A temple of Nārāyaṇa was built by queen Citralekhā in 955 A.D. at Bayana in Bharatpur State The relevant inscription recording the fact is incised on a slab in the pavement of the Ukhā mosque at Bayana, now transformed into the Ukhā mandir. A portion of an old Hindu temple found inside the Ukhā mandir might represent the remains of the temple of Nārāyaṇa erected by queen Citralekhā. Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, 1919, p. 43; 1920, p. 109.

The temple contains a marble lings 4½ feet in girth. Cunningham counted no less than 226 statues inside the temple, and 646 outside, or 872 statues altogether, of which the greater number are from 2½ to nearly 3 feet in height. The richness of the carvings is rivalled by the profusion of the sculptures. The general effect of this gorgeous luxury of embellishment is extremely pleasing, although the eye is often distracted by the multiplicity of the details.

The Viśvanātha temple is $87\frac{1}{2}$ feet long by 46 feet broad. Its plan is similar to that of the Kandariyā temple, 'but it is altogether in better preservation itself, and its five subordinate temples are still standing or traceable at the four corners of its terrace, and in front of the entrance.' Cunningham counted 602 statues of from 2 to $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet in height 'in the different ranges of sculpture of this gorgeous temple.' Inside the shrine there is a lings. 'Inside the entrance portion of this temple, there are two large inscribed slabs which are dated respectively, in the Samvat years 1056 and 1058 or a. d. 999 and 1001.' The earlier inscription records the building of the temple to Siva and the dedication of a lings made of emerald (marakatamayam) by Rājā Dhangs. The temple itself is said to have been called Pramathanātha, but the emerald lings no longer exists. Facing the entrance of the Viśvanātha temple is a small open temple which contains a colossal recumbent figure of the buil Nandi. 'In plan it is a square of 16 feet with 12 pillars and a small open porch of two pillars on each of the four sides, thus forming an open temple of 20 pillars'. 'It evidently forms part of the Viśvanātha temple, and must be of the same date.'

Mahadeo' is remarkable for its large dome of overlapping stones, with a diameter of 22 feet, without extraneous support'. The temples are in more or less satisfactory preservation, which is largely due to the fact that Khajurāho luckily escaped the fury of Muslim vandalism.

There are two more temples on the Kurar Nala to the south of the village, called Nilkanth Mahādeo and Kunwār Math. The former is a mass of ruins; but inside the sanctum there still exists the argha or pedestal of a linga. A pilgrim's inscription carved on the wall of the sanctum bears the date Samvat 1174 (a. p. 1117), and refers to the deity as Gaura or the White God. The temple seems to have been erected in the tenth or eleventh century. The Kunwār Math is also dedicated to Siva, whose figure occupies the central position over the doorway of the sanctum, between Brahmā and Viṣnu. It is one of the finest temples at Khajurāho, and 66 feet long and 33 feet broad outside. There is no inscription to fix the date of the temple; but as the word Vasala, a meson's mark, is repeated several times on the stones of both of the Kurar Nala temples, Cunningham infers that they are of the same age, that is, the tenth or eleventh century. At Jatkari, 1½ miles to the south of Khajurāho, there are two dilapidated temples, one of which is dedicated to Siva whose linga of marble is enshrined within.

Kālanjar, with its strong fortress, was the military stronghold of the Chandel kings; and from early times the hill was famous as a favourite resort of Saiva ascetics. The buildings at Kālanjar are not, however, important as architecture. 'The upper gate, leading to the outwork in the middle of the west face, where the great lingam of Nīlakantha stands, is attributed by local tradition to king Parmāl or Paramardi (1165–1203 A. D.).' A hymn of praise to Siva composed by Paramardi is inscribed on a slab at Kālanjar bearing the date 1201 A. D.

At Mahoba there is the Madan Sāgar lake associated with the Chandel king Madanavarman (1128-1165 A.D.). A fairly well-preserved granite temple, equal in size to the largest of the sandstone temples at Khajurāho, stands on a rocky island in the north west corner of the lake. The shrine is known as Kakrā Marh and was dedicated to Siva. The ruins of a second temple dedicated to Viṣṇu, called Madārī, exist on another islet in the lake. Both the temples are ascribed to the reign of Madanavarman.

The Devi cult is almost everywhere associated with Saivism; and it is noteworthy that a tribal goddess called Maniya Deo (Devi) was the tutelary deity of the Chandels. Maniya Devi appears to be akin to the Gond deities, and reminds one of Vindhyavāsinī, who was worshipped by the hill tribes of the Vindhya region, as described by Vākpati in his Gaüdavaho. There are

Called also Mrtyuñjaya Mahādeva. It is a large square temple enshrining a colossal linga, 8 feet in height and 3 feet 8 inches in diameter. 'It is 24½ feet square inside and 35 feet square outside, with a projecting porch 18½ feet long and 9½ feet broad on each side.' 'It is situated 30 feet to the south of the Caturbhuj temple, and is most probably of the same age' (i. e. the middle of the tenth century A. D.).

three known shrines of the goddess, at Mahoba, at Maniyagarh, a large ruined fort, situated on a hill overlooking the town of Rajgarh in Chhatarpur State, and at the village of Barel in Hamirpur District. The Devi Jagadambi temple at Khajuraho is among the best, and like the others, has been assigned to the tenth century.

There is no doubt that Saivism was the prevailing religion in Bundel-khand, and the architectural grandeur of Khajurāho rests on the great temples dedicated to Siva: but the cult of Viṣṇu had also a recognised place, as shown by some of the temples dedicated to that deity.¹ The Baghārī inscription found near Mahoba commemorates the building of temples to both Viṣṇu and Siva by the ministers of Parmāl or Paramardi in 1195 A. D. We may refer in this connection to the allegorical play Prabodhacandrodaya, which was produced during the reign of the Chandel king Kīrtivarman, about the year 1065 A. D.: it refers to shrines of Viṣṇu (v. 5) and glorifies Viṣṇubhakti (Faith in Viṣṇu), one of the prominent characters in the play. It may, however, be noted that Siva is glorified in the second verse of the prologue; and we are told in v. 9 that the Absolute is variously designated as Brahmā, Viṣṇu or Siva.³

Saivism was prevalent not only in Bundelkhand but in other areas of Central India. Jaso, the chief town of the tiny state of that name in Baghelkhand, seems to have been an important place in the middle ages. It contains an old temple of Siva and a large tank called the Rekra Talav. Two colossal images of Siva as *Tripurāri* and *Naţeśa* were found close to the Rekra Talav. The temple of Kumhra Mahādeva is a building in the Khajurāho style of about the eleventh or twelfth century.

The most important is the Caturbhuj completed in 954 a. D. (see above). 'The temple is 85 feet 4 inches in length and 44 feet in breadth, or almost exactly the same size as Viśvanātha.' Immediately to the east of the Caturbhuj temple is a small open temple containing a colossal statue of the Varāha Avatāra of Viṣṇu No. 17 in Cunningham's list is a large temple situated close to the north end of the village. 'The temple is called Vāmana or the Dwarf Incarnation of Viṣṇu, a name which is certainly not correct; for, although there is a large statue of the dwarf god, 4 feet 8 inches high, enshrined inside, yet there is a small figure of Siva himself over the centre of the entrance to the sanctum, with Brahmā and Viṣṇu to the right and left.' One or two more temples of Viṣṇu are mentioned by Cunningham.

² For the Chandel kings and their monuments see Vincent Smith: History and Coinage of the Chandel dynasty in Indian Antiquary, 1908. 'The remains, more or less complete, of more than thirty temples are traceable at Khajurāho and the neighbouring village of Jatkari.' See also Cunningham: Archaeological Survey of India, Vol. II, pp. 412-39. Cunningham writing in 1865 says that Khajurāho is still frequented by pilgrims who assemble in thousands for the celebration of the Sivarātri in the month of Phālgun, at which time an annual fair is held. It may be noted that a similar fair is held at Elephanta on the occasion of the Sivarātri festival every year in February when pilgrims visit the island in large numbers to worship the Linga enshrined in the great cave temple in the western hill. Sastri: A Guide to Elephanta, p. 32.

³ Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, for the year saiding S1 st March, 1919, p. 60.

It may be noted that the history of Saivism in this region goes back to much earlier times. Seven miles to the south-east of Jaso are the ruins of the ancient city of Nachna Kuthara in Ajaygadh State in Bundelkhand. Some of the oldest known Hindu temples in India have been discovered at this place. The earliest of these is a flat-roofed temple surrounded by a narrow covered veranda on three sides. 'There was a very small mandapa or porch in front of the only door of the shrine, which has collapsed entirely.' Over the shrine is a small upper chamber instead of a sikhara, with a flat roof slightly raised at the centre. The temple is well-preserved, but without any idol, and has been assigned to the early Gupta period, i. e., 4th - 5th century A. D. To the south-west of this temple there is a large stone-built temple of Siva with a tall spire, which has been assigned to the later Gupta age. The mandapa in front has collapsed, and 'inside the temple there is a huge four-faced linga (Caturmukha Mahadeva) which is certainly earlier in date than the temple itself.' 'To the east of the Gupta temple are the remains of another temple of Mahādeva of which only the foundations and the linga remain. The ground around is strewn with carved blocks of stone from which it appears that this temple was of the same date as the temple of the four-faced Mahadeva.'1

The Nāgod State area, now included in the Baghelkhand Agency of Central India, appears to have been an early focus of Saivism, and continued as such till medieval times. An ancient temple of Siva exists at Bhumara, a village twelve miles to the west of the town of Unchehra in Nāgod State. It is flat-roofed and similar in all respects to the early Gupta temple at Nachna except that there is no upper chamber over the shrine. The similarity in size and plan and the nature of the carvings indicates that both the temples belong to the same age, probably the middle of the fifth century A. D. As in the case of the temple at Nachna, the mandapa has collapsed, but inside the shrine was found a huge ekamukha linga measuring a little more than six feet in height.

In the small hamlet of Sankargadh, in Nagod State, there is, at the cot of a small conical hill, an ancient tank, now almost silted up, on the banks of which exist a very large collection of Saiva sculptures and a very small stone temple of the later Gupta period. Two paved foot-paths lead to the door of the temple which stands on a mound, and on both sides of the foot-paths an unusually large number of lingus have been arranged. The temple consists of a single chamber with one door; and the interior of the shrine is perfectly plain, and contains a very large lingu. The sikhara of the temple was added at a later date, perhaps in the 10th or 11th century, sculptures of which period are found scattered around the edifice. 'No inscriptions were discovered at the place, but from the technique the lower part of the temple can be assigned to the sixth century A. D.'. The images gathered around the shrine

¹ Ibid., pp. 53, 61.

² R. D. Banerji: The temple of Siva at Bhumara (Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India. No. 16).

Burn of the

include a very fine image of Siva and Pārvatī; and it is apparent from the carving that the sculpture belongs to the later Gupta period, i. e. 6th or 7th century A. D. There is besides a very large collection of images of Siva and Pārvatī at this place, most of which belong to the 11th and 12th centuries A. D. Close by are the ruins of a large medieval temple of Siva of the 11th or 12th century A. D. There must have been other temples also at this place, as in all some 15 or 20 lingus were discovered within a short area, some of which belong to the earlier periods, as they are natural Phallic symbols of the God of Destruction.

The villages of Khoh and Majhgawan, in Nagod State, contain ruins extending over three miles in length, in which the remains of a large and once populous city lie buried. The biggest mound is the Ataria Khera where the remains of a Vaisnava temple were partly excavated by Cunningham, who discovered at the same place a fine image of the Boar Incarnation of Visnu. This area, too, seems to have been a centre of the Saiva cult. A number of ekamukha lingas was found in the village and in the mounds surrounding it, and broken images of Mahisamardini were found both at Khoh and Majhgawan. More important is the neighbouring site known as Nakti-ka-Talai, which contains the remains of two time stone-built temples of the early Gupta period. They are Siva temples and stand side by side, hidden from view by thick bamboo groves. Here was also found one of the finest known ekamukha lingus, well-preserved and bearing the bust of Siva on its western face. Siva is represented with three eyes, and his crescent is carved in relief on the fore part of the mass of matted hair on the head. 'The face of this bust is a masterpiece of the plastic art of the early Gupta period.'1

The evidence of temples and sculptures shows that Saivism was firmly established in certain areas of Central India in the Gupta period. In the tenth century and after, Saivism was the prevailing religion in the area corresponding to Rewa State during the rule of the Cedi or Haihaya kings of Tripuri. Here are some important Saiva monuments which claim our attention.

The territory of the Haihayas of Tripuri in the 10th-12th centuries was the Dāhala country or the modern district of Jubbulpur; but under the more powerful princes the Cedi kingdom included 'that portion of Central India which lies to the south of the river Tons, consisting of the modern States of Nagod, Maihar, the eastern part of Panna and the western part of the northern division of Rewa State.' The existing monuments of the Cedi country consist chiefly of Saiva monasteries and temples, and are found for the most part in Rewa State and the district of Jubbulpur.' We have already referred to

¹ For Sankargadh, Khoh etc. see Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, for the year ending 31st March, 1920.

² For details see R. D. Banerji: The Haihayas of Tripuri and their monuments (Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India, No. 23). All the monuments have not been fully explored, and many of them are not in a good state of preservation.

61

the influence of the Saiva monks of the Mattamayura line and their monasteries in the Cedi country. As regards temples, the remains are found chiefly in Rewa State: at Chandrehe and Gurgi, not far from Rewa town, and at Bhirpur, Amarkantak and Sohagpur. The Siva temple at Chandrehe was built about the middle of the tenth century A. D. It is the earliest specimen of the circular type of temples which came into vogue in this part of the country during the tenth century. The ruins of another temple of the same type were found near the village of Masaun in the neighbourhood of Gurgi, twelve miles due east of Rewa Town. Temples of this kind, with circular garbhagrhas, are extremely rare; and it has been suggested that this type of temple architecture was designed by the builders employed by the Saiva monks of the Mattamayura sect in the Cedi country. The Gurgi temple appears to belong to the same period as the one at Chandrehe which it closely resembles; and it is probable that it might be the Siva temple which, according to the Gurgi inscription of Prabodhašiva, was built by the abbot Prasantasiva, close to the very high temple of Siva built by Yuvarājadeva I, who ruled in the first half of the tenth century. The latter temple seems to have been built on the Gurgaj mound, at Gurgi, where the sites of two large temples are now marked by deep pits and the overturned colossal figures which were once enshrined inside'. figures in question refer to a four-armed Durga nine feet high, seated on a lion, and a still larger sculpture of Siva and Parvatī lying on its face, the slab being more than twelve feet long by more than five feet broad. 'If the temples which occupied this mound bore any proportion to the size of the colossal figures which they enshrined, they must have been of considerable size, certainly not less than 100 feet in height.'

Among other temples of the Cedi region may be mentioned that of Virātesvara Šiva at Sohagpur, the Karan Mandir at the sacred site of Amarkantak, and the temple of Vaidyanatha Mahadeva at Baijnath, all in Rewa The Karan Mandir is a Siva temple ascribed by local tradition to Karna Raja, the powerful Haihaya king who ruled in the third quarter of the eleventh century a. b. It is a temple of rare design having three separate shrines; but it never was completed. 'The superb magnificence of such a temple with its three tall and profusely sculptured lofty towers of graceful outline can only be realised by actual sight.' Lastly, the Bheraghat inscription of Alhanadevi, the widow of king Gayakarna, issued in 1155 A. D., records the foundation of a temple of Siva with a matha or monastery and a half of study and gardens around them. 'This temple, or rather the lower part of its garbhagrha, still exists and is known by the name of the temple of Gaurisamkara.' It stands on the summit of a hillock at Bheraghat, better known as the Marble Rocks, thirteen miles from Jubbulpur. The temple is located within the circular enclosure of the shrine of the Sixty-four Yoginis of which we have spoken.1

It will be thus seen that the early monuments of the Cedi country are almost exclusively devoted to the cult of Siva. It is evident that at the time

¹ See Chap. XV.

APPENDIX III 488

when Somadeva wrote, Saivism was predominant in central India as in the south. We may now turn to Orissa.

ARRINO

Early medieval Orissa was an important centre of Saivism. Bhuvanesvara in the Puri District is the home of early temples dedicated to Siva, the oldest being the Parasuramesvara assigned to the eighth century A. D. The temple of Muktesvara, famous for its sculptures representing scenes of religious life, for example, ascetics and disciples and the worship of Siva, is somewhat later. The temple of Lingaraja, regarded as the most stupendous edifice of its kind in Orissa, is placed about the middle of the tenth century. Close to it is situated the small but exquisitely beautiful temple of Parvati, which is probably of the same date as its great neighbour. Other important Saiva temples at Bhuvanesvara include the Brahmesvara (eleventh century). which stands on a platform with four smaller temples at the corners, and thus occupies a position similar to that of the temple of Gondesvara at Sinar, twenty miles from Nasik. The beautiful temple of Meghesvara situated close to the Brahmesvara was built towards the end of the twelfth century; while the Siva temple of Catesvara was built about the year 1220 A.D. in the village of Krishnapur in the Cuttack District.8 It may be noted that the only architecturally important Vaisnava temple at Bhuvanesvara is the Ananta-Vasudeva said to have been built by Bhavadeva, a minister of king Harivarman of Eastern Bengal. It cannot be much later than the great temple of Lingaraja.

Interesting early temples dedicated to Siva exist also at Khiching, the old capital of the rulers of Mayurbhanj, and in Baudh State on the right bank of the Mahānadī. The twin temples at the village of Gandharādi, a few miles from Baudh Town, belong to the same style of architecture as the Parasurāmesvara at Bhuvanesvara. If the Parasurāmesvara is assigned to the middle of the eighth century, the Gandharādi temples may be placed towards the close of that century. The two temples are exactly similar to each other: the one is dedicated to Siddhesvara Siva with its sikhara surmounted by a Sivalinga, and the other is a Vaiṣṇava shrine dedicated to Nīlamādhava, with a wheel of blue chlorite on the top of the sikhara. A wheel on the top of the sikhara is a common feature of Vaiṣṇava temples, but the location of an entire Sivalinga on the top of a temple is something unknown in Orissan architecture.

Next in importance are the three Siva temples, situated close to the modern temple of Rāmesvara, in Baudh Town. They have been assigned to the ninth century. Beglar, who saw them in the last quarter of the 19th century,

¹ This section is based on R. D. Banerjea: History of Orissa, Vols. I and II. Chapters 29 and 30 deal with Architecture and Plastic Art respectively. See also R. D. Banerjea: Antiquities of the Baudh State in Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society, Vol. XV.

² See below.

³ R. D. Banerjes (op. cit.), Vol. I, p. 262.

⁴ This view is no longer accepted. See The History of Bengal, Vol. I, p. 203, published by the University of Dacca.

says: 'though small they are gems of art in their own humble way.' R. D. Banerjea says: 'The decorative motifs and the plastic art of the three temples at Baudh are certainly superior to and older than the great Lingarāja-Ananta-Vāsudeva group at Bhuvaneśvara.' A peculiarity of the three temples in Baudh Town is that they have no Mandapa or Jagamohana, as it is called in Orissa, its place being taken by a porch over the entrance. Unfortunately the porch still exists only in one of the temples. Another peculiarity is that in plan the three temples are eight-rayed stars, and the arghapattas of the Lingas are also similar. The Baudh temples are no doubt older than the Lingarāja group at Bhuvaneśvara, specially because the large Jagamohana had not yet come into vogue.'

Khiching likewise was a prominent centre of Saiva worship. It is about ninety miles from Baripada, the present capital of Mayurbhanj, and the site represents the ruins of a large ancient city, which was the home of a people much more civilized than the present aboriginal inhabitants with a sprinkling of Oriya nationals. The principal shrine was that of Cāmundā known as Kincakesvarī; and the Siva temples that have survived are the Kutaitundi or Nīlakantheśvara and the Candrasekhara which is still intact. The Saiva sculptures discovered at Khiching (Umā-Mahesvara, the bust of Siva etc.) bear testimony to the influence of the Saiva cult in the area dominated by the culture of Khiching. The architecture of the three Siva temples in Baudh Town and those at Khiching is believed to represent an intermediate stage between such early specimens as the Parasuramesvara and the Muktesvara at Bhuvanesvara and the twin temples at Gandharadi and the next phase of Orissan art as we find it in the temples of Lingaraja, Brahmesvara and Anantaväsudeva at Bhuvanesvara. The Candrasekhara temple at Khiching has accordingly been assigned to about 900 A. D. A peculiarity of the temples at Khiching, as in the case of the three temples at Baudh, is that they are without any Mandapa or Jagamohana. As a matter of fact, there are certain temples at Bhuvanesvara also, for instance, the Bhaskaresvara, which have no Jagamohana. Some of them are believed to be older than the great temple of Lingarāja.

Most of the early Hindu temples in Orissa are thus dedicated to Siva. The Parasuramesvara at Bhuvanesvara is the earliest. The Gandharadi temples, although one of them is dedicated to Visnu, come next; and the Muktesvara at Bhuvanesvara is placed at the end of the early period. All these edifices are characterized by spires or sikharas of which the curvature is much less pronounced than that of the sikharas of later temples; and in this respect they belong to the same category as such early temples in other parts of India as the later Gupta temple at Nachna Kuthara, the Dasavatara temple at Deogarh in Jhansi District and certain other temples at Aihole.

¹ JBORS, Vol. XV, p. 80.

² See the plates in R. D. Banerjea: History of Orissa, Vol. II.

³ See above.

^{4 &}quot;The Parasuramesvara cannot be very far removed in date from the post-Gupta temple of the Dasavatara at Deogadh in the Jhansi District. The low regularly curving outlines of the temples at Gandharadi and the Parasuramesvara belong

The predominance of the great Saiva centre at Bhuvanesvara, or Amratirtha, continued for centuries until it was eclipsed by the final establishment of the cult of Jagannatha at Purusottama or Puri. The great temple of Jagannatha at Puri (the Vimana or the sanctum and the Jagamohana) was erected by Anantavarman Codaganga (1078-1148 A. D.) towards the close of the eleventh century, but the architectural style is considered to be degenerate in comparison with the classic examples of Orissan art at Bhu-The Eastern Gangas of Kalinga extended their rule over the whole of Orissa in the second half of the eleventh century. But their tutelary deity was Gokarnasvämin, or Gokarnesvara Siva, who is so often mentioned in their inscriptions, and whose shrine was located on the top of the Mahendra mountain in Ganjam District. Vajrahasta (1038-68 A.D.), the grandfather of Anantavarman Codaganga, is called parama-māhesvara or a devout worshipper of Siva in one of his inscriptions. Nevertheless the establishment of the temple of Jagannatha at Puri was a turning-point in the religious history of Orissa. A famous Vaisnava scholar, Naraharitīrtha, a disciple of Anandatirtha, the founder of the Madhva school, was the regent of Orissa for twelve years during the minority of Narasimha II (1278-1306) A. D.), and built the temple of Yogananda-Nrsimha at Sri-Kurmam in Chikakole Tāluku of the Ganjam District in 1281 A.D. Other inscriptions recording gifts by Naraharitīrtha have been found in the temple of Kūrmeśvara at Sri-kurmam and that of Lakşmi-Narasimhasvāmin at Simhācalam near Waltair. The final triumph of Vaisnavism, or rather Neo-Vaisnavism, in Orissa was not, however, achieved until long after the fall of the Eastern Gangas. The new empire of Orissa extending from Medinipur to Trichinopoly was built up by Kapilendra (1435-70), who liberally patronized the great temple at Puri. His successor Purusottama (1470-97) added the Bhogamandapa to the temple of Jagannatha. It was during the reign of his successor Prataparudra (1497-1541) that Neo-Vaisnavism became paramount in the religious life of Orissa owing to the long residence of the Bengali saint Caitanyadeva in the country and his powerful influence on the king and the people. Unfortunately, as ably demonstrated by R. D. Banerjea, the supremacy of the new Vaisnavism in Orissa coincided with the political downfall of the country; and "the acceptance of Vaisnavism or rather Neo-Vaisnavism was the real cause of the Musalman conquest of Orissa twenty-eight years after the death of Prataparudra." So far as the equilibrium of faiths was concerned, the predominance of

to the same type as the Sikharas of the Deogadh temple and the later temple at Nachna Kuthara. Sometime during the course of the 9th century A. D., temple types in Orissa began to change and the Sikhara grew taller. It was at this time that the curve of the spire became abrupt near the Amalaka. The only known examples of this type are the three small temples in Baudh town." JBORS, Vol XV, p. 80. The Daśāvatāra temple at Devagarh on the Vetravati river is believed to be a monument of the Gupta age (early fifth century A. D.). It was dedicated to Viṣṇu whose image must have been installed in the sanctum. A short inscription in Gupta Brāhmi characters on a big square pillar refers to the gift (dōna) of Bhāgavata Govinda, obviously the founder of the temple. See Dr. V. S. Agrawala's paper on the temple in Art and Thought (Luzac, 1947), p. 51.

the cult of Jagannätha inevitably led to the decay of the older cults of Siva at Bhuvanesvara and elsewhere.

In the tenth century or thereabouts the Saiva centre_at Bhuvanesvara appears to have been as important as any other centre of Saiva worship in Central or Western or South India. About this time Bhuvanesvara was included in the dominions of the Somavamsi kings, who at first ruled in the Eastern part of Mahākosala corresponding to the Chattisgarh Division of Central Provinces, and later extended their control over portions of northern and central Orissa. These kings appear to have been Saivas. Mahabhavagupta Janamejaya who appears to have reigned at the beginning of the tenth century is described in one of his charters as a devout worshipper of Siva. His successor Mahāśivagupta Yayāti is probably the same as the king Yayāti-Keśarī who, according to Orissan tradition, built the massive temple of Lingaraja at Bhuvaneśvara. As we have seen, even on architectural grounds, this edifice has been assigned to the tenth century. Similarly, the temple of Brahmesvara at Bhuvanesvara is said to have been built by the mother of Udyotakesari, described as seventh in descent from Janamejaya, probably the same as Mahabhayagupta Janamejaya. The temple thus appears to have been erected in the early part of the eleventh century.1

Among the kings of earlier dynasties who ruled in different parts of Orissa, Sagguyayyana of the Mana dynasty, who reigned in Southern Tosali or Tosala (the area to the south of the Chilka lake), is described as paramamahesvara or devout worshipper of Siva in an inscription issued by his feudatory Sivarāja in the Gupta year 283 = 603 A.D. The early Karas who ruled over Central Orissa in the eighth century A. D. were Buddhists. Among the later Karas, the queen Tribhuvana Mahādevī is described as a devout worshipper of Visnu; while the Hindol plate of Subhakara II records the grant of a village in Northern Tosali or Tosala (the area around Bhuvanesvara and Puri) for the benefit of the temple of Pulindesvara and the maintenance of Saiva ascetics. Among the Bhanja chiefs of Khinjali who ruled in the eighth and ninth centuries. Satrubhanja, one of whose grants was issued in 744 A.D. and his successor Ranabhanja I are described in their inscriptions as worshippers of Visnu: but Netrbhañja, the son of Ranabhañja I, is called a devout worshipper of Siva. The tutelary deity of these Bhanjas was Stambhesvari who appears to have been a tribal goddess like Maniyadevi of Bundelkhand (see above), or the more famous Vindhyavāsinī Devī worshipped by the Sabaras. The cult of these goddesses was, as a rule, affiliated to the Tantric aspect of medieval

¹ R. D. Banerjes (op. cit.), Vol. I, Chap. XIV; Vol. II, pp. 356, 366, 373.

² Ibid. Vol. I, p. 118.

³ R. D. Banerjea (op. cit.), Vol. I. p. 158.

⁴ The territory corresponding to the States of Gangpur, Bonai, Bamra, Rairakho, Sonpur, Patna and Karond with a portion of Sambalpur, mostly inhabited by aboriginal tribes, was known as Khiñjali. It was divided into two parts by the river Mahānadi. R. D. Banerjes (op. cit.), Vol. I, p. 6.

⁵ Ibid. Chap. XII.

Saivism. It may be added that the existence of prominent centres like Bhuvanesvara and Khiching provides more conclusive evidence of the prevalence of the Saiva cult in early medieval Orissa than the religious predilections of individual rulers.

DECCAR

From Orissa we may pass on to the Deccan which was one of the earliest centres of Saivism in India. Most of the Vākāṭaka kings, who ruled in the area corresponding to Central Provinces and Berar in the fourth and fifth centuries a. b., are described in their inscriptions as paramamahesvara or fervent devotees of Siva. One of them, Rudrasena I, who reigned in the first half of the fourth century A. D., is described as a devotee of Mahabhairava, which shows that Siva was worshipped in both his benign and terrible forms.

The Vākāṭakas were related by marriage to the Bhārasivas who are invariably mentioned in the initial portion of the inscriptions of the former. Gautami-putra, the son of Pravarasena I, married the daughter of the Bhārasiva king Bhavanāga; and the issue of this marriage was Rudrasena I. The Bhārasivas seem to have carved out a principality for themselves on the banks of the Ganges, as they are described as having had their coronation bath with the pure waters of the Ganges acquired by their valour. These Bhārasivas were staunch Saivas, as they are described as having pleased Siva by carrying the sivalinga on their shoulders, resembling in this respect the Vīrasaivas or Lingayats of later times. It is possible that they originally belonged to the Deccan and later established themselves in North India.

The Vākātakas must have built shrines dedicated to Siva, but none of them is known to have survived. Rudrasena I is said to have built a dharmasthāna, probably in honour of Mahābhairava. It is also possible that the ancient flat-roofed temple at Tigowa near Bahuribandh in the Jubbalpur District was dedicated to Siva, like the flat-roofed temple at Bhumara in Nagod State.

It may be noted that the cult of Visnu was patronized by two of the Väkätaka kings. Unlike his predecessors, Rudrasena II worshipped Cakrapāņi (Vispu), probably under the influence of his wife Prabhāvatīguptā, the daughter of the Gupta emperor Candragupta II (380-414 A. D.); while Pṛthiviṣeṇa II, the last known king of the elder branch of the Vākāṭaka dynasty, who reigned in the second half of the fifth century A. D., is described

¹ See, for instance, Mirashi: Pattan plates of Pravarasena II, Epigraphia Indica, Vol. XXIII, pp. 81-88; Kielborn: Bālāghāt Plates of Prithivisona II, Ibid. Vol. IX, pp. 267-71; and Mirashi: The Vakataka Dynasty of the Central Provinces and Berar in Annual Bulleton of the Nagpur University Historical Society, October, 1946.

अंसभारसंनिवेदिः।तशिवकिक्षोद्धस्नकिवसुपरितुष्टसमुल्पादितराजवंशानाम्

⁸ See Mirashi: Pauni Stone Inscription of the Bhara King Bhagadatta, II, Vol. XXIV pp. 11 - 15. 4 See above.

as a parama-bhāgavata or fervent worshipper of Viṣṇu in the Bālāghāt plates. The sacred hill of Rāmagiri with the footprints of Rāma on its slopes, made famous by Kālidāsa in his Maghadūta, was a holy spot in the Vākāṭaka age, and is mentioned as such in the Riddhapur plates of Prabhāvatīguptā which were issued from Rāmagiri. Similarly, the Paṭṭan plates of Pravarasena II (first half of the 5th ceutury A. D.) record the grant of a village called Aśvatthakheṭaka for the maintenance of a charity hall in honour of the foot-prints of Mahāpuruṣa, evidently Viṣṇu or Rāma. It should, however, be noted that Pravarasena II himself is described as a parama-māhesvara or a fervent devotee of Siva in Vākāṭaka inscriptions.

Further light on the prominent position of Saivism in ancient Deccan is thrown by an inscription of the early Rāṣṭrakūta king Abhimanyu issued at Mānapura. It records the grant of a village called Undikavāṭikā to a recluse named Jaṭābhāra in honour of the god Dakṣiṇa-Śiva of Peṭhapaṅgaraka. It was formerly thought that Dakṣiṇa-Śiva might be the deity of the great Śaiva shrine in the Māhadeva Hills in the Hoshangabad District of Central Provinces; and Peṭhapaṅgaraka might be Pagāra, the headquarters of the Zamindari of that name. Mānapura could be Mānapura in Malwa, about twelve miles south-west of Mhow, or Mānapura near Bandhogarh in Rewa State. But, as suggested by Prof. Mirashi, it is much more probable that the Mānapura mentioned in the inscription of Abhimanyu is identical with Mān, the chief sub-division of the Sātārā District of Bombay Province, through which flows the Māngaṅgā, a tributary of the Bhīmā. It is noteworthy that an inscription of Avidheya, another king of the same family, records the grant of a

^{1 &#}x27;रामगिरिखामिनः पादमहात्'. Rāmagıri is now Rāmtek, a wellknown place of pilgrimage near Nagpur. At present the centre of interest is the group of temples on the hill overlooking the town. The temple of Ramacandra, about 500 feet above the town, is the most prominent. The others are dedicated to Laksmana, Kausalya, Satya Narayana. Lakşmi Narayana, Hanuman etc. The temple of Harihara is popularly known as the Dasaratha temple. There are also two temples with huge idols of Narasimha and the remains of a very old temple of the Dwarf incarnation called Trivikrama. One of the temple courts contains a huge image of the Boar Incarnation under a small flat-roofed shrine. An inscription on a wall of the temple of Lakemana contains the names of two kings of the Haihaya family who reigned in Mahākośala (modern Chattisgarh) in the latter part of the fourteenth century A. D., and so gives a clue to the age of the building. It is noteworthy that the aforesaid inscription refers to several Saiva temples, such as Ghaptesvara, Sudhesvara, Kedāra, Ambikānātha, Dharmesvara, Muktisvara etc. Besides, there is a Sivalinga called Dhumresvara Mahadeva, to whom offerings are made by pilgrims before worshipping Rama. The linga is believed to represent Sambuka, the Südra ascetic killed by Rāma. The presence of the Sivalinga in front of the temple of Rama and the enumeration of Siva temples in the inscription mentioned above would seem to indicate the existence of a Saiva cult prior to the foundation of the existing Vaisnava temples. It may also be noted that the group of temples on the hill includes two temples dedicated to Mahisasura-mardini; and down the hill is a temple of Capdika built of massive blocks of hewn stone. For details see Indian Antiquary, 1908. p. 202 ff.

भहायुवयपादम्बसन्नोपयोज्यं'.

village called Pandarangapalli situated on the bank of the Ane river, which is most probably identical with the Yenna, or the Vena, which rises on the Mahabalesvara plateau and falls into a valley to the east of the Mahabalesvara hill in the Satara District. As regards the shrine of Daksina-Siva mentioned in the records of Abhimanyu, there are several Saiva temples on the summit of hills in the Satara District, the best known being that of Mahabalesvara not far from the source of the Kṛṣṇā. Mānapura was no doubt founded by Mananka, the first king of the family, who is mentioned in both the inscriptions; and Abhimanyu and Avidheya were apparently ruling over the territory corresponding to the Satara District of Bombay Province. The inscriptions in question have been assigned to the fifth century A. n. on paleographic grounds; and genealogical considerations have led to Mananka being placed in the last quarter of the fourth century and Abhimanyu towards the end of the fifth century A. D. The rulers of Manapura, or the Rastrakūtas of Manapura, as they have been called, were thus contemporaries of the Vākātakas, and appear to have been, like them, worshippers of Siva. As for the cult of Visnu, it no doubt existed in the Vākātaka age, but seems to have occupied a secondary place; and similar conditions appear to be reflected in the subsequent history of the Deccan.

Saivism played a decisive rôle in the temple architecture of the Deccan and the adjoining countries. The early Calukyas were mostly followers of the Vaisnava cult. The Vaisnava cave at Badami in the Bijapur district was excavated in 578 a. D. under Kirtivarman I; while his brother Mangalisa who succeeded him upon the throne is described as a parama-bhagavata. There is also no doubt that some of the ancient temples at Aihole, now a village, about twelve miles due east of Badami, were dedicated to Vispu.* But, towards the beginning of the eighth century A. D., the Calukyas show a decided leaning to Saivism, and seem to have become worshippers of Siva. It is about this time that Pattadakal, now a small village, about ten miles east of Badami, emerges as an important religious centre with Saivism playing a dominant rôle. Three Saiva temples of considerable size were built here, the oldest being the massive temple of Vijayesvara, now called that of Sangamesvara built by Vijayaditya (696-733 A.D.). Of the other two, the temple of Virūpāksa (Lokesvara) is the largest and the most important of the old temples in the Kanarese districts. It was built by Lokamahadevi, the queen consort of Vikramaditya II (733-746 A.D.), in commemoration of his having thrice conquered Kanci or Conjeveram. Including the eastern and western gateways, the building measures 250 feet over all, of which the main building occupies a length of 120 feet.' Within the great hall are eighteen heavy square pillars, decorated with sculptures representing scenes from the Ramavana and the Mahabharata. Close to the temple of Virupaksa is that of Mallikarjuna, which has been identified with the temple of Trajlokyesvara

¹ Mirachi: The Rastrakutas of Manapura in ABORI, Vol. XXV, p. 36.

² For details see Cousens: Chalukyan Architecture of the Kanarese Districts.

Archaeological Survey of India, Vol. XIII, 1926.

³ See below (section on Vaispavism).

erected by the younger queen of Vikramaditya II, named Trailokyamahadevi, a sister of Lokamahadevi. The general plan and design are the same as in Virupāksa, but many parts of this temple have been left unfinished. The temples of Vijayeśvara, Virūpākṣa and Trailokyeśvara form an imposing group; and an inscription which gives us information about these three temples is engraved upon a great column which stands upon the north side of Virupaksa. It was erected by a sculptor, named Subhadeva, for a Saiva teacher named Jñānasiva who had come from a place on the north bank of the Ganges, in 754 There are certain other old A. D., during the reign of Kirtivarman II. Saiva temples at Pattadakal, such as those of Kāśīviśvanātha, Galaganātha and Kādasiddheśvara, but they are smaller in size, and of uncertain date; and there exist also the remains of two other temples of the same class, namely, Jambhulinga and Candrasekhara. Far more important is the large ornate temple of Pāpanātha, which cannot be later than that of Virūpākṣa, but seems to have been dedicated to Visnu, as shown by the Garuda emblem over the shrine door. There are, however, indications that it was, at a later date, converted to Saiva worship.1

Siva temples are found also at Badami, in the south-east corner of the Bijapur district, the former Vātāpi, a capital of the early Cālukyas. The oldest and the best preserved is the Mālegitti-śivālaya, 'the Saiva shrine of the female Garland-maker,' 56 feet in length, and of the same style as the older temples at Aihole. 'It is a complete Dravidian temple.... All its parts are heavy and massive and well proportioned to one another.' It seems to have been originally dedicated to Viṣṇu, with that deity in the ceiling, and Garuḍa on the lintel of the shrine doorway. Another interesting temple at Badami is the smaller one of Lakulīśa, an incarnation of Siva, whose image is seated upon the altar in the shrine, and represented nude as usual. Badami possesses yet another old Saiva temple, that of Virūpākṣa, which is still in use.

In a secluded glen between Paṭṭadakal and Badami is the temple of Mahākūṭeśvara, which may be ascribed to the early part of the sixth century A. D., as an inscription of 601 A. D., engraved on a column found near the temple, records an additional grant made in continuation of a previous endowment of the god Siva under the name of Makuṭeśvaranātha. Another temple, which, on the grounds of style and 'more cyclopean-looking masonry', is regarded as older than the seventh century A. D., is that of Huchchimalli-Guḍi at Aihole. Unfortunately 'the interior of the shrine has been totally wrecked, portions of the lings and the paving of the floor lying about in great confusion'. An inscription of 708 A. D., upon the front of

^{1 &#}x27;There is a dvārapāla, four-armed, on either side of the shrine door....... In the upper left hand of the south figure is a tritula, but it has been made of plaster, evidently after the temple was converted to Saiva worship.' There is also the tändava sculpture on the face of the tower. On the other hand, 'the antadikpāla ceiling, in the centre of the hall, has Viṣṇu reclining upon Seṣa as its central panel instead of the tāndava of Siva which is usual in such ceilings found in Saiva temples.' Cousens (op. cit.), p. 69.

the temple, records a grant of oil to the priest of the temple by the Calukya king Vijayaditya, the builder of the Vijayasvara temple.

APPENDIX III

The Calukvas were supplanted by the Raştrakütas about the middle of the eighth century A. D. The latter achieved little in the way of building structural temples, but they 'had their own works in hand in the north, notably the great excavations at Elura, which extended from the Dasa Avatara cave to the extensive group of Jaina caves around the Indra and Jagannath Sabhas.' These included the great monolithic temple of Kailāsa, dedicated to Siva, which appears to have been excavated at Elūrā or Ellora, about fourteen miles northwest of Aurangabad, during the reign of Krsna I who ruled between 756 and 775 A.D. He is described as having built many temples of Siva, resembling the Kailasa mountain; and, it was under the orders of this monarch that a most marvellous Siva temple, evidently the great Kailāsa temple, is said to have been constructed on the hill at Elāpura (Elūrā). The Kailāsa resembles the temple of Virūpākṣa (Lokesvara) at Pattadakal in plan and details, although the former is hewn out of the solid rock, and the latter is built in the ordinary way on level There is similarity even in the sculptures on the two temples, representing scenes from the Rāmāyana and the Mahābhārata. 'In Lokesvara they occur upon the broad bands round the shafts of the great columns of the hall, while on Kailasa they are sculptured in narrow bands on the wall of the basement below the porch'. 'Another unusual representation is that of the Siva-linga with Brahmā and Vișnu beside it, which is found, in both cases, on the front of the temple.' The Kailasa, in spite of its marvellous technique, and the more graceful treatment of its details, thus appears to be modelled on Virūpākṣa; and it is probable that the Rāṣṭrakūṭas copied the Calukyan building art when they had overthrown the dynasty, just as the Calukyas themselves had copied the Dravidian architecture of the south when they had carried their victorious arms to Kāñcī or Conjeveram. Unlike other cave-temples, the Kailasa, once gorgeously painted, stands, isolated from the surrounding rock, 'in a great court averaging 154 feet wide by 276 long at the level of the base, entirely cut out of the solid rock, and with a scarp 107 feet high at the back.' The bewildering amount of labour and technical skill expended on the celebrated temple bears eloquent testimony to the importance which the Saiva cult had attained in the Deccan in the Rästrakūta age.

The Ellora group of cave temples includes, besides the Kailāsa, other shrines dedicated to Siva such as the large cave north of Kailāsa (XVII), and Caves XXII (Nīlakaṇṭha), XXIII and XXVI. Far more important is Cave XXI, a lofty Saiva temple, locally known as Rāmeśvara. The hall is 15½ feet high and measures 69 feet by 251 with a chapel at each end, cut off by two cushion-capital pillars. Cave XXIX is known as Sītā's

Bhandarkar: Early History of the Dekkan. Third edition, p. 109 ff.

For an elaborate comparison between the two temples see Cousens (op. cit.), p. 62.
 Burgess: A Guide to Blura cave temples, p. 31. (Reprinted by the Hyderabad Archaeological Department).

Nahāni, or Dumar Lena. 'The great hall, including the shrine, is 148 feet wide by 149 deep, and 17 feet 8 inches high, but the excavation extends to about 240 feet from north to south.' This cave is remarkably similar to the great Saiva cave temple at Elephanta, 'but it is larger and in some respects finer; it is also, perhaps, later in age.' The shrine is a small square room containing a linga, with four doors, each guarded by a pair of gigantic dvārapālas.

Elephanta is a small island near Bombay, about seven miles to the north-east of Apollo Bandar; and the great cave temple of Siva hewn out of the living rock is on the north face of the western hill. The cave consists of a central hall and four vestibules, and measures about 130 feet in length, the breadth being approximately the same. The roof is supported by massive columns varying in height from 15 to 17 feet. These originally numbered twenty-six, of which eight have collapsed. The cave is remarkable for its colossal sculptures representing Siva in his various forms including Naṭarāja, Gaṅgādhara and Ardhanārīśvara. But the most striking sculpture in the cave is the huge panel consisting of a triple-headed bust of Siva, which rises to a height of 17 feet 10 inches above a moulded base, nearly 3 feet high. The image stands in a recess $10\frac{1}{2}$ feet deep, 'within which the heads emerge clifflike from the native rock.'

The triple head represents three aspects of Siva: Tatpuruşa (the supreme Mahādeva) in the middle, and Vāmadeva (the Beautiful) and Aghora (the Terrible) on the sides. The image of Mahādeva 'dominates the whole assemblage. It is the concrete form of Siva whose lings is worshipped in the adjacent shrine. The plan of the rock-cut temple was thus adjusted to the image; the entire hall is laid out in front of it in its deep recess. The stage is set for that form (rūpa) of His who is beyond form, and who pervades the universe, which thus is His visible body.'

The cave-temple at Elephanta has generally been assigned to the latter part of the eighth century A. D., and is probably of the same age as the excavations at Ellora, which fall in the Rāṣṭrakūṭa period. The close resemblance between the Dumar Lena at Ellora and the Elephanta cave has attracted the attention of observers; while pillars with 'compressed cushion capitals' have been found both in Elephanta and some of the cave temples at Ellora. But the simple grandeur of the Elephanta sculptures in striking contrast to the complex and grotesque multiplicity of those at Ellora suggests a somewhat earlier date for the former, possible the seventh century A. D. In the tenth century A. D., Ellora and Elephanta must have been great centres

¹ Ibid. p. 47.

² Stella Kramrisch in Ancient India, Number 2, p. 7.

³ See Hirananda Sastri: A Guide to Elephanta (published by the Government of India) and Burgess: The Rock temples of Elephanta or Chārāpurā. When the Portuguese occupied the island in 1534, the cave temple must have been in excellent preservation. The Portuguese captain Joso de Castro, who visited the island in 1538, thought that the cave could not be the work of human hands. 'Even Apelles', he says, 'might have learned from the proportion and symmetry of the figures.' 'The

ef Saiva worship, like Somanāthapattana, Ujjain, Khajurāho, and Tanjore.

; '

Apart from the Ellora and the Elephanta cave-temples, there were no doubt other temples dedicated to Siva in existence during the Rastrakūta period. It was in a Siva temple that Govinda III (794-814 A. D.) deposited the two statues sent by the king of Ceylon as a token of submission.1 'The custom of founding a Siva temple in commemoration of a dead ancestor, and of naming the deity after the person to be commemorated, had already become prevalent in our period. Hebbal inscription, dated 975 A.D., refers to a temple of Bhujjabbesvara built to commemorate Bhubbarasi, the grand-mother of the Ganga ruler Marasimha II." It may be noted that Siva temples existed in Mysore during the Rastrakuta period. The religion of the Gangas was Jainism, but they were liberal in their outlook; and some of the early kings favoured the worship of Siva, for example, Tadangala Madhava and Avinita (circa 5th-6th century A. D.). A considerable number of Siva temples seems to have been built during the rule of the later Gangas who were contemporaries of the Rastrakütas. Notable among these are the shrine of Bhoganandisvara at the foot of the Nandi hills, Somesvara at Gangavaripalli, Kapilesvara at Manne etc. The construction of so many temples for the worship of Siva proves the prevalence of Saivism side by side with Jainism in Mysore, although under the Gangas the latter was the more influential and favoured religion.

Saiva temples were constructed or maintained, on a wide scale, during the reign of the Calukyas of Kalyani, as shown by the various grants and endowments recorded in their inscriptions. For example, an inscription of 980 A. D. belonging to the reign of Taila II is the subject of an endowment made for the benefit of the temple of Suvarnaksi, a form of Siva, styled as Samkara-Svayambhū-Suvarnamahāksi, at Soval (Sogal in the Belgaum district). An inscription of 1064 A. D. records the grant of an endowment to the temple of Kesavesvara at Pottiyur (Hottur); another of 1074 A. D. deals with the grant of a town for the maintenance of the sanctuary of Rāmesvara at Pomballi (Hombli); while the temple of Mahā-Svayambhū-

Portuguese annalist Diogo de Couto writing in the opening years of the 17th century says that the interior of the temple had formerly been covered with a coat of lime mixed with bitumen and other compositions that made the temple bright and very beautiful and worth seeing; and the features and workmanship were such that 'neither in silver or wax could such figures be engraved with greater nicety, fineness or perfection.' Unfortunately it was during Portuguese occupation that the temple was damaged and the figures sadiy mutilated. For detailed references see Commissariat-Ristory of Gujarat, Vol. I, Appendix, pp. 541-9.

1 Altekar: The Ragrakuras and their times, p. 69.

S Rao: The Gangas of Talkad, p. 232. A systematic study of the early temples of Mysore is a desideratum.

Ipigraphia Indica, Vol. XVI, pp. 2, 6.

⁵ Ibid. Vol. XVI, p. 82.

⁶ Ibid. p. 69 fL

Somanatha, 'the Somanatha of the South', at Purikara (Puligere, the modern Lakshmeshwar), (all in the Dharwar district), is referred to in several inscriptions belonging to the first half of the twelfth century A. D. Further, an inscription of the reign of Soyi Deva, the son of Bijjala, records endowments made in 1172 A. D. for the temples of Siva-Somanatha (Raya-Murari-Somanatha) and Bijjesvara at Malige (Madagihal in Jat State, near Bijapur).2

Apart from inscriptional evidence, there exists a large number of surviving specimens of later Calukyan Saiva temples, ranging from the tenth century to the end of the twelfth, mostly in the Dharwar district and the immediate neighbourhood.* In fact, it will be no exaggeration to say that later Calukyan architecture was almost entirely devoted to the glorification of the Saiva faith. The advent of the later Calukyas under Taila II, towards the end of the tenth century, gave a fresh impetus to temple building; and it is from this time that we notice a gradual transformation of the earlier Dravidian style, till what is known as the Calukyan style came into existence. In the first place, the earlier building material, the rougher grained sandstone, was abandoned in favour of 'the more compact, tractable, and finer grained black stone known as chloritic schist, which dresses down to a much finer surface, and has enabled the sculptors to produce so much of that beautiful, delicate, lacelike tracery which characterises the later work. the second place, there was a diminution in the size of the masonry, the heavy cyclopean blocks of the early temples being discarded in favour of much smaller ones. Finally, the storied or horizontal arrangement of the towers, a prominent characteristic of the Dravidian style found in the early temples, became obliterated by a great profusion of ornamental detail, and underwent a process of approximation to the vertical bands up the centre of each face of the Northern tower. The transition from the earlier to this later style, evolved about the tenth and eleventh centuries, is seen in the S'aiva temple of Kallesvara at Kukkanur and the Jaina temple at Lakkundi; while that of Käsivisvesvara at the latter place shows a further marked development of the Calukyan tower. On a beam in the hall of the latter building is an inscription, dated in the thirteenth year of the reign of the later Calukya king Vikramaditya VI, which corresponds to 1087 A. D. One of the finest of existing Calukyan temples 'probably the finest temple in the Kanarese districts. after Halebid,' is that of Mahadeva in the small village of Ittagi, in the Nizam's territory, about twenty-two miles due east of Gadag in the Dharwar district. 'It measures, over all, 120 feet by 66 feet,' and consists of a shrine. with its antechamber, a closed and a great open hall at the east end, which was originally supported upon sixtyeight pillars. The temple is mentioned in

Ibid. Three Inscriptions of Lakshmeshwar by L. D. Barnett.
 Ibid. Vol. XV, p. 317.

³ See Cousens (op. cit.), p. 74 ff.

⁴ A village in the Nizam's territory, at a short distance across the border from Gadag in the Dharwar district.

Now a small village near Gadag.

⁶ Cousens (op. cit.), p. 79.

an inscription of 1112 a. D., which tells us that it was founded, as a temple of Siva, under the name Mahādevesvara, by Mahādeva, the danda-nāyaka, or general, of Vikramāditya VI (1075-1125 a. D.). He founded also a temple of Nārāyana, and other S'aiva shrines such as those of Candālesvara and Bhairava.

One of the largest Saiva temples of the Calukyan period, in the Dharwar district, is that of Tarakesvara, in the town of Hangal, 'chiefly remarkable for the magnificent dome which covers the central portion of the great hall,' the roof of which is supported upon fifty-two pillars. The temple of Kaithabhesvara at Anavatti, in Maisur, just across the border from the Dharwar district, is distinguished by its unusually lofty hall, the pillars being massive in proportion. The temple of Daksina-Kedaresvara at Balagamve is now shorn of its importance, but it was a great educational centre, of which we have already spoken. Saiva temples of the Calukyan period are found also at Chaudadampur (Muktesvara), Haveri (Siddhesvara), Haralhalli (Somesvara), and Rattehalli (Kadambesvara), all in the Dharwar district.

The temple of Kadambesvara contains an inscription which records a grant to it in 1174 A. D. for repairing the building. Inscriptions dating from 899 to 1262 A. D. are engraved on several slabs standing beside the temple at Chaudadampur, the later ones recording grants and gifts to the god of the temple under the names of Muktesvara and Muktinatha.

The temple of Madhukeévara at Banavāsi, a very ancient place, now a village, eighteen miles south by west from Hāngal, belongs to the eleventh century, as one of the inscribed slabs in and around the temple records a grant in the year 1068 A. D. The so-called Temple of the Sixty Columns, now in a ruined state, at Bankapur, and that of Trikūteévara at Gadag, both in the Dharwar district, may also be ascribed to the eleventh century. The former temple contains inscriptions upon either side of the shrine door, recording grants made to the god Nāgareévara in 1138 and 1091 A. D. Similarly, one of the inscriptions collected together at the temple of Trikūteévara records a grant made in 1062 A. D. in the time of Āhavamalla II.² Cālukyan temples are found also in the Bellary district of the Madras Presidency, and most of them are dedicated to Siva (Kalleévara, Katteévara, Bhīmeévara etc.)³. There is no doubt that the inspiration derived from Saivism helped the building art of the Cālukyas and the technical competence of their craftsmen to give a distinctive stamp to Indian culture in the Deccan.

Even in the northern part of the Deccan, outside the sphere of the Cālukyas, we find a preponderance of temples dedicated to Siva. The most ornate of the temples in the Deccan style is that at the village of Ambarnātha, about four miles south-east of Kalyan, in the Thana district. This chef-d'oeuvre of the builder's art' was built for the worship of Siva

Poussin: Dynasties et Histoire de l'Inde, p. 211; Epigraphia Indica, Vol. XIII, p. 86 ff.

<sup>Cousens (op. cit.), pp. 84, 96, 104, 112, 128.
Seo Rea: Chalukyan Architecture. Archaeological Survey of India. New Imperial Series. Vol. XXI.</sup>

⁴ See Cousens: Mediaeval Temples of the Dakhan. Archaeological Survey of India, Vol. XLVIII.

In 1060 a. n., during the reign of the Śilāhāra chief Mummuni or Māmvāṇi. The temple measures 89 feet by $73\frac{1}{2}$ feet, and is made up of the sanctum and mandapa or hall, the latter being provided with three entrances, each with its own porch. The temples in the Deccan style are not remarkable for their size, that of Gondeśvara or Govindeśvara at Sinnar, twenty miles south of Nasik, being about the largest now standing. It is a pañcāyatana temple forming a group of five shrines, the central main temple being dedicated to Śiva, and the four small surrounding shrines to Viṣṇu, Gaṇapati and others. It is supposed to have been built by Rāv Govinda; and 'it is possible it may be named after Govindarāja, one of the Yādava princes, who ruled about the beginning of the 12th century'. Another temple, that of Maheśvara, at Pāṇā, ten miles to the south-west of Chalisgaon in Khandesh, was completed by a chief Govana, of the Nikumbha family, who was ruling in that locality under the Yādavas in 1153 a.p.¹

To sum up, the temple architecture of the Deccan bears testimony to the paramount character of Saivism in that region from about the eighth century to the end of the twelfth. No other faith, whether Vaisnavism or Jainism, during this period, found so elaborate an expression in the building art of the time.

SOUTH INDIA

From Deccan we may turn to South India properly so called. The classic land of Saivism was the Tamil country which witnessed one of the greatest epochs of the faith. Saivism is prevalent in South India even today; and it is said that the Saivas form the bulk of the population in the districts of Tinnevelly, Madura, Trichinopoly, Tanjore, South Arcot, Chingleput, Madras, North Arcot, Salem and Coimbatore, and are also found in large numbers in certain parts of Ceylon, Malabar and Travancore; in short, wherever Tamil is the prevailing tongue. Going back to early times, we find sixty-three cononized saints known as Nayanmars or Adiyars. who are the real founders of Saivism in South India. Included among them is Koccenganan, one of the Cola kings mentioned in early Tamil literature. He must have flourished long before the seventh century when he had already become a legendary figure. The importance of Koccenganan lies in the fact that he appears to have been one of the earliest builders of Siva temples in the Tamil country. The Vaisnava Alvar Tirumangai, who lived about the middle of the eighth century, says in one of his hymns that the king built seventy beautiful shrines dedicated to Siva, besides offering worship to Visnu at Tirunaraiyur. He is also described as having founded other temples to Siva in the hymns of Sambandar and Sundaramurti.

An old temple of Siva called Mallikarjuna exists at Nagansur in Akalkot State, near Sholapur in Bombay Province. It is built in the Calukyan style, popularly known as Hemadpanti; and, like all temples built in this style, it has an open porch in front of the mandapa which is supported by four elaborately carved pillars. A temple of Siva, apparently of the later Calukyan period, was discovered and excavated under the luner wall of the old fort at Sholapur. Progress Roport of the Archaeological Survey of India, Western Circle, 1919, p. 59; 1920, p. 74.

<sup>Tamilian Antiquary, No. 3, p. 1. 1909.
Sastri: The Colas, Vol. I, p. 67.</sup>

The chronology of the Adiyars has not been settled in all its details, but the saint Appar and his younger and more influential contemporary Sambandar are known to have lived in the first half of the seventh century A. D. Sambandar was a contemporary of another Saiva devotee, Siruttondar, who was the general of the Pallava king Narasimhavarman I, and conquered with him Vātāpi, the Chālukyan capital, about 642 a. D. The next important saint, Sundaramurti, has been assigned to the early part of the ninth century a. D. The saint Seraman Perumal was a contemporary of Sundaramurti.

The hymns composed by Appar, Sambandar and Sundaramurti form the first seven sections of the Saiva canonical literature in Tamil. Of the eleven sections of this literature, the first three contain the hymns of Sambandar, and the next three those of Appar; while the seventh is composed of the hymns of Sundaramurti. These seven sections, or rather collections, constitute the group known as the Tevaram." The eighth section contains the famous Tiruvāsakam of the great saint and poet Māņikkavāsagar. He has been assigned to various dates, but it is almost certain that he is considerably earlier than the seventh century, as Appar refers to a well-known miracle associated with his life, and mentions a Vacaka who seems to be identical with the saint. The hymns of the Adiyars give fervid expression to intense, self-effacing devotion to Siva, and proclaim absolute faith in His abounding grace and beneficent mission. Their religious fervour and spiritual depth represent an early phase of Saivism of which the keynote is bhakti.

Tamil Saivism has an important bearing on the religious conflict, to which we have referred in this work. Here, Saivism was in open conflict with Jainism, and the antagonism was far more deep-rooted than in the Deccan, where the Jaina faith continued to be in a favoured position until long after its discomfiture at Kanci and Madura. In the Tamil country Jainism lost effective royal patronage as early as the seventh century A. D. when it had only commenced to obtain royal favour in the Deccan, for example, under Pulakesi II. The great Pallava king Mahendravarman I was converted from Jainism to the Saiva faith by Appar in the first quarter of the seventh century A. D. The Saiva saint had been persecuted by Mahendra; but, after his conversion, the king became an ardent champion of the new faith, and is said to have demolished the Jaina monastery at Pātaliputtiram, a seat of Jaina learning in the South Arcot district, and built a Siva temple on the spot. Appar himself was born a Saiva, became a Jaina and leader of the Jaina settlement at Patali (now the modern town of Cuddalore), and later reverted to his former religion. Further to the south, Kun Pandys of

¹ Jouvenu-Dubreuil: The Pallavas, p. 68.
2 Alyangar: Some Contributions of South India to Indian Culture, p. 217.

³ Tamilian Antiquary (op. cit.), p. 2.
4 Ibid. No. 4, pp. 1-55. See also Sastri: The Pāndyan Kingdom, p. 67.
5 Jouveau-Dubreuil: Pallava Antiquities, Vol. I, p. 40; Longhurst: Pallava Architecture, Part I, p. 7.

⁶ Aiyangar (op. cit.), p. 287.

Madura was similarly converted from Jainism to the Saiva faith by the saint Sambandar; and this king has been identified with Arikesari Māravarman who commenced his reign about the middle of the seventh century A. p. The conversion of Kūn Pandya and Mahendravarman I may be regarded as a turning point in the history of Saivism in South India, as it meant practically the elimination of Jainism as a serious rival. But the story of the persecution of the Jainas, eight thousand of whom are said to have been cruelly put to death at Madura at the instigation of Sambandar, is probably an exaggeration for which the Tamil Purānas are responsible. Sambandar was, however, noted for his anti-Jaina zeal, as he has given vent to his implacable hatred of the Jainas in all his hymns. The rancour against the Jainas lurked in various legends, one of which recounts, for instance, that these heretics once sent an elephant to destroy the city of Madura, but Siva petrified the beast in the form of the neighbouring Anamalai hill.

The Saiva Adiyars as well as the Vaispava Alvars were assiduous in combating heresy in the Tamil land. Manikkavasagar turned against the Buddhists, whom he defeated in argument at Chidambaram and thereafter converted to the Saiva faith. He was a high officer of the Pandya king of the time until he renounced the world at the call of Siva; and it is possible that Pandyan rule included Chidambaram in his day. The dialectical triumph of Māṇikkavāśagar was repeated by Sambandar at Madura, but his opponents were the Jamas, who refused to adopt the Saiva faith despite their humiliation. Thus, while the earlier saint was an antagonist of the Buddhists, the efforts of Sambandar were directed against Jainism; and, it may be assumed that the hostility of so influential a leader of religious opinion contributed towards the retrogression of the Jaina faith in the South. The rôle of Appar in this conflict of religions was no less important, as the conversion of Mahendravarman I gave to Saivism one of its greatest patrons and protagonists. The decline of Jainism in the Tamil country was thus largely due to the loss of royal patronage and popular support brought about by the influence and missionary zeal of the leading Saiva saints. The process of decay, far-reaching as it was, must have been gradual, as references to Jaina temples and images are occasionally found in the records of the succeeding centuries. It is noteworthy that, as late as the first quarter of the tenth century. the Pandya king Rajasimha II is said to have endowed, among others, several Jaina temples. 'It is clear from such facts that Jainism was not overwhelmed so completely as Buddhism by the rising tide of Saivism and Vaisnavism in the land."

The paramount influence of Saivism in the Tamil country is also attested by the temple architecture of the time, as in the case of the Deccan.

¹ Jouvenu-Dubreuil: The Pallavas, p. 68.

² Sastri: The Pändyan Kingdom, p. 97. 3 Tamilian Antiquary, No. 3, p. 7.

⁴ Sastri (op. cit.), p. 4, 5 Sastri (op. cit.), p. 96, 6 Sastri (op. cit.), p. 95,

As stated above, the early Cols king Koccenganan is said to have built many Siva temples; while the Tevaram saints celebrate a very large number of them in their hymns. But, so far as existing examples are concerned, South Indian architecture may be said to begin with the Pallavas, or more precisely, with the reign of Mahendravarman I in the first quarter of the seventh century a. n. The shrines, before his time, appear to have been built of perishable materials, but he introduced the art of excavating temples in the natural rock in the Tamil country. Almost the first cave temple, caused to be built by King Curious-minded, without bricks, without timber and without mortar, is the one at Mandagappattu in the South Arcot district; and it was dedicated to Brahma, Visqu and Siva. Most of the remaining caves, with one exception, were excavated for the worship of Siva under the form of the linga; and they are found in the North Arcot, Chingleput, and South Arcot districts of the Madras Presidency. The name Mahendra occurs in inscriptions on the caves at Trichinopoly, Pallavaram, Mahendravariadi and Vallam; while one or other of his birudas is mentioned in those on the caves at Dalavanur and Siyamangalam. Mahendravarman's comic play Mattavilāsaprahasana is mentioned in a mutilated inscription on one of the caves at Mamandur in the North Arcot district.

One of the inscriptions on the upper cave cut in the rock at Trichinopoly contains a verse, which has been taken to refer to Mahendravarman's former hostility to the Saiva religion. The verse in question seems to express the hope that, while king Gunabhara (one of the birudas of Mahendravarman I) is a worshipper of the linga emblem, the linga enshrined in the temple will proclaim the fact that he has desisted from 'hostile action', that is, his former persecution of the Saiva faith.

Mahendravarman's conversion gave a new impetus to Saivism in the Tamil land. Only one of his caves, that at Mahendravādi, is dedicated to Viṣṇu. The rock-cut temple at Singavaram in the South Arcot district contains a very large image of Viṣṇu sleeping on the serpent Ananta, and is thought to belong to the epoch of Mahendravarman on architectural grounds. Of the three caves at Vallam (near Chingleput) two are dedicated to Siva, one of which contains an inscription of Mahendravarman: the third cave is dedicated to Viṣṇu. Reference may be made in this connection to the great rock-cut temple at Undavalli in the Guntur district, situated on the

¹ Tamilian Antiquary, No 4, p. 30.

^{2 &#}x27;यतवनिष्यमञ्जयसमुणं विवित्रविद्येन निर्मापितं नृषेण महोहप्यविष्णुकश्चितायत्तनम्' See Jouveau-Dubreuil: Confessoram Inscription of Mahendravarman I. Trichinopoly, 1919.

³ Jouvenn-Dubreuil: Pallava Antiquities, Vol. 1, p. 39.

⁴ Ibid.: The Pallavas, p. 39.

South Indian Inscriptions, Vol. I, 1890, p. 29. The temple is excavated half way up the Trichinopoly rook, and consists of a rock-out hall measuring 30 feet in length and 15 feet in width. A remarkable sculptural panel, carved in the west wall of the hall, and measuring about seven feet square, represents Siva with four arms, the right upper arm holding the Ganges issuing from his hair.

⁵ Jouvenn-Dubreuil: Pallava Antiquities, Vol. I, pp. 49 53.

'The temple consists of south bank of the Krshna opposite to Bezwada. a ground floor and three upper storeys hewn out of the natural rock. It has a frontage of about 90 feet in length and rises to a height of 50 feet from the ground level.' The ground floor and especially the third or top storey are unfinished. The temple may be said in fact to be four-storeyed, but its different storeys are supposed to represent 'three temples of the Mahendra period excavated one above the other in the same rock.' The principal image in the temple is a huge decayed image of Vișnu lying on the serpent Ananta found in the second storey. 'A similar figure exists in the shrine attached to the big Siva temple at Mahabalipuram known as the Shore temple, and it also occurs in a large bas-relief panel in a Siva temple close to the Lighthouse at the same place.' The colossal image of Anantasayana and certain Vaisnava sculptures have led to the belief that the rock-cut temple at Undavalli was originally dedicated to Vișnu. But, as we have seen, it is not rare to find an image of Vișnu, particularly in the form of Anantasayana, located in a Siva temple. 'The style of the doorkeepers, panels and niches containing Saiva figures, and the group of rockout miniature Siva temples containing lingus on the hill close by all indicate that Undavalli was a stronghold of the linga cult in the seventh century in spite of the presence of Vaisnava figures in the big temple.' The origin of the temples at Undavalli and Bezwada is obscure; and 'there is no actual proof that they are the works of the Pallavas, but their architectural style seems to denote that they were excavated by the latter and that they represent their earliest attempts in this direction before the Pallavas were driven south by the Chalukyas and executed similar but better works in the Tamil Country."

There is an ancient Pallava cave-temple known as the Orukal Mandapa on the east side of the Vedagirīśvara hill bordering the town of Tirukkalukkunram not far from Chingleput. The temple was dedicated to Siva as the shrine contains a large cylindrical granite lings. The style of its architecture clearly denotes that it was excavated in the reign of Mahendra. The Vedagirīśvara temple on the summit of the hill is likewise an ancient structure, but later than the Orukal Mandapa. Carved on the back wall of the main shrine of this temple is the Somaskanda panel representing Siva and Pārvatī in the centre with the child Skanda seated between them. It may be noted that the Somaskanda panel is not found in any

I Longhurst: Pallava Architecture, Part I, pp. 5, 22, 27, 29. Jouveau-Dubreuil first pointed out that the Undavalli caves closely resemble those of Mahendravarman, but he thought that they were the work of the Vispukupdins who seem to have reigned on the banks of the Godavari and the Krishpa towards the end of the sixth century A. D., before that country was conquered by the Chalukyas. Further, as the caves of Trichinopoly and Pallavaram contain certain surnames of Mahendravarman, which are all of Telugu origin, Jouveau-Dubreuil supposed that Mahendravarman I reigned over the Telugu country and imported into the Tamil country the art that existed on the banks of the Krishpa. The Pallavas, pp. 32, 33. Longhurst assumes, on purely architectural grounds, that the Beswads and Undavalli savetemples are Pallava monuments of the early part of the seventh century.

shrine of the temples of the Mahendra period. It appears in the reign of Narasinhavarman I, e. g. on the back wall of the shrine cell in the Dharmaraja Batha, and occurs in all the Pallava temples of the Rajasimha period.

Mahendravarman I was succeeded by Narasimhavarman I in the second quarter of the seventh century A. D. He was the founder of the sea-coast town of Mamallapuram, now the village of Mahabalipuram, on a strip of sand, about 40 miles south of Madras. All his monuments as well as those of his grandson Paramesvarvarman I (about 655-690 A. D.) are mainly found at this place, and consist of cave-temples and the so-called rathas. The cave-temples are rock-out excavations of the same style as those of the Mahendra period, but there is a distinct improvement in design and workmanship. The clumsy pillars with cubical capitals of the Mahendra period are replaced by elegant pillars with bulbous capitals, and the interiors are 'often decorated with sculptural figures in high-relief of great beauty and executed with remarkable skill.' The rathas are monolithic free-standing temples, delicately carved, and look like rock-cut models of structural temples. 'A few were dedicated to Vishnu, but most of them were devoted to the worship of Siva and his consort Parvati, the latter sometimes in the form of Durgā. In a few cases, a combined worship of Siva and Visnu seems to have occurred in the same temple.'

The most remarkable monument at Mahābalipuram is the so-called Dharmarāja Ratha, 'a lofty pyramidal-roofed temple with three storeys of cell-terraces above the ground floor, rising to a small octagonal dome.' The ground floor consists of a hall, about 28 feet square, with a projecting portico facing the west. As an example of marvellous stone-cutting and carving, it is 'quite the most wonderful monument in Southern India. It is also veryi nteresting as the prototype of the lofty structural pyramidal temples of later times.'

It may be definitely stated that the Dharmaraja Ratha and the Ganesa Temple', 'quite the most finished and ornate' of all the rathas,

2 So called, because an image of Gapesa was installed in the temple by the villagers in recent times.

For example, the so-called Arjuna's Ratha (these local names have no historical significance) represents 'a stone model of a small two-storeyed building crowned with an octagonal bell-shaped dome mainly of timber construction.' The Sahadeva's Ratha consists of a ground figor and two upper storeys, with a waggon-headed roof. All three floors are apsidal-ended like Buddhist catigus or churches. 'Like all the other so-called rathas, it is obviously a stone model of a building mainly of wooden construction.' The Bhima's Ratha is waggon-roofed: 'it is a stone model of a large barn-like building mainly of timber construction. On plan it measures 42 feet in length, 25 feet in width and is about 25 feet high.' The roof of this so-called Bhima's Ratha 'would seem to be the prototype of the ordinary waggon roof of all the great gopurams or gate-ways of the South Indian temples.' The ratha known as the Gapeta temple is an oblong monolithic edifice 'with two upper storeys and a waggon-roof, having two gable end facades with horseshoe bargeboards like Bhima's Batha which it closely resembles, although much smaller, being only 20 feet in length from north to south, 11½ feet in width, and 28 feet in height.' See Longhurst: Pallaca Architecture, Part II.

and the cave-temples known as the Dharmaraja Mandapa and the Ramanuja Mandapa were originally dedicated to Siva. The name atyanta-kāma-pallavetvara-grha, 'the Siva temple of Atyantakama Pallava', is inscribed on the east and west sides of the third storey of the Dharmaraja Ratha, and occurs also in a duplicate inscription on the Ganesa temple and the Dharmaraja Mandapa. Further, a verse condemning those who do not worship Siva occurs in the same inscription, and is found also inscribed on the Ramanuja Mandapa1. As regards atyanta-kāma, it seems to have been a biruda of both The name Sri-Narasimha Narasimhavarman I and Paramesvaravarman I. and the epithet atyanta-kāma occur, the former twice, in a string of inscription (Nos. 1-16) engraved in an archaic alphabet on the Dharmaraja Ratha, showing that it was founded by Narasimhavarman I. The name Paramesvara and the title Atyantakāma occur in the duplicate inscription on the Ganesa temple and the Dharmaraja Mandapa; and it is probable that the reference is to Paramesvaravarman I.2 As both these temples are called atyanta-kāmapallavesvara-gṛha, the title Atyantakāma is here thought to refer to Paramesvaravarman I. But the name atyanta-kāma-pallavesvara-gṛha is inscribed also on the east side of the third storey of the Dharmaraja Ratha (Inscription No. 17), not in the archaic alphabet of Inscriptions 1-16, but in the florid alphabet used in the inscriptions on the Dharmaraja Mandapa and the Ganesa temple. It is therefore supposed that the Dharmaraja Ratha was built by Narasimhavarman I, but it was consecrated by Paramesvaravarman I, surnamed Atyantakāma, who gave it the name of Atvantakāmapallavesvara.

Most of the other temples at Mahābalipuram were devoted to the worship of Siva. The rock-cut temple near the Durgā shrine is a large five-celled Saiva temple similar, on plan and in dimensions, to the temple of Mahendravarman at Pallāvaram. The central shrine of the Trimūrti temple was dedicated to Siva, and 'contains a basrelief carved on the back wall representing a four-armed standing image of Siva. The so-called Arjuna's Ratha was also dedicated to Siva, and contained a head of the deity surmounted by the trident. It is believed that 'these trident heads were set up in the shrine cells in place of the usual Siva lingas. The custom appears to have been peculiar to the Pallavas as such images do not seem to have been discovered elsewhere. The Mahisāsura Maṇḍapa is a large triple-celled Saiva cave-temple: 'a socket hole, cut in the centre of the floor of the cell, points to the fact that it had, or was designed for a Siva linga. A large square panel on the back wall of the shrine chamber contains a bas-relief representing the Sukhāsana group (Siva, Pārvatī, the child Skanda and the bull Nandi).

^{1 &#}x27;विक् तेवां विक् तेवां पुनरिष विविधिविधगक्त विक् तेवां । वेवां न वसकी हृदये कुपमगः विभाग्नको इहः ॥'

असायश्चित्रमायोऽलावगुणो गुणभाजनः। स्वरूपो निरुत्तरो जीयादनीशः प्रमेखरः॥ v. 2. अत्यन्तकामो नृपतिनिक्षित्तारातिमण्डलः। स्थातो रणजयः शुग्भोरतेनेवं वेशम कारितम्॥ v. 5. Paramesvara in v. 2 primarily refers to Siva, but seems to refer also to the king of that name.

⁸ See South Indian Inscriptions, Vol. I, 1890, pp. 1-6; Jouveau-Dubreuil: Pallava Antiquities, Vol. I, pp. 60-64.

⁴ Longhurst (op. cit.), p. 13,

The two cave-temples known as the Varaha Mandapa and the Varaha Temple appear to be the only ancient Vaisnava shrines at Mahabalipuram. Carved in bas-relief in four large panels on the walls of the former are remarkable sculptures representing the Varaha Avatara, the Vamana Avatara, Lakemi and Durga. The Varaha Temple contains a large bas-relief, 8 feet high, of the Boar Incarnation, carved on the back wall of the shrine chamber. Vaisnavism seems to have ousted the Saiva faith from its dominant position at Māmallapuram at a much later date when the lingus were cast out of the shrines, and some of the Siva temples damaged and subjected to what has been called Vaisnava vandalism.¹ But there is no doubt that during the Pallava period Māmallapuram was a stronghold of Saiva worship.

There must have been Saiva temples at other places besides Mamallapuram during the reigns of Narasimhavarman I and Paramesvaravarman I. The Kūram plates issued by the latter, sometime after his victory over the Chālukya Vikramāditya I in 674 a. D., record a grant to the Siva temple of Vidyavinīta Pallavesvara, which has been identified with the dilapidated Siva temple at Kuram, a village near Conjeeveram. At Melacheri in the South Arcot district there is a cave-temple dedicated to Siva, which contains an inscription recording the fact that it was built by the emperor Candraditya. It has been surmised by Jouveau-Dubreuil on paleographic grounds that Candraditya was a name of Narasimhavarman I or Paramesvaravarman I.*

The Pallava cave-temples are small in comparison with the stupendous excavations at Ellora, and appear to have gone out of vogue by the end of the seventh century. Paramesvaravarman I was succeeded by his son Narasimhavarman II, or Rajasimha, who distinguished himself by his piety and devotion to Siva. About the first decade of the eighth century A. D., he built the central shrine of the Kailasanatha temple at Conjeeveram, the Shore Temple at Mahābalipuram, and the old Siva temple at Panamalai in the South Arcot district. The temples of Rajasimha possess fluted black stone lingus.

5 See Longhurst; Pallaca Architecture, Part III.

¹ Longhurst (op. cit.), Part II, pp. 5, 34, 37. 'The comparatively ruined and descorated state of the Saiva shrines, and the dispersion, overthrow and destruction of lingus, together with the unmolested state of the Vaishnava temples and symbols, suggest strongly the violent overthrow of the Saiva by the Vaishnava faith' at Mamallapu-The interior of the so-called Ramanuja Mandapa was completely wrecked, and one of the pillars of the Mahisasura Mandapa was out out and removed to the Varaha Temple, presumably, to be used in the construction of the modern mandapa eracted in front of the old rockout shrine. The few Tamil inscriptions dating from 1073 to 1285 A. D. that have been found at Mamallapuram, indicate that the Vaispava seet was dominant during that period, and its followers seem to have remained in the ascendant down to the present day. They still occupy the Varaha Temple and the large modern-looking Sthalasayana Temple in the village and keep up the services of their sect therein.'

Jouveau-Dubrettil: The Pallacus, p. 45.

Ibid.: Pallacu Antiquities, Vol. I, p. 66.

One of the largest, the so-called Mahisasura Mandapa, is '32 feet long from north to

south, 15 feet wide and 124 feet high."

and on the back wall of the shrine is carved the Somaskanda panel representing Siva and Pārvatī, seated, with the child Skanda on the latter's knee. The temple of Airāvatesvara at Conjeevaram is also dedicated to Siva, and bears all the characteristics of the style of Rājasimha, but it is not definitively known whether it was built in his reign.

The Shore Temple is built on the shore within a few feet of the sea at Mahābalipuram. From an architectural point of view, it appears to be earlier than the central shrine of the Kailasanātha temple, as the latter seems to be mainly a development of the former. The Shore Temple faces the sea on the east side, and at the back facing the west is another Siva temple, smaller in size, but built in the same style as the main building. 'The stepped tower over the central shrine is divided into three main storeys, like Dharmarāja's ratha, and is crowned with the usual umbrella-shaped ornament surmounted by a lofty black stone Kalasam or urn-finial.'

The central shrine of the Kailāsanāth temple at Conjeeveram is called Rājasimheśvara in old inscriptions, as it was built by Rājasimha; while his son Mahendravarman III seems to have completed the edifice. Mahendravarman III built also a smaller Siva temple with a waggon-headed roof, now called Nāradeśvara, in front of the Kailāsanātha Temple, and named it Mahendreśvara, or Mahendravarmeśvara, after himself. At a distance of 26 feet to the east, in front of the central shrine of the 'Kailāsanātha or Rājasimheśvara temple, is an old Mandapa or pillared hall which seems to have been built about the same time as the temple. It is now connected with the latter 'by an unsightly modern apartment with an entrance on the south side.' 'The pyramidal tower over the central shrine is divided into three main storeys rising to a total height of about 50 feet and is crowned with the usual umbrella ornament surmounted by an urn-finial.'

The provision of procession paths and big enclosures around the temples of the Rajasimha period is an entirely new feature, as in the earlier Pallava temples it was not customary to surround the shrine witha circumambulatory passage or procession path, nor was any attempt made to provide walled enclosures or temple-yards around the shrines prior to this period. 'It would appear that, originally, the procession path, or passage around the shrine was open to the sky as in the Shore Temple. In the Panamalai and Conjecveram temples, we find the passage closed with a flat roof and the interior in total darkness. 'The style of the temples of the earlier period shows that the Hinduism of those early days was a simple, straight-forward form of worship conducted openly, and free from that secrecy and mysticism which forms such a striking feature of that religion in later times, necessitating the provision of secret passages around the shrine, gloomy interiors and high-walled enclosures, features quite unknown in the earlier examples.' The elaboration of structural details and appendages shows that the cults of the temples and Saiva ritual in particular tended to become more and more elaborate and complex, say from the eighth century onwards.

¹ Jouveau-Dubreuil: The Pallavas, p. 46. 2 Longhurst (op. cit.), Part III, p. 10.

The temple of Kailasanatha at Conjecveram is of considerable historical importance, as it serves as one of the connecting links between the architecture of Southern India and that of the Deccan. The Chalukya Vikramāditya II (733-746 A. D.), who invaded the Pallava dominions (Tundāka-visaya or Tondai-mandalam), defeated the Pallava king Nandi-varman and entered Kāncī or Conjeeveram. But he spared the Pallava capital and seems to have respected and admired its monuments, the Raiasimhesvara or the Kailasanatha temple in particular. It is stated in the Vakkaleri grant that he acquired great religious merit by restoring to the stone temple of Rajasimhesvara, caused to be built by Narasimha-pota-varman. and to other temples, the gold, which had probably been confiscated by his subordinates without his permission.1 That Vikramaditya II visited the Kailasnatha temple seems to be proved by a Kanarese inscription engraved on a pillar of the ancient Mandapa in front of the central shrine, which begins with the name of "Vikramāditya Satyāsraya Srī-Pṛthivī-vallabha", and mentions the temple of Rajasimhesvara. As mentioned above, the great temple of Virūpākṣa at Paṭṭadakal was built by the queen consort of Vikramaditya II in commemoration of his conquest of Kanci; and the superior technique of that temple, as compared with the shrine of Vijayesvara built by his predecessor Vijayāditya, has been explained by supposing that Vikramaditya II must have brought with him skilled artisans from the southern capital. That this is not a mere guess is shown by an inscription on the eastern gateway of Virūpākṣa, which speaks of the builder of the Lokesvara (Virūpāksa) temple as 'the most eminent sūtradhāri of the southern country.' Further, "high up on the front or east face of the temple of Pāpanātha at Pattadakal is an inscription in praise of a certain Chattara-Revadi-Ovajja who is said to have 'made the southern country', i. e. was the builder of the temples of the southern country. He belonged to the same guild, the Sarvasiddhi-ācāryas, as the builder of Virūpākṣa in the last inscription." It is therefore probable that the old temple of Vijayesvara at Pattadakal was constructed by local builders, and that of Virupaksa by more capable architects from the Pallava capital. It may be assumed that It may be assumed that Vikramaditya's conquest of Kanci indirectly gave a stimulus to the building art and the Saiva faith in the Deccan, and the temples of Conjeeveram and the shrine of Rajasimhesvara in particular seem to have been the main source of inspiration.

Narasimhavarman II or Rājasimha was succeeded by Mahendravarman III, and the latter by Parameśvaravarman II. Parameśvaravarman II built an important Viṣṇu temple, that of Vaikuṇṭha Perumāl at Conjee-

^{1 &#}x27;नर्तिस्पोतवर्गनिर्मापितविकामबरावसिंहे-कर्षियेबकुक्शुवर्णराक्षिप्रकर्पणोपाजितीजितपुष्यः' South Indian Insorigitions, Vol. I, p. 146.

² Ibid. p. 147. The inscription records that the king, having captured Kand and inspected the riches of the temple, gave them again to the god i. e. did not confiscate the property of the temple. *Mp. Ind.*, Vol. III, p. 359.

⁸ Cousens: Châlubyan Architecture of the Kanaruse Districts, p. 61.

veram, of which we shall speak later. The Siva temple of Virattanesvara at Tiruvadi in the South Arcot district contains an inscription belonging to the reign of Paramesvara-Pottaraiyar, and might perhaps be attributed to Paramesvaravarman II.

There was a change in the line of succession after Parameśvaravarman II, and Nandivarman Pallavamalla came to the throne about 720 A. D. The Pallavas reigned for a further period of more than a century and a half, and continued the tradition of building temples in honour of Siva. These shrines are smaller in size, of which the best examples are the temples of Muktesvara and Matangesvara at Conjeeveram. The first may be assigned to about the middle of the eighth century and the second to its end. The temple of Kailāsanātha at Alambākkam founded by Dantivarman, who succeeded Nandivarman Pallavamalla towards the end of the eighth century A. D., was probably rebuilt during the Cola period. To the later Pallava period also belong the temple of Parasuramesvara at Gudimallam near Renigunta and that of Vādāmallīsvara at Oragadam near Chingleput. It is said that Siva temples with the name Parasuramesvara are not rare in the South, and they are believed to owe their existence to Parasurama. The temple at Oragadam is apsidal-ended, and modelled on the style of the rock-cut temple known as Sahadeva's Ratha at Mahābalipuram. 'Apsidal-ended temples of this type are not uncommon in Southern India, and as a rule are dedicated to Siva. Perhaps in adopting this style of building from the Buddhists, the Brahmanas saw in its apsidal-ended plan the sacred form of the linga or phallic emblem of Siva, and thus considered it an appropriate design for Saivite temples.'

One of the latest Pallava temples dedicated to Siva is that of Vīratṭaneśvara at Tiruttani, which belongs to the reign of Aparājita, the last of the Pallavas, and was therefore built in the last quarter of the ninth century. 'It is square on plan with a small porch or hall facing the east giving access to a shrine chamber 6 feet square.' 'The tower or Vimāna over the shrine chamber is designed like a small model of a Buddhist caitya and bears a striking resemblance to Sahadeva's Ratha.'

That Saivism was the prevailing religion during the Pallava period is shown by the Pallava monuments, most of which are dedicated to Siva. It has been said of the Pallava capital that 'in the south the honour belongs to one city only and that is Kāūcī. It seems that this position was attained by Kāūcī under the orthodox rule of the Pallavas and mainly by the religion of Saivism which they propagated and favoured together with the greatness of the Saiva saints who flourished there. Buddhism and Jainism both found followers in the Pallava empire, but the religion of the ruling family and the people generally was Saivism. They built a few Visnu temples, but Siva was their family deity, and Kāūcī is still

¹ Jouveau-Dubreuil: The Pallavas, p. 46.

³ For the later Pallava temples see Longhurst (op. cit.), Part III and Jouveau-Dubreuil: Pallava Antiquities, Vol. II.

the greatest stronghold of Saivism in the south, and the most devout Saiva poets and saints belong to that city.1 The simultaneous existence of Vaisnavism cannot indeed be ruled out, but it seems to have played a subsidiary rôle during the Pallava period and probably long after. The earliest of the Alvars were associated with Kanci, but it is not easy to assign dates or the extent of their influence on contemporary society. Tirumangai, the last or the Alvars, has been assigned to the eighth century, and he was probably a contemporary of Nandivarman Pallavamalla. Among the great Pallavas, Paramesvaravarman II appears to have been a devout Vaisnava, and the Vaikuntha Perumal temple built by him at Kanci is one of the few large Pallava temples dedicated to Visnu. The Kesava Perumal temple at Kūram near Conjeeveram is another Pallava shrine dedicated to Visnu. It contains an inscription of the reign of Dantivarman, and there is no doubt that the temple belongs to the latter half of the eighth century. Similar traces of Vaisnavism are found in the Pandya country where, as we have seen, some of the early triumphs of Saivism had been achieved. In 770 A. D. Mārangāri or Madhurakavi, a minister of the Pāṇḍya king Varaguņa Nedunjadayan built a stone temple for Visnu in the Anamalai hill near Madura, and gave a village to some Brahmins apparently on the occasion of the installation of the image of Narasimha in the temple. The king, too, built a large temple for Visnu, but though he is called parama-vaisnavan in inscriptions, he was liberal in his benefactions to Saiva temples.

The Pallavas were supplanted by the Colas about the end of the ninth century A. D. when Aditya I defeated Aparājita, the last independent king of the Pallava dynasty. The Colas were ardent Saivas, and their rule prolonged the domination of the Saiva faith. They were also great builders of temples, and from an architectural point of view, the later Pallava style merges into the early Cola. According to the Anbil plates, Aditya I (circa 871-907 A. D.) built on both banks of the Kāverī tall stone temples in honour of Siva. After his death, a Sive temple called by the names Adityesvara and Kodandarāmesvara was founded in his memory by his son and successor Parāntaka I (907-953 A. D.). As already mentioned,

¹ Longhurst (op. cit.), Part III, p. 9.

² Aiyangar (op. cit.), p. 275.

Like the Kailasanatha temple, the pyramidal tower consists of a central shrine on the ground floor and three upper storeys. The one on the ground floor contains a large four-armed image of Viṣṇu in a sitting posture. The shrine of the first floor contains a fairly large image of Viṣṇu in the form of Anantasayana. The cell on the second floor contains a smaller image of Viṣṇu. The third story of the tower is hollow. The Anantasayana form of Viṣṇu seems to have been popular in the South. Between the Shore Temple and the small attendant flivs temple at Mahabalipuram, 'is a third shrine containing a large decayed stone image of Viṣṇu in a recumbent position and representing that deity in the form of Anantasayana.' It is an oblong cell built up against the back wall of the smaller Siva temple, and appears to be a later addition. Longhurst (op. cit.), pp. 3, 16.

⁴ Jouvent-Dubreuil: Pallava Antiquities, Vol. II, p. 14.

⁵ Sastri: The Pandgan Kingdom, p. 63.

the custom of founding such memorial temples dedicated to Siva was also prevalent in the Deccan in the tenth century. Parantaka I is known to have covered with gold the Siva temple at Cidambaram. 'In fact Parantaka's reign was a great epoch in the history of South Indian temple architecture, and the work of temple-building begun by Aditya was continued vigorously

during the best part of his reign.'1

South Indian Saivism may be said to have reached its apogee during the reign of Rajaraja I (985-1014 A. D.). The great literary reorganisation of Tamil Saivism took place in his time. The hymns and devotional poems of the Saiva saints were collected and arranged in eleven sections, or Tirumurai, by Nambi Andar Nambi, a Brahmin priest of Tirunāraiyur in the Tanjore district, under the patronage of Rajaraja Abhaya Kulasekhara Cola, identified with Rajaraja I. The encouragement of Nambi Andar Nambi in his great undertaking was not the only service rendered by Rajaraja I to the cause of Saivism. It was he who built the celebrated Siva temple at Tanjore, the Rajarajesvara, perhaps the most magnificent creation of the South Indian building art, with the vimāna, or tower, rising 'over the sanctum to a height of nearly 200 feet on a square base of about a hundred feet.' Rajaraja who invaded and conquered Ceylon seems to have built a stone temple to Siva at Polonnaruwa, the new capital of the island, founded after the destruction of the ancient capital Anuradhapura by the invading armies. It is a small but beautiful structure, and one of 'the few Hindu monuments in Ceylon, which are still in a good state of preservation.' The Siva temple at Dadapuram in the South Arcot district bears an inscription of Rajaraja, and is another well-preserved example of the early Cola style of the tenth century A. D.8 It may also be noted that an inscription of the twenty-fourth year of the reign of Rajaraja I records a sale of land, tax-free, for the pavitrarohana ceremony in honour of Mahadeva of the Candramaulisvara temple by certain residents of Merpādi alias Rājāsrayapuram. Pavitrārohaņa or Pavitrāropana is an important Saiva rite described in detail in Isanasivagurudevapaddhati (Kriyāpāda, chap. XXI).

Rājarāja I was succeeded by his son Rājendra I who reigned till 1044 A.D. The latter is said to have imported Saivas from the banks of the Ganges and settled them in Kāñcīpura and in the Cola country. The great Siva temple built by him in his new capital Gangaikondacolapuram still stands amidst the ruins of the city in the Trichinopoly district. The emphasis on vimānas of stupendous height is the outstanding feature of the early Cola style at Tanjore and Gangaikonda-colapuram.

It is not necessary for our purpose to pursue further the course of Saivism in South India. The finishing touch to the devotional literature

¹ Sastri: The Colas, Vol. I, Chap. VII. 2 Tamilian Antiquary No. 3, 1909, p. 2.

³ Jouvean-Dubreuil: Dravidian Architecture, p. 37.

⁴ Sestri (op. cit), p. 512.

of Tamil Saivism may be said to have been given by Sekkilär in the twelfth century, by recounting the lives of the Saiva saints in his Periyapurdnam, based on the earlier work of Nambi Andär Nambi. The organisation of Vaisnavism initiated by Näthamuni'in the tenth century and continued by Yamunäcärya was completed about this time by Rāmānuja, who seems to have been an elder contemporary of Sekkilär. The activity of the Vaisnava Acāryas thus falls within the period of the Saivite Colas. Rājarāja the Great was liberal in his outlook, and though a devout Saiva, built Visnu temples in Mysore and richly endowed others.¹ But it is significant that Rāmānuja and his followers were persecuted by a Cola king usually supposed to be Kulottunga I who commenced his reign in 1070 a. b. There are other instances of the Cola persecution of the Visnu cult; and, in any case, the initial triumph of Rāmānuja was achieved not in the Cola country, but in Mysore under Bittideva or Visnuvardhana Hoysala who reigned in the first halt of the twelfth century.



APPENDIX IV

THE KALAMUKHA SECT

In Chapter XIII, p. 350, we have referred to the important Kālāmukha sect and its influence in the Mysore country in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. The Kālāmukhas were influential also in the Cola country from about the tenth century A. D.; and the relevant evidence is summarized by Prof. Nilakanta Sastri in his Colas, Vol. II (part I), p. 494. A matha of the Kālāmukhas existed at Melpādi (North Arcot), where Kṛṣṇa III established his camp during his invasion of the Cola kingdom, as recorded in Somadeva's Yasastilaka. Kālāmukha teachers bearing the names Śailarāśi and Jñānarāśi are mentioned in connection with endowments in the temple of Tiruvānaikkoyil (Chingleput) in the years 1127, 1205 and 1231. A Kālāmukha teacher sold some land to the temple at Koyil Tevarāyanpetṭai (Tanjore district) in 1123 A. D.

As we have seen, the Kālāmukhas of the Mysore region were Pāsupatas, and are often described as exponents of the Lākula creed; and sometimes a teacher is compared to Lakulisvara, the founder of the system, or styled as Lakulisvara-Paṇḍita. Similar evidence is furnished by the Cola inscriptions. The head of the matha of the Kālāmukhas at Melpāḍi was, for instance, called Lakulisvara-Paṇḍita. Some of these Kālāmukha teachers are called also mahāvratin, 'possessed of the great vow'. An inscription from Jambai (South Arcot) of the reign of the Cola king Vīrarājendra (1063-69 A. D.) mentions a Mahāvratin Lakulīsvara-Paṇḍita in connection with the local temple. An inscription of the twentieth year of the reign of Kṛṣṇa III, i. e. about 959 A. D., describes how a certain man of a noble family.

¹ Sastri (op. cit.), p. 224.

a devoted friend of the Cola prince Rājāditya, turned ascetic after the death of the latter on the battlefield (see p. 2), and became a mahāvratin, under the name of Caturānana Paṇḍita, and the head of the matha at Tiruvorriyūr (Chingleput district). It is difficult to explain why the Kālāmukha ascetics are styled here as mahāvratin, which is the usual name for Kāpālikas (see p. 358). It is true that the Kālāmukhas are sometimes described as a sect very much akin to the Kāpālikas who were notorious for their evil practices. But the Kālāmukhas of the Mysore and Cola inscriptions were followers of the Pāśupata system, and could hardly have been affiliated to the degenerate Kālāmukha sect mentioned by Yāmuna Muni and Rāmānuja. It is, of course, not impossible that among the members of the Kālāmukha Pāśupata sect there were a few who might have adopted the Kāpālika way of life and figured as the Kālāmukhas stigmatized by the Vaisnava teachers.

The Kālāmukha teachers described in the Cola inscriptions as Mahāvratins might be same as the Mahāpāsupatas mentioned by Udayana in his Nyāya-kusumāñjali, which was composed in north India towards the end of the tenth century, about the time when the Kālāmukha Pāsupatas were becoming prominent in the south. We have noted (p. 241) that the commentators on Nyāyakusumāñjali explain Mahāpāsupatas as those Pāsupatas who observe mahāvrata or the great vow. A certain amount of doctrinal affinity seems to have existed as between the Pāsupatas and the Kāpālikas (see p. 241); but it seems certain that mahāvrata did not exclusively mean the Kāpālika cult, and the term mahāvratin was applied also to the Kālāmukha Pāsupata teachers of the south.

We learn from Sastri: Colos (op. cit.) that a chieftain named Vikramakesari presented a big matha to a Kālāmukha teacher named Mallikārjuna who was his guru, and gave him also eleven villages attached to the matha for the maintenance of fifty Asita-vaktra, that is, Kālāmukha ascetics. Vikramakesari belonged to Kodumbālūr (Pudukkottah) and was an ally of the Cola king Parāntaka II who ruled after 953 a. d. On p. 359 we have connected Mallikārjuna with the disreputable Kālāmukha sect; but in the light of the evidence furnished by the Cola inscriptions, it will be more appropriate to suppose that he was one of the Kālāmukha Pāśupata teachers who figure so prominently in the religious life of the south from about the tenth century onwards. These teachers, respected and patronized by kings, and in charge of monastic establishments, could hardly be supposed to have belonged to a sect akin to the Kāpālikas merely on account of the similarity of names.

index of Geographical Names mentioned in Yas'astilaka.

Comm. refers to Śrutasāgara's commentary on Yasastilaka.

Page numbers refer to the N. S. edition of Yasastilaka.

Ahigehatra in Paneala, Book VI, section 15.
Identified with Ramnagar in Bareilly
District, U. P. Sacred to the Jaina Saviour Parsvanatha. Somadova says श्रीमत्वार्यवायप्रभाष्यकारम्बाराज्यस्य अहिन्छने.

Anga 3. 247 etc. Corresponds roughly to the modern districts of Bhagalpur, Mongbyr etc.

As maka, Book III, p. 567: अहमक्त्रंत्रवेशासर:. Same as Aimantaka mentioned in 1. 207: अइमन्त्रक वेद्रम विद्वाय यादि. Comm. explains Asmantaka as the Sapadalaksa mountain. The Barbara mentioned in 3. 112 is also explained by the comm, as the ruler of the hill tract of Sapādalakṣa. Aśmaka, Asmantaka, the Barbara country, and Sapadalakşa are thus identical. capital of Asmaka was Podana or Paudanapura, identified with modern Bodhan, situated on an affluent of the Godavari in the Nizamabad District of Hyderabad See Saletore: The Southern Aimaka in Jaina Antiguary, Vol. VI, p. 60. Paudanapura is mentioned in Yasastilaka, Book VII, section 28, where it is located in Ramyakadesa (रम्यकदेशनिवेशोपेत-पीदनपुरनिवेशिनः). According to the Parbhani inscription (see Chap. I of this book), the Calukya chief Yuddhamalla I ruled over the Sapadalaksa country, and caused his elephants to be bathed in a tank filled with oil at Podana. अस्वादित्यभवी वंश्वालुक्य इति विश्वतः । तत्राभूद् बुद्धमहास्थो नृपतिर्विक्रमार्णवः॥ सपादकक्षभूमर्ता तैकवाप्यां स पोदने । अवगाहोत्सवं चक्रे शक्तभीनेवद्नितनाम् ॥ Asmaka is called Assaka in Pāli literature, and Podana is the same as the ancient Potana, the capital of Assaka, which is one of the seven Kingdoms of India mentioned in a verse cited in the Mahagovinda Suttanta of the Dighanikāya. In the Suttanipāta (verse 977). Assaka is located on the banks of the Godavari. The name appears as Asmaka in the Mahapastu, Vol. 3, p. 208.

The Asmaka country thus corresponds to the Nisamabad District of Hyderabad State and the adjoining territory, and possibly covered in ancient times the southernmost area of Berar as well as the northern part of Hyderabad. See also Sarkar: The Vākāṭakas and the Asmaka country in IHQ, Vol. XXII, p. 233 and Mirashi: Historical Data in Dandin's Dasakumāracarita in ABORI, Vol. XXVI, p. 20.

Ayodhyā, Book VI, section 8 (कोशलदेशमध्यायाम-बोध्यायां पुरि). Kosala is mentioned in 3.349 (कोश्चेयै: कोशलेन्द्र:). Comm. explains कोशल as Vinitāpura. Under 1.210 it says बिनीतायाः अयोध्यायाः

Balavahanapura, Book VI, section 15.

Barbara, see under Asmaka.

Bhāvapura, Book VI, section 15.

Bhoja country (भोजावनी) 1. 207. Same as Vidarbha or Berar. Bhandarkar: Early History of the Dekkan, section III.

Bhumitilaka, see under Janapada.

Campā, Book VI, section 8 (अझमण्डलेषु.....बम्पायां पुरि). Near Bhagalpur. The ancient capital of the kingdom of Anga.

Cedi, Book III (चेदिषु नदीन्। etc.). The capital of Cedi was Tripuri (Tewar, near Jubbalpur). Under 1.207 comm. explains Cedi as Kundinapura.

Cerama, Book III. Yasodhara is described as प्रज्ञवपाण्ड्यचीकचेर्सहर्न्यनिर्माणप्रकाद्यमानविग्विजय-वाहिनीप्रचारः. Cerama = Cera = Kerala (Malabar). In 1. 208 the Cera king is addressed thus: चेरम पर्यट मक्ट्योपकण्डम्. The reading of the printed text, चेरम, is a mistake, as the mss. read चेरम. Kerala is mentioned several times: चेरकीनां नयन-दीविकाकेलिकचंसः Book I; 3. 247; 8. 112: केलि केरल संहर; 1. 210: केरलमहिकामुख्यमकदंसः and केरलेषु कराडः etc. Book III, p. 481.

Cola, see under Cerama. Also 3. 247. Cf-बोकीनवनीराव्यनविकास 1. 186; जोलीय अकतानतैन. country is represented by the modern districts of Trichinopoly and Tanjore and part of Pudukkottah State. Comm. on 3.247 calls the Cola country Manjisthädesa, 'The land of madder', also Samangadesa (under 1. 86), samanga being same as manjisthäd. Under 1. 208 (चोरेश स्वर्धशृह्य किए) comm. identifies the Cola country with Gangapura, which seems to be an abbreviated Sanskritized form of Gangaikonda-colapuram, the Cola capital during the eleventh and twelfth centuries, 'at the meeting point of the modern districts of Trichinopoly, S. Arcot and Tanjore.'

Cuńkāra, Book IV, p. 138. A city associated in a legend with the sage Brhaspati.

Dahāla, Book VII, section 29. Same as Dāhala or Dāhāla identified with the kingdom of Cedi (q. v.). Somadeva describes it as full of sugarcane groves, and says that the city of Svastimati is in Dahālā (अब क्षामकोदण्डकारणकान्तारेरिवेश्ववणावतारेरि

Das'ārņa, Book IV, p. 153. Also द्याणंविजिनिक्षणंपूर: Book III, p. 568. The capital of Dasārņa was Vidišā, the remains of which are between the rock of Bhilsa and the hill of Udayagiri. In the midst of this buried city is the hamlet of Besnagar, in Gwalior State. Comm. says द्याणं नाय नगरं गोपाचकात् गव्यक्तियत्वारिशति वर्तते. Dasārņa is identified with East Malwa and the adjoining region with its capital at Vidišā and the rivers Dasārņā (modern Dhasan) and Vetravati (modern Betwa) running through it. 1HQ, Vol. XXI, p. 61.

Ekacakrapura, Book VII, section 22.

Ekānasī, Book V (explained in comm. as UjjayinI), p. 226. Mentioned also in Book VII, section 25, and located in Avanti.

Girikūta, Book VII, section 29. Hastināgapura, see under Kurujāngala. Hemapura, Book VI, section 15.

Indrakaccha, Book VI, section 9. The city of Raurukapura, also called Mayapuri, is

located in the Indrakacoha country. The name Raurukapura is omitted in the printed text, and supplied here from the manuscripts. Raurukapura seems to be the Roruka of the Buddhist texts, the capital of Sovira, an important centre of the coasting trade, apparently on the Gulf of Cutch. Rhys Davids: Buddhist India, p. 38. Cf. Mahāvastu, Vol. 3, p. 208:

Janapada, Book VI, section 5. The capital of Janapada was Bhūmitilakapura. The place is not identified, but for early coins of Janapada see Rapson: Indian Coins, p. 12. Janapada was perhaps near the Kuru country, as in the story in question (See Chap. XVI) two friends, banished from Bhūmitilakapura, come to Hastināgapura in Kurujāngala.

Kākandī, see under Kāmpilya.

Kālidāsa, name of a forest, near Abicehatra, on the Jalavāhinī river (जलवाहिनीनामनदीतट- निकटनिविद्यतनने महति कालिदासकानने Book VI, section 15).

Kalinga, Book V. Sudatta is described as the lord of the Mahendra mountain, the home of elephants, in Kalinga (कलिक्केषु दिरदमदामोदमन्दकन्दरोदरपरिसर्यवनपानप्रमधुकराली-नीकमणिनेखकाद्वितनिस्ववधुंपरस्य महेन्द्रमहीपरस्याधिपतिः). The Kalinga forest, famous for its elephants, is thus defined in a verse quoted in the comm. on Book II: सर्व च-- 'उत्कलानो च देशस्य दक्षिणस्थाणैनस्य च ! सद्यस्य चैव विन्ध्यस्य मध्ये कालिक्कं वनम् ॥' The elephants of Kalinga are referred to also in Yatastilaka 3, 248,

Kamboja 1. 211 (कर्लाबपुर-प्रीतिकसम्ब); कर्लाबीलां लाभिवकभिगमेश्रीमोगुजदः Book I. Comm. on Book II (वेदमिष कुलेन क्षान्तीलम्) identifies Kamboja with Bählikadeśa (modern Balkh in Northern Afghanistan). Under 1. 211 comm. identifies Kamboja with Kashmir and the neighbouring countries, and calls the Kamboja women large-headed: क्षाइकी राधिकाकीणां पुर-मुख्यालाम्. It explains also कल्लोजीलाम्ब पुर-मुख्यालाम्. It explains also कल्लोजीलाम्ब पुर-मुख्यालाम्. Kamboja was near Gandhāra 'in the extreme north-

west, with Dvaraka as its capital'. Bhys Davids (op. cit.), p. 28.

Kampilya, Book VII, section 32 (quieta) त्रिद रामिवे शा<u>सक्त</u>को ए शल्ये कान्पिक्ये). Identified with Kampil in Farrukhabad District, U. P. The city of Kakandi, described as a great commercial centre (सक्छनग्रवहारावतार्त्तिवेषां काकन्यास Ibid.), seems to have been in the neighbourhood of Kampilys, as the miser Sagaradatta goes from the latter city to Kakandi on hearing of the death of his nephew, and returns not long after. Käkandi is mentioned also in Book VII, section 24. It was the birth place of the Jains Tirthamkara Puspadanta. Somadeva says श्रीमत्युष्पदन्तमदन्तावताराववीर्णत्रिदिब-पित्रंपादितीयावेन्दिरासन्यां काकन्यां पुरि

Kanakagiri, Book III, p. 566. A mountain near Ujjayini, Yasodhara, the king of Ujjayini, is described as the lord of Kanakagiri. Comm. says that Kanakagiri is the name of a city on the Suvarpagiri mountain, four Krosas from Ujjayini (शुवर्णिगिरिवामपर्वतीपरि वसति यत् नगरं तत् कनकगिरिः प्राप्यते । उज्जविन्याः समीपे गन्युतिह्यं गत्वा कनकगिरिः प्राप्यते ।).

Karahāṭa, Book V. Karhāḍ on the confluence of the Krishnā and the Koinā în Sātārā District, Bombay Province. Somadeva describes Karahāṭa as a prosperous country and gives an interesting picture of a large dairy farm of the locality. He refers also to the tall gold-crested edifices of Karahāṭa: 'अप च यत्र, सोत्येपसीयसिक्याअयका-सङ्ग्रेमस्यस्यसम्बद्धाः विकास स्वास्त्र स्वास्त

Karpāja 1. 185 (क्यांट्युवसिम्स्तावतंस) and क्यांटीवां क्रुव्युवस्थात्म्यकः Book I. Boughly the country between the Godavari and the Kaveri, berdering on the Arabian Sea in the West, and extending approximately to 78° longitude in the East. Sources of 65

Karpāţaka History, Vol. I, p. VII. Under 1. 211 (क्यांटयुव्धिकतब्दियम) comm, explains Karpāṭa as comprising Vidara and other places: क्यांटयुव्धीलां विद्यादिशीणाम्. Vidara is modern Bidar (District and Town) in Hyderabad State. Comm. on Book I identifies Karpāṭa with Vanavāsa (or Vanavāsi): क्यांटीलां व्यवस्थित्वित्त्.

Kaunga, Book III: Any Hor: etc., p. 481. Same as Kongudesa, "the western part of Maisur as far as Nandidrug, together with Coimbatore and Salem." Rapson: Indian Coins, p. 36.

Kaus'āmbī, Book III (कौशाम्बीनितम्बनीविम्बाधर-मण्डनः). Identified with Kosam on the Jumna, about 30 miles west of Allahabad. Kausambi was the capital of the Vatsa country. Comm. says कौशाम्बी नाम नगरी वस्तदेशे गोपाचकात् गन्यतिचतुम्बत्वारिशति वर्तते. If Gavyūti, as a measure of distance, is taken to mean two Krosas or four miles, Kausambi, according to the commentary, is about 176 miles from Gopācala or Gwalior. Kauśāmbī is mentioned also in Book VII, section 26. In an early Buddhist text (the Maha-Sudassana-Suttanta of Dighanikaya) Kosambi is mentioned as one of the great cities, the others being Campa, Rajagaha, Benares etc.

Kira 3.249 (काश्मीरे कीरनाथ:). Kashmir. Comm. says कीरनाथ: शुक्रदेशस्वामी काश्मीरदेशाधिय:.

Krathakais'ika, Book III: ऋषकेतिकेषु कामः etc., p. 431 and ऋषकेतिककृतानुः, p. 567. Comm. explains the term as Virāṭadeśa, corresponding roughly to the present territory of Jaipur and Alwar States. Kālidāsa identifies Krathakaiśika with Vidarbha (Berar and the adjoining territory) in Raghuvamśa 5. 60, 61.

Kuntala 1. 211 (कुलाक्कालाक्क अकुलिएस). "In the inscriptions of the later Kadambas, the progenitor of the Kadamba family (sometimes called Maytravarman, but in some cases Mukkana or Trilocana Kadamba) is represented as the ruler of the Kuntala country which is described as the land round the capital city of

Vanavāsi in the North Kanara District." The territories of the Kadambas of Vanavasi comprised the North Kanara District and parts of Mysore, Belgaum and Dharwar. Somadeva seems to have this area in his mind. See Sarkar in IHQ, Vol. XXII, p. 233. Kuntala seems, however, to refer to a wider area. "The following verse which occurs in the Nilgund plate describes the Later Calukya emperor Jayasimha II alias Mallikāmoda as ruling over the Kuntala country where flows the famous river Krspavarpā (i. e. the Krspā which gets this name after its confluence with the Vena near Mahuli, 3 miles east of Sātārā): विख्यात कृष्णवर्णे तैलकोहोपलब्बसरलस्वे। कुन्तलविषये नितरां विराजते महिकामोदः॥" The Rāstrakūtas and the Later Calukyas are described in contemporary records and Sanskrit works as rulers of Kuntala. The capital of the Rāṣṭrakūṭas was Mānyakheta, modern Mälkhed in the Gulbarga District of Hyderabad State; while that of the Later Calukyas was Kalyana, about 50 miles almost due north of Malkhed, near Bidar in the Bidar District in the same State. "Malkhed is about 250 miles, as the crow flies, north by east of Banavasi and more than 50 miles north of the Kṛṣṇā," The Udayasundarīkathā says that Pratisthana, modern Paithan on the Godavari, was the capital of the Kuntala country. Kuntala thus did not comprise only the North Kanara District of Bombay Province and parts of Mysore, Belgaum and Dharwar Districts, but extended much further to the north so as to include what we now call the Southern Maratha country. See Mirashi in IHQ, Vol. XXII, p. 310.

Kurujāngala 1. 211 (कुरजाइडब्डनाजुनततुत्र). A portion of the Kuru country, which contained the city of Hastināpura, called by Somadeva Hastināgapura or Hastināpura in Book VI, section 20. Jāngaladeśa and Hastināgapura are mentioned also in Book VII, section 28.

Kus'āgrapura in Magadha, Book VI, section 6

(मगभदेशेषु कुशायनगरीपान्तापातिनि). Kudigrapura is mentioned by Yuan Chwang, being called the centre of Magadha and "The city derived its its old capital. name from the excellent fragrant readgrass which abounded there." Watters: On Yuan Chwang's Travels in India, Vol. II. p. 148. According to a tradition preserved in Hemacandra's Trisastitalakāpurusacarita, Prasenojit was king of Kuśāgrapura. Because of frequent fires in Kuśagrapura Prasenajit ordered that any one in whose house a fire occurred should be exiled from the city. As a fire subsequently occurred in the palace, Prasenajit felt bound by his own edict, left the city, and founded Rajagrha. Johnson in IHQ, Vol. XXII, p. 228. Rājagrha, the new capital, is modern Rajgir, south of Patna, near Bihar (town). Rājagrha, called also Pañcaśailapura, the city surrounded by the five hills, is mentioned by Somadeva in Book VI, section 13 (मगभदेशेषु राजगृहापरनामावसरे पश्चरीलपुरे).

Kusumapura, Book IV (कुद्रमपुरे चरादाकणित etc). Same as Pāṭaliputra.

Lampāka, Book III (लानाकपुरपुरिधकाषरमाधुर्भपद्यती-हरे.....हेमने महति). Identified with the modern Laghman. It is the Lan-po of Yuan-chuang, and supposed to represent the Lambatai of Ptolemy. Watters: On Yuan Chwang, Vol. I, p. 181.

Lāṭa 1. 185 (लाटीमुखान्जसंभोगईस). Comm. says लाटीनां श्राक्षण्डदेशोद्भवानां कीणाम्. Bhrgukaccha, or Bharukaccha, as it is called in Pāli, is modern Broach (District and Town) at the mouth of the Narmadā. Lūṭa corresponds roughly to Eastern Gujarat.

Madra 3. 112 (प्रविश्व रे महेश देशान्तरज्ञ). Identifled with the country about Sākala (Sialkot) in the Punjab between the Ravi and the Chenab.

Māhişmatī, Book III (हिमाङ्गमङ्गमगषमध्यदेश-माहिष्मतीपतिमकृतीनामग्नीपतीनां वकानि and माहि-ध्मतीयुगतिरतिकृद्यम्बापः). Comm. says माहिष्मती नाम नगरी यमुनपुरविशि पत्तनम् Supposed to be Maheshwar on the Narmada in Indore, or Mandhata, also on the Narmada, in Nimar District, C. P. Māhişmatī was the capital of the early Kalacuris (Kaṭaccūris) who ruled over Mahārāṣṭra between the extinction of the Andhrabhṛṭyas and the rise of the Cālukyas. Bhandarkar: Barly History of the Dekkan, 3rd. ed., Notes, p. 251. Kṛṣṇarāja, the founder of the early Kalacūri dynasty, established himself at Māhiṣmatī about the middle of the 6th century A. p. The capital was later transferred to Tripurī near Jubbulpur. Cf. IHQ, Vol. XXI, p. 84.

Mathurā. For references to Mathurā see Chap. XVII of this book. In Book VI, section 10, Uttara-Mathurā, 'Mathurā of the North', is distinguished from Dakṣiṇa-Mathurā, 'Mathurā of the South,' in Pāṇḍyamaṇḍala, i. e. Madura.

Mithilapura, Book VI, section 20.

Nābhigiri, Book VI, section 15. A mountain in Magadha on the border of the city of Sopārapura (मगधिवये सोपारपुरपर्यन्तथासि नाभि-गिरिनासि महीधरे).

Nepāla 3. 249 (मनमदैरेप नेपालपालः).

Padmāvatī, Book III (प्यावनीपुर्परमेश्वर:). Comm. explains it as Ujjayinī. Mentioned also in Book VII, section 26. A marginal note in Ms. A gives the same explanation.

Padminikheta, Book VII, section 27. Name of a city.

Pallava 1. 207 (पल्ल छच्च केलीरसमपैहि); 1. 210 (पहाबरमणीकृतविरइखेद); and पहाबीयु नितम्बस्थली-खेकनकुरङ्गः Book I. The Pallava country was the region of Kanel (Conjecveram), the ancient Tondaimandalam, ruled over by the Pallavas until they were overthrown by the Colas towards the end of the ninth century. The Pallava territory was annexed by the Colas, but the memories of Pallava rule must have been still fresh when Somadeva wrote a little more than half a century later. Further, Pallava chieftains continued to rule here and there under Cola suserainty. Poussin: Dynasties et Histoire de l'Inde, p. 271. Comm. explains Pallava as Passoa-Dramila, which is rather vague, but there is no doubt that the Dramila or Dravida or the Tamil country is meant. In Book III Somadeva describes a Dramila or Tamil or Dravidian Regiment (द्वामिलं कह्य). Apart from the Pallavas, the Kanci territory is mentioned in Book III (क्वाब्रिक्सिनीक्वकक्क्षक्तिस्क्यः). Comm. says क्वाब्रिनीम दक्षिणसमुद्रतटदेशः

Pañcāla. A king of Pañcāla (modern Rohilkhand, U. P.) figures prominently in Book III. The Pañcālakas are mentioned in 3.112, 247.

Pāṇḍu, Book IV (पाण्डुचु सुण्डी(स्). Same as the Pāṇḍya country. The king of the Pāṇḍya-deśa is mentioned in 3.248.

Pāṭaliputra. The courtesans of Pāṭaliputra are incidentally referred to in Book III (প্রেন্ডিপুর্বতবার্ক্রাপুল্ক:). Another Pāṭaliputra, located in Surāṣṭradeśa (Kathiawar), is mentioned in Book VI, section 12.

Paudanapura. See under Asmaka.

Paurava, Book III (पीरवपुरंशीरोश्रतिकतः). Comm. says that Pauravapura is Ayodhyā.

Rājapura, capital of the Yaudheya country, described in Book I. "The Yaudheyas have been identified with the modern Johiyas of Bhāwalpur, but in ancient times their territory was more extended." Rapson: Indian Coins, p. 14. Rājapura may perhaps be identified with modern Rajanpur in Bahawalpur State, south of Multan. See also Chap XV of this Book.

S'ankhapura, Book VI, section 8. Seems to be not far from Ayodhyā. In the story in question Anantamati is abandoned in the neighbourhood of a mountain bordering on Sankhapura, whence she is taken to Ayodhyā by a merchant who had pitched his camp near by (श्रञ्जपुरपर्वन्तपर्वती-पकण्टे परिद्ता तस्समीपसमानासितसार्थानीकेन अधिकदेश-पतिपाकेनावलोकिता सती स्वीकृता च.....कोञ्चलदेश-मध्यायामयोध्याया पुरि...समर्पिता).

Simhala 1.186, 3.247 etc.

Simhapura, Book VII, section 27. Located in the Prayaga country. Not the Simhapura mentioned by Yuan Chwang. See Watters (op. cit.), Vol. I, p. 248.

Sindhu 3.248 (तुरमनिष्यू एप प्रेमितः सैन्परैः). The Saindhavas mentioned are the princes ruling over the Indus territory.

Sirişagrāma, Book VII, section 26. Located in Avanti.

Sopārapura. See under Nābhigiri.

S'ricandra 3.249 (श्रीचन्द्रश्चन्द्रकान्तैविविषकुरूपनैमांगयः प्राभृतैस्त्यां, द्रष्टुं हारे समास्ते...). The reference is obscure. Comm. explains Sricandra as the lord of the Kailāsa mountain.

Srīmāla 3. 247. Bhinmal in Jodhpur State. It is the Bhillamāla mentioned in the Prasasti of the Jaina Prākrit romance Kuvalayamālā composed in the eighth century. Śrīmāla was the original home of the Jains now inhabiting Rajputana, Western India, the Punjab and the U. P. See Jinavijayaji Muni in Bhāratīya Vidyā, Vol. 11, Parts I and II.

Surasena, Book IV (स्त्सेनेषु स्ततिकासम्). The Mathura country.

Surāstra, Book VI, section 12. Kathiawar. Cf. सौराष्ट्रीयु विश्वादिनीविनोदकुआर: Book I. Comm. soys गिरिनारिसौराष्ट्रियोगिसु. The first name refers to Girnar Hill near Junagarh in Kathiawar.

Suvarpadvips, Book VII, section 27. Sumatra.
In the story in question the young merchant Bhadramitra along with his companions goes on a voyage to Suvarpadvipa, and returns after acquiring choice and rare local products in exchange for a huge quantity of merchandise (पुन्त्वण्यपण्यविनिम्बेन तत्रसमिन-समारमाभिमतवस्तुरक-भमादाय प्रसा-विनास्त्र). See Chap. XVI of this book.

Suvela, Book V. A mountain to the south of the Himilays. 'हिमालयादक्षिणदिक्षपोटः शैकः सुनेकोऽस्ति क्लाविकोटः।'

Svastimati, see under Dahälä.

Tämalipti, Book VI, section 12. Described as being in Pürvadesa and Gaudamandala. Modern Tamluk in Midnapore District, Bengal.

Ujjayini. Described with Avanti in Book II. Valabhi, Book VII, section 23. (अध्येषविद्यारेशारव-सदमयानरीवित्यालिक्कोक्षेत्रसम्बद्धाः वक्षम्यां प्रति). Also as Strengel annua; Book III. Valabli was the capital of the Maitrakas of Surastra (Kathiawar), and is now represented by the ruins at Wala, about 20 miles N. W. of Bhavnagar.

Vanavāsi 1. 211 (बनवासियोवियीक्षणविश्वयं) and I. 189. "Situated in the corner between the Ghats, the Tungabhadra and the Varada." Bühler's Introduction to Vikramānkadevacarita. Comm. on 1. 211 says गिरिसोपानगरा-दिसीणाम्, that is, the Vanavāsi area contained Girisopā (modern Gersoppa in North Kanara District, Bombay Province) and other cities. It may be noted that under 1. 211 comm. reads गिरिसोपा and not तिरिसोपान, as stated in a footnote on p. 181 of the printed edition. Vanavāsa is mentioned in the Mahavamsa XII. 31, and, as pointed out by Geiger, there is a modern town Banavasi in North Kanara. Imp. Gaz. of India, S. V. See under Kuntala.

Vanga 3. 247 (अन्येश्वाङ्गकलिङ्गबङ्गपतिभिः) and वहेषु स्फुलिङ्गः etc. Book III.

Vangāla, Book III: व्हालेषु महन्तः etc., p. 431. Perhaps the earliest reference to the country of Vangala in classical Sanskrit literature. For other references see D. C. Ganguly: Vangāladeša in IHQ, December. 1943. "In the eleventh century Dacca District was in the country of Vangala In the fourteenth century Sonargaon is definitely known to have been the capital of Vangala, which extended from Dacca to Chittagong." Others think that the southern part of old Vanga came to be known as Vangala, and identify it with Candradvipa, i. e. parts of the Buckerguage District and the adjoining region. See D. Sarkar: The City of Bengale in Bharatiya Vidyā, Vol. V, p. 36. Vangāla is mentioned in the Tirumalai inscription (1024 A. D.) of Rajendra Cola, while the Ablur inscription of Kalseurya Vijjala (1157-67 A. D.) mentions Vanga and Vangala separately. Ibid. Vangala has also been identified with south-east, Bengal including Chittegong. IHQ, Vol. XXII, p. 280.

Vang: 1. 210 वंदीविताशक्णावतीस; and Book IV: बद्दीमण्डके नृपविद्येषात् etc., p. 95. Vangi scems to be the Vengi country, being the districts between the Godavari and the Kṛṣṇā, where ruled the Eastern Calukyas. But the comm, in both places identifies Vangi with Ratnapura. On 1, 210 it says वज्ञीवनितामां विनीतायाः अयोध्यायाः पूर्वदिक्त्सीणां रत्न-पुरसीणाम्. Ratuapura (modern Ratanpur in Bilaspur District, C. P., to the north of Bilaspur Town) was the capital of Dakeina | Yandheya, see under Rajapura.

Kosala, where reigned a branch of the Cedis of Tripuri.

Väränasī in the Kisi country (काशिवेशेषु). Book VII, section 31.

Vijayapura in Madhyadeia, Book VI, section

Vis'ālā, same as Ujjayinī. अवन्तिविषदेद स्थान्य:— सौपत्पदिशालायां विशालायां पुर, Book VI, section 19. Mentioned also in Book V.

General Index

A	Āgamaprāmāņya 199, 2341, 356	Akşapatala 109
Abgar 133	Agapetos 114	Aksapataladhisa 109
Abhāva 185 193	Agastya 436	Aksapatalika 108f
abhaya 40, 283	Agastyesvara Matha 350	Akşepin! 124
Abhayadeva 225	Aggi-Vacchagotta-sutta 191	Alakşmī 401, 406
Abhayamati 23, 26, 40f, 45,		Alberti 176n
290	Aghoraghanta 357	Alberuni 377
Abhayaruoi 23, 25, 26n, 40ff,		Alexis 70
45f, 290	Agneya 336	Alexius I 119
Abhidhyāna 126f	agnihotra 333, 418	Albapādevi 396
Abhimāna-mahīdhara 152	Agnipurāņa 100, 453, 456, 461	Alillaha 179
Abhinavagupta 181, 363n	Agnistoma 377f	Al Masudi 97
abhinivesa 126, 128	Agnyādheya 378	Alupa 337f
Ābhīras 72	Agranāra 117	Ama 432
abhisamdhi 127	Ahalyā 437	amapu 274
Acala 33, 92ff	Ahamkāra 335	Amaradatta 43
Acalesvara 118, 346	āhāralāghava 236f	Amarakoşa 459
Ācāryabhakti 308, 311	Ahavanīya 383	Amardakatirthanātha 340f
Acarya-parisad 90, 461	Ahicohatra 415	Ambā Bhavānī 393n
Acintya 336	Ahirhsā 39, 44, 125f, 128f, 131,	Ambikā 332, 398
Actions 218	269, 333f	Ambhojasambhu 341
Adbhutasāgara 455	236f, 246, 267, 324n, 380,	Amen 125n
Adharma 189, thief 235, 238		Amenhetep IV 125n
250	Ahitakula-kälänala 111	Amitagati 10, 146n, 175, 294ff,
Adhimutta 130	Aihole 397, 405	329, 330n, 424
Adhonibandhinī 111	Aindra 442f.	Amitaprabha 409
Adhvaryu 386, 426	Aindrī 397	Amoghavarșa I 133
ādhyātmika 293	Ajamāra 40	Amṛtabindūpaniṣad 456
Adinatha 352n, 353, 354n	Ajarāja 104	Amrtamati 27, 34ff, 41, 46, 48,
Adipurāņa by Pampa 6, 86;	Ajayapāla 360	50ff, 77f, 85, 141, 168, 198,
by Jinasena 9, 17, 295	Ajita 336	436
Ādityamata 326, 460	Ajita Kesakambali 232n	Amsumat 336
Adityasena 357	Ajitapurāņa 10	anadhikāra-pratigraha 236
Advaita school of Saivism 205,		Anagāradharma 289
207f, school of Vedanta 224;		Anagāradharmāmṛta 18, 48f,
principle of 336; doctrine		297
372n	ajna 255, 258, 276	Analodbhava 413
advaits 371	ājūāvicaya 276	Anandatīrtha 338
Advaita-brahmusiddhi 231	ājyāvekşaņa 407	Anandavardhana 363n
Advaitamata 372n	Akalanka 11, 225, 244, 330,	Ananga 103
advaita-saiva-veda 207	374, 388, 444, 459	Anangasenā 421f
Advaita-Vedanta 207, 289	ākāmksā 258f	Anantagati 424
Acschylus 105	Akampana 417f	Anantamati 259, 410f
Against Apion 133	Akāśa 232	Anantavirya 19, 225, 244, 388f,
	Akousmatics 131n	457
250ff, 336	Akrodha 236	Anarthadandas 268f

Anarthadandavirati 268 anayatanas 257 Andhra 21 Anskāntajayapatākā 76, 457 Angarāja 104 Angas 455; vedāngas 348 angas 254, 285, 327 Angiras 454 Anhilvad Patan 393n Anitva-bhāvanā 296 anityānupreksā 291f, 298 Antagadadasão 435 antarātmā 273 antarmuhūrta 275 Anthimus 114n Anthologia Lyrica 165n Antiochus 119; IV 402n anubhāga 251 anncintana 291 Anukampa 255 anupälambha 236 anuppehā 291 Anuprekṣās 20, 26, 246, 277, Arhaddāsa 127, 434 291ff anusnāna 202 Apuvratas 42, 124, 253n, 263, Arhat 53, 74, 115, 129, 133, 267, 268, 408 anyaélāghā 258, 260 anyatvānupreksā 291 Apabhramsa 7, 178; dialect 7, 179, 298, 329, 330n, 374; Arhata (Darsana) 218 verse 7, 180, 297; metre 7, Arikesarin 3ff, 86 179n, 180, 183; peetry 7, 177, 178n, 179f; poets 7 apamana 200 Aparaditya 239 Aparājitā 34, 157, 398 Aparārka 108 Apastamva 454 apauruseya 368f Apavarga 223 Apaya 276 apayavicava 276 Apisala 442f Āpišalā 443 Apisali 442f apitadbhāşana 200 apitatkarapa 200

Apollo, the father 131n

Apollonius 125f, 131n, 132 Apology 183n Apology of Tertullian 263n appavatta 191 apramāda 236f apta 212f Aptah 226f, 246, 248ff, 255f. 321, 323, 360 Āptamīmāmsā 459 Āptoparīksā 218, 224f Apta theory 228 Aptoryama 378 Ārādhanā 295 Ārādhanāsāra 272, 274, 278 Arajā 437 Ardhacakravartins 413 Ardhamāgadhi 435 Ardhanārī 369 Ardhasamasya 181 Ardraka 134 Ārdrakapolikā 111 Arhadbhakti 308, 310 Arhaddatta 411 Arham mantra 280 178, 196, 214, 224f, 227f, 233, 234n, 256, 269f, 283n, Asuri 39, 193f, 229, 364 289, 291f, 307f, 320f, 323, Asvaghosa 457 326, 347, 374, 416, 431 Arista 369 Aristanemi 434 Aristides 185 Aristo 134 Aristotle 114 Ariyapariesana Sutta 191n Arjuna 6, 61, 82, 346, 436 Arnobius 131 Arravelvi 334 ārta 275 Artadhyana 275 Artha 115, 124, 256 Arthakatha 124 Arthanāyaka 118 Arthesastra 32, 445ff Arthaidetra 89, 101, 1091, 113, Atmatattva-viveka 243 116£, 445

Arundhati 36, 436 Ārvā 178 Aryasura 98, 403 Asadhara 18, 48f, 297 Asaga 10 Asama-sahasa 94 asamvyavahāra 236 Asamyama 252 āsanas 281 asarananupreksa 291f. 299 Asava 222 āsavas 222 Aśoka 99 Asokacandra 121 Asokadatta 358 Aspasia 135n Āśrava 222 āśravas 222 Āsravānupreksā 291, 298, 302 Astāngahrdaya 459f Astasahasrī 9, 225 Aştavanka 34 Asteya 236 Astikya 255 asubha-bhavana 137 asucitvanupreksa 292, 302 asucyanupreksā 291 Ātvalāyana Śrauta Sūtra 384 Asvamedha 377ff. 382, 385f. 430 Aśvattha 154 Aten 125n Atharvan 382 Atharvaveda 380f Athenaeus 16, 70 Atibala 355 Aticandikā 400 atidāna 203 Atiratra 378 Atithi 424 Ativartini 111 atiyajana 203 Ātmānutāsana 9, 145n, 175, 256, 459 Atmasauca 236 Ätmevidambana 200

Atreva 341 Atri 449 Auddayana 77, 259, 411 Azlakya 213 Aupanisadas 241 Aupatamika 255 Aurva 440 Aurvilă 416, 434 Autanas 449 avabbrtha 385 avabhrthasnana 271 avadhi 256. Avadhūta 187, 457f Avadhütagītā 456 avagadha 256 Avanti 108 Avantivarman 118, 339f Avasyaka 408 Avasyakas 283 Avatāras 342f, 370 avidyā 222f avijadhyāna 273 avijjāsava 222 Avvesvara 341 Avyādhidurbala 152 **Ayatana** 202 Ayodhana 424 Ayodhya 426 äyudhasiddhänta 462 Ayurveda 388, 460

B

Bābhravya Pāficāla 459, 462 Badarika 409 Baddiga (I and II) 4 Bahassaidatta 49 bahirātmā 272 Báhuvali 120 bahya 293 Bala 355 Balavāhanapura 415 Baihara 97 Bali 402, 417; minister 417f, 430 halicarudāna 333 Ballalasena 455 Bana 7, 10, 53ff, 62, 108, 116, 124, 232, 359, 391, 401, 489f Bandha 251

Bandhujiva 67 Bappabhatti Süri 432 Bārasa Aquvekkhā 294 Barhaspatya 445 Bārhaspatyas 197, 198p, 217, 230f Bārhaspatya Sūtra 231 Barlaam and Ioasaph 98, 129, 134f Basava 350f Bāskali 455 Bauddha 218, 346, 348 Baudhāyana Śrauta Sütra 353fi Bhadra 179n Bhadradeva 4 Bhadrakali 345, 398, 400 Bhadrakālikā Mātā 393n Bhadramitra 7, 263n, 423 Bhagadatta 21 Bhagavata 39, 239, 364 Bhagavati 395 Bhagavatī Ārādhanā 48n, 49n, 293, 295 Bhagavati Nandā 406 Bhagavatkusika 342 Bhagiratha 21 Bhairava 367, 369 Bhairavananda 355ff bhakti 208, 262, 308, 336, 351 Bhaktis 177 bhaktiyoga 336 Bhāmaha 14 Bhamati 234 Bhāradvāja 444ff, 450, 460 Bharasivas 378 Bharata, minister, 6, 7; king, 21; poet 155; 82, 404, 439 462; sage 412 Bhāraia (Kanarese) 4 Bharat Sing 392n Bhāravi 116, 146n, 327, 439 Bharga 61 Bhargava 61, 437 Bhartrhari 145n, 439,441 Bhartrmentha 439 Bhasa 208, 439 Bhāsarvajās 186, 199, 228, 234, 242f Bhaskara 223, 231, 234f, 239, Bhütesa 118 242

Bhaskaradeva 415 bhasmasnana 235 Bhasya 1990, 342f; of Samkara 239, 245, 377, 890, 456 Bhattanārāyaņa 439 Bhattaraka Lakulfsa 344 Bhattas 242 Bhatta school 241n, 242 Bhatti 116 Bhavabhūti 17, 165, 327, 357, 359, 391, 439f Bhava-Brhaspati 345 Bhāvāgni 345 bhāvanā 187, 188, 202, 2201, 291ff, 296ff, 388, 457 Bhavani 23, 392 Bhāvapāhuda 18 Bhāvaprābhṛta 293, 429f Bhāvapura 415 Bhāvasamgraha 229, 355, 360, 373, 384, 395 Bhāvasamkara 345 bhavasaya 222 bhāvaśuddhi 292 Bhavisayattakahā 179 Bhavyasena 412f bhedesvara-väda 240 Bhiksātana kāvya 181 Bhima 411, 444f Bhima I 353 Bhimā 368 Bhisma 444f bhoga 283 Bhogya 210 Bhoja 208n, 215, 336, 458, 461 Bhoja I 395n Bhojavrtti 186 Bhokta 210 Bhrājispu 418 Bhramara 339 Bhrga 412, 445 Bhumars 393n Bhūmitil**aka 4**09 Bhùtanātha 370 Bhūtavāda 217 Bhūtayajās 125 Bija 215, 255

Bijjala 348, 350 Bilhari inscription 337ff Bindu 114 Birkhala 592n Bittideva 351 Black Yajurveda 368 Bosenocio 176n Bodhi 297, 300, 307 bodhidurlabhänupreksä 291 Bodhisattva 49, 374£, 377, 462 Bodhyanupreksa 306 Boar Incarnation 94n Bopadeva 442 Brahma 244, 268, 385 Brahma (Darsana) 218 Brahmabandhü 95, 436 Brahmabindüpanişad 456 Brahmacarin 288, 341 Brahmacāri-Sivamunis 348 brahmacarya 286 Brahmadeva 18, 257n, 272n, Cakramatha 345 274n, 280n, 298 Brahmādvaitavādins 217, 224 Brahmaloka \$84, 430, Brahman 82, 84, 94, 143, 206, 209, 266, 316, 318, 322, 380, 426, 438, 447 Brahmani 397 Brahmanism 333f, 361, 363, 380 Brahmaputra 456 Brahmasiva 329n Brahmasütra Bhägya 231, 284 Brahmaväda 217 Brahmesvara Linga 343, 348 Brahmi 397 Brhaspati 11, 26, 51, 82, 15! 197, 232, 326, 430, 444f; minister 417 Brhaspatisava 378, 383 Brhatkatha 105n, 357, 439 Brhathathakota 48n, 49u, 295, Capdakarman 39f, 134, 430, 434n, 435n Bṛhat-Parālarasamhitā 154 Brhateamhiis 461 227£ 249, 327, 870, 872£, 890, 416, 431, 434 Candamahasena 21. 41 66

GHERRAL EXPER Buddhabhatta 461 Buddhadist (16: Buddhi 230, 355 Buddhism 99, 128, 189, 223, Candanayika 400 372, 374 Buddhist 8, 21, 59n, 130, 1872, 217£, 220£, 238, 241, 242£, 260, 359, 361, 365, 367, 371, 434, 456f Buddhists 18n, 39, 130f, 134, 188ff, 223f, 226ff, 230, 240ff, 249, 284, 28**9, 331, 334, 36**2**f,** 371ff, 390, 417, 431, 448 Būtuga II 2, 8, 123 Byzantine 96f, 119, 134 C Caitya 365 Caityabhakti 308 Cakrapāni 437 Cakravarman 345 Cakravartins 256 Cakresvara 332n Cakreśvari 332 Califs 134 Calukya 3ff, 9, 330, 341, 347, 350ff, 364, 367, 369, 379, 397. 443 Calukyan 3491, 3671, 405 Camdanana 178 Campa 410, 455f Campü 53, 85f Camupda 357, 391, 394n, 397, 399£ Camundaraya 9f, 298, 298 Cāmundarāya-purāns 10 Capakya 101, 406, 445, 451 Capda 419 Capda 391, 400 184, 188, 193, 1964, 206, 229f, 364 Capdakautika 166n, 357 Buddha 11, 89, 191, 220, 224f, Capdala 28, 31, 252, 822, 418ff, Caturmukha 7 436, 453

Candamari 20, 22ff, 48, 45£, 77, 80, 198ff, 138, 164, 358, 391, 392n, 393, 396f Capdapala 358 Cardarasi 105 Oundesvara 445, 447, 454 Capdi 391 373ff, 377, 390, 416, 431f, Capdika 36, 56, 126, 128, 325, 332n, 391ff, 395, 396f, 407, cult 395 Capdupandita 379, 443 Candra 442 Candra 442f Candrabhāgā 141 Candragomin 443 Candragupta 101, 451; II \$43 Candrajñāna 836 Candrakirti 192, 198n, 232 Candramati 26, 34ff, 41, 78, 133, 316, 318, 324 Candrapida 54, 116 Candraprabhacarita 10 Candra-Vṛtti 44 3n Candrikā 241n, 242n: Cangalyas 349 Caraka 459 Carakas 375 Carakasainkitä 4591 Carayana 459 Carcarivata_{rh}sa 111 Cari 201 Căritra 76n Caritrabhakti 308 Caritra-mohanīya-karma 290 Căritrasăra 293, 298 Cariva 124 Carunetri 179n Caruseni 179n Carvaka 89, 145, 197, 232n, 289, 316, 355, 386 Carvakas 31, 184, 198n, 230f, 233, 2412, 318, 355 Cary 5 200n, 201, 203 Categories 248 Catuspadi 179f Campais 179

Oedi 99, 337ff Celint 71, 415 Celana 133n · Connabasava 351 Cerama 2 Chandogya Upanisad 456 Chadonuideana 178, 180 Channakesava 370 Chandrehe inscription 339f Charon 361 Chanbara Dera 353, 398n Chava 438 Christian 121, 128n, 129, 131n, 132ff, 202, 263n, 294f, 377, 432 Christianity 132, 133n, 134f Cilluka 240 Cinta (mudra) 404 Cintanika 291 Cintra Prasasti 344 Citrakarman 326, 460 Citrālankāras 182 Clement 119n, 128n, 130, 133 Cola 2f, 9, 21, 345, 347, 349, 352, 379, 394 Confucius 21n Constantine (Pope) 123; VII Devadatta 373 97 Ctesias 99 Cūdāśiva 339f Cunkara 437

Dabhoi 393a daiva 106, 144 Daksa 438 Dakşipa Kedaresvara 348 Daksina mārga 206. 334ff. 371 Daksina Mathura 433 Dakşipāmūrti 203 Dalhana 459f Damascene, St. John, 98, 129, dhammakahā 124 134 Dana 200n, 269, 283, 285 288, 333 Danda 437 Dandakya 437 dandaniti 446 Dandin 194

dandopanigad 448 Dantidurga 367 dantura 385 Darbhavati 393n Darhasena 378 Darius 95 daréana 188 Darianes 218 Darianabhakti 308 darganamoha 255 Darianasāra 329, 384 Darsapaurņamāsa 378 Daryko Nath 392n Dasabala 217 Dafahhakti 177 Dajakumäracarita 49 Desavatara 367, 370 Dattaka 462 Dattālpendra Śrīmāra 337 Dayapala 10, 346 Deccan regiment 59, 96 De Cerimoniis aulae 97 Deipnosophistae 70 Deopara stone inscription 60n Desavirati 268 devabhoga 117 Devakesin 429 devamudha 257f Devanampiya Tissa 99 Devanandin 443 Devanirmita 433 Devarati 48 Devasamgha 1, 5, 9 Devasena 229f, 272, 274, 278, 355, 360, 373 384, 395 Devi 394, 400, cult 392f Devi Hinglaj 394n Devimāhātmya 398 Devipurana 399f, 406 devotpātana-nāyaka 118 Dhana 43, 46, 48 Dhanakirti 421f. 438 Dhanapāla 10, 179 Dhanairi 43, 48f, 420f Dhanga 352 Dhanika 376

Dhanurveda 462

Dhanya 460 Dhanvantari 409, 460 Dharapa 49, 128 Dharapendra 332n Dharasena 258, 410 Dharma 39, 44, 76, 115, 124, 136, 185, 189, 197, 200m, 216, 2351, 238, 244, 2461, 250, 255, 267, 298f, 297, 305f, 317, 337. 357, 376, 378, 454 Dharmaghosa 432 Dharmakathā 124£, 137 Dharmakirti 375 Dharmanupreksa 295, 305 Dharmaparīkṣā 7, 10, 296, 329, 330n dharmaparikşā 329, 330n Dharmaruci 432 dharmas 197 Dharmasambhu 340n Dharmasambită (of Parăsara) 445 Dharmaćāstra 348, 362 Dharma-svākhyāta-bhāvanā 297 dharma-svākhyātatvānuprekṣā 291 dharmātman 238 Dharmayogin 76 dharmin 197 Dharmopabrmhana 260 Dharmottara 243, 375 dharmya 275 Dharmyadhyana 275, 289, 293 Dharsana 426 dhätuvāda 357 Dhavala 180 Dhavald 9, 17 Dhisana 104, 459 Dhruva 97 Dhumadhvaja 39, 196 Dhundhiraja 403n Dhūrtākhyāna 330n Dhurtila 419 dhyana 272, 275, 277, 279, 281, 289, 293, 307 dhyanamudra 404 Dhyanapaddhati 19 Dialogue bet, the Christian Jason and the Jow Papisous 134

Dialogue with the Jose Trypho Durvinka 448 134 Digambara 106, 246, 252f, 290, 294, 318, 3**20**, 354a, 434 Digambaras 35, 133, 228, 328, 3464, 874 Digambara Jaina 241, 245, 353 Digenis Abritae 185 **Dighanikaya** 137, 232n, 462n Digvirati 268 Dikas 186, 334, 356 Dikeita 287 Dilipa 79, 436 Dio 116n Dio Chrysostom 116 Diodorus Siculus 104n Diogenes Laertius 125n, 131n, 135n Dionysius 119n dipanirvana 233 Dîpâvali 402 Dîpotsava 97, 157, 401ff Diti 62 ditthäsava 222 Divakaramitra 232 Divavana 435 Divodāsa 460 Divyāradāna 222p Doha 178n, 179n Dohākosa 374 Doşa 190, 238f Drāmila (regiment) 59, 96 Draupadi's Ratha 392 dravyārthika 289f Dravyasanigraka 10, 257, 272p. 280n, 298 Drdhavarman 329 drgdosah 257f Dropa 61 Drupada 61 Duhkhanta 234n, 235, 239 Dukāla 92 dumdumkāra 202 Durdharss 104 Durga \$52n, 392ff, 398ff, 403n, Durgasimha 441, 448 Durga temple 3651, 369 Durvisas 387

Daryodhana 101 dativakya 169 Duvai or Dvipadi 177ff Dvaipāyana 435, 437 dvaita 207, 371 Dvaraka 364, 435 dvares 2002 Dwarf, the, 370, 408, 417 Ē

Ebionism 132n Ebionites 132n Eight-day festival 434 Eight-day rites 411, 416 Ekacakra 418 ekaha 383 Ekalingaji 344, 346 Ekānasi 419 Ekāntada Rāmayya 351 Ekspād 418 ekatvānupreksā 291, 300 ekatvavitarka-vicāra 276 Elephant Demon 438 Elkasaites 136n Ellora 435 Empedocles 125, 131n, 135n, 197n, 198n Encratites 132n

Essenes 131n, 132n, 147n Eteoples 105 Euchites 202 Euphorbus 135µ Euripides 51, 104n Exhortation to the Greeks 119n. 128n, 132

Pire-oult 6 Fish, the, 370 Four Actions 129

G

Gadāyuddha 10 Gadyacintāmaņi 9f. 55. 296 Gaganasivācārya 337£ Ganakārikā 199 201n. 235. 238n, 240, 343n Gapapati 31, 441f, 394n

Gaparamemehododki 772 Gapavardhana 261, 327 Gandhacaript 111 Gandberra 50 Ganga (dynasty) 2, 86, 123, 230, 326, 380, 347, 351 394n, 443 Georgadhara 3f Gangawati 4 Garga 412 Gargeya-vamia 345 Gargya 3441 Garuda-mantra 358 Garudapurāņa 399, 453, 461 Gāthālaksana 178 Gātkāsaptalatī 438 Gauda-Mimāmsaka 242 Gaüdasamgha 5 Gaüdavaho 391, 432n Gauraka 118 Gautama 324n, 412, 426, 436, 455 Gavisthira 36 Generality 218 Ghanta 420, 422 Ghantai 352 Ghatta 179£ 330 Gitagovinda 20, 183 Gnosticism 132n Gnostics 132n Goalesvara 353 Godavari 141 Golagi 341 Golagiri 341 Golaki Matha 341f Gomedha 386 Gömmatasara 10 Gopāla 454 Gopayati 49 Gosala 134 Gosslaka 375 Gosava 321, 322n, 377, 383ff, 386n. 397 Govinda 421, 436

Govinda III. 97

Grahila 209, 440

Granthiparna 92

gūdhavrata 201

Gunacandra 86 Gunadhara 43f gunadharma 237 Gunadhya 357, 439 gupana 216 Gunapāla 420, 422 Guparatna 184, 219, 222f, 231 Gunaratna Sûri 230 gupas 237, 239, 446 guņasthāna 254, 290 Gunavratas 263, 268f Gupti 293 Gurgi inscription 184n, 339f Gurjara (regiment) 61, 96 Gurjara-Pratihāra 395 Gyllia 88

H

hajib 91n Hala 438 bamaācāra 274 Hamsasiddhāntadeva 11 Hannkkah 'Rededication' 402 Hara 67 Haraprabodha 39, 206, 208 Haribhadra 43, 45ff, 55, 76, 85f, 123f, 126, 128, 147n, 184, 222, 228, 230, 232f, 244, 330, Ikşvāku 378 358, 391, 408, 457 Harihara 369 Harişena 7, 9, 50, 329, 430, 434n, 435n Harisvamin 385 Harivamsa 460 Harivamiapurāņa 180, 386, 430, 435 Harşa 108, 118 Harşacarita 531, 108, 116, 232, 359, 391, 401 Harşanātha 240 Hasiāmalaka 456 Hastinagapura 409, 424 Hastināpura 417f Hastitapasa 134 Hastyäyurveda 455 Haviryajfins 378

Hearers, the 372n

Gupabhadra 9, 145n, 175, 257, Hemacandra 13, 49, 142, 175, Islam 134f 178, 180, 240, 244, 255, 270n, 290, 292, 2961, 355, 361, 373, Istopadeia 278 386, 431, 437, 452 Hemagiri 417 Hemasena 346 Heramba 413 Hermes 361 Heracles 104n Herodas 88 Herodotus 93, 402n himsä 126, 203, 264, 324n Hīnayānist 372f Hindu 133n, 331ff, 338, 349, 357n, 361ff, 365, 404, 408 Hinduism 350, 360, 363 Hippolytus 51 Histories 165n Homer 133 Hoysalas 330, 351f, 368, 370 Hrdayasiva 338ff Hrdayesa 340 Hsüan-tsang 357n, 374 Hutāsana 431 I Ibhacarin 454f Ijya 200, 202

Ikkeri chiefs 351 Indra 82, 84n, 141, 143, 145, Janakiharana 439 209, 259, 280, 313, 326, 398, 413, 437f; grammarian 442f Indra III. 85. 97. 117f. 371 Indrabhūti 46 Indradatta 420 Indragomin 443 Indranandin 6 Indrant 397 Indravyākaraņa 443 Indriyas 335 Indumati 415 Inherence 218 Inspectors, The, 361 Iśānasens 45 Iśānansiva, Ācārya 337£ Iiānativagurudevapaddhati 214, 229, 335n, 336f, 342, 347, 398, 4041

Istasiddhi 231 Isvara 187, 206, 207n, 209ff, 215, 219, 229, 237, 335; temple 346 Isvaragītā 207, 210n, 458 Itihāsa 13n. 362 I-tsing 11, 129n J Jābāla 92 Jabali 54f Jāb**ālisūtras** 364 Jagaddhara 358 Jaimini 89, 196 Jaiminīya Brāhmaņa 38**4** Jaiminīya doctrines 196f, 218, 241 Jaiminiyas 196, 217 Jainendra 142ff. Jainism 18, 20f. 126, 128f. 131ff, 206, 211, 246f, 253f, 260, 269f, 273, 276f, 284, 291, 297, 316, 320, 326ff, 334, 346, 349f, 354, 371, 374, 381 Jalakelivilāsa 27, 140 Jalhapa 442 Jamadagni 7, 258, 408f, 436 Janaka 384 janghacarikanayaka 110 Jannavakka 381 japa 270, 272 *Jasaharacariü* 3n, 6, 8n, **48f**î Jātakamālā 98, 403 Jatasimhanandi 331, 360f, 374. 463 Jațilas 373 **Jayā** 363 Jayadeva 20, 183 Jayadhavalā 9 Jayamangalā 403, 459 Jayanagara 121n Jayanta 137, 221, 223, 226ff.

232f, 242f, 245, 336, 355, 389

Jayarama 329, 330n

Jayasimha II. 9, 347

Jayasimha I. 851

kamasava 222

Javanisha Siddharaja 345 Jayavarman 417 Jedara Dasimayya 551 Jesus 121, 183n, 183n, Jewels (Three) 74ff, 251, 255ff, 269f, 275ff, 283f, 291, 307ff, 311, 314, 831 Jimutavähana 438 Jina 74, 89, 118, 262, 270ff. 307ff, 277, 2794, 282, 288, 313£, 330, 432 Jinacanda 444 Jinadatta 77, 258, 409f Jinadharma 58 Jinaprabhasüri 432 Jinasena 9, 17, 295, 330 Jinasena I. 380, 386, 430, 435 Jinendrabhakta 414 Jinendrabuddhi 443 Jinendradatta 411 Jiva 207, 246 Jīvadayā 264 Jīvakacintāmani 198n Jīvānanda 441 jīvanmuktatā 192 jäänabhakti 308 Jäänakarma-samuocayavada 242 jāānamudrā 404f Jñānārņava 19, 175, 2721, 2771, 280f, 292f, 296, 304n Iñdnärpavalgntra 218 jnanasakti 218, 235 Jäänsamhitä 207 jneyavarapa 220 Jonaraja 12 Jones 115 Josephus 133 Jrmbhaka 431 juhvaka 385 jumbaka 885 Justin 130, 134 Justinian 114 Jvälamälint 6 Jodlamalint-kalps 8 Jyestha 175, 406 Jyotih 214 Jyotife Vedinga \$26

1 "H 1 Kadamba (dynasty) 379 Kadamba 367 Kādambaguhādhivāsin 340 Kadambari 10, 53ff, 62, 932, 391, 440 Kadambari 128, 391 Kadarapinga 123, 426f Kadavaka 179 Kailasa 368, 370 Kaildeasamhitä 207n, 213n Kaitabha 104 Kaivalya 194 Kakandi 419, 428 Kākutethacarita 10 Kaku Vakrokti 85 Kalā 214. 235 kāla 147n, 335 Kāla Bhairava 392n Kālāgni Šiva 80 Kālakavrksīya 110 Kālāmukhas 234, 348, 350, 360 Kālāmukhi-Brahmacāri-sthāna 348 Kalasa 118 Kālasena 129 Kālāsura 380, 424, 426 Kalavukta 2n Kalhapa 106, 118, 345 Kalt 128, 402 Kali Age 154, 318 Kālidāsa 327, 439; forest 415 Kali Devi 392n Kalinga 21 Kalka Bhawani 393n Kalka Mata 393n Kalkin 370 Kalla-Gudi 367 Kallata 363 Kalpasütra 442 Kalyanacandra 375 Kalyāpamitra 40, 328 Kama 103 Kämakathā 124 Kamaladevi 367 Kamalanarayana 367 Kamalastia 221, 223, 231 Kamandaka 116, 445ff, 449, 454 Karkka 333

Kāmastira 232, 408, 437, 457, 459, 462 Kambaliávatara 231 Kamboja 21 Kāmika 336 Kaminimohana 178n kāmksā 257f Kampilya 428 Kapāda 89, 185f, 213, 216n, 217, 380 Kanakakusala 121n Kanskāmara 10. 179 Kapapa 104 Kaficanika 428 Kandalaviläsa 38f Kankali Tila 432f Kannada 18n, 119n, 154n, 350 Kappaki 375 Kantha 439f Kapāleśvara 359 Kāpālika 22, 66, 234f, 240, 241, 252, 346, 350, 356ff, 376, 391, 439 Kāpālikavrata 358 Kapālin 358 Kapila 89, 194, 227, 343f Kapilas 217, 241, 346 Kapileávara 343f Kapinjala 400 Kapphinäb**kyudaya M**ahd**kävya** 406 Kappiya 124 Karakandacariii 10, 179 Karāla 103 Karālā 357, 391 Karana 234n Karana 336 Karavāla 104 Karavālavīra 94 Kāravaņamāhātmys 342, 343n Karhad plates 2, 8, 337f Karikalabha 27 Kariri 377 Karka 385 Karkaroni 341

526 Karma 46, 74, 78, 135f, 146n, Kautilya 101, 109f, 113, 116f, Kojāgarī Pūrņimā 403n . 187, 190f, 193n, 195, 211, 219, 247, 250f, 255, 257, 273, Kavacasiva 340 275ff, 281, 285, 288, 290ff, Kavikalpadruma 442 300ff. 313ff. 334f. 371 Karman 187, 190, 360 Karmandins 290 Karmapriya 419 Karca 61 Karpāța 21 Karpūramanjarī 355ft Karttikarasi 344 Karttikeya 295, 322 Kārunika-siddhāntins 234 kārunya 264 Karvan 343 Kārya 234n Kāsa 46 Kaşays 288 Kātikā 443 **Kā**śīk**uņ**ḍa 343n Kāsirāja 460 Katakadhipati 58 Kātantra 442f Kathaka 383 Kāthaka-siddhāntins 234 Kathākoša 9, 48n, 435 Kathanaka literature 136n 408, 463 Kathāsaritsāgara 49, 357f, 406, Kātyāyana 454

Kõtyäyana Śrautasütra 382ff Katyayani 34, 358, 391f, 398 Kaula 128, 241, 376 Kaulācārya 355f Kaulas 204f, 242, 354ff Kaulika 205 Kaumārī 397 Kaumudī 402f, 423 Kaumudījāgara 403 Kaumudīmitrānandu 358 Kaupapadanta 445 Kaupdinya 199n, 201f, 203n 236f, 238n, 239, 342f Kauruşa 344 Kaurusya 343 Kausambi 420, 422

Kausika 266n

445ff Kavikanthäbharana 14 Kavikovida 152 Kavikularāja 1 Kavikulasekhara 147 Kavikusumāvudha 153 Kāvya 82, 84;-lit. 4, 17, 19f, 54, 142, 165, 168, 171, 181, 216, 439, 454; poetry 53, 139, 158, 164, 169, 177; prose 70; style 20, 74, 79f, 83, 86f, 182 Kāvyādhyāya 439 Kāvyālonkāra 14 Kāvyamīmāmsā 13n, 218 Kävyänuśäsana 14 Kāyārohaņa 342 Kāyāvarohana 344 Kayavatara 342 kāyotsarga 283n Kedara Matha 348 Kekati 32, 442 Keladi chiefs 351 Kerala 21 Keśavasvāmin 368 kevala 256 Kevalins 285 Khandanakhandakhādya 12 kha-nirvāņa 233 Kharepatan plates 341 Khatvänga 394n Kilifijaka 29, 70 Kimjalpa 427, *Kirana* 334n, 336 Kiraņāvalī 185, 227, 243 Kirāta 28, 411 kirīţa 142n Kīrtisāhāra 28, 144 Kirtivarman I. 365 Kisuvolal 366 kleśn 187, 190, 193, 222 kleśavarana 220 Kodapdamārtapda 94

Kodiya Matha 348

Kohala 31, 441

Kojagara 403

Konnur inscription 333 Kontgudi temple 365, 369 Kotikal Mandapa 892 Krāthana 200f Krida 180 Kriyācaryā 336 Kriyāsakti 210, 235 kriyayoga 237 Krodhini 111 Krpa 61 Krpadharma 61 Krpana 104 Krans 84, 171, 174, 249, 354n, 364, 369, 438 Kreps III 2f. 5f. 8, 337f Krapabhümi 364 Krapamiára 242n, 356f Krsparājadeva 2f, 6 Krapa-Raya 379 Krspavarman I. 379 Krtyā 52, 406 Krtyakalpataru 445, 447f Kşamākalyāņa 50 ksapakasreni 290 Kşatracüdämani 9f, 296, 330 Katriya 316, 323, 329, 383 kşäyika 255 ksayopasamika 255 Kaemagaurisvara 118 Kşemagupta 118 Kşemendra 14, 20, 150 ksemin 239 Kşetrapāla 332n Kşirakadamba 424f Kşīrasvāmin 440, 459 Kṣīrataraṅginī 440 Kubera 26, 84 Kubera 432 Kudiur plates 8, 86, 230 Kula 395 Kulācārya 204, 217, 357 Kulacūdāmaņitantra 204 395n Kulärpavatantra 204f Kullūka 453 Kumara 348, 439 Kumaradasa 439 Kumarapala 293, 297, 345

Xumārapālapratībedha 86,293, Kumirila 12, 196, 245, 580, 389, 458 Kumbhodara 418 Kumuda 32 Kundakunda 181, 247, 257, 272f, 277, 293f, 308, 429f Kundali or Kundalini 214ff kundali-väyu-samoara 216 Kuranga 103 Kurral 138n Kurneandra 233 Kurujāngala 417 Kuśagrapura 410 Kusika 343f Kushk Mahal 222n Kusumānjalibodhanī 226n, 242 Kusumapura 391 Kusumāvalī 23, 40f Kuvalayamālā 42, 86, 124, 329, 391, 403 kuyajana 203

labhas 201n, 235 Lād Khān (temple) 365 Laksanāvalī 243 Laksma 349 Laksmanarāja 338ff Lakşmidhara 445, 447, 458 Lakşmī-Nārāyana (temple) 354n Lākula 349f Lākulāgama 349 Lakulamnaya 349 Lākula-samaya 349 Lakula-Siddhanta 348, 350 Lākulatantra 336 Lakulin 240, 342ff Lakuliis 240, 342, 349, 369 Lakulisvara Pandita 349f Lalita 258, 410 Lelitäditya 108 Lalitavistara 137, 359, 361, 374, 438, 461 Lambita 336 Lampa 595 laffoakhädaka 119n Lacten 21n

Lauhitya 456 Laukäyatikas 457 laukika 280, 382 Legatio 96 Leo 119 Lilävati 86 linga 343f, 349, 367, 394n, 435f Mahagapapati 238 lingam 203 Lingapurana 342, 406 Lingayats 349, 351, 371 Liudprand 96 Lokabhāvanā 304n Lokambika 4 lokamūdha 257f lokānupreksā 291, 295, 303 Lokayata 8, 115, 217f, 226, 230ff, 241 Lokāyatikas 231f, 355 lokottara 280 Lopāmudrā 436 Lucian 58n, 135n, 361 Luit 456

M

Madanamanjari 358 Madanamati 40 Madanarnava 104 Madanavarman 352 Madapurusa 407 madas 257 Madhava 358 Madhukeévara 346 Mādhumatevavamsa 340 Madhumati-pati 340 Madhupingala 424, 430 Madhvācārya 125, 215n Madhyamaka 192, 241 Madh**yamakakärikäs** 192f Madhyamika 192f mādhyasthya 264 Madirāksī 104 Magadhasundari 414 Magha 19, 116, 827, 439f Mahābala 421f Mahabhairava 23, 56, 77, 3561, Mahesvaras 234, 345 Mahabhairavanusasana 357 Mahabhairavi 356

Mahabharata 6, 110, 144, 147. 175, 430, 436, 445ff, 430, 460 Makābkāgya 4431 Mahadeya 237f, 368 Mahādukkha-kkhandha Sutta 137n Mahajana 227 Mahakala 203, 346, 380, 386, Mahākāla Šiva 80, 357 Mahākālī 394n Mahākavis 439f Mahākusika 399 Mahālaksmī 367 Mahāmātra 90 Mahāmoda 442 Mahanavami 22, 97, 157, 398ff Mahāpāsupatas 241 Mahāpurāņa 3, 6£ Mahāsādhaka 90 Mahā-sandhi-vigrahādhikrta 106 Mahāsena 10 Mahāsvetā 55 māhātmya 238 Mahāvamia 99 Mahavastu 21n, 49n, 377 Mahavtra 134, 374f, 402 Makāvīracarita 86 Mahāvrata 241n, 358, Mahāvratas 263n Mahāvratikas 358, 360 Mahāyajñas 378 Mahayana 232, 374, 438 Mahāyānist 373 Mahayoginis 22, 56, 58, 396 Mahendradeva 1 Mahendra-mātali-samjalpa 1 Mahendrapāla I and II 395n Mahendravarman 439 Mahendravikramavarman 357m Mahesvara 203, 215, 218f, 224, 228, 237, 239, 347, 369, 431 Maheśvaradatta 358 Mähesvarī 397 Mahidhara 385, 387

Mahimnastotra 440n

TASASTILAKA AND INDIAN CULTURE

Mahinda 99 Mahisamardin: 394n, 396 Mahisasuremandapa 393 Mahisasura-mardini 369 Mahmud of Ghazni 433 Mahmud Shah 122n Mahodadhi 242n Maitrāvanī Samhitā 383 maitri 264 Maitreva 344 Majjhimanikäya 69n, 137n, 191 Makaradhvaja 39, 97; king 103 Makaranda 358 Mākuļa 336 Mala 334 Malakapuram pillar inscription marana 406 341f Malati 357 Mālatīmādhava 165, 357ff, 391, 403, 440 Malegitti-Sivālaya 366 Mallavadin 375 Mallikārjuna 359, 369 Mallinatha 455 Mallişena 240, 244, 289, 346, Mārkandeyapurāna 398 379£ Mallişepa Presesti 346 Mammata 13 Manadhananjaya 152 manahparyaya 256 Manalara 123 Manas 335 Mandana 186, 226, 243 mandana 200f mandaramudra 280 Mandhata 353, 392n Mandodari 424 Mandris 88 Manes 81, 260, 364, 316, 318. **321, 324, 372**n Mangala 103 Manichaeism 372n Manikundala 104 Manikyanandi 225 Manimekhalai 217, 375 Mankhaka 12f Mańkhaliputra Gośalaka 375 Man-Lion, the, 370 Manmathamathana 38, 77

Manmathavinoda 104 Manojakunjara 27, 141 mantana 201n Mantradiksā 336 mantras 203 Mantravāda 206 Mantrin 371 Manu 109, 316, 322, 362, 388f, , 426, 445, 450ff Manuel Palaeologus 134 Manusmyti 436, 458 Mara 377 128f, 158, 178, 180, 205, 313n, 357, 399 Maranasamādhi 292ff Mārasimha 8, 86, 230 Marcus Aurelius 133 mārga 256, 334 Mārgapamalla 156 Märgapäli 401 Marici 412, 426 Märkanda 437 Marutta 381 Massalians 202 Matanga 409, 412, 426, 455 Mātangacārin 455 Mātangalīlā 455f Matha 337ff. 344f. 348, 359 Mātharavṛtti 194, 224, 230, 379n, 386 Mathura 416, 431ff Matisāgara 9, 346 mātrā 32, 177ff Mātrmandala 397 Matrmedha 386 Matsyapurāņa 146n, 147n, 430, Mattamayüra 339ff Mattamayüranätha 340 Mattaviläsaprahasana 241n, 245, 357n, 439 Mattebba vikridita 180 Matter 193, 198, 210, 215, 250f Maya 207, 384ff

178

Mavira 439 Measure for Measure 3622 Medapāta 344 Medea 104n Medhatithi 453 Meghacandra 444 Meghavahana 125 Metriche 88 Micyllus 135n Mibrab 365 Milindapañha 190**1, 222, 43**8n Milkmaid's Cave 367 Māradatta 21ff, 41ff, 71, 82f, Mīmāmsā 225f, 241, 243, 348, 378, 389 Mimāmsā (theories) 227 Mīmāmsaka 134, 226, 241, 389f Mimiambi 88 Minuoius Felix 134 Mithyāśruti-vighātaka 360 Mithyatva 251, 254f Mitrasarman 108 moha 190 Moharājaparājaya 204, **3**60, 376 Mokkhap**āhu**da 272 Mokşa 192, 222f, 233ff, 242 244f, 251, 277 moksamarga 224 Moses 132 Mothers, the, 22, 81, 397f Mrgasena 420, 422 Mrgavega 414 Müdha 253, 257f müdhadısti 257 Müdhatä 253n, 257f, 260 mūdhatva 248 Mudrārākņasa 403 Mugdhānganā-keli-kutūhala 150, 153, Mugthasila 372n Mukhabimba 336 mukhyaksara 272 Mukta 239 mukti 235 *Mūlācāra* 292, 294 Mūladeva 428, 438 Mālādhāra 216 Mayanāvayāra (Madanāvatāra) Mūlaguņa 75, 262f, 283n, 285,

Mülamedhyamaha-kärikäs 232
Mülasarvästipädaikatataharman 129n
Mulgund Inscription 442
Mumuhsuprakarana 145n
Mundira 105
Municaudra 128
Munigupta 412f, 420
Muninätha Chilluka 349
Muñjärya Vädighanghala
Bhatta 6, 8, 11
Muslims 376

N

Nābhigiri 415 Nachor 135 Nāda 209, 214f Nādijangha 436 Nadiśa 103 Nägabhata 11. 395n Nagacandra 86 Nagarjuna 192, 193n, 232 Nagavardhana 359 Nagavarman 10 Nāgeśvara 370 nagnabhāva 374 Nahusa 21, 437 naigama (naya) 289f nairātmya 187ff, 220f, 223 Nairātmya-bhāvanā 220f Naisadhacarita 12, 81n, 181. 183, 186n, 210n, 213n, 234f, 285n, 363, 379, 398n, 435, 443, 451 Naiskarmyasiddhi 224 Naiyāyikas 184, 218, 233, 235, 241, 389 Naklesvara 343 Nakulisa 342 Nakultávara 350 Nala 21 Nalacampil 28n, 76, 85, 87, 356, 358, 360, 371 *Näladiyär* 138n namaksara 272 Nanda 405, 406 Nandadevi 405 Nandaka 48, 413

Nanda-tirtha 406

67

Nandi 203, 897 Nandi 181 Nandisangha 9 Nanditādhya 178 Nandyāvarta 270 Nanna 7 Nara 454 Nārada 33, 62, 412, 454f, 380, 424ff, 430 Naramedha 386 Narasimha (I and II) 4 Narasimha 365, 367ff Naravāhana 344 Nārāyapa 364f, 368, 370, 438 Nārāyaņa (poet) 439, 451 nārāyaņavali 365 Nărăyani 391 Narayaniya 217 Narendrasena 442 Narmadā 141, 353, 394n Narmamālā 20 narma-saciva 90 nāstika 362 Nāstikas 197, 230, 284, 373, 375 Nästikavädin 233 Natha 344 Nathu Bhil 392n nātyasālā 404 Nātyaiāstra 181, 404, 439 Nauhalesvara 338 navabhūmikā 290 Navarātra 399 Naya 289f Näyakumāracariu 7, 8n Nayanāvalī 43ff nayapaddhati 289 Neclakeši 128, 131 Neith 402n Nemicandra 257, 291, 297 Nemicandra Siddhantacakravartin 10, 86, 298 Nomideva 1. 5 Neminatha 18n Nescience 195 Nicephorus Phocas 96 Niķivāsa 336 Nikumbha 413

Nilakantha 448n, 455f

Nilskantheevara temple 398n Nilapata 440f Ntiapatta 441 Nimi 459 Nimittädhyäya 327, 461 Nimittasakha 327 nīrājana 407 nīrājanā 271 Nirargada 377 nirāsraya 222 Niravadyapaudita 443 Nirbījikaraņa 214, 216 Nirgrantha 217, 372, 375 Nirjarā 242 Nirjaranupreksa 291, 304 nirmamatva 292 nirodha 188, 189n, 222 Nirakti 408 Nirvana 11, 190ff, 222, 244, 292, 402 nirveda 255 Nirvedajanani (dharmakathā) nişkriya yoga 277 Ntti 150, 445, 450 Nitibrhaspati 149, 317 Nitinetra 151 Nītisāra 116, 445ff, 449, 454 Nitisastras 437, 44f, 4447ff, 451 Nitisataka 145n, 441 Nitivākyāmṛta 1, 6, 10f, 18,76, 93, 97f, 100f, 104ff, 109f, 115. 117, 119f, 122, 440, 446ff, 451, 454f, 459 nityatva 235f nivrtti 185, 247 niyama 269, 283 Niyamasāra 18, 258, 272 Nivati 335 Niyativāda 375 niyoga 388 Nisami 91 Nobala 338 Nobaleávara 338 North Indian regiment 60, 96 Nrga 21 Nraimha 379 Nyasa 443

Padmaratha 77, 258, 410, 435

Nyaya-bindu-tika 375 Nyayakandak 185, 219, 243, padmasana 281 **390. 457** Nyayakumudacandra 10, 225 Nyayakusumänjali 228, 241ff, 249n, 373n, 378, 458 Nyayamañjarī 76, 137, 221, 223, 226, 227n, 228f, 232f, 242f, 245, 336, 355, 389 Nyāyasāra 186, 228, 243 Nyāyasūtras 226 Nyaya system 218, 226, 241ff. 289, 348 Nyaya theory 213, 227, 229, 233f, 235n, 378 Nyāya-Vaisesika school 216n. 226, 228, 241, 337, 389f Nyāyavārtika 226 Nyāyavārtika-tātparya-parišuddhi 243 Nyāyavārtik 1-tātparya-tīkā 227 Nyāyavinisoaya 225, 374n, 388, 459

Octavius 134 Omkāra 280 Omkara Siva 392n Omkärešvara temple 346 On the Gods and the World 198 Orosius 165n Orphics 280 Otto the Great 96

P

Pādalipta 124 Padärtha 248, 251f padastha dhyāna 280 Paddhadiya 330n, Paddhatikā or Pajjhatikā 179f Paddhatis 203 Padma 417f Padma (vyūha) 445 Padmä 87f, 136, 316, 426f, 431 Padmacarita 7, 381, 384f Padmahrada 431 Padmapāda 216n Padmaprabha 18 Padmapurāna 359n. 401f

Padmāvatī 332 Paduma 377 Pagan cults 128n, 130, 132, 134; deities 135 Palppa 175, 294 Pākayajnas 378 Pāla 454 Pālakāpya 454ff Palaki 454 Pallava 21, 357, 378, 394, 439 Pamarodara 29ff, 154f, 441 Pampa 4ff, 86, 330 Pampa Bhärata 86 Pampa-Rāmāyana 86 Pañcagnisadhaka 288 Paficagurubhakti 308, 311 Pañcala 415, 428 Pañca Linga 347f Paticaratra 13n, 239, 241f, 342, 363f, 430 Pañcarātra**truti** 36**3** Pañcarätropanisad 363 Pañcartha 240 Paficartha-Läkulamnaya 240 Pañcatantra 429n, 441, 445, 450, 452, 462 Pañcavinia Brāhmaņa 384 Pancikakara 242 Pandita-vaitandika 89, 155 Pandya 2, 121, 433 Pāņini 442f Paniniya 348, 442 Päpanätha 369 Paracakrapramardana 111 pāralaukika 332 Paramahamsa 288 Paramāra 345, 354n paramätmä 273f Parmātmaprakāta 18, 272, 274, 277 paramāvagādba 256 param-brahma 274 Paramesthins 74ff, 269f, 272, 280, 287, 307f Parameśvara 219, 229 Parameśpara 336 Paramesvara-siddhanta 357

paramiyotih 273 Paräntaka I. 2f, 345 Parasara 343, 412, 444f, 438 Parāiaradharmasamhijā 454p Parasuramesvara (temple) 346 paravādimalla 11 paribhoga 283 Paricaya 14 Parigraha 267f Parīkṣāmukhasūtra 19 225 388, 457 Pariksit 444f pariņāma 336 Parișahas 200 Parisamkhya 80 Parisistaparvan 49, 408n, 431, Parivrājaka 358, 375 Paroksa 289 Pärsvagata 121 Pāršvanātha 240, 354n, 433 Pärévanäthacarita 9f, 50, 399 Pärthaparäkrama Vyäyoga 118 Particularity 218 Parvata 122, 380f, 424ff, 430 paryagnikarana 382 paryāyārthika 289f Paryuşanaparvan 431 Pāśa 334 Pasu 334 Pāśupata 199, 201ff, 230n, 239. 241ff, 350 Pāśupatas 184, 199, 200n, 201ff. 217, 219, 234ff, 242, 245f. 349f Pāśupata Sūtras 199n, 201, 203, 234n, 236f, 238n, 239, 342f Pasupati 199, 219, 239 Pățaliputra 391, 429, 434, 462 Pătanjalas 241 Pātafijala (Yogaśāstra) 348 Patanjali 187, 209, 444, 457 Pati 334 Pätrakesarietotra 360 Pattabandha 142n Pattadakal 366, 369 Pattada Kisuvolal 366 Pattavardhana 111

Pattopadnyaya 109 Paudanapura 424 Paimacarin 7 Pauranikas 241 pauruseya 388f Pauskarā 363 Pavadavegā 416 pavatta 191 Pelion 165n penthästhäna 120 Persians 99 Petrarch 176n Pharisees 147n Philostratus 125, 132 Pingala 179, 412, 426 Pipyākagandha 428 Pippalada 380 Pitrmedha 386 Pliny 263n Ponna 330 Pontius Pilate 133 Prabhācandra 10, 244, 308n, 330, 429 Prabhākara 448 Prābhākaras 242

Prābhākara school 226, 242f Prabhakarayardhana 359 Prabhanjana 42, 50 Prabh**ās**akhanda 400 Prabhāsakşetra 355 prabhāvana 257f, 260 Prabhavasiva 339 Prabhrtas 18

Prabhudeva 351 *Prabodhacandrodaya* 241 n,242 n 245, 356f prabodha-mangala-pathaka 144 Prabodhasiva 184n, 389 pradaksipa 200n pradesa 251 pradhava-dharani 90 Pradyota 142n Pradyumna 120 Pradyumnacarita 10

Prahladanadeva 118 Prajapati 460 Prajāpatiemrti 454

Prabladaka 417

GENERAL INDEX Protoropolicika 226, 242 Prakirpaka 13n, 288 Prakirpas 256, 285 Prakrit 6, 12, 20, 42n, 177ff. 2941, 297, 308n, 325, 329, 330n, 430 Prākṛta Piàgala 177ff Prakrti 193f, 229, 251, 335 Pramāņa-nayatattvālokālainkāra 221, 229 Pramāņas 289 Pramānavāda 217 Pramāņa-Vārtika 375 Pramāņavidyā 13n Prameyakamalamärtanda 10 pramoda 264 Prapava.203, 214 prapayama 281 prapanca 193, 206f

Prapañoasāra 215n, 216n Prapañoasāratantra 215, 216n, 404 prasama 248, 254f, 292 Prasannapadā 192 Prasantasiva 339

Praiastapāda Bhāṣya 127, 185n, 186, 219, 223, 227, 242f, 245, 377

prastāvanā 270 Pratapavardhana 26 Pratikramana 283n Pratima 290 pratipaksabhāvanā 137 pratityasamutpāda 192 pratyakhyana 283n Pratvaksa 289 pratyūha 137 Praudha-priyāpānganavotpala

150, 154 290n, Pravacanasāroddhāra

297 Pravarasena I, 378 Pravrajaka 358 pravrtti 247 preraka 210 Priyadatta 410 Prodgita 336

Prosadha 282f

progadhop**isana 2**69 Prthaktvänupreksä 301 prihaktvavitarka viesra 276 Prthu 323 Pudgala 250f pais 270 püjäphala 270 Pūjyapāda 11, 177, 252, 255, 265n, 274, 278, 291f, 298, 304n, 308, 309n, 443f Pulaha 412, 454 Pulakeśin I. 379 Pulakesin II. 359 Pulasti 412 Pulastya 454 Puloman 412, 454 Pundarika 105, 377 Pupdarikāksa 66 Punyakesa 384 punyaélokas 143 purākarma 270 purākṛta 211 Purandara 339f, 415f Puruhuta 127, 155, 434 Purusa 193, 214, 335 puruşakāra 106, 144, 147n Puruşamedha 377, 382f, 386n, 387 Pürvaranga 32, 97, 404 pūrvarangapūjā 404 Pūrvas 256, 285 Puspadanta 3, 6ff, 48ff, 71, 330 Puspakadeva 418 Puspasena 9 Puşya 426f Pūtikavāhana 416, 433f

Pythagoras 125, 131n, 135n,

Qualities 218

Pythagorians 131n

Rabhasa Nandi 375 Racobuka 1 Raddā 178n Radha 51, 364, 421, 438 Raga 190, 335

Raghavahhatta 214ff, 404 Raghuvanita 455 Rahamana 376 Rahuseni 179n Raibhya 449 Raivata 461 Rajadatta Thera 137 Rajadharma 106 Rajadhiraja I. 379 Rajaditya 2f Rajaguru 348 Rajaniti 445 Rājanītiprakāša 451 454 Rajapura 395 Rajapuri 395 Rajaputra 455 Rajaraja 9, 394n Rajaraksa 106 rājasa (dāna) 285 Rajaśastra 446f Rājašekhara 13, 218, 355, 357, 439, 442 Rajasena 179n Rajasiddhantas 13n Rajasimhesvara temple 346 Rajasūya 377f, 383, 386f Rājatarangiņī 106, 108f. 117f. 125, 345, 395 Rajīmatī 434 Rajrajesvar (temple) 343 Raktā 48 Rama 370, 436 Rama (Parasurama) 370 Rāma (Balarāma) 370 Rāmacandra 358 Rāmadattā 402n, 423 Ramanuja 199, 234, 330, 350f Rāmāyana 381, 437 Rambha 236 Rallaka 73 rangapājā 403f rangarekhā 28n rangāvali 28n rangavalli 28 Ranna 10 Ranod inscription 339ff, 347

rasāvans 357 Rāştrakūta 2ff, 6, 8, 85, 89, 96f, 117, 326, 330, 333, 337, 347, Rūpasiddhi 10 351f, 354, 364, 367, 371, 377, rūpastha-dhyāna 280 401 Rathanemi 434, 438 Raina 199f, 235, 240 Ratnākara 116 Rainakarandakairāvakācāra Ratnamālā 19 Ratnaparīkņā 211, 460f Ratnaprabha 428 Rajanītiratnākara 445, 447, Ratnaprabha Sūri 124n, 329n, sabijadhyana 273 391n, 403n Ratnasikhanda 427 Ratnasikhandin 38f Ratnațikā 199 Rattarāja 341 raudra 275 Randradhyana 275 Raurava 336 Ravana 381, 437 Ravana-ka-kai 397 ravanasaka 317 Ravisena 7, 381, 384ff Rebirth 135n Renuka 408f. 436 Renunciation 138n Revataka 24 Revatī 260, 412f, 434 Revanta 461 Right Faith, Right Knowledge, Right Conduct 256f, 259, 269f, 408 Ritthanemicariü 7 rjusūtra (naya) 289f Rohint 121 Romapāda 455f Rabha Jina 121, 227 rucaka 356 Rudra 206, 209, 236, 238f, 249, 413 Rudrabheda Tantras 336 Rudrāksa 404 Rudra-Mahadevi 341 Rudrasambhu 340 rudra-sāyujya 238

rūpaguņanikā 121 Rûpaka 182f, 295 Sabaras 128f, 162, 252, 395 Śabari 391 śabda 289f Sabdabrahwa 215 Sabdabrahmavāda 242 Šabdānušāsa**na** 348, 442 Šabdārņavacandrikā 444 Sadānanda 231 Sadāśiva 187, 205f, 209, 212, 215, 249, 335, teacher; 340 Sadbhāva Śambhu 341, 342n Saddharmapundarika 117, 232. Saddaršanasamuccaya 184, 219, 222f, 228, 230, 232 Sadducees 147n, 197n sādgunya 446 Sādgunyaprastāva 446 Sādhaka 203, 238f Sadhu 74f, 270, 283ff, 307, 329 Saduktikarnāmīta 441f. Sädyaskra 378 Sagara 380, 424, 426, 430 Sägaradatta 70, 428 Sāgāradharma 289 Saharsa 336 Sahasrakūța (temple) 328, 411 Sahasrāra 45 Saiddhanta Vaisesikas 184, 186, 217ff Saiddhäntikas 340 Sais 402n Śaiva 2, 9, 13n, 39, 134, 138, 184f, 203, 205ff, 213f, 216n, 217ff, 224ff, 228ff, 234f, 239ff, 244, 249f, 260, 284, 331, 336ff, 357n, 364, 366f, 369ff, 373, 406, 417, 439, 458

Śaiva Tantra 336

Saiva Yogins 214

Saivavāda 217

Ì

Saivism 128, 192, 205ff, 211f, Samgamesvara (temple) 346 217n, 334, 336, 338, 340, 346f, samgraha 289i 352, 364, 366f, 369, 371, 392n, 458 Sajaka 437 Sajjana 112f Sakata 445 Sākatāyana (Jaina) 9 Sākatāyana 348 śākhocoheda 378 Šākta (Daršana) 218 Sakti 206ff, 213ff, 335, 392 Salākāpurusas 3 Salankayana 445 Salihotra 27 Śālikanātha 226, 242 sallekhanā 287 Sallustius 198n Salvation 186ff, 194, 197, 201, 204f, 216n, 220ff, 233ff, 239, 247, 268, 309, 314, 323, 337, 355f, 374, 410, 417 samabhirūdha 289f samādhi 208 samūdhišataka 272, 274 Samagayana 455 Samantabhadra 295, 429, 459 Samarāiccakahā 43, 48, 56, 85f. 123f, 128, 135, 233, 358, 391 Samarasimba 345 Samäsokti 183 samastasamaya-siddhantavabodhana 184 samatva 292 samavāya 213f samayadîpaka 284f samayamüdha 257f Samaya-parikse 329n Samayas 217 samaya-samācāra-vidhi 269 Samayasāra 247, 258, 277£ Samayasundara 442 samāyika 269, 281f, 283n, 307 samayin 284 Samba 394n Sambandhapariksā 375 Sambhinna-madamaryādā 111

Samdeiarāsaka 178n, 179n

Samiti 293 Samjātatilakā 111 śamka 257ff samkalpa 127f Samkara 31, 231, 234, 239, 245, 330, 345, 377, 390, 456. Śāṁkara Bhāṣya 231 Samkarācārya 224 Samkaramata (Saiva doctrine) 224 Samkara Misra 241n Samkarārya 449 Śamkaravarman 118 Samkarsanasūtras 364 Samkhya 8, 134, 193f, 217f, 229, 233, 235, 239ff, 289, 342, 348, Sarada 405 Sāmkhya doctrines 193ff, 229 Sāmkhyakārikās 194, 224, 230, 386 Sāmkhvas 379 Sāmkhyasūtras 186, 193 Samkhya-Yoga 239 Samkirpakathā 124 samksera 256 Sammatitarka 225 summattaparakkama 291 samnidhapana 270 samsāra 191f. 207 samsāra-bhāvanā 297 Samsāramocakas 242 samsārānupreksā 291, 300 samsthānavicava 276, 293 Samudragupta 378 Samvara 242, 293, 303 samvarānupreksā 291, 303 Samvega 255, 292 Samvegajanani (Dharmakatha) 124 Samyagdariana 258 Samyaktva 41f, 246ff, 253ff, 262, 327, 408, 429 samyaktvamithyätva 255 samyama 288 Samyamadhara 26 sathyoga 213 Samuuttanikäva 190

Sanatkumärasamhitä 206 Sandhivigraha lekhaka 108 Sandhivigrahin 32, 91ff, 107f, 156 Sapdilya 426 Sankhamathikādhipati 340 Sankhanaka 29f Sankhapura 411 Śäńkhäyana Śrautasūtra 382ff Sunnavati-prakarana 1 Santanika 336 Śāntaraksita 220f, 225, 231, 327, 362 Śāntibhakti 308, 311 Santinatha 270 Śān**ti**pu**rāņ**a 6 Saptapadārthī 185 Śāradātilaka 214ff, 404, 406 saraga 254 Saraha 374 Sārangadeva 344 Sarastani-kaitava-kantuka 154 Sarasvati 32, 97, 157, 172, 281f. 307, 314, 400n, 403ff; river 141 Sarasvatītudaga 156 Sarayû 141 Sarojavajra 374 Sarvadaréanasaingraha 19, 199 sarvajña 213 sarvāksaramantra 272 sarvalaghu 177 Sarvārthasiddhi 444 Sarvasādhu 290 Sarvavedānta-siddhāntasārasameraha 224 Śarvodgīta 336 śāsanahara 92 aat 239 Śatapatha Brāhmaņa 382**t**, 385 śathapratiśathanyaya 52 satipatthana 137 Satornilus 132n sattvadrsti 220 Sättvika 230 sāttvika (dāna) 285

Saturninus 132n Satvata 363, 430 satya 236 Satyliraya 341 fauce 236 sankhasayanika 144 Saundarananda 457 Saura (Darsana) 218 Saurasena 419 Sautramani 322, 377, 387, 418, Sautrantika 223, 241 Sayana 383, 385 Scythian Kings 93 Secretum Secretorum 114f Sedulius 115 Self 128, 136, 186, 188f, 195ff, 205, 210f, 215, 216n, 218, 220, 222, 229, 232ff, 245, 250ff, 272ff, 281, 292f, 296, 301ff, 305, 307f, 312f, 356, 374, 456 Septem Contra Thebas 105 Setnäth 352n Sevai Jayasing 379 Shakespeare 362n Shivajī 359n Sibis 384 Sicilian court 91 Siddha 237, 269f, 307 Siddha 336 Siddhabhakti 308 Siddhantas 184n Siddhantikas 184n Siddharāma 351 Siddharşi 9, 17, 85f, 124, 223, 225, 230, 241, 244, 395 Siddhartha 2f Siddhas 53, 74, 158 Siddhasena 265n, 293, 309n Siddhasena Süri 297 Siddhasthana 339 Siddhayika 332, 406 Siddhesvera 368, 397 middhi 235, 2371, 357 Stha 372 Sikhādiva 339f Siksavrates 263, 269, 282f Silappadikāram 334, 375

Simhakīrtti 417 Simbala 21 Simbapura 423 Simhasena 423 Simonides 165n Sipra 26f, 37f, 73, 79, 81, 91, 163, 165, 169 Sirīşagrāma 420 Sita 36, 436f Siva 22f, 51, 58f, 61f, 78, 80, 82, 84, 93, 143, 158, 166, 184, 187, 196, 199f, 201n, 202ff, 218f, 224, 228f, 234, 239, 249, 305, 319, 322, 328, 334ff 342ff, 346ff, 351ff, 360, 364, 366ff, 393n, 397f, 413, 417, 435, 438ff, 447 Sivabhakti 208n Sivabheda Tantras 336 Śivabhūti 424 Sivaditya 185 Sivagupta 420 Sivakoti 19, 295 Sivapurăna 2061, 208n, 210, 213n, 214, 342, 458 Śivārya 48n, 293, 295, Šivašarman 318 Sivasiddhantas 184n, 337 Śivaskandavarman 378 Sivasvāmin 406 Sivatattva 214f Sivavogins 345, 351 Skanda 399 Skandapurāņa 205, 355, 400, skandhas 187, 189 Ślesa 182 Ślokavārtika 225, 243, 245, 458 Smaragdus 115 Smärta 577f. 390 Smärta rites 130 Smrti 13n, 18, 324, 362, 388, 445, 449, 451, 453ff Sodasin 378 Somadatta 415f. 433 61f, 70, 74, 77ff, 83f, 86ff, 91.

150f, 183ff, 187, 189, 150, 159, 165, 168, 176ft 184ft 192, 194ff, 199, 201, 203ff. 209, 212ff, 226, 228ff, 236, 240f, 244ff, 250ff, 260ff, 269ff, 276ff, 284f, 287ff, 295, 298, 308, 326ff, 346f, 354ff, 358, 360ff, 370f, 372n, 373, 375ff, 379ff, 386f, 389, 391ff, **395ff**, 404£ 407£ 429, 431££ 489££. 446ff, 461f Somanatha 345f, 348 Somaprabha 86, 293, 297 Somaprasa 377 Somasambhu 341f Somalambhupaddhati 341f Somasiddhanta 336n, 357 Somaári 438 Somatantra 386 Somayajñas 378 Someśvara I. 349, 442 Somesvara-pandita 349 Sopa 141, 339 Sophoeles 104n Soul, the, 185, 210, 269, 271, Spandakārikā 363 spandana 200 Spandapradīpikā 36**3** Sphotaeiddhi 243 Sphotavāda 243 Sphulinga 103 Spring carnival 97 śraddhā 188 Śrāddha 319, 360f, 451 śravaka 284 Śrāvakācāra 294, 296 Śravana Belgola epitaph 5n, 240, 346, 444 Śrauta 378, 382 Śrautasūtras 382, 384 Srepika 414 Srt **32**9 Srī-Basamayya 366 Śribhāya 234 Somadeva 1ff, 42f, 46ff, 52ff, Sribhüti 7, 77, 119f, 122, 268n. 423, 480 93, 96ff, 114ff, 128f, 126ff, Sridatta 420ff

Sridatta 423 Sridevi 481 Sildhars 185f, 219, 243, 390 Srtharga 12, 234, 363, 379, 435, 448, 451 Śrikantha-carita 12f Srikantha-pandita 349 Śrikum**ara 33**6n. 458 Srimati 421f Sriparvata 359 Śri-Teramya 396 Srt-Thakint etc. 396 śrógarana 200 Srngārasataka 441 Srikhalayamaka 85 **Brotriya 287** Śrotriyakitaya 58 Śrutabhakti 308n Śrutadevata 270, 281f, 314 Śrutamuni 444 Śrutasāgara 9, 18f, 52n, 58n, 77n, 8ln, 99, 110, 112, 119n, 126n, 136n, 154n, 179, 205, 217, 289n, 290, 299, 305n, 399, 407, 429, 434ff, 438, 440f, 448, 451, 454 Ssu-fen 372 stambhana 406 sthāpanā 270 Sthapati 91, 383 Sthavirāvalīcarita 408n athaviravaiña 384 sthiti 235f, 251 sthitikara 257f, 260f Stotracatustavatikä 19 stūpas 253, 413, 416, 431ff Subandhu 7, 53ff, 124, 359n, 391, 420 Subhacandra 19, 175, 272, 292f, 296, 304n Subhadhāma-jipālaya 5 Subhāsitaratnasamdoha 10. 146n, 296 Subhänitärnava 453 Subhācitāvali 440, 449 Subhatasauharda 58

Subhatavarman 354n

Substances 218; Filter / -Sudaksipa 79, 435 Sudati 415 Sudatta 22f, 39ff, 46, 54, 69, 71, 84, 106, 123, 134, 1374, 1664, 184, 189, 194, 196ff, 208ff, 328, 364, 428, 442 suddhatativas 215 Suddhavidyā 335 Sudra 202, 322, 331, 435 Sugatakirti 39, 188f Sugriva 51 Sujanajīvita 153 Sukanāsa 54, 116, 461 sukhāsana 281 śukla 275 Sukladhyāna 275ff, 289 Śukra 120, 444f, 449, 451, 454 Sukra 417 Šukranitisāra 98, 445 Sūksma 336 sükşmakriyā-pratipāti 277 Süktimuktāvalī 442 Sukumara 435 Sulasa 380f, 424 Sumitra 415 Sunaháepa 383. 387 Sünäsükta 157 Sunvata 192f Sûnya theory 193 Suparpas 433 Supāráva 121 Suparávanatha 432 Suprabhedaka 336 Supreme Lord 244 Supreme Soul 244 supta-nirvāņa 233 **Śūra 118** Sūrana 283 Sarāştra 21 Suratavilāsa 104 Surendradatta 43, 45 Suresvarācārya 224 Sūri 417 sūri 285 Sürya 414 Sürvadarsana 218 Spárnta 460

Sulrutasamhitä 459£

Susumna 216 Sata 383 3.55 satacca-cinta 291 Satagītā 206n Satasamhita 205, 207, 208n. 218n, 215n Sutlej 395 sūtra 256 Sütrakṛtānga Sütra 184, 145n. 147n. 360 Saytra 414 Suvrata 454 Svabhāvokti 181 Svādhyāva 288, 291 Svámikárttikeyänupreksá 291, 295 Svapnādhyāya 461 Svārājya 383 Svastika 270 Sysstimat: 424 Sysysmbhu 7 Svayambhu-chanda 7 Sváyambhuva 336 **Sveta 342** Svetāmbara (texts) 291 Svetāšvataropanisad 456 Syadvada 1, 282, 289, 308f. Syadvadacalasimha 1 Syādvādamañjarī 289, 379 Syadvadopanisad 5 Syena 355

Ŧ

Tadillatā 4261
Tadit 431
Taila II. 341
Taila II. 341
Taittirīya Brāhmaņa 382ff, 387
Taittirīyasamhitā 51n, 443
Tāmalipti 414
Tāmasa (dāna) 285
Tamil regiment 59
Tamil Šaiva saints 351
Tāmrasvāmin 118
Tandula-Veyāliya 175
Tāndya Brāhmaņa 383
Tanmātras 335
Tantrākhyāyikā 445, 450
Tantras 203, 215, 216n, 336

Tantravärtika 243 Tantrio 23, 128, 204ff, 208, 214, **354**, 392n, 396, 398, 406, 429 Tantrisism 334, 392n Tantrikas 204 Tapa 200n tapas 235, 288 tapovana 339 Tarangavati 124 Tärakesvara (temple) 316 Taricara-nara 97 Tarkas 218 Tärkikacakravartin 1, 10 Tārkika-Vaiseşikas 184ff, 217ff Tīrthikas 372 Taruni-lila-vilasa 150f, 181 Tathagatah 217 Tatian 130, 132n Tätparyatikā 228, 243 Tattvaprakāša 208n, 214n, 215, Trailokya 12 334n, 335n, 336, 458 Tattvārthādhigamasūtra 251, 265n, 277n, 309n Tattvārtharāja vārtikālamkāra Tattvārthatlokavārtika 9, 221, Trikamata 204f, 217n 225, 231, 241, 880 Tativārthasūtra 252, 255, 257, 275, 291ff, 298, 304n, 306n, 444 tattvas 254, 335 Tattvasamgraka 220f, 223, 225, 327, 362 Tantātitas 242 Terambipāla 340 Tertullian 99, 130, 132 The Dream, or the Cook 135n Theophrastus 71 Theoderic the Great 114n The Questions of King Milinda 190n, 191n, 222n Theragāthā 130, 137 Therapeutae 131n The Seven Books of Arnobius uccatana 406 Adversus Gentes 130n Thousand Spires (Temple of) 39£ Three Prose Versions of the Secreta Secretorum 114n

Threefold Lore 388 Tiberius 193 Tilakamañjari 10 Tilottamā 249 Tirbut or Tairabhukta regiment 60, 96 Tirtha 451 Tirthakalpa 432 Tirthakara 212f Tirthamkara 6, 121, 212, 214, 248, 254, 236, 269, 270, 318n, 326, 317, 352, 410, 413 Tirthamkara Aranātha 432 Tirthopāsana 80 Tortoise, the 370 Trachiniae 104p Traikūtaka 378 Trailokyeśvara 369 76n, Trajan 263n Travi 288 Tribhuvana Svayambhu 7 Tridasa 31, 441 Trikāndašesa 403 n Trikūţeśvara (temple) 405 trimūrti 369 Trimürti Temple 392 Tripitaka 462 Tripurantaka 344 *Tripaș*țitalākāpurușacarita 142n, 290 Trisūla-bhairava 94 Trivikrama 85ff Trivikrama Bhatta 360, 371 trapa 222 Tudiga 3 Tutātita 12

U

Ubhaya-kavi-cakravartin 6 ucchedavāda 232p Udayagiri 27 Udayana 49, 185, 219, 226ff, 240ff, 245, 330, 373, 378, 458 Udayavira 399

Uddhetānkusa 111 Uddistatyāga-pratimā 290 Uddyotakara 226 Uddyotana 329, 391 Uddyotanasūri 42f, 86, 124, 403 Uditācārya 343f Ugracenda 400 Ugrasena 123, 426ff Ukthya 378 Unmädayanti 403 upadeśa 256 Upādhyāya 74, 269, 290, 307 Upadhyayika 429, 438 upagüha 260 upagühana 257f Upakośā 431, 437 Upamita 343f Upamiteśvara 343f Upamitibhavaprapañsā-kuthā 9, 16n, 17n, 85f, 124, 223, 225, 230, 241, 244, 395 Upanisad 448 Upanisads 456 Uparicara 430 upasama 290 Upasakadhyayana 246 upāya 201 Upāyasarvajna 147 Urva 439f Ūrva 440 Usanas 445 Utpala 192, 363 Utpreksā 80, 288 Utpreksāvallabha 181 Utsavva 440 Uttama Gunas 294 Uttama-ksamā 294 Uttama-Mārdava 294 Uttarādnyayanasūtra 256, 291, 434 Uttara-guņa 75, 262f, 283n, 285 Uttaramathura 433 Uttarapurăņa 9 Uvata 387

Vacanasāstras 350f Vacaspati 226ff, 234, 390

Vacaspatimista 457 🦈 Vacchagotta 191 Vaddhalf 454 Vadbaks 48 Vadrbia-pañoanana 1, 10 Vadībhasimha 9ff, 296, 830 Vadideva 244 Vadideva Süri 221, 228, 229 Vådighanghala 8, 11 Vädigharatta 11 Vādikolāhala 11 Vadiraja 9ff, 50, 330, 346, 348 Vādi-Rudragupa 9, 347, 849 Lakulisvara Vadi-Budraguna Pandita 347 Vadyagarāja 4 Vādyarāja 4 Vagarāja 3f Vägbhata 14, 459 vägvišuddha 238 Vähali 454 Vaibhāsika 241 Vaidyanātba 338f Vaidyavidyāvilāsa 112 Vaikunthamati 110 vairāgya 138, 202, 292 Vaitālāksa 447 Vaisali 372 Vaisesika Sūtras 127 Valsesika system 185f, 212f, 217ff, 241ff, 289, 337, 348, 378, Vaisesika theory 216n Vaisesika thinkers 184, 187, 219, 233, 235 Vaisnava Darsana 218 Vaispavas 241 Vaispavavāda 217 Väisnavi 397 Vaişpavism 351f, 363f, 366ff. Valévadova 338, 377 Faitina (Érautacutra) 382 Vaivasvata Manu 453 valyavstya 262 Vajapeya 377ff Päjaranegiestihitä 382, 385. Valivinedamakaranda 27 Valra 445

Yajrakumara 78, 261, 4151, Vacietha 322, 862, 486 481£ 484 Väkätaka 378 Väkkallola-payonidhi 1 Vakpati 391, 455 Vakrokti 181 Vahula 336 Vakvali 455 Valabhi 419 Valkalesvara Matha 337£ Vallabhadeva 440 Valiabhanarendradeva 8 Vallabharāja 8 Välmikimuni 349 Valmikirāsi 344 Vāma Mārga 206, 208, 334, 354, 371, 392n Vämana 365, 367, 369f Vāmanapurāņa 402, 437 Vamasakti Paņģita 348, 350 vandana 283n Vangi 99 Varadamudra 404f Varadarāja 241n, 242, 249 Varadattasuta Apartiya 385 Varāha 365, 367, 369f, 435 Varāhamaņdapa 392f Varāhamihira 327 Varāha-Perumāl (temple) 370 Varāba Temple 393 Varabi 397 Varāngacarita 331, 360£, 374, Vararuoi 431, 437, 441 Vardhamāna 77n Vardhamānacarita 10 Vārisepa 169, 261, 414f Varisthaka 110 Varna 178, 180 Varnasrama 227, 390 Varupa (King of Mathura) 412, 434 vies 201n Vasantemati 104 Vasantikā 28, 35 Vacavadostā 541, 359, 391

Väsavasena 50

Pätiejäs Yogstästra 158 Väsisthīputra Camtamūla I. 378 Vasu 104, 380, 408, 4941, 430 Varabbies 441 Vžsudeva 289, 864n, 413, 432 Vasuderakip¢i 124, 135, 233, 380, 430 Vasumatitilaka 111 Vasupūjya 410, 435 vadya 406 Vătăla 336 vätsalya 257f, 260f Vatsarāja 50 Vätsyäyana 226, 232, 403, 457, 459, 462 Vattakera 294 Vayaviyasamkitä 207, 2081. 210n, 214n, 342 Väyu 216 Payupurana 218, 342, 457 Vedanta 224, 241£, 273 Vedanta dostrines 195, 456 Vedānta-Sūtras 199, 231, 234, 239, 245, 345, 377, 390 Vedāntavādins 217. 224 Vedāntins 195, 217, 241, 379 Vedantists 242 Vedas 189, 226ff, 239, 253, 288, 318, 320ff, 327, 348, 355, 362, 371, 372n, 380ff, 388ff, 417f Vedavāda 128, 217 Veda-Velvi 333 Valvi 338 Ventsamkāra 439 Venugopālasvāmin 368 vicikitel 257ff Vidagdhamugdha 151 Vidhi 200n, 201, 234n vidhi 388 vidhikriyā 201 Vidhiviveka 226, 343 Vidura 451 Viduraniti 451 Vidusaka 357f vidveşa 406 Vidya 215, 335 Vidyadhara 22, 38ff, 75, 77, 82, 157, 309, 358, 4116, 416, 438n

Vidyāmahodadhi 144f Vidyanunda 9, 19, 218, 221, virasana 281 2241, 228, 231, 241, 244 Vidyanandi 380 Vidyeivarasamhitā 208n, 458 Vidyutprabha 409 Vihara 118 Vijaya 336 Vijayā Datami 400n Vijavāditva 369 Vijayajaitrayudha 157 Vijayalaya 394 Vijayasekhara 111 Vijayasena 60 Vijayasimha 233 Vijayavardhana 33, 95 Vikalpa 193n viklava 385 viklidha 385 Vibramacarita 458 Vikramāditya 358, 367f Vikramänkadevacarita 367 Vikramārjuna-vijaya 6 Viksepini (dharmakathā) 124 Vilasavatī 53 Vilāsinī-locana-kajjala 150, 153 Vimala 336 Vimelacandra 240, 346f Vimelseäha 353 Vimiativiiikä 147n Vimuktatman 231 Vinaya 262, 372 Vinayāditya 443 Vinayamati 45ff Vineyandhara 45

Vindhyavāsinī 391
vinindā 258f
viņākavicaya 276
Viņaryaya 219
Vērabhadra 336
Virabhairava 22
Virahānka 179n
Virakta-matha 351
Vēramitrodaya 451
Vīranandin 10
Vīra Pāņdya 359
Vīrafaiva 348f
Vīrafaivas 202, 350f

. Virasaivism 346, 348, 350f Virasena 9, 17, 330 Vira-Someivara 368 Virāțesvara (temple) 346 Viravati 49 Virocana 13n, 75, 412 Virodhābhāsa 80 Virupāksa 368f Visakha 399 Visala 417, 420 Visalakea 444, 4471 Vishaldev Vaghela 393n Vispu 62, 84, 94, 141, 158, 171n, 206, 209, 219, 249, 319, 322f, 352f, 354n, 360, 364ff, 398, 402, 408, 413, 417, 430, 435f, 438 Vișpu (sage) 261, 408, 418 Visnudharmottara 121 Vișpudharmottarapurăņa 400 Vişnugupta 451 Vispavardhana 351 Visnuyāmala 363 vistara 256 Visuddhimagga 188n Viśvabhūti 424, 426 Viśvambhara 420ff Viávamitra 324n, 436 Viśvanātha (temple) 346 Višvānuloma 409 Viśvarūpa 240, 447, 454 Viávavasu 29, 424 Višvešvara Šambhu 342 Vitarāga (Samyaktva) 254 Vitthala 368 Vithoba 368 Vivāgasuya 49 vivarta 336 Vivekin 138 Vosa 439 vrata 200n, 201f, 262, 282, 384 Vrddha Capakya 449 Vrddha-Häritasmyti 454 Vrddha Vaišeşikas 218 Vrddha Yājūavalkya 454 Vrkodari 104

Vrnda 227

Vrttajātisamuscaya 179n

Vrttavilāsa 339
vyaktācāra 201
Vyāsa 104, 322, 362, 3791, 439,
449
Vyāsabhāsya 458
vyavahāra (naya) 289f
Vyomašiva 219, 223, 238, 3426,
245, 340, 347
Vyomavatī 243
Vyūhas 445
vyuparata-kriyā-nivartī 277
Vyutpatti 136

X

Xanthipps and Polyxena 129

Y

Yādava 262, 379, 435 Yaga 200n, 202 Yagajflas 241 Yajñas 333 Yainavalkya 100, 106, 108ff, 388. 447, 453ff Yājñavalkya Smṛti 239, 454 Yājāikas 241f Yajurveda 51n Yakşas 253, 284**, 42**0 Yama 80, 292, 380, 401, 438, 447 vama 236ff, 283 Yamaka 85 Yamunacarya 234ff Yamunamuni 199, 239 yantradhārāgrha 33 Yantras 202, 206 Yasalipāla 204, 360 Yaiastilaka 1ff. 9f. 12ff, 16ff. 26n, 40, 43, 46f, 49f, 53ff, 59, 70£, 74, 85££, 89, 93, 97£, 100££, 104p, 105f, 109, 111, 114ff, 117n, 119f, 122ff, 128, 129n, 130ff, 137ff, 158f, 165, 169, 171, 175, 177, 184, 1924, 195, 206, 211n, 214, 216ff, 221f. 226, 229f, 232f, 236, 241, 346, 256, 258, 277n, 287, 291, 295, 296p. 307. 316. 326ff. 330ff. 334, 347, 356tf, 361tf, 370tt, 376f, 380ff, 386f, BIK

GENERAL INDEX

prose extracts 58-64, 66-77; Yafodhvaja 414, 422 prose and verse 85-88; court life 89-98; duties and qualifi- Yasovardhana 46 cations of officials 137-110. Yasodeva 1, 5 Yasodhara 1, 7, 12, 23, 26ff, Yaudheya 395 32ff, 41ff, 45ff, 55, 57, 59, 61, Yanga dostrine 213 64, 67£, 78£, 82£, 85, 88, 92, 125f, 130ff, 136, 138ff, 143f, 149f, 156, 159, 164, 169, 182, 241, 246, 298, 316ff, 328, 362, 377, 380f, 398, 408, 436ff, 460f Yasodharā 43, 45

396H, 400H, 404H, 431H, Yatodharacarita 5, 10, 42, 50 4881, 44721, 456, 459, 468; Xatodhara-mahārāja-carita 1, 5 popular maxims 76-77; use of Yasomati 23, 34f, 37ff, 67, 328 similes etc. 78-85; mixture of Yasorgha 26, 41, 71, 78ff, 140, 168, 298 Yasovarman 108, 352, 391 Yatyāsrama 339 Yaugas 216n 95, 97f, 104ff, 116ff, 123, Yoga I3n, 223, 226, 234n, 237f, 247, 251, 275, 285, 296, 336 Yogacara 241 Yogācāryas 342 Yogaja 336 440, 444f, 449, 454f, 457, Yogafastra 175, 240, 255, 266n, Yuvarajadeva I. 338f, 270n, 292, 297, 356, 361, 373, 386, 452

Yogasütra Bhāşya 227 Yogasütras 186, 193, 212, 226, 457f Yoga system 187, 239 Yogupälistha 145, 147n Yogesvari 400 Yogibhakti 308n Yogin 273, 277, 293, 356 Yoginda 272, 274, 277 Yogindudeva 18 Yoginis 396f Yogisvara 356 Yonimudra 204 Yuan Chwang 373, 391, 395 Yuddhamella L II and III. 4 Yuktiointämanistava 1 Yukticintāmaņisūtra 1 341, 342n Yuvarājadeva II. 337

Errata

Page	Line	Read	•	meant
28	7	meet	for	Yesomati
	17	Yasomati))	-
» 28	3 0	the text	"	text
29	18	colour of gold	,,	colour gold
31	26	the sun	"	sun
41	86	résum e	>>	rèsumè
47	84	which	37	whith
69	16	attendants	,,	attendents
	17	ornaments	**	ornments
103	6	are	"	aer
103 126	28	person	**	pereson
130	40	Ante-nicene	"	Ante-nicen
133	8	detail	"	deatail
	42	Kidd	**	Kid
,, 141	18	Sarayū	**	S'arayū
214	16	concepts	**	ooncepts
221	17	succinct	"	succint
230	5	Sättvika form	"	from
259	31	the tenets	99	tenets
262	4	the ideal	"	ideal
264	29	all works	,,	all good words
273	18	divests	"	diverts
276	17	men's	,,	man's
295	86	attempts	**	attempt
327	39	the poets	19	poets
358	2	temples	"	temple
459	2	Āptamīmāmsā	,,	$m{Apta}$
400	4	Atmānusāsana	13	Atmā
460	33	Adityamata	7>	Aditya

JĪVARĀJA JAINA GRANTHAMĀLĀ

General Editors:

Dr. A. N. Upadhye & Dr. H. L. Jain

- 1. Tiloyapannatti of Yativrabha (Part I, chapters 1-4): An Ancient Prakrit text dealing with Jaina Cosmography, Dogmatics etc. Prakrit Text authentically of for the first time with the Various Readings, Preface and Hindi Paraphrase of Pt. Balachandra by Drs. A.N. Upadhye and H. L. Jain. Published by Jaina Samskrti Samrakaka Samgha, Sholapur (India). Crown 8vo pp. 6-38-532. Sholapur 1943, Price Rs. 12.00. Second Edition, Sholapur 1956. Price Rs. 16.00.
- 1. Tiloyapannatti of Yatıvışabha (Part II, Chapters 5-9): As above, with Introductions in English and Hindi, with an alphabetical index of Gathas, with other indices (of Names of works mentioned, of Geographical Terms, of Proper Names, of Technical Terms, of Differences in Tradition of Karanasütras and of Technical Terms compared) and Tables (of Naraka-Jiva, Bhavana-vasi Deva, Kulakaras, Bhavana Indras, Six Kulaparvatas, Seven Kṣetras, Twenty-four Tirthakaras: Age of the Salakapuruṣas, Twelve Cakravartins, Nine Narayanas, Nine Pratisatrus, Nine Baladevas, Eleven Rudras, Twenty-eight Nakṣatras, Eleven Kalpatita, Twelve Indras, Twelve Kalpas and Twenty Prarūpaṇas). Crown Octavo pp. 6-14-108-529 to 1032, Sholapur 1951. Price Rs. 16:00.
- 16-2. Yasastilaka and Indian Culture, or Somadeva's Yasastilaka and Aspects of Jainism and Indian Thought and Culture in the Tenth Century, by Professor K.K. Handiqui, Vice-Chancellor, Gauhati University, Assam, with Four Appendices, Index of Geographical Names and General Index. Published by J. S. S. Sangha, Sholapur. Crown Octavo pp. 8-540. Sholapur 1949. Price Ri. 16-00. Second Edition, Sholapur 1968, Price Ri. 25-00
- 3. Pāṇḍavapurāṇam of Śubhacandra: A Sanskrit Text dealing with the Pāṇḍava Tale. Authentically edited with Various Readings, Hindi Paraphrase, Introduction Hindi etc. by Pt. Jinadas. Published by J. S. S. Sangha, Sholapur. Crown Octavo pp. 4-40-8-520. Sholapur 1954. Price Rs. 12.00.
- 4. Prākta-sabdānusāsanam of Trivikrama with his own commentary: Critically Edited with Various Readings, an Introduction and Seven Appendices (1. Trivikrama's Sūtras; 2. Alphabetical index of the Sūtras; 3. Metrical Version of the Sūtrapatha; 4. Index of Apabhramsa Stanzas; 5. Index of Desya words; 6. Index of Dhatvadesas, Sanskrit to Prākrit and vice versa; 7. Bharata's Verses on Prākrit)

- by Dr. P. L. Vaidya, Director, Mithila Institute, Darbhanga, Pablished by the J.S.S., Sangha, Sholapur, Demy 8vo. pp. 44-478. Sholapur 1957. Price Rs. 10-00.
- 5. Siddhanta-sarasangraha of Narendrasena: A Sanskrit Text dealing with Seven Tattvas of Jainism. Authentically Edited for the first time with Various Readings and Hindi Translation by Pt. Jinadas P. Phadkule. Published by the J.S.S. Sangha, Sholapur. Crown Octavo pp. about 300. Sholapur 1957. Price Rs. 10-00.
- 6. Jainism in South India and Hyderabad Epigraphs: A learned and well-documented Dissertation on the career of Jainism in the South, especially in the areas in which Kannada, Tamil and Telugu Languages are spoken, by P. B. Desar. M.A. Assistant Superintendent for Epigraphy, Ootacamund. Some Kannada Inscriptions from the areas of the former Hyderabad State and round about are edited here for the first time both in Roman and Devanagari characters, along with their critical study in English and Saranuvada in Hindi. Equipped with a list of Inscriptions edited, a General Index and a number of illustrations. Published by the J.S.S. Sangha, Sholapur 1957. Crown Octavo pp. 16-456. Price Rs. 16.00.
- 7. Jambūdīvapaṇṇatti-Saṅngaha of Padmanandi: A Prākrit Text dealing with Jaina Geography. Authentically edited for the first time by Drs.A.N. UPADHYE and H. L. Jaina, with the Hindi Anuvāda of Pt. Balachandra. The introduction institutes a careful study of the Text and its allied works. There is an Essay in Hindi on the Mathematics of the Tiloyapaṇṇatti by Prof. Lakshmichanda Jain, Jabalpur. Equipped with an Index of Gāthās, of Geographical Terms and of Technical Terms, and with additional Variants of Amera Ms. Published by the J.S.S. Sangha, Sholapur. Crown Octavo pp. about 500. Sholapur 1957. Price Rs.16.00
- 8. Bhaṭṭāraka-sampradāya: A History of the Bhaṭṭāraka Pīṭhas especially of Western India, Gujarat, Rajasthan and Madhya Pradesh, based on Epigraphical, Literary and Traditional sources, extensively reproduced and suitably interpreted, by Prof. V. Johrapurkar, M.A., Nagpur. Published by the J. S. S. Sangh, Sholapur, Demy Octavo pp. 14-29-326, Sholapur 1960. Price Rs. 8.00.
- 9. Prābhrtādisamgraha: This is a presentation of topic-wise discussions compiled from the works of Kundakunda, the Samayasāra being fully given. Edited with Introduction in Hindi by Pt. KAILASHCHANDRA SHASTRI, Varanasi. Published by the J. S. S. Sangha, Sholapur. Demy 8vo. pp. 10-106/0-288, Sholapur 1960. Price Rs. 6-00.
- 10. Pañcavinsati of Padmanandi: (c. 1136 A. D.). This is a collection of 26 Prakaranas (24 in Sanskrit and 2 in Prakrit) small and big, dealing with various religious topics; religious, spiritual, ethical, didactic, hymnal and ritualistic. The text along with an anonymous commentary critically edited by Dr. A. N. Upadeve and

ż

- Dr. H. L., Jam with the Hindi Anuvada of Pt. Balachanna Shastri. The edition is equipped with a detailed introduction shedding light on the various aspects of the work and personality of the author both in English and Hindi. There are useful Indices. Printed in the N. S. Press, Bombay, Crown Octavo pp. 8-64-284. Sholapur 1962. Price Rs. 10/--
- 11. Atmanusasana of Guṇabhadra (middle of the 9th century A.D.): This is a religio-didactic anthology in elegant Sanskrit verses composed by Guṇabhadra, the pupil of Jinasena, the teacher of Raṣṭrakūṭa Amoghavarṣa. The Text is critically edited along with the Sanskrit commentary of Prabhacandra and a new Hindi Anuvada by Dr. A. N. Upadhye, Dr. H, L. Jain and Pt. Balachandra Shastri. The edition is equipped with introduction in English and Hindi and some useful Indices. Demy 8vo. pp. 8-112-260, Sholapur 1961. Price Rs. 5-00.
- 12. Ganitasārasaingraha of Mahavīracarya (c. 9th century A. D.): This is an important treatise in Sanskrit on early Indian mathematics composed in an elegant style with a practical approach. Edited with Hindi Translation by Prof. L. C. Jain, M.sc., Jabalpur. Crown Octavo pp. 16+34+282+86, Sholapur 1963. Price Rs. 12.00.
- 13. Lakavibhaga of Simhasūri: A Sanskrit digest of a missing ancient Prakrit text dealing with Jaina cosmography. Edited for the first time with Hindi Translation by Pt. BALACHANDRA SHASTRI. Crown Octavo pp. 8-52-256, Sholapur 1962. Price Rs. 10.00.
- 14. Punyāsrava-kathākośa of Ramacandra: It is a collection of religious stories in simple and popular Sanskrit. The text authentically edited by Dr. A. N. UPADHYE and Dr. H. L. Jain with the Hindi Anuvada of Pr. Balachandra Shastri. Crown Octavo pp. 48 + 368. Sholapur 1964. Price Rs. 10.00.
- 15. Jainism in Rajasthan: This is a dissertation on Jainas and Jainism in Rajasthan and round about area from early times to the present day, based on epigraphical, literary and traditional sources by Dr. Kailashchandra Jain, Ajmer. Crown Octavo pp. 8 + 284, Sholapur 1963. Price Rs. 11.00.
- 16. Visvatattva-Prakasa of Bhavasena (13th century A.D.): It is a treatise on Nyaya.—Edited with a Hindi Summary and Introduction in which is given an authentic Review of Jaina Nyaya literature by Dr. V. P. Johrapurkar, Nagpur. Demy Octavo pp. 16+115+372, Sholapur 1964. Price Rs. 12.00.
- 17. Tirtha-vandana-samgraha: A compilation and study of Extracts in Sanskrit, Prakrit and Modern Indian Languages from Ancient and Medieval Works of Forty Authors about (Digambara) Jaina Holy Places, by Dr. V. P. JOHRAPURKAR, Jaora. Demy Octavo pp. 12+216, Sholapur 1965. Price Rs. 5.00.

- 18. Pramaprameya: A Treatise on Logical Topics by Bhavasena Traividya, Authentically Edited with Hindi Translation, Notes etc. by Dr. V. P. Johnapurkar, Mandia. Demy Octavo pp. 158. Sholapur 1966. Price Rs. 5.00.
- 19. Ethical Doctrines in Jainism: An Exhaustive Study of Jaina Ethics, that is, the Jaina Acara-dharma, as prescribed for the Monk and the Householder, with a good deal of light shed on its metaphysical basis and mystical implications by Dr. K. C. SOGANI, Crown Octavo pp. 16-302, Sholapur 1967. Price Rs. 12-00.

WORKS IN PREPARATION

Subhaşita-samdoha. Dharma-parīkṣā, Jnāuarnava, Dharmaratnakara, Jaina View of Life, Candraprabhacarita, etc. For copies write to:

Jaina Samsk_iti Samrakshaka Sangha,
Santosh Bhavan, Phaltan Galli,
Sholapur (C. Rly.) India.